Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY DoHyeon Yu, Gyeongsang National University, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Dong-In Jung, Gyeongsang National University, Republic of Korea Jin-Young Chung, Kangwon National University, Republic of Korea

*CORRESPONDENCE Sungin Lee ⊠ sunginlee@cbnu.ac.kr

RECEIVED 24 November 2023 ACCEPTED 12 January 2024 PUBLISHED 02 February 2024

CITATION

Choi J, Chae Y, Kang B-T and Lee S (2024) An evaluation of the physiological uptake range of ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose in normal ovaries of seven dogs using positron emission tomography/computed tomography. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1343695. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1343695

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Choi, Chae, Kang and Lee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

An evaluation of the physiological uptake range of ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose in normal ovaries of seven dogs using positron emission tomography/ computed tomography

Jinyoung Choi¹, Yeon Chae², Byeong-Teck Kang² and Sungin Lee¹*

¹Department of Veterinary Surgery, College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea, ²Laboratory of Veterinary Internal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea

Introduction: This study evaluated the physiological uptake range of ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) in the normal ovaries of seven dogs using positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT).

Materials and methods: The dogs were subjected to general anesthesia and were positioned in ventral recumbency for PET/CT scans. The dosage of ¹⁸F-FDG ranged from 0.14 to 0.17 mCi/kg and was administered intravenously followed by 0.9% NaCl flushing; PET/CT images of each dog were obtained precisely 60 min after the injection of ¹⁸F-FDG. The regions of interest were drawn manually, and standardized uptake values (SUV) were calculated to evaluate the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in each ovary. The maximum and mean SUVs (SUV max and SUV mean) for all the ovaries of the dogs were then computed.

Results: The range of SUV max and SUV mean of the normal ovaries of the dogs were 1.28-1.62 and 1.07-1.31 (mean \pm standard deviation), respectively.

Conclusion: This is the first study to investigate the normal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake baseline data of normal canine ovaries using PET/CT scans. These data will help clinicians in identifying malignant tumors before anatomical changes in the ovary through PET/CT scans.

KEYWORDS

dog, ¹⁸F-FDG, FDG uptake, ovary, PET/CT, physiological uptake range

1 Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a commonly used imaging technique in human medicine to help manage and evaluate the oncological status of organs (1–4). ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) is used as a radiotracer to help visualize the glucose metabolic rate in tissues (5, 6). This is because ¹⁸F-FDG is similar to glucose and migrates into the cells through glucose transporter proteins. However, unlike glucose, ¹⁸F-FDG becomes trapped within the cells because it is not converted into energy through glycolytic pathways (7–10).

Generally, most malignant tumor cells have higher glucose metabolic activity than normal tissues (8, 10, 11). Consequently, PET has been utilized to detect malignancy and metastasis of tissues. PET scans operate by identifying malignant cells through the measurement of the uptake of 18F-FDG in a certain area, often before noticeable anatomical changes (12). Because of relatively low spatial resolution of PET, PET combined with computed tomography (PET/CT), which has a relatively lower spatial resolution, is an advanced imaging technique that helps provide superior anatomical information and maps the glucose metabolism of tissues through automatic image fusion (7, 13, 14).

PET/CT scans in human medicine have been widely utilized as a diagnostic tool across numerous fields. In cardiology, PET/CT scans serve as a clinically important diagnostic tool for characterizing the myocardial tissue viability of patients with coronary artery diseases (15). These scans can also be used to visualize non-tumoral bone and soft tissue disorders. This makes it a useful tool for the evaluation of orthopedic and rheumatologic diseases, allowing for more precise diagnosis and treatment planning (16). Furthermore, in neurologic medicine, PET/CT is a sophisticated technique that presents high spatial resolution and integrates functional data with morphological information. The information from the detailed images of PET/CT not only shows the precise location of abnormalities but also provides insights into the metabolic activity of the tissues. This information also helps in the diagnosis and management of CNS disorders (17). Additionally, there is an extensive research in human medicine on the degree of physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of variable normal and tumorous tissues based on PET/CT (18, 19). Malignancy and functional statuses of the tissues have been identified by the measurement of standardized uptake values (SUVs) (11). Similar to the physiological SUVs in human tissues, the physiological SUVs of ¹⁸F-FDG in normal canine and feline tissues were reported previously (20, 21). However, no studies have been conducted on the physiological range of ¹⁸F-FDG SUVs in the reproductive tissues of dog ovaries.

This study aimed to investigate the ¹⁸F-FDG SUV uptake range of normal canine ovaries by examining the SUVs of seven dogs. This is the first article that demonstrated the physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake range of normal ovaries in dogs.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Animal population

A total of seven intact female dogs weighing 9.9 ± 2.6 kg and aged 77 ± 38 months [mean \pm standard deviation (SD)] were included in this study between October 2018 and July 2023 at the Veterinary Medical Center of Chungbuk National University. This study included four beagles, one Maltese, one Bichon Frise, and one mixed-breed dog. The dogs did not show any signs of metabolic diseases or other disorders. Before the injection of the radiopharmaceutical agent, ¹⁸F-FDG, each dog underwent a comprehensive assessment, including a physical examination, complete blood count, serum chemistry profile, abdominal ultrasound examination, and thoracic radiograph examination in the right lateral recumbent position, to help determine the size of the endotracheal tube required for inhalation anesthesia.

2.2 Anesthetic protocol

All dogs were fasted for 12h before the induction of anesthesia and ¹⁸F-FDG injection. The blood glucose level was measured at 92.8 ± 8.9 mg/dL before the ¹⁸F-FDG injection. After the placement of peripheral intravenous catheter at the cephalic vein, the dogs were pre-medicated with midazolam (Midazolam, Bukwang Pharmaceutical Corporation, Seoul, Republic of Korea), and anesthesia was induced using propofol (Provive, Myungmoon Pharm, Seoul, Republic of Korea). After inducing anesthesia, endotracheal intubation was performed. General anesthesia was maintained using isoflurane (Terrell, Piramal Critical Care, Bethlehem, PA, United States), administered with 100% oxygen through inhalation anesthesia. Isoflurane within the anesthetic circuit was adjusted between 1.5 and 2.5% based on the dog's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, body temperature, oxygen saturation, and end-tidal carbon dioxide). All dogs in this study also received an intravenous infusion with lactated Ringer's solution (Hartmann solution) at a rate of 10 mL/kg/h. A heating pad was also used to help dogs maintain their body temperature.

2.3 PET/CT acquisition

The PET/CT scan was performed under general anesthesia to evaluate the uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG in normal ovaries. The PET/CT technique used in this study for the PET scan was Discovery 72 STE (General Electric Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI, United States). PET/CT images from head to tail were obtained with an 8-slice helical CT scanner with 120 kVp, 150 mAs, 1.25 mm thickness interval, and 512×512 matrix of pixels. After the pre-contrast CT scan, postcontrast CT scan images were obtained 3 min after the intravenous injection of 880 mgI/kg iohexol contrast medium (Omnipaque, GE Healthcare, Marlborough, MA, United States). CT scan images of the ovaries were identified in transverse, coronal, and sagittal views. All dogs were administered ¹⁸F-FDG intravenously followed by 0.9% NaCl flushing for whole-body PET images. The dosage of ¹⁸F-FDG ranged from 0.14-0.17 mCi/kg and was administered intravenously slowly; 60 min after the injection of ¹⁸F-FDG, PET images of ovaries in dogs were obtained in five-bed positions and the ovaries revealed increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake.

2.4 Image analysis

All images were analyzed using the commercial program OsiriX MD v11.0 (Pixmeo, Bernex, Switzerland) for image reconstruction and fusion of PET and CT images. The images were evaluated independently by three researchers (J. C., Y. C., and B.K.). The level of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the ovaries of all dogs was evaluated subjectively, and the regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn manually over the ovaries on the PET/CT scan images. The ROIs refer to specific areas or sections of the body that are the primary focus of the PET/CT scans. Consequently, three researchers individually identified the ovaries with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the fused PET/CT studies, the standardized uptake value (SUV) is calculated to provide a quantitative measurement of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake within the ROIs. The SUV formula

utilized was the average tissue concentration of $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ (MBq/ml)/ injected dose (MBq)/body weight (g) for each ROI. In this study, maximum SUV and mean SUV within ROIs were computed to evaluate the $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ uptake in the ovaries.

2.5 Statistics analysis

The mean and standard deviations were assessed to interpret the data accurately and to provide insights into the central tendency and variability of the data, using IBM SPSS Statics version 22 (IBM, New York, United States). A 95% confidence interval (CI) is a range of values that can be 95% certain and contains the true mean of the SUV max and SUV mean of the dogs.

3 Results

The dogs showed stable vital signs such as no abnormalities in the heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, or blood glucose during general anesthesia and acquisition of the PET/CT scan images. The range of post-scan glucose concentration in all dogs was $82.3 \pm 8.2 \text{ mg/}$ dL (mean ± SD). The dogs included were of small to medium size [body weight= $9.8 \pm 3.6 \text{ kg}$ (mean ± SD)] and of variable age [6.1 ± 6 years old (mean ± SD)] from four breeds. All dogs had normal ovaries based on CT and were fused with the PET after verifying the location and size of the ovaries to confirm the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake (Figure 1).

The characteristics and SUV max and mean values of ¹⁸F-FDG of the seven dogs are shown in Table 1. The ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of transverse, sagittal, and coronal image planes of bilateral ovaries was measured to quantitatively evaluate the amount of ¹⁸F-FDG. The mean and standard deviations with a 95% confidence interval for SUV maximum and mean within ROIs in the left, right, and bilateral ovaries are shown in Table 2.

The SUV max and mean values of the left ovary were 1.47 ± 0.13 and 1.21 ± 0.15 (mean \pm SD), respectively. Similarly, the SUV max and mean values of the right ovary were 1.43 ± 0.30 and 1.17 ± 0.17 (mean ± SD), respectively. There was no significant difference between the SUV max and mean values of the left and right ovaries. Comprehensively, SUV max and mean values of the bilateral ovaries were 1.45 ± 0.22 and 1.19 ± 0.16 (mean ± SD), respectively. Overall, these SUV values could be considered the physiological range of 18 F-FDG of normal canine ovaries.

4 Discussion

There are numerous studies in human medicine that evaluate the physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the reproductive organs based on PET/CT scans to distinguish between physiological processes and pathological conditions (22, 23). One PET/CT scan study on normal testis helped elucidate the normal physiological patterns of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and showed a correlation between the testicular ¹⁸F-FDG uptake, testicular size, and patient's age (22). These baseline ranges can be used to differentiate the normal testicular function and the pathological change. In addition to studies investigating the uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG in normal testes and its correlation with testicular size, there has also been research on the relationship between testicular health and parameters such as total sperm count, sperm concentration, and motility (23). Research on ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in PET/CT scans has been extensive not only for male reproductive organs but also for female reproductive organs. In studies examining the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the human endometrium, the range of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in pre-menopausal women was established based on the four phases of the menstrual cycle including menstrual, ovulatory, proliferative, and secretory phases (24). Research has also been conducted on the physiological uptake of the ¹⁸F-FDG in the fallopian tubes, also known as uterine tubes. The uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG is more prominent in women during the mid-menstrual cycle. These findings suggest that the uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG in the fallopian tubes is influenced by ovarian hormones, particularly estrogen (25).

In this study, the physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake range on PET/CT scans of normal dog ovaries was established in seven dogs. In human medicine, in contrast to veterinary medicine, there are several reports



FIGURE 1

¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) fusion images of patient 3. The left ovary of the patient was detected on the fusion images (yellow arrowhead). The regions of interest (ROI) were drawn in each plane to assess the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of the left ovary (**A**, transverse plane; **B**, dorsal plane; **C**, sagittal plane).

TABLE 1 Characteristics and the maximum and mean SUVs of left and right ovaries in dogs.

Patients	Breed	Weight (kg)	Age (years)	Lt. ovary		Rt. Ovary	
				SUV max	SUV mean	SUV max	SUV mean
1	Beagle	10.4	3.2	1.532	1.378	1.441	1.134
2	Beagle	9.18	4.1	1.386	1.048	0.961	0.886
3	Beagle	13.48	4.4	1.615	1.191	1.926	1.373
4	Maltese	8.3	12.1	1.367	1.291	1.485	1.289
5	Beagle	8.46	5.8	1.48	1.321	1.367	1.092
6	Bichon Frise	8.4	3.7	1.637	1.311	1.592	1.323
7	Mixed breed	10.98	9.4	1.289	0.956	1.229	1.094

SUV, standardized uptake value. The regions of interest for each area were drawn manually over the ovaries and were analyzed by three researchers (J. C., Y. C., and B. K.).

TABLE 2 Results of maximum and mean standardized uptake values (SUVs) of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake measured using positron emission tomography for the left, right, and both ovaries of seven dogs.

	SUV ı	max	SUV mean		
	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI	
Left ovaries	1.47	1.38-1.57	1.21	1.10-1.33	
Right ovaries	1.43	1.20-1.65	1.17	1.05-1.30	
Both ovaries	1.45	1.28-1.62	1.19	1.07-1.31	

CI, confidence intervals.

on the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake of normal ovaries. One of the research studies has been conducted according to the phases of the menstrual cycle. The SUV of normal ovaries was 3.9 ± 0.7 in 26 pre-menopausal women during the follicular to luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. Furthermore, this study also identified that, in post-menopausal women, there was no observable ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the ovaries and uterus (26). Compared to the SUVs of normal ovaries in the dogs identified in this study, the SUVs of human ovaries are higher. Based on these results, it can be inferred that there is a higher glucose metabolism in human ovaries compared to dog ovaries. Similarly, studies have found that the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the normal brains of dogs is measured to be lower than that in the normal human brains (21, 27). However, in the case of animals, a general anesthetic process is required for PET/CT scans, which is likely due to the glucose metabolic suppression during anesthesia (28).

The physiological range of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the normal ovaries in dogs, as determined through PET/CT scans, can be effectively utilized in the assessment of patients with ovarian tumors. In PET/CT imaging, a higher ¹⁸F-FDG uptake indicates an increased metabolic activity as observed in many cancerous cells (7, 8). In patients with suspected ovarian tumors, PET/CT scans can help in differentiating between benign and malignant lesions by comparing their ¹⁸F-FDG uptake to the physiological range observed in normal ovaries before anatomical changes (2, 4). Tumors with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake significantly higher than the normal range are more likely to be malignant, while those within or close to the normal range may indicate benign tumors (10, 12). This method is particularly useful in the staging of ovarian cancer, planning treatment strategies, monitoring the effectiveness of therapy, and detecting recurrence (12). In human medicine, some studies have utilized ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in PET/CT imaging to assess the malignancy and metastasis of ovarian tumors (29–31). In addition to identifying the presence of tumors, a study conducted on ovarian tumor patients compared the SUV max to distinguish between borderline ovarian tumors and malignant ovarian tumors, which was found to be 2.9 ± 1.5 and 6.6 ± 2.9 (mean \pm SD) (32). In veterinary medicine, ovarian remnant syndrome refers to a condition where the ovarian tissue remains in the body after ovariohysterectomy (33). There are several cases where these remnants can undergo tumorous changes, potentially leading to malignancy at an early stage (33, 34). Therefore, it has been established that, following a PET/CT scan, the determined ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the ovaries can be utilized as a crucial diagnostic tool for identifying malignant ovarian lesions. The ability to quantify ¹⁸F-FDG uptake allows for a more precise assessment of the ovarian tissue.

There are some limitations of this study. First, this study was conducted with a small sample size for physiological uptake. Due to the small sample size, there is a potential for an increased margin of error and limited generalizability. Further studies using larger sample sizes could enhance the reliability of the study. Second, there are various factors that can influence the measurement of SUV calculation. Those factors include the size of ROIs, tissue or organ size, blood glucose concentration of the sample, and injection time of ¹⁸F-FDG (35). Third, there is a lack of information on the relationship between the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and the physiological estrus cycle of dogs. In human medicine, some studies suggest that the menstrual status of pre-menopausal women can affect the physiological uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG on PET/CT scans (24, 26, 36). Furthermore, in post-menopausal women, normal ovaries demonstrate significantly less ¹⁸F-FDG uptake compared to those in pre-menopausal women (24). Additional research on ¹⁸F-FDG uptake throughout the canine estrous cycle will be helpful in the physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake range for normal ovaries. Finally, another limitation is that the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on PET/ CT can also be increased due to inflammatory or infected tissues and organs, which can lead to false-positive results (37).

In conclusion, this is the first study to investigate the physiological ¹⁸F-FDG uptake range of normal dog ovaries based on PET/CT scans. The ¹⁸F-FDG uptake range contributes to the baseline knowledge, which is necessary for the accurate interpretation of PET/CT scans in veterinary oncology, and provides a reference point to help distinguish physiological uptake from malignant transformation in canine ovaries. Therefore, malignant lesions of the ovary can thus be detected before structural changes, enabling the early diagnosis and assessment of patient prognosis.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (CBNUA-2188-23-02). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

JC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. YC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. B-TK: Writing – review & editing. SL: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was

References

1. Nakamoto Y, Eisbruch A, Achtyes ED, Sugawara Y, Reynolds KR, Johnston CM, et al. Prognostic value of positron emission tomography using F-18-fluorodeoxyglucose in patients with cervical cancer undergoing radiotherapy. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2002) 84:289–95. doi: 10.1006/gyno.2001.6504

2. Hustinx R, Bénard F, Alavi A. Whole-body FDG-PET imaging in the management of patients with cancer. *Sem Nucl Med.* (2002) 32:35–46. doi: 10.1053/snuc.2002.29272

3. Gambhir SS, Czernin J, Schwimmer J, Silverman DH, Coleman RE, Phelps ME. A tabulated summary of the FDG PET literature. *J Nucl Med.* (2001) 42:1S–93S.

4. Hillner BE, Siegel BA, Liu D, Shields AF, Gareen IF, Hanna L, et al. Impact of positron emission tomography/computed tomography and positron emission tomography (PET) alone on expected management of patients with cancer: initial results from the National Oncologic PET registry. *J Clin Oncol.* (2008) 26:2155–61. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.5631

5. Agrawal A, Rangarajan V. Appropriateness criteria of FDG PET/CT in oncology. Ind J Radiol Imag. (2015) 25:88–101. doi: 10.4103/0971-3026.155823

6. Shen B, Huang T, Sun Y, Jin Z, Li XF. Revisit 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose oncology positron emission tomography: "systems molecular imaging" of glucose metabolism. *Oncotarget*. (2017) 8:43536–42. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16647

7. Randall EK. PET-computed tomography in veterinary medicine. *Vet Clin.* (2016) 46:515–33, vi. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2015.12.008

8. Pauwels E, et al. FDG accumulation and tumor biology. Nucl Med Biol. (1998) 25:317–22. doi: 10.1016/S0969-8051(97)00226-6

9. Kapoor V, McCook BM, Torok FS. An introduction to PET-CT imaging. Radiographics. (2004) 24:523-43. doi: 10.1148/rg.242025724

10. Delbeke D, Martin WH. Positron emission tomography imaging in oncology. *Radiol Clin North Am.* (2001) 39:883–917. doi: 10.1016/S0033-8389(05)70319-5

11. Kwee TC, Basu S, Saboury B, Ambrosini V, Torigian DA, Alavi A. A new dimension of FDG-PET interpretation: assessment of tumor biology. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2011) 38:1158–70. doi: 10.1007/s00259-010-1713-9

12. Rohren EM, Turkington TG, Coleman RE. Clinical applications of PET in oncology. *Radiology*. (2004) 231:305–32. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2312021185

13. Blodgett TM, Meltzer CC, Townsend DW. PET/CT: form and function. *Radiology*. (2007) 242:360–85. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2422051113

supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (RS-2023-00253736) and also supported by the Basic Research Lab Program (2022R1A4A1025557) through the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea, funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the owners of the dogs for consenting to the publication of this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Cohade C, Wahl RL. Applications of positron emission tomography/computed tomography image fusion in clinical positron emission tomography—clinical use, interpretation methods, diagnostic improvements. *Sem Nucl Med.* (2003) 33:228–37. doi: 10.1053/snuc.2003.127312

15. Schwaiger M, Ziegler S, Nekolla SG. PET/CT: challenge for nuclear cardiology. J Nucl Med. (2005) 46:1664–78.

16. Gholamrezanezhad A, Basques K, Batouli A, Olyaie M, Matcuk G, Alavi A, et al. Nononcologic applications of PET/CT and PET/MRI in musculoskeletal, orthopedic, and rheumatologic imaging: general considerations, techniques, and radiopharmaceuticals. J Nucl Med Technol. (2018) 46:33–8. doi: 10.2967/jnmt.117.198663

17. Sollini M, Boni R, Lazzeri E, Erba PA. PET/CT and PET/MRI in neurology: infection/inflammation. PET-CT and PET-MRI in Neurology: SWOT Analysis Applied to Hybrid Imaging. (2016):139–76. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-31614-7_10

18. Shammas A, Lim R, Charron M. Pediatric FDG PET/CT: physiologic uptake, normal variants, and benign conditions. *Radiographics*. (2009) 29:1467–86. doi: 10.1148/rg.295085247

19. Karunanithi S, Soundararajan R, Sharma P, Naswa N, Bal C, Kumar R. Spectrum of physiologic and pathologic skeletal muscle 18F-FDG uptake on PET/CT. *Am J Roentgenol.* (2015) 205:W141–9. doi: 10.2214/ajr.14.13457

20. Chae Y, Yun T, Koo Y, Lee D, Kim H, Yang MP, et al. Characteristics of physiological 18F-Fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose uptake and comparison between cats and dogs with positron emission tomography. *Front Vet Sci.* (2021) 8:708237. doi: 10.3389/ fvets.2021.708237

21. LEE MS, et al. Characterization of physiologic 18F-FDG uptake with PET-CT in dogs. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. (2010) 51:670–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8261.2010.01727.x

22. Kitajima K, Nakamoto Y, Senda M, Onishi Y, Okizuka H, Sugimura K. Normal uptake of 18 F-FDG in the testis: an assessment by PET/CT. *Ann Nucl Med.* (2007) 21:405–10. doi: 10.1007/s12149-007-0041-z

23. Dierickx LO, Huyghe E, Nogueira D, Zerdoud S, Filleron T, Brillouet S, et al. Functional testicular evaluation using PET/CT with 18 F-fluorodeoxyglucose. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2012) 39:129–37. doi: 10.1007/s00259-011-1929-3

24. Lerman H, Metser U, Grisaru D, Fishman A, Lievshitz G, Even-Sapir E. Normal and abnormal 18F-FDG endometrial and ovarian uptake in pre-and postmenopausal patients: assessment by PET/CT. *J Nucl Med.* (2004) 45:266–71.

25. Yun M, Cho A, Lee JH, Choi YJ, Lee JD, Kim CK. Physiologic 18F-FDG uptake in the fallopian tubes at mid cycle on PET/CT. *J Nucl Med.* (2010) 51:682–5. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.109.074484

26. Nishizawa S, Inubushi M, Okada H. Physiological 18 F-FDG uptake in the ovaries and uterus of healthy female volunteers. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2005) 32:549–56. doi: 10.1007/s00259-004-1703-x

27. Engel H, Steinert H, Buck A, Berthold T, Huch Böni RA, von Schulthess G. Wholebody PET: physiological and artifactual fluorodeoxyglucose accumulations. *J Nucl Med.* (1996) 37:441–6.

28. Slupe AM, Kirsch JR. Effects of anesthesia on cerebral blood flow, metabolism, and neuroprotection. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2018) 38:2192–208. doi: 10.1177/0271678X18789273

29. Kemppainen J, Hynninen J, Virtanen J, Seppänen M. PET/CT for evaluation of ovarian cancer. In seminars in nuclear medicine. *Elsevier*. (2019) 49:484–92. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2019.06.010

30. Dejanovic D, Hansen NL, Loft A. PET/CT variants and pitfalls in gynecological cancers. *Seminars Nucl Med.* (2021) 51:593–610. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2021.06.006

31. Signorelli M, Guerra L, Pirovano C, Crivellaro C, Fruscio R, Buda A, et al. Detection of nodal metastases by 18F-FDG PET/CT in apparent early stage ovarian

cancer: a prospective study. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2013) 131:395-9. doi: 10.1016/j. ygyno.2013.08.022

32. Kim C, Chung HH, Oh SW, Kang KW, Chung JK, Lee DS. Differential diagnosis of borderline ovarian tumors from stage I malignant ovarian tumors using FDG PET/CT. *Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2013) 47:81–8. doi: 10.1007/s13139-013-0197-5

33. Ball RL, Birchard SJ, May LR, Threlfall WR, Young GS. Ovarian remnant syndrome in dogs and cats: 21 cases (2000–2007). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2010) 236:548–53. doi: 10.2460/javma.236.5.548

34. van Nimwegen SA, Van Goethem B, de Gier J, Kirpensteijn J. A laparoscopic approach for removal of ovarian remnant tissue in 32 dogs. *BMC Vet Res.* (2018) 14:333–13. doi: 10.1186/s12917-018-1658-y

35. Keyes JW. SUV: standard uptake or silly useless value? J Nucl Med. (1995) 36:1836–9.

36. Zhu Z, Wang B, Cheng W, Cheng X, Cui R, Huo L, et al. Endometrial and ovarian F-18 FDG uptake in serial PET studies and the value of delayed imaging for differentiation. *Clin Nucl Med.* (2006) 31:781–7. doi: 10.1097/01.rlu.0000247261.82757.ea

37. Pijl J.P., et al. Limitations and pitfalls of FDG-PET/CT in infection and inflammation. *Seminars Nucl Med.* (2021). doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2021.06.008 29: p. 1467–1486.