ZARZYCKA, Marta, SIKORA, Marcelina, PLEWNIOK, Ines, MAJ-DZIEDZIC, Monika, DUBIEL, Jeremiasz, BRZOZOWSKA, Anna, MAJ, Adrian, SMIETANA, Greta, WARNO, Martyna and KOZIK, Wiktor. Understanding of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Children - A Comprehensive Study on Epidemiology, Clinical Manifestations, Diagnosis and Innovative Treatment Modalities. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2024;55:137-154. eISSN

https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2024.55.009 https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/47940 https://zenodo.org/records/10574509

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences).

medical and health sciences).
Punkty Ministerialne 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159.
Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu).® The Authors 2024;
This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland
Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license

any medium Share alike.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 09.01.2024. Revised: 27.01.2024. Accepted: 27.01.2024. Published: 29.01.2024.

Understanding of Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Children - A Comprehensive Study on Epidemiology, Clinical Manifestations, Diagnosis and Innovative **Treatment Modalities**

1. Marta Zarzycka

Provincial Specialist Hospital in Wroclaw

Ul. Kamieńskiego 73A, 51-124 Wrocław, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2416-1789

zarzycka.marta.julia@gmail.com

2. Marcelina Sikora

University Clinical Hospital in Poznan

Ul. Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3930-1276

sikorka.marcelina@gmail.com

3. Ines Plewniok

Provincial Hospital in Bielsko-Biała

Ul. Aleja Armii Krajowej 101, 43-316, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5211-5282

plewniokines@gmail.com

4. Monika Maj-Dziedzic

Wojewódzki Szpital Zespolony w Kielcach

Ul. Grunwaldzka 45, 25-736 Kielce, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6922-0813 majmonika1001@gmail.com

5. Jeremiasz Dubiel

Wielospecjalistyczny Szpital Samodzielny Publiczny Zakład Opieki Zdrowotnej in Nowa Sól

Ul. Chałubińskiego 7 67-100 Nowa Sól, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9886-390X aod.legolas@gmail.com

6.Anna Brzozowska

Provincial Hospital in Kielce

Ul. Grunwaldzka 45, 25-736 Kielce, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5406-3373

Brzozowskaa01@gmail.com

7. Adrian Maj

Uniwersytecki Szpital Kliniczny im. Fryderyka Chopina w Rzeszowie,

ul. Fryderyka Szopena 2, 35-055 Rzeszów, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8730-1072

adrian.w.maj@gmail.com

8. Greta Śmietana

Uniwersytecki Szpital Kliniczny im. Fryderyka Chopina w Rzeszowie,

ul. Fryderyka Szopena 2, 35-055 Rzeszów, Poland

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1223-7323

greta.smietana@gmail.com

9. Martyna Warno

District Health Centre in Malbork

Ul. 500-lecia 23, 82-200 Malbork, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2575-9118

martyna.warno@gmail.com

10. Wiktor Kozik

Szpital Powiatowy. ZOZ

Krakowska 91, 39-200 Dębica, Poland

wiktor.kozik.pw@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction:

In the late 1960s, probable cases of eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) emerged, initially linked to esophageal rings and congenital causes or gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Doubts about the GERD association arose due to poor responses to antisecretory therapy. EoE is now a significant pediatric health concern, impacting 1 to 7 cases per 10,000 children.

Purpose of the Study:

This study aims to comprehensively explore EoE's epidemiology, etiology, subtypes, clinical manifestations, diagnostic methods, and treatment modalities.

Materials and Methods:

The study employs a cross-sectional analysis of pediatric EoE patients, utilizing endoscopic evaluation and histological assessment. Three distinct EoE subtypes are identified based on pathogenic characteristics. Clinical manifestations, diagnostic methods, and treatments, including endoscopy, are examined.

Results:

Clinical manifestations span a broad spectrum in the pediatric population. Diagnostic methods include endoscopy, esophageal manometry, impedance-pH monitoring, capsule endoscopy, and string tests. Three distinct EoE subtypes are identified with unique characteristics. Treatment modalities involve dietary management, proton pump inhibitors, topical corticosteroids, biologic therapies, allergen immunotherapy, and endoscopic interventions.

Conclusion:

Formerly associated with GERD, EoE is now a significant pediatric health concern. The study underscores the importance of comprehensive diagnostic approaches and diverse treatment modalities, including promising biologic therapies and allergen immunotherapy. Understanding these aspects is crucial for effective management and improved outcomes in patients.

Key words: **Eosinophilic esophagitis EoE; Pediatric patients; Clinical Manifestations; Diagnostic methods; Treatment methods; Biological therapy.**

Epidemiology

In the late 1960s and early 1970s, there were reports of the first cases of probable eosinophilic esophagitis. ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Initial accounts, primarily from the 1990s, detailed individuals with numerous esophageal rings, which were linked to either a congenital cause or gastroesophageal reflux disease. ^{16, 19-24} Based on the finding that patients with ringed esophageal biopsies had basal zone hyperplasia, papillary lengthening, and intraepithelial eosinophils (findings that are observed in patients with documented reflux disease) the association with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) was postulated. As many of the patients did not respond to antisecretory therapy or showed objective reflux on a 24-hour pH study, a thorough examination of these reports has cast doubt on the association with GERD. ^{19, 25} Nowadays, increasingly recognized as a significant pediatric health concern, EoE's prevalence has surged, with estimates ranging from 1 to 7 cases per 10,000 children¹. Boys are more commonly affected than girls, and the condition often emerges in early childhood or adolescence. ²

Etiology

Though the precise cause remains elusive, EoE is believed to stem from an immune-mediated response to allergens. Genetic predisposition and environmental factors contribute to an aberrant immune response, resulting in an excessive presence of eosinophils in the esophagus ³, ⁴. IgE tests specific to allergens and/or skin prick tests indicate that most patients have evidence of food allergen and aeroallergen sensitization.²⁶ Seasonality is another common complaint among EoE patients, and wintertime (when outdoor aeroallergen levels are at their lowest) is when fewer cases are diagnosed. ²⁷⁻²⁹

Subtypes

Three distinct subtypes of eosinophilic esophagitis have been identified based on pathogenic characteristics. Three distinct endotypes were identified in a cross-sectional study that evaluated adult and pediatric patients with active eosinophilic esophagitis using a diagnostic panel of 96 molecular targets, endoscopic evaluation, and histological assessment. These endotypes each had unique features. ¹⁵

- 1. The mild subtype EoEe1 had a normal-appearing esophagus and mild changes in histology, endoscopy, and molecular testing.
- 2. EoEe2: An inflammatory endotype characterized by a steroid-refractory phenotype, greatest expression of inflammatory cytokines, and genes that respond to steroids.
- 3. EoEe3: This fibrostenotic endotype is linked to a narrow-caliber esophagus and is distinguished by highly severe endoscopic and histological features, as well as low expression of genes involved in epithelial differentiation.

Clinical Manifestations

A broad spectrum of nonspecific symptoms encompassing heartburn, nausea, vomiting, difficulty in feeding, and failure to thrive, can impact the pediatric population. Conversely, dysphagia and instances of food impaction are more prevalent among adolescents and adults. Nevertheless, individuals may manifest comparable symptoms across various age cohorts, such as persistent reflux symptoms.

Over the long term, inconspicuous adaptive behaviors, including deliberate consumption at a slower pace, meticulous chewing, subdivision of food into smaller portions, application of sauces to facilitate lubrication, ingestion of liquids to attenuate food consistency, and avoidance of challenging items such as pills, meats, and breads that are prone to induce dysphagia, may result in the underestimation of symptoms. Patients may develop apprehension associated with dining in public settings due to the perceived difficulty in eating.

Boerhaave's syndrome, an infrequent manifestation of eosinophilic esophagitis, materializes when the esophagus undergoes spontaneous rupture subsequent to vigorous retching following food impaction. ³⁰

Diagnostic Methods

Endoscopic View

Endoscopy is pivotal for diagnosing EoE. In the course of endoscopy, it is imperative to procure biopsies from the distal esophagus, along with either the mid or proximal esophagus. ³² The diagnostic efficacy of biopsies in identifying eosinophilic esophagitis is contingent upon the quantity of biopsies acquired. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) reveals characteristic findings such as linear

furrows, white plaques, and concentric rings in the esophageal mucosa. ⁶ Furthermore, a predominant majority of patients exhibit a minimum of 15 eosinophils per high-power field (at peak value) in at least one biopsy specimen. ³¹ Endoscopic biopsy confirms eosinophilic infiltration, a hallmark of EoE ⁷.

On the other hand, it is crucial to note that the presence of esophageal eosinophilia in isolation, devoid of corresponding clinical manifestations, does not suffice for the definitive diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis.

Esophageal Manometry

Esophageal manometry assesses muscle contractions, providing insight into motility disorders and esophageal coordination ⁹.

Impedance-pH Monitoring

This method evaluates acid exposure and detects non-acid reflux events, offering a comprehensive assessment of esophageal function ¹⁰.

Capsule Endoscopy

While not routine, capsule endoscopy captures images as it passes through the digestive tract, potentially offering a less invasive diagnostic tool for EoE ¹¹.

String Test

Involving a gelatin capsule attached to a string, this test provides an alternative diagnostic approach by assessing esophageal mucosal changes ¹².

Morphological Changes and Eosinophil Levels

Roughly 50 to 60 percent of individuals diagnosed with eosinophilic esophagitis exhibit heightened serum IgE levels exceeding 114,000 units/L. ^{33,34} Peripheral eosinophilia is also observed in patients, although it tends to be of a generally mild nature.

Serial Biopsies

Serial biopsies during treatment provide dynamic insights into changes in eosinophil levels, aiding in therapeutic monitoring and assessing treatment efficacy ¹³.

Treatment Modalities

Dietary Management

Elimination Diets: The potential for an efficient non-pharmacological treatment is what makes the dietary approach appealing. Removing specific allergens identified through testing can alleviate symptoms. ¹⁵ However, eliminating particular irritants and following elemental diets come with a risk of nutrient deficiencies and can be challenging for patients and their families. Cost, convenience, ease of compliance, and patient/family preference are other significant factors that could affect the choice. Furthermore, after quitting the diet, relapses are common.

Pharmacotherapy

Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs)

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) represent a primary therapeutic approach, alongside dietary adjustments and topical glucocorticoids.

In the case of patients undergoing PPI treatment, it is recommended to initiate the therapy for a duration of eight weeks. The standard protocol involves commencing with a once-daily full-dose PPI for most patients, and if there is an absence of symptom amelioration after four weeks, escalation to a twice-daily regimen is advised. Alternatively, the initiation of PPI with a twice-daily dose is considered as another dosing regimen.

After an eight-week course of PPI treatment, patients undergo assessment for symptomatic improvement ⁸. For those who exhibit a positive response, the PPI therapy should be sustained at the minimum effective dose necessary for symptom control. Some patients, particularly those with a history of food impaction, tight esophageal stricture, or below 18 years of age, routinely undergo upper endoscopy. In contrast, endoscopy is extended to others to ascertain histologic response.

Topical Corticosteroids

Topical steroids can help control inflammation in the esophagus. ¹³ Fluticasone and budesonide stand out as the most extensively researched topical glucocorticoids within the literature.

Fluticasone propionate is administered through a metered dose inhaler by spraying into the patient's mouth, with subsequent ingestion. The optimal dosage remains undetermined. The standard approach to fluticasone propionate induction dosing is contingent upon the patient's age: 30,35

- Children aged 1 to 11 years: 110 mcg/spray, administered in eight sprays daily, distributed across multiple doses. Patients are instructed to divide the total daily dose into two to four intervals.
- Children aged 12 years and older, as well as adolescents: 220 mcg/spray, administered in eight sprays daily, divided across multiple doses. Similar to younger children, patients are advised to distribute the total daily dose into two to four intervals.

Generally well-tolerated, fluticasone propionate treatment tends to elicit a prompt response in responsive patients, often within one to two days and certainly within one week. ³⁶ It is noteworthy, however, that symptom improvement does not consistently align with the resolution of esophageal eosinophilia.

Fluticasone induction therapy spans four to eight weeks, followed by an evaluation of symptomatic response, particularly regarding dysphagia. ⁸ For responsive patients, repeat upper endoscopy is routinely conducted, especially for those with a history of food impaction, tight esophageal stricture, or below 18 years of age. In contrast, endoscopy is offered to other patients to ascertain histologic response.

Biologic Therapies

Anti-IL-5 Agents: Monoclonal antibodies targeting IL-5, such as reslizumab, have shown efficacy in reducing eosinophilic infiltration and alleviating symptoms, marking a significant step forward in personalized treatment options. ¹⁴

Anti-IL-4/IL-13 Agents: Dupilumab, targeting IL-4 and IL-13, is under investigation for EoE.

17

Study on Dupilumab:

Subcutaneous dupilumab was administered every two weeks to seven patients diagnosed with Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE), primarily presenting with asthma and/or Atopic Dermatitis (AD). At the commencement of dupilumab treatment, the median age was 15.8 years (interquartile range (IQR) 9.3–19.5 years), with 71%

being male. The median duration of EoE for these patients was 2.7 years (IQR 1.8–5.3 years). Prior to initiating dupilumab, all patients had undergone other EoE therapies, such as proton pump inhibitors (6 out of 7 patients), one or more swallowed topical corticosteroids (STCs) (7 out of 7), and dietary restrictions (6 out of 7). One patient had previously undergone four esophageal dilations alongside pharmacologic and dietary interventions. None of the patients had achieved healing (<15 eosinophils per high-power field) with previous treatments.

Before starting dupilumab, the median peak esophageal eosinophil count was 50 eosinophils per high-power field (IQR 48–95 eos/hpf). The most recent esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) completed while on STCs or dietary restrictions revealed edema (4 out of 6 patients), rings (1 out of 6 patients), exudates (3 out of 6 patients), furrows (3 out of 6 patients), and strictures (1 out of 6 patients). At the follow-up EGD conducted after dupilumab initiation, the median peak esophageal eosinophil count was reduced to 2 eosinophils per high-power field (IQR 0–5 eos/hpf). Edema and exudate were observed in two patients, while no rings, furrows, or strictures were documented in any of the seven patients. The follow-up EGD took place a median of 5.3 months (IQR 4.6–9.8) after initiating dupilumab, during which all patients had discontinued STCs, and four of them had reintroduced one or more food groups into their diet. ³⁷

Patient demographics and characteristics

	Gen der	Dupilu mab primary indicati on	dupilu b	ıma	s therapi	lumab peak	Postdupil umab peak eos/hpf	Predupilu mab endoscopi c score (EREFS)	umab endoscopi
1	Male	Asthma	15.8	res PPI buo flut	E: dietary trictions, , lesonide, icasone, metason	50	9	1 (1,0,0,0,0)	0

				e Asthma: Fluticasone/ salmeterol				
2	Fem ale	Asthma	18.7	EoE: Dietary restrictions, PPI, fluticasone, mometason e Asthma: Fluticasone	100	5	3 (1,0,1,1,0)	2 (1,0,1,0,0)
3	Male	Asthma	25.4	EoE: Dietary restrictions, elemental formula, PPI, budesonide, mometason e, esophageal dilations × 4 Asthma: Fluticasone/ salmeterol, budesonide /formoterol		0	7 (1,2)	0
4	Male	Asthma, AD	9.5	EoE: PPI, mometason e	90	4	0	0

				Asthma: Fluticasone AD: Halobetasol 0.05%, tacrolimus 0.1%				
5	Fem ale	Asthma	20.2	EoE: Dietary restrictions, PPI, mometason e Asthma: Budesonide /formoterol	33	1	1 (0,0,1,0,0)	0
6	Male	Asthma, AD	6.9	EoE: Dietary restrictions, elemental formula, PPI, mometason e, fluticasone Asthma: Fluticasone/ salmeterol, budesonide, montelukas t AD: hydrocortis		0	2 (1,0,0,1,0)	0

				one 2.5%				
7	Male	Asthma,	9.0	EoE: Dietary	50	2	Not	2
		AD		restrictions,			available	(1,0,1,0,0)
				budesonide				
				Asthma:				
				Fluticasone				
				AD:				
				triamcinolo				
				ne 0.1%,				
				crisaborole,				
				desonide				

Patient no.	Conditions o postdupilumab EGD	f Change in symptoms on dupilumab
1	Off STC and dair reintroduction × 2 months	y EoE: Dysphagia resolved, milk reintroduced Asthma: ICS discontinued
2	Off STO	EoE: Dysphagia and food impactions resolved (previously daily dysphagia, impactions every 2 months) Asthma: no exacerbations (previously daily symptoms, frequent exacerbations)

3	Off STC × 9 months	EoE: Dysphagia improved, no further dilations, multiple foods reintroduced. Asthma: Albuterol use decreased from 2-3×/week to <1×/month, ICS discontinued
4	Off STC × 2 months	EoE: Heartburn improved Asthma: ICS weaned from daily to seasonal use, no albuterol use AD: fewer flares
5		EoE: Dysphagia improved Asthma: Fewer exacerbations on ICS
6	× 18 months, Dairy reintroduction	Asthma: No exacerbations, ICS dose
7	dairy and soy	EoE: Improved appetite and weight gain, dietary restrictions stopped. Asthma: ICS weaned to winter use only AD: Topical therapies discontinued

AD = atopic dermatitis; Eos/hpf = eosinophils per high-powered field; EREFS = edema grade 0–1, rings grade 0–3, exudate grade 0–2, furrows grade 0–2, stenosis grade 0–1; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; STC = swallowed topical corticosteroid. 37

This study identified seven pediatric and young adult patients with atopic conditions and Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE), all of whom exhibited histologic improvement in their EoE and positive responses in their asthma or Atopic Dermatitis (AD) following dupilumab treatment. Notably, these patients had previously shown resistance to swallowed topical corticosteroids (STCs), and many presented with relatively severe EoE phenotypes. This suggests promise for dupilumab as a potentially effective treatment approach for challenging-to-treat EoE cases. ³⁷

Allergen Immunotherapy

Oral Immunotherapy (OIT): Desensitizing the immune system to specific allergens may be a promising avenue for EoE treatment. 18

Endoscopic Interventions

Dilation: In cases of severe strictures, esophageal dilation can be performed during endoscopy. ¹³

Esophageal Stents: Temporary placement of stents may be considered for refractory strictures. ¹⁶

Conclusions

In conclusion, eosinophilic esophagitis in children poses a significant and growing health concern, necessitating a thorough understanding of its diverse facets. The surge in prevalence underscores the importance of continuous research and clinical exploration. The distinct subtypes identified shed light on the heterogeneity of EoE, paving the way for targeted and personalized treatment approaches. The broad spectrum of clinical manifestations emphasizes the need for a nuanced diagnostic process, incorporating various methods to ensure accurate identification. Treatment modalities, ranging from dietary management to cutting-edge biologic therapies, offer a spectrum of options, recognizing the complex nature of this disorder.

As our understanding of EoE continues to evolve, future research should focus on refining diagnostic criteria, exploring novel treatment avenues, and unraveling the intricate interplay of genetic and environmental factors. Collaborative efforts between clinicians, researchers, and families affected by EoE will be pivotal in

advancing knowledge and improving the quality of life for children grappling with this chronic inflammatory disorder.

1. Patient consent: Not applicable

2. Data were obtained from pages PubMed and Google Scholar.

3. Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Marta Zarzycka

Methodology: Marta Zarzycka, Marcelina Sikora

Software: Martyna Warno

Formal Analysis: Jeremiasz Dubiel

Resources: Anna Brzozowska

Data Curation: Wiktor Kozik

Writing - Original Draft Preparation: Marta Zarzycka, Marcelina Sikora

 Writing - Review and Editing: Adrian Maj, Monika Maj-Dziedzic, Greta Śmietana

Visualization: Ines Plewniok, Wiktor Kozik

Supervision: Marta Zarzycka

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

4. Funding:

This research received no external funding.

5. Ethical Assessment and Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable. As this article involves a review and synthesis of existing literature, rather than original research involving human subjects, ethical assessment and institutional review board statements are not applicable.

6. Data availability statement: Not applicable

7. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References:

- 1. Liacouras CA, Furuta GT, Hirano I, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: Updated consensus recommendations for children and adults. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;128(1):3-20.e6.
- 2. Dellon ES, Jensen ET, Martin CF, Shaheen NJ, Kappelman MD. Prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in the United States. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;12(4):589-596.
- 3. Sleiman PM, Wang ML, Cianferoni A, et al. GWAS identifies four novel eosinophilic esophagitis loci. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5593.
- 4. Furuta GT, Liacouras CA, Collins MH, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adults: a systematic review and consensus recommendations for diagnosis and treatment. Gastroenterology. 2007;133(4):1342-1363.
- 5. Markowitz JE, Spergel JM, Ruchelli E, Liacouras CA. Elemental diet is an effective treatment for eosinophilic esophagitis in children and adolescents. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98(4):777-782.
- Straumann A, Spichtin HP, Bernoulli R, Loosli J, Vögtlin J. [Idiopathic eosinophilic esophagitis: a frequently overlooked disease with typical clinical aspects and discrete endoscopic findings]. Schweiz Med Wochenschr. 1994;124(35):1419-1429.
- 7. Liacouras CA, Wenner WJ, Brown K, Ruchelli E. Primary eosinophilic esophagitis in children: successful treatment with oral corticosteroids. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1998;26(4):380-385.
- 8. Hirano I, Furuta GT. Approaches and Challenges to Management of Pediatric and Adult Patients With Eosinophilic Esophagitis. Gastroenterology 2020; 158:840.
- 9. Singendonk MMJ, et al. Esophageal manometry in eosinophilic esophagitis: Ready for prime time? United European Gastroenterol J. 2021;9(5):563-569.
- 10. Ravi K, Geno DM, Katzka DA. Esophageal Impedance-pH Monitoring in Clinical Practice. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2018;24(1):19-29.
- 11. Rodrigues M, et al. Capsule Endoscopy in Pediatric Gastroenterology: A Comprehensive Review. GE Port J Gastroenterol. 2021;28(2):89-104.
- 12. Warners MJ, et al. String capsule endoscopy in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastrointest Endosc. 2017;85(5):946-953.

- 13. Molina-Infante J, Arias Á, Alcedo J, et al. Step-up empiric elimination diet for pediatric and adult eosinophilic esophagitis: The 2-4-6 study. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;141(4):1365-1372.
- 14. Spergel JM, Rothenberg ME, Collins MH, et al. Reslizumab in children and adolescents with eosinophilic esophagitis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;129(2):456-463, 463.e1-3.
- 15. Shoda T, Wen T, Aceves SS, et al. Eosinophilic oesophagitis endotype classification by molecular, clinical, and histopathological analyses: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 3:477.
- 16. Kelley ML Jr, Frazer JP. Symptomatic mid-esophageal webs. JAMA 1966; 197:143.
- 17. Landres RT, Kuster GG, Strum WB. Eosinophilic esophagitis in a patient with vigorous achalasia. Gastroenterology 1978; 74:1298.
- 18. Shiflett DW, Gilliam JH, Wu WC, et al. Multiple esophageal webs. Gastroenterology 1979; 77:556.
- 19. Bousvaros A, Antonioli DA, Winter HS. Ringed esophagus: an association with esophagitis. Am J Gastroenterol 1992; 87:1187.
- 20. McKinley MJ, Eisner TD, Fisher ML, et al. Multiple rings of the esophagus associated with gastroesophageal reflux [case report]. Am J Gastroenterol 1996; 91:574.
- 21. Langdon DE. Corrugated ringed and too small esophagi. Am J Gastroenterol 1999; 94:542.
- 22. Langdon DE. Corrugated ringed esophagus. Am J Gastroenterol 1993; 88:1461.
- 23. Katzka DA, Levine MS, Ginsberg GG, et al. Congenital esophageal stenosis in adults. Am J Gastroenterol 2000; 95:32.
- 24. Morrow JB, Vargo JJ, Goldblum JR, Richter JE. The ringed esophagus: histological features of GERD. Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96:984.
- 25. Gluck M, Schembre D, Jiranek G. Multiple esophageal rings: Histology, EUS, and manometric findings (abstract). Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96:S14.
- 26. Fox VL, Nurko S, Furuta GT. Eosinophilic esophagitis: it's not just kid's stuff. Gastrointest Endosc 2002; 56:260.

- 27. Prasad GA, Alexander JA, Schleck CD, et al. Epidemiology of eosinophilic esophagitis over three decades in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 7:1055.
- 28. Wang FY, Gupta SK, Fitzgerald JF. Is there a seasonal variation in the incidence or intensity of allergic eosinophilic esophagitis in newly diagnosed children? J Clin Gastroenterol 2007; 41:451.
- 29. Almansa C, Krishna M, Buchner AM, et al. Seasonal distribution in newly diagnosed cases of eosinophilic esophagitis in adults. Am J Gastroenterol 2009; 104:828.
- 30. Furuta GT, Katzka DA. Eosinophilic Esophagitis. N Engl J Med 2015; 373:1640.
- 31. Dellon ES, Liacouras CA, Molina-Infante J, et al. Updated International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Proceedings of the AGREE Conference. Gastroenterology 2018; 155:1022.
- 32. Collins MH. Histopathologic features of eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am 2008; 18:59.
- 33. Roy-Ghanta S, Larosa DF, Katzka DA. Atopic characteristics of adult patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 6:531.
- 34. Erwin EA, James HR, Gutekunst HM, et al. Serum IgE measurement and detection of food allergy in pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis.

 Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2010; 104:496.
- 35. Alexander JA, Katzka DA. Therapeutic options for eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2011; 7:59.
- 36. Alexander JA. Topical steroid therapy for eosinophilic esophagitis. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2014; 10:327.
- 37. Erin Phillips Syverson, MDcorresponding author and Eitan Rubinstein, MD. Real World Experience With Dupilumab in Eosinophilic Esophagitis in Children and Young Adults at a Tertiary Care Pediatric Medical Center 2022 May; 3(2): e180.