Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Petra Heidler, IMC University of Applied Sciences Krems, Austria

REVIEWED BY Melahat Akdeniz, Akdeniz University, Türkiye Marko Ćurković, Klinika za psihijatriju Vrapče, Medicinski fakultet, Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, Croatia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hana Ko ⊠ hanago11@gachon.ac.kr

RECEIVED 30 October 2023 ACCEPTED 15 January 2024 PUBLISHED 02 February 2024

#### CITATION

Choi S and Ko H (2024) Factors affecting advance directives completion among older adults in Korea. *Front. Public Health* 12:1329916. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1329916

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Choi and Ko. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Factors affecting advance directives completion among older adults in Korea

### Seunghye Choi and Hana Ko\*

College of Nursing, Gachon University, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, Republic of Korea

**Objective:** Advance directives (ADs) provide an opportunity for patients to enhance the quality of their end-of-life care and prepare for a dignified death by deciding treatment plans. The purpose of this study was to explore the multiple factors that influence the advance directives completion among older adults in South Korea.

**Methods:** This was a secondary analysis of a cross-sectional study of 9,920 older adults. The differences in ADs based on subjects' sociodemographic characteristics, health-related characteristics, and attitude toward death were tested using the chi-squared and *t*-test. A multinomial logistic regression model was used to identify the influencing factor of ADs.

**Results:** The number of chronic diseases, number of prescribed medications, depression, insomnia, suicide intention, and hearing, vision, or chewing discomfort were higher in the ADs group compared to the non-ADs group. The influencing factors of the signing of ADs included men sex, higher education level, exercise, death preparation education, lower awareness of dying-well, and experience of fracture.

**Conclusion:** Information dissemination regarding ADs should be promoted and relevant authorities should consider multiple options to improve the physical and psychological health of older adults, as well as their attitude toward death to increase the ADs completion rate.

### KEYWORDS

advanced directives, dying-well, advanced care planning, aged, end of life

# **1** Introduction

Since 2017, Korea has become an aged society, with the proportion of the population aged 65 or above exceeding 14% (1). With the rapid aging of the population, the support for older adults, which was the traditional function of the family, has weakened. Studies have revealed that many individuals die after receiving life-sustaining treatment and care for an extended period in various care settings, such as nursing facilities, nursing hospitals, and acute care hospitals (2, 3). According to a 2022 report, 14.9% of older adults aged 65 or above died at home, and 76.4% died in medical institutions including nursing hospitals (4).

The concept of dying-well refers to a dynamic and continuous process in which patients, their families, and medical staff interact to fulfill patients' wishes during the end-of-life preparations (5). The family interactions include avoidance of futile prolongation of life, death with dignity and comfort, and experiencing positive feelings with the family (5). In a previous

study conducted in the Republic of Croatia, the presence of family and loved ones was also a significant affecting factor in the good death (6).

Advance directives (ADs) are a significant component of life-care planning. They are legal documents through which a person aged 19 or above with decision-making ability makes a written decision in advance about the medical treatment to be received in the future according to his/her own values after being provided sufficient information through an advance directive on life-sustaining treatment (7). ADs were developed by an American lawyer, Luis Kutner to make a legal statement about one's healthcare, much like about one's wealth and property (8). ADs have dramatically evolved over time, comprising the living will, Durable Power of Attorney, Do Not Resuscitate forms, and Medical Orders for Sustaining Treatment (9, 10).

ADs were introduced as an opportunity for patients to enhance the quality of their end of-life care and prepare for a dignified death by discussing and deciding treatment plans with their families and medical staff (11). Owing to these advantages, one in three US adults have documented their ADs (12). In February 2018, the Act on Decisions on Hospice Palliative Care and Life-Sustaining Treatment for Patients in the Ending Process was enforced in Korea. However, seven out of 10 cases of withholding or discontinuing life-sustaining treatment in Korea are family decisions (13). Even in the West, where ADs were early legislated, it has been reported that the completion of ADs remains a social, cultural, and ethical dilemma so it should be utilized effectively (14). In Korea, which is a family-oriented culture, the factors that influence the completion of ADs, which is a legislated form, may be different from those in the West (15).

In Korea, ADs introduction and research are in the early stages. Existing studies have mainly explored the knowledge, attitude, and intention toward the medical staff or ADs of adults. Moreover, the entities responsible for registering advance directives for lifesustaining treatment in Korea include medical institutions, non-profit organizations, and public institutions. Consequently, the adequacy of the agency's obligation to provide explanations may be insufficient when individuals are preparing an advance directive for life-sustaining treatment (16). Knowledge about life-sustaining care was the most influential factor affecting the intention to complete ADs (17). However, other factors also play a role, including demographic and socioeconomic factors (e.g., age, religion, education, and economic level), physical health-related factors (presence of chronic or critical diseases, subjective health status), awareness of dying-well, and attitude toward Ads. These factors have all been shown to affect the ADs completion (17-19). According to a previous study, the fear that discussing AD itself might signal patients to give up hope could be a key reason healthcare providers delay important conversations (20). Contrary to concerns, empirical research has shown that completing ADs does not decrease hope in patients; notably, it has been observed to increase hope (21). While multiple factors influence ADs completion, comprehensive studies have been relatively scarce.

# 2 Objective

Therefore, this study aimed to explore the factors influencing ADs completion among older adults in Korea. This study assumed that general characteristics, physical health-related characteristics, psychological characteristics, and attitudes toward death would significantly impact the ADs completion among older adults in Korea.

# **3** Materials and methods

### 3.1 Study design

This study was a secondary analysis of the data from a previous cross-sectional study that explored the influencing factors of ADs among older adults living in the community.

### 3.2 Data collection and participants

This study analyzed the cross-sectional nationwide data obtained from the 2020 National Survey of Older Koreans, which is conducted every 3 years by the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare. The 2020 original survey data were collected by 169 trained interviewers using the Tablet-PC Assisted Personal Interview (TAPI) method from September 14 to November 20, 2020. The interviewers selected were individuals experienced in conducting similar surveys. Furthermore, training was provided six times (22). This study was conducted via face-to-face interviews. The target population comprised older adults (65 years or above) who lived in communities in 17 cities and provinces across the country. The 2020 National Survey of Older Koreans sample was selected using a proportional two-stage stratified sampling method. First, the population was stratified by 17 metropolitan cities and provinces across Korea, and thereafter, re-stratified by neighborhood in the nine provinces (but not in the seven metropolitan cities). The Ministry of Health and Welfare research team applied various weights in the raw data to ensure the accuracy of estimations. The weight of the raw data was adjusted by considering the weights for households and individuals (22). The study population represented Korean older adults aged 65 years living in the community. Of the total 10,097 respondents from the 2020 National Survey of Older Koreans, 9,920 were selected; 167 were excluded because they comprised proxy respondents and 10 were excluded because of missing responses. The researchers received coded data, which were used for secondary data analysis.

### 3.3 Ethical considerations

The 2020 National Survey of Older Koreans was approved by Statistics Korea (Approval No. 117071). The institutional review board approval was obtained from the Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs (IRB No. 2020–36) prior to conducting the survey. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation and were informed that they could withdraw their consent at any time without any disadvantage. After obtaining approval for our study, we received the raw data without personal identification information. Additionally, the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of G University (IRB No. 1044396-202202-HR-039-01)—the corresponding author is affiliated with this university. All methods were applied in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

## 3.4 Measurements

### 3.4.1 ADs

ADs were measured using the question, "Did you complete an AD in preparation for death at the end of your life?" The response options were: 1 = yes, 2 = no.

### 3.4.2 Sociodemographic characteristics

General characteristics (e.g., age, sex, spouse, spouse health status, religion, and education level) were self-reported.

### 3.4.3 Physical-health-related characteristics

Physical-health-related characteristics included the presence of chronic diseases (e.g., number of diagnosed chronic diseases, medication, used medical institution, etc.), alcohol intake (frequency for 1 year), smoking (yes or no), nutritional status, body mass index (BMI), activities of daily living (ADL), instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), exercise (yes or no), muscle power, and sensory discomfort (feeling in daily life: comfortable, a little uncomfortable, and uncomfortable). Moreover, fall experience for 1 year (yes or no) was estimated.

Nutritional status was investigated using a nutritional screening initiative checklist (23). This tool comprised 10 items, and the total score was calculated out of 21 points by weighting each item. The subjects were instructed to sit on a chair or bed and then stand up five times, and the muscle power was evaluated as: performed, failed, impossible to perform, and rejected. Additionally, sensory discomforts such as hearing, vision, and chewing difficulties were investigated.

### 3.4.4 Psychological characteristics

Psychological characteristics including depression, cognitive function, subjective satisfaction (health, economy, and overall), suicide intention, and social relationship (number of friends or neighborhood) were self-reported. Depression was estimated using the Korean version of the short form of the Geriatric Depression Scale (SGDS-K) (24), and cognitive function was estimated using the Korean version of the Mini-Mental State Examination for dementia screening (KMMSE-DS) (25).

### 3.4.5 Attitude toward death

Attitude toward death included the attitude toward medical care for life prolongation, death preparation education (yes or no), and awareness of dying-well. The awareness of the significance of dyingwell at the end of life among the older adult was estimated by adding the total of four items: preparing for dying by oneself, dying without pain, dying in the presence of family or close friends, and dying that is not a burden to family or close friends. These items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale. The lower the total score, the higher the awareness of the significance of dying-well.

# 3.5 Data analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the SPSS Win 23.0 program (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States), with the two-tailed significance level set at 0.05. The items "not applicable" and "not respond" replies were excluded from the final analysis. Differences in participants' characteristics based on ADs completion were examined with a t-test or chi-squared test. Furthermore, the

multinomial logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the predictive factors of complete ADs.

# 4 Results

# 4.1 Differences in subjects' characteristics based on the advance directives completion

Spouse health status with a somewhat unhealthy or unhealthy state (p = 0.018) and religion (p = 0.017) were significantly prevalent in the ADs group compared to the non-ADs group. The education level was higher in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p < 0.001; Table 1). The number of chronic diseases, number of prescription medications, frequency of alcohol consumption for 1 year, nutritional status, and frequency of exercise were higher in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p = 0.004, p = 0.006, and p = 0.04, respectively; Table 1). Compared to the non-ADs group, the ADs group had weaker muscle power, a higher degree of depression, more disagreement with medical care for life prolongation, higher death preparation education experience, lower awareness of dyingwell, and a higher level of suicide intention (p = 0.043, p = 0.004, *p*<0.001, *p*<0.001, *p*=0.004, and *p*<0.001, respectively; Table 1). Regarding the comparison of the details of dying-well awareness, the older adults in the non-ADs group considered dying without pain, dying in the presence of family or close friends, and dying that is not a burden to them as more significant than those in the ADs group (p=0.032, p=0.006, and p=0.001, respectively; Table 1).

# 4.2 Differences in subjects' diagnosed chronic diseases based on the advance directives completion

The prevalence rate of diabetes mellitus (DM) was lower in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p < 0.010). The fracture experience and prevalence rate of insomnia were higher in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p=0.024 and p=0.003, respectively). The prevalence rate of cataracts and fall experience for 1 year was higher in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p=0.003 and p=0.002, respectively; Table 2).

# 4.3 Differences in sensory discomfort based on the advance directives completion

The rate of feeling a little uncomfortable in terms of hearing, vision, or chewing was higher in the ADs group than in the non-ADs group (p = 0.010, p = 0.001, and p = 0.036, respectively; Table 3).

# 4.4 Associated factors of the advance directives completion

A multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted to examine the factors affecting the advance directives completion. The analysis included variables with significant differences in the

### TABLE 1 Differences in subjects' characteristics based on the signing of advance directives (N = 9,920).

Age See See See See See See See See See S	Categories	Variable		ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 421) Mean <u>+</u> SD/N(%)	Non-ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 9,499) Mean + SD/N(%)	t/χ²	p
map  map  map  map  map  map  map  map    Gene  Man  2002)  3540 (33)  12.90  0.001    Gene  Markan  104 (00)  3540 (33)  10.90  0.001    Gene  Markan  104 (00)  260 (33)  10.90  0.001    Gene  Markan  30.021  10.010  10.010  10.010    Gene  Table  22.0610  35.078.05  30.01  10.010    Gene  Table  22.0610  35.078.05  30.01  10.010    Markan  Table  22.0610  35.078.05  30.01  10.010    Markan  Table  22.0610  35.078.05  30.01  30.01    Markan  Table  22.014.05  10.010  30.01  30.01    Markan  Table  22.014.05  30.010  30.01  30.01    Markan  Table  22.014.05  22.014.05  30.01  30.01    Markan  Table		Age		73 80 + 6 33	73 42 + 6 54	1 147	0.251
Source  Note  Description  Description  Description  Description    Source  No  No  200(2)  200(20)  200(20)  200(20)  10.00  10.00    Source  Sourc		Sev	Men	207 (49 2)	3 764 (39.6)	15 295	<0.001
Jamba J		Spouse	Yes	267 (49.2)	5 586 (58.8)	1 956	0.172
Sponie Routh statusWay Budity13 (57.7)1.5.9 (3.9)1.5.9 (3.9)1.5.9 (3.9)General dnancetristicHealty16(66)2.406 (3.5.0)1.5.6 (2.8.1)1.5.7 (3.9.1)General dnancetristicSoreweith3.5.00 (3.1.1)1.00 (1.1)1.00 (3.1.1)1.00 (1.1)Healty10 (3.8)107 (9.3)4.8.70.001Balcation levelSoreweith9.01 (3.4.1)1.05 (3.1.1)0.001No of chronic durasesNo.6 chronic durases1.20 (2.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durasesNo.6 chronic durases1.20 (2.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durasesNo.6 chronic durases1.22 (3.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durases1.22 (3.1.1)1.22 (3.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durasesNo.6 chronic durases1.22 (3.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durases1.22 (3.1.1)1.22 (3.1.1)0.001No.6 chronic durasesNo.6 chronic durases1.22 (3.1.1)0.001No.6 ch				15 (5 5)	220 (5 0)	11.026	0.010
General daracteristic materistic structure daracteristic daracteristic materistic daracteri		Spouse nearth status	Very nealtny	15 (5.7)	329 (5.9) 2 060 (52 0)	11.936	0.018
datacteristicsIndexity inhibitityIndexity inhibitityIndexity inhibitityIndexity inhibitityReligen (vic) Education levelNo222164(a)5578 (537)5.73 indexity4.87 indexityNo of chronic feases No of proving feases No of chronic flagsesNo124214(a)125216(a)12421 indexity0.017 indexityNo of chronic feases No of chronic flagsesNo124214(a)124214(a)124214(a)124117 indexity1290 indexityNo of chronic flagses No of chronic flagses No of chronic flagsesNoNo124214(a)124117 indexity1291 indexity0.011 indexityNotification strutures relation flagsesYes indexity1262(3) indexity0.531 (683)0.712 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexityNatification strutures relation flagsesYes indexity1262(3)0.0161(3)0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexityNatification strutures relation flagsesYes indexity10109-2281 indexity0.931(a) indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexityNatification strutures relation strutures relation struturesNoProvince indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexityNatification relation strutures relation strutures relation strutures indexityNoNo0.931 indexity0.931 indexity0.931 indexity </td <td>General</td> <td></td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>149 (50.9) 53 (20.2)</td> <td>2,960 (55.0)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	General		Moderate	149 (50.9) 53 (20.2)	2,960 (55.0)		
Initiality  Initiality <thinitiality< th="">  Initiality  Initiali</thinitiality<>	characteristics		Somewhat	35 (13.4)	621 (11 1)		
Image: state			unhealthy	55 (15.1)	021(11.1)		
ReligningNew222 (24.0)55.78 (37.0)1.07.01.07.0Notional constraints0.0114.100.015.300.000.00Notional constraints0.015.00.0100.000.00Notional constraints0.0110.0100.0100.00Notional constraints0.02100.0110.000.00Notional constraints0.02100.02100.0010.001Notional constraints0.02100.02100.0010.001Notional constraints0.02100.02100.0010.001Notional constraints0.01000.01000.0010.001Notional constraints0.01000.01000.0010.001			Unhealthy	10 (3.8)	107 (1.9)		
Index ind		Religion (Yes)	Yes	272 (64.6)	5,578 (58.7)	5.773	0.017
Network <b< td=""><td></td><td>Education level</td><td></td><td><math display="block">9.11 \pm 4.10</math></td><td><math display="block">8.15\pm3.99</math></td><td>4.827</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></b<>		Education level		$9.11 \pm 4.10$	$8.15\pm3.99$	4.827	< 0.001
Name  Normalian  225133  1.72153  3.73  9.701    Name  Name  229030  6.631(68)  0.72  0.001    Name  1.72110  220  0.001  0.72  0.721    Name  1.72110  1.72110  0.721  0.7		No. of chronic diseases		$2.09 \pm 1.58$	$1.82 \pm 1.46$	3.648	< 0.001
IndicationIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncreasePhysical tarterIncreaseIncreaseIncreaseIncrease<		No. of prescription		$2.05 \pm 1.63$	$1.76 \pm 1.53$	3.781	< 0.001
<table-row><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-row>		medications					
Physical shafe and share of the section of the sectin of the section of th		Use of medical institutions		298 (70.8)	6,531 (68.8)	0.774	0.390
Marking Physical ration and ration and ration and constant constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and constant and and constant and<		Drinking alcohol		$1.42 \pm 1.88$	$1.14 \pm 1.75$	2.926	0.004
Physichlenki rulard obarcetristic  Name  -273  1200  -273  0.000    Mu		Smoking	Yes	48 (11.4)	1,041 (11.0)	0.081	0.750
Initiation related data characteristic characteristic perform perform consistic 	Physical-health-	Nutritional status		$22.53 \pm 1.65$	$22.76 \pm 1.69$	-2.772	0.006
Anacterisity characterisityAD.L (	related	BMI		$23.47 \pm 2.73$	$23.58 \pm 2.59$	-0.816	0.415
IADL  Formation (10.69-2.28)  (10.69-2.28)  (0.40-2.28)  (0.41)  (0.51)    Exercise  Yes  245 (58.2)  (442 (52.0))  6.148  (0.014)    Muscle power  Performed  (300 (73.6))  (6.975 (75.4))  (8.12)  (0.043)    Present  Fail  (77.18.3)  1902 (20.0)  1902 (20.0)  (10.09.2.28.28)  (10.09.2.28.28)  (10.09.2.28.28.28)  (10.09.2.28.28.28.2.25.25)  (10.09.2.28.28.2.25.25)  (10.09.2.28.28.2.25.25)  (10.09.2.28.28.2.25.25)  (10.09.2.28.2.28.2.5.2.5)  (10.09.2.28.2.28.2.5.2.5)  (10.09.2.28.2.28.2.5.2.5)  (10.09.2.28.2.28.2.5.2.5)  (10	characteristics	ADL		$7.23 \pm 1.20$	$7.17 \pm 1.09$	0.958	0.338
Fercise  Yes  Odd (SS,2)  (4,92,(2,0))  (6,18)  0,014    Muscle power  Performed  (71,03)  (902,(00,0))  (8,14)  (902,(00,0))    Impossible to  16 (3.8)  180 (1.9)  (10,10,0)  (10,10,0)  (10,10,0)    Perform  Rick  3.84 8.3.35  3.35 8.3.40  2.888  0.004    Cognitive function  Very satisfied  202 (9.2,0)  441 (4.6)  3.086  0.544    Muscle power  Satisfied  202 (9.2,0)  443 (9.2,0)  443 (9.2,0)  443 (9.2,0)    Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  23 (5.5)  441 (4.6)  3.086  0.544    (Health)  Satisfied  202 (9.2,0)  1.385 (14.6,0)  0.086  0.086    (Health)  Satisfied  67 (15.5)  1.385 (14.6,0)  0.086  0.086    (commy)  Not at all  10 (0.2,4)  236 (2.5,0)  0.094 (15.1)  0.016    (commy)  Not at all  81 (10,2)  3.086 (11,10,70)  1.0272  0.026    (coreall)  <		IADL		$10.69 \pm 2.88$	10.60 ± 2.58	0.647	0.518
Muscle powerPerformed310 (73.6)6.075 (73.4)8.1420.043Hai77 (18.3)1902 (20.0)1902 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)Imposible to16 (3.8)180 (1.9)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)PerformRejerto18 (4.3)442 (4.7)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)1905 (20.0)Subjective satisficitoVery satisfiel23.05.5)0.441 (4.6)1905 (20.0)190		Exercise	Yes	245 (58.2)	4,942 (52.0)	6.148	0.014
Psychological characteristic andicimentation  Fail Impossible in perform Reject  T77 (18.3)  1902 (20.0)  New Perform Network    Rejection  16 (3.3)  160 (3.0)  160 (3.0)  160 (3.0)    Rejection  18 (4.3)  42 (4.7)  160 (3.0)  2.888  0.004    Subjective satisfaction (Healthy)  Very satisfiel  23 (5.5)  441 (46) (7.8)  2.888  0.054    Subjective satisfaction (Healthy)  Very satisfiel  203 (5.5)  441 (46) (7.8)  0.054  0.054    Subjective satisfaction (Healthy)  Very satisfiel  203 (5.5)  441 (46.07.8)  0.054  0.054    Subjective satisfaction (Healthy)  Very satisfiel  677 (15.9)  1.385 (14.6)  0.054  0.054    Subjective satisfaction (corony)  Satisfiel  675 (75.8)  2.099 (31.5)  0.052  0.052    Subjective satisfaction (very satisfiel  Very satisfiel  633 (7.6)  1.611 (17.0)  0.052  0.052    Subjective satisfaction (very satisfiel  Very satisfiel  533 (12.6)  1.611 (17.0)  0.052  0.052    Subjective satisfaction (		Muscle power	Performed	310 (73.6)	6,975 (73.4)	8.142	0.043
Image  Imposible to perform  Imposible to perform <thimposible to<br="">perform  Imposible to perform<td></td><td></td><td>Fail</td><td>77 (18.3)</td><td>1902 (20.0)</td><td></td><td></td></thimposible>			Fail	77 (18.3)	1902 (20.0)		
Image: second			Impossible to	16 (3.8)	180 (1.9)		
Reject  Table (1)  A42 (47)  Image: (1)  A42 (47)    Perpession			perform				
Perssion  SAR13.35  3.35 ± 3.40  2.888  0.004    Cognitive function  24.29 ± 6.59  24.32 ± 5.25  3.085  3.085    Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  200  4.50 ± 0.53  3.085  3.085  3.085    Fuel Hall  Satisfied  208 (9.4)  4.50 ± 0.75  3.085			Reject	18 (4.3)	442 (4.7)		
Cognitive function  Cognitive function  Constitute function  Constitute function  Constitute function    Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  23 (5.5)  441 (4.6)  3.086  0.544    (Health)  Satisfied  208 (9.4)  4,540 (47.8)  0.544    Subjective satisfaction  Urs satisfied  67 (15.9)  1.385 (14.6)  0.544    Not at all  10 (2.4)  236 (2.5)  0.036  0.544    Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  33 (7.8)  706 (7.4)  10.272  0.036    (conomy)  Satisfied  159 (37.8)  2.994 (31.5)  0.036  0.161 (17.0)  0.037    (conomy)  Satisfied  53 (12.6)  1.611 (17.0)  0.592  0.592    (discretive satisfaction  Very satisfied  207 (49.2)  3.996 (41.8)  0.592    (oreall)  Not atll  207 (49.2)  3.997 (42.0)  2.799  0.592    (oreall)  Satisfied  207 (49.2)  4.410 (6.8)  1.611  0.101  0.101    (actide intention  Very s		Depression		$3.84 \pm 3.35$	$3.35 \pm 3.40$	2.888	0.004
Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  223 (5.5)  441 (4.6)  3.086  0.544    (Health)  Satisfied  2208 (49.4)  4,540 (47.8)  1  1    Subjective satisfaction  Somewhat  113 (26.8)  2,897 (30.5)  1  1    Usatisfied  101 (2.4)  236 (2.5)  10.272  0.036    Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  150 (57.8)  2.994 (31.5)  10.272  0.036    (conomy)  Satisfied  168 (39.9)  3.968 (41.8)  10.272  0.036    (conomy)  Satisfied  2.0704  3.974 (4.6)  2.799  0.592    (overall)  Satisfied  2.0704 (9.2)		Cognitive function		$24.29 \pm 6.59$	24.32±5.25		
Image: Rest of the section o		Subjective satisfaction	Very satisfied	23 (5.5)	441 (4.6)	3.086	0.544
Psychological characteristics  Somewhat  113 (26.8)  2.897 (30.5)  Image: Constraint of the second of the secon		(Health)	Satisfied	208 (49.4)	4,540 (47.8)		
Psychological commyUnsatisfied67 (15.9)1,385 (14.6)()Not at all10 (2.4)236 (2.5)0.036Subjective satisfaction (economy)Katisfied33 (7.8)706 (7.4)10.2720.036(economy)Satisfied159 (37.8)2.994 (31.5)0.03610.2720.036(economy)Satisfied159 (37.8)2.994 (31.5)10.2720.03610.2720.036(economy)Satisfied168 (39.9)3.968 (41.8)1.6110.2720.03610.2720.036(economy)Not at all8 (1.9)3.9068 (41.8)1.6110.2021.61<			Somewhat	113 (26.8)	2,897 (30.5)		
Psychological characteristicsIndicate and Solve assistantion (conomy)Very satisfied33 (7.8)706 (7.4)10.2720.036Babjective satisfaction (conomy)Satisfied159 (37.8)2.994 (31.5)11			Unsatisfied	67 (15.9)	1,385 (14.6)		
Subjective satisfaction  Very satisfied  33 (7.8)  706 (7.4)  10.272  0.036    Psychological characteristics  (conomy)  Satisfied  159 (37.8)  2,994 (31.5) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Not at all</td><td>10 (2.4)</td><td>236 (2.5)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			Not at all	10 (2.4)	236 (2.5)		
		Subjective satisfaction	Very satisfied	33 (7.8)	706 (7.4)	10.272	0.036
	Psychological	(economy)	Satisfied	159 (37.8)	2,994 (31.5)		
Attitude toward death  Not at all  8 (1.9)  1,011 (17.0)  1    Subjective satisfaction (overall)  Very satisfied  21 (5.0)  397 (4.2)  2.799  0.592    Subjective satisfaction (overall)  Satisfied  207 (49.2)  4,515 (47.5)  2.799  0.592    Somewhat  170 (40.4)  3,899 (41.0)  10.2  44 (0.5)  10.2	characteristics		Uncatisfied	53 (12.6)	1 611 (17.0)		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Not at all	8 (1 9)	220 (2 3)		
Attitude toward death  Attitude toward medical death  Very satisfied  21 (5.0)  357 (4.2)  2.799  0.392    Maised  207 (49.2)  4,515 (47.5)		Subjective esticlestics	Nome esticles d	21 (5.0)	207 (4.2)	2 700	0.502
Attitude toward death  Attitude toward medical death  Very agree (Very disagree  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  9 (0.1)  20.873 (0.001  <0.001    Attitude toward death  Mathine (Very disagree  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  20.873  <0.001		(ouerall)	Setisfied	21 (5.0)	597 (4.2) 4 515 (47.5)	2.799	0.592
Attitude toward death  Attitude toward medical bingree  Very agree Disagree  0 (0.04) 22 (5.2)  644 (6.8) 44 (0.5)  16.417  <0.001    Suicide intention  Yes  19 (4.5)  168 (1.8)  16.417  <0.001		(overall)	Somewhat	207 (49.2)	3 899 (41.0)		
Attitude toward death  Attitude toward medical Social relationship  Very agree Somewhat  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  20.873  <0.001    Attitude toward death  Comewhat  44 (10.5)  168 (1.8)  16.417  <0.001	-		Unsatisfied	22 (5 2)	644 (6.8)		
Attitude toward death  Attitude toward medical bisagree  Very agree 0 (0.0)  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  20.873  <0.001    Attitude toward medical death  Very agree  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  20.873  <0.001			Not at all	1 (0.2)	44 (0.5)		
Social relationship  2.86±2.41  3.05±2.56  -1.512  0.131    Attitude toward medical death  Very agree  0 (0.0)  9 (0.1)  20.873  <0.001		Suicide intention	Yes	19 (4.5)	168 (1.8)	16.417	<0.001
Attitude toward  Marcel  Official		Social relationship		2.86±2.41	3.05±2.56	-1.512	0.131
Attitude toward death  Agree  0 (0.0)  221 (2.3)  2007 3  2007 3    Mathematication  Agree  0 (0.0)  221 (2.3)  1,081 (11.4)  105  1,081 (11.4)  105  1007 3		Attitude toward medical	Very agree	0 (0 0)	9 (0 1)	20.873	< 0.001
Attitude toward  Attitude toward  Somewhat  44 (10.5)  1,081 (11.4)    death  Disagree  201 (47.7)  3,703 (39.0)    Very disagree  176 (41.8)  4,485 (47.2)		care for life prolongation	Agree	0 (0.0)	221 (2.3)		
death  Disagree  201 (47.7)  3,703 (39.0)    Very disagree  176 (41.8)  4,485 (47.2)	Attitude toward		Somewhat	44 (10.5)	1,081 (11.4)		
Very disagree 176 (41.8) 4,485 (47.2)	death		Disagree	201 (47.7)	3,703 (39.0)		
			Very disagree	176 (41.8)	4,485 (47.2)		

(Continued)

Categories	Variable		ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 421) Mean <u>+</u> SD/N(%)	Non-ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 9,499) Mean <u>+</u> SD/N(%)	t/χ²	p
	Take death preparation education	Yes	63 (15.0)	206 (2.2)	250.194	<0.001
	Awareness of well-dying	Total	$7.02 \pm 2.19$	$6.70 \pm 2.18$	2.915	0.004
	(1) Prepare for dying by oneself	Very important Important Somewhat	163 (38.7) 211 (50.1) 43 (10.2)	3,661 (38.5) 4,738 (49.9) 981 (10.3)	0.533	0.970
		Not at all	0 (0.0)	8 (0.1)		
	(2) Dying without pain	Very important Important Somewhat Not important Not at all	215 (51.1) 148 (35.2) 52 (12.4) 6 (1.4) 0 (0.0)	5,217 (54.9) 3,399 (35.8) 795 (8.4) 80 (0.8) 8 (0.1)	10.560	0.032
	(3) Dying in the presence of family or close friends	Very important Important Somewhat Not important Not at all	133 (31.6) 216 (51.3) 63 (15.0) 7 (1.7) 2 (0.5)	3,787 (39.9) 4,425 (46.6) 1,108 (11.7) 162 (1.7) 17 (0.2)	14.478	0.006
	(4) Dying that is not a burden to family or close friends	Very important Important Somewhat Not important Not at all	153 (36.3) 214 (50.8) 54 (12.8) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	4,285 (45.1) 4,310 (45.4) 854 (9.0) 46 (0.5) 4 (0.0)	18.258	0.001

### TABLE 1 (Continued)

ADs, advance directives; SD, standard deviation; No, number; BMI, body mass index; ADL, activity daily living; IADL, instrumental activity daily living.

TABLE 2 Differences in subject	diagnosed chronic disease	s based on the signing of adva	nce directives (N = 9,920)
--------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

Variable	ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 421) N(%)	Non-ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 9,499) N(%)	χ <sup>2</sup>	p
Stroke (Yes)	14 (3.3)	372 (3.9)	0.376	0.698
Dyslipidemia (Yes)	85 (20.2)	1,604 (16.9)	3.115	0.085
Angina or MI (Yes)	24 (5.7)	425 (4.5)	1.403	0.230
CHF or Arrhythmia (Yes)	21 (5.0)	430 (4.5)	0.198	0.632
DM (Yes)	79 (18.8)	2,297 (24.2)	6.494	0.010
Fracture (Yes)	11 (2.6)	117 (1.2)	6.037	0.024
COPD (Yes)	5 (1.2)	115 (1.2)	0.002	1.000
Asthma (Yes)	10 (5.7)	165 (1.7)	0.948	0.339
Depression (Yes)	6 (1.4)	131 (1.4)	0.006	0.831
Parkinson (Yes)	4 (1.0)	44 (0.5)	1.985	0.145
Insomnia (Yes)	17 (4.0)	170 (1.8)	11.018	0.003
Cataract (Yes)	34 (8.1)	407 (4.3)	13.641	0.001
Cancer (Yes)	12 (2.9)	152 (1.6)	3.875	0.074
Fall experience for 1 year (Yes)	43 (10.2)	590 (6.2)	10.811	0.002

ADs, advance directives; MI, myocardial infarction; CHF, congestive heart failure; DM, diabetes mellitus; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

advance directives completion by univariate analysis (sex, religion, education level, number of chronic diseases, alcohol consumption frequency for 1 year, nutritional status, depression, subjective satisfaction with the economy, attitude toward medical care for life prolongation, awareness about dying-well, DM, fracture, insomnia, fall experience over 1 year, death preparation education, suicide intention, vision discomfort, hearing discomfort, and chewing discomfort). Before the analysis, the Hosmer and Lemeshow test was conducted to identify the goodness of fit. Our model could be considered reasonably good because p = 0.455. The associated factors of the advance directives completion included men, higher education level, exercise, death preparation education, lower

Variable		ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 421) N(%)	Non-ADs group ( <i>N</i> = 9,499) N(%)	X	p
	Comfortable	313 (74.3)	7,310 (77.0)	9.232	0.010
Hearing	A little uncomfortable	106 (25.2)	1986 (20.9)		
	Uncomfortable 2 (0.5)		203 (2.1)		
Vision	Comfortable	248 (58.9)	248 (58.9) 6,386 (67.2)		0.001
	A little uncomfortable	164 (39.0)	2,911 (30.6)		
	Uncomfortable	9 (2.1)	202 (2.1)		
	Comfortable	254 (60.3)	5,915 (62.3)	6.631	0.036
Chewing	A little uncomfortable	158 (37.5)	3,178 (33.5)		
	Uncomfortable	9 (2.1)	406 (4.3)		

TABLE 3 Differences in sensory discomfort based on the signing of advance directives (N = 9,920).

ADs, advance directives.

awareness of dying-well, and experience of fracture (p = 0.003, p = 0.009, p = 0.036, p < 0.001, p = 0.001, p = 0.004, respectively; Table 4). Moreover, the odds ratio of completion of advance directives was significantly lower among participants with DM or moderate health spouses compared to those with very unhealthy spouses (p = 0.001, and p = 0.015, respectively; Table 4).

# **5** Discussion

In Korea, the proportion of older adult is rapidly increasing because of the low birth rate, the aging population, and improvement of medical technology (26). Although the average lifespan has increased, new challenges are emerging—for example, burden on individuals and society because of life-sustaining treatment which only prolongs the process of dying for the older adults with no possibility of recovery (27). With increasing societal interest in patient autonomy and a dignified and comfortable end of life, ADs for life-sustaining treatment were introduced in February 2018 to allow patients to end their lives with dignity (28).

From 2018 to 2021, a total of 194,181 cases were actually utilized of life-sustaining treatment plans for the entire population in Korea; however, only 7.5% of them were written by the patients themselves (29). The proportion of ADs signed by patients has been increasing every year since 2018. This study attempted to identify the ADs documentation rate in older people in Korea and investigate its influencing factors by using the data from the 2020 National Survey of Older Koreans (22).

The current ADs completion rate remains low at 4.24% among people aged 65 years and above. In some eastern countries, such as Japan, China and Hong Kong, advance directives are still very slowly being promoted, but they are not legally enforceable because people generally avoid talking about death because it is considered disrespectful (30, 31). The ADs documentation system is known to be effective in patient care (32), decreased healthcare cost and utilization (33), and decreased futile life-sustaining treatment (34). Nevertheless, even in the United States, where relevant legislation was enacted even earlier, the rate of completed ADs was reported to be 26% in 2009 and 2010 (35). Whites are more likely to complete AD than Blacks or Hispanics (36). Previous studies have shown that this is because racial/ethnic differences in complete AD are multifaceted, strongly influenced by a variety of cognitive and psychological, sociocultural, and sociodemographic factors (37). Taken together, race/ethnicity can be seen as a proxy for personal, cultural, and social contexts, so that an individual's values, beliefs, and personal circumstances are necessary for the completion of ADs.

This study investigated the factors affecting ADs documentation among older adults, including general characteristics, physical-healthrelated characteristics, psychological characteristics, and attitudes toward death. The significant factors that increase the ADs group's odds ratio compared to the non-ADs group included sex, education level, spousal health status, exercise, death preparation education, and fracture experience. The lowering factors included the absence of difficulty in chewing, presence of DM, and awareness of dying-well.

The ADs completion rates were 5.2% for men and 3.6% for women. The odds ratio for men to belong to the ADs group was higher than for women. This result contradicted the previous report that women had a higher ADs completion rate than men in all age groups in Korea (29). This discrepancy may be because the participants of this study included only the community-dwelling older adults with a high proportion of men and excluded the older adults in medical facilities (22). In a previous study among older Korean-American adults, sex was not a significant factor in ADs completion, even though ADs awareness was higher in women than men (38). Future research should examine the differences this study found by attempting to determine whether there are gender differences in ADs documentation, including among older adults admitted to facilities and hospitals.

The result that death preparation education is an influencing factor of ADs completion is consistent with the results of previous studies that reported that direct patient-healthcare professional interactions may be more effective than passive patient education materials (39). However, lower awareness of dying-well an influencing factor of ADs completion was an unexpected result. According to a previous study, people tend to make decisions based on the values and possibilities inherent in a specific situation (40). Another study found that awareness of ADs and higher education level were significant factor in ADs completion (37, 41). Although the number of registered agencies for advance directives are increasing (28), it is difficult to find an agency that helps older adult prepare for death. Therefore, efforts to increase the awareness of dying-well and the significance of end-of-life planning are required.

The number of chronic diseases, number of prescribed medications, depression, insomnia, and suicide intention were higher

### TABLE 4 Associated factors of the signing of advance directives (N = 9,920).

Independent		B S	C E	5	Adjusted OR	95% CI	
variable			SE	ρ		Lower	Upper
Sex (men)		0.446	0.152	0.003	1.563	1.160	2.104
	Very healthy	-0.563	0.465	0.226	0.570	0.229	1.416
Spouse health status	Healthy	-0.552	0.371	0.137	0.576	0.278	1.191
1	Moderate	-0.931	0.382	0.015	0.394	0.187	0.832
	Somewhat unhealthy	-0.576	0.395	0.146	0.562	0.259	1.221
Religion (No)		-0.112	0.138	0.419	0.894	0.682	1.172
Education level		0.052	0.020	0.009	1.053	1.013	1.096
No. of chronic diseases		0.078	0.078	0.318	1.081	0.928	1.259
No. of prescribed medications		0.067	0.058	0.249	1.070	0.954	1.199
Alcohol consumption fx for 1 year		-0.015	0.038	0.705	0.986	0.914	1.063
Nutritional status		-0.052	0.050	0.299	0.949	0.860	1.048
Exercise (Yes)		0.295	0.140	0.036	1.343	1.020	1.768
Depression		0.034	0.024	0.169	1.034	0.986	1.085
	Very satisfied	-0.229	602	0.703	0.795	0.245	2.586
Subjective satisfaction	Satisfied	-0.456	0.563	0.418	0.634	0.210	1.912
(economy)	Somewhat	-0.266	0.555	0.632	0.766	0.258	2.276
	Unsatisfied	-0.684	0.575	0.234	0.504	0.164	1.556
	Very agree	-19.272	15896.291	0.999	0.000	0.000	
Attitude toward medical	Agree	-18.849	3410.416	0.996	0.000	0.000	
care for life prolongation	Somewhat	-0.470	0.245	0.055	0.625	0.387	1.010
	Disagree	-0.090	0.146	0.539	0.914	0.687	1.217
Death preparation education (yes)		2.123	0.212	0.000	8.359	5.517	12.663
Awareness of well-dying		0.109	0.032	0.001	1.115	1.046	1.188
Suicide intention (yes)		0.676	0.400	0.091	1.965	0.897	4.306
DM (yes)		-0.660	0.193	0.001	0.517	0.354	0.755
Fracture (yes)		1.235	0.433	0.004	3.438	1.472	8.027
Insomnia (yes)		0.622	0.411	0.130	1.862	0.832	4.169
Cataract (Yes)		0.484	0.265	0.068	1.623	0.965	2.730
Fall experience over 1 year (yes)		0.006	0.296	0.983	1.006	0.563	1.799
	Comfortable	17.711	4004.037	0.996	49177412.172	0.000	
Hearing discomfort	A little uncomfortable	17.756	4004.037	0.996	51448667.699	0.000	
	Comfortable	0.399	0.787	0.612	1.490	0.319	6.963
Vision discomfort	A little	0.653	0.782	0.404	1.921	0.415	8.898
	uncomfortable						
	Comfortable	2.073	1.036	0.045	7.948	1.044	60.532
Chewing discomfort	A little	1.865	1.031	0.070	6.456	0.856	48.687
	uncomfortable						
	Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup> =0.128						

SE, standard error; OR, odds ration; CI, confidence interval; No, number; fx, frequency; DM, diabetes mellitus.

in ADs group compared to the non-ADs group. These results are consistent with the results of a previous study that participants with poorer health status are more willing to complete ADs (19). The

finding that older adults with higher levels of depression, insomnia, and suicide intention are more likely to sign ADs indicates the need to focus on the mental health of older adults. Self-determining ADs should facilitate a dignified dying; therefore, it should be done in a healthy mental and cognitive state that could fully contemplate one's dying with dignity.

Moreover, the spouse's and the participant's health status were significant influencing factors. In this study, the OR of ADs completion was lower in the normal group compared to the group in which the spouses' health status was reported as poor. In a previous study, severe spousal illness and illness severity were strongly correlated with ADs completion (42). Other chronic diseases did not significantly impact the signing of ADs; DM was a lowering factor, and fracture experience was a significant factor in signing ADs. These results may be because older adults have more opportunities to consult about ADs in a healthcare facility while hospitalized for fractures (43). Furthermore, fractures affect hundreds of thousands of older adults yearly (44). Severe complications may occur after surgeries, a hospitalization period is long, and a substantial proportion of patients will die within months of the injury (40, 41). Therefore, it is vital for patients older than 65 years undergoing these fractures to have a system that facilitates ADs completion (45).

### 5.1 Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the secondary data analysis used only the variables included in the raw data. Although efforts were made to approach them as comprehensively as possible, it was challenging to identify factors that were not included in the raw data. Therefore, there are potential variables in the experimental research, including the study, that should be conducted in the future. In particular, discussions on good death, end of life, autonomy, and AD writing should be based on a variety of social and cultural backgrounds, but this paper did not explore these cultural backgrounds in depth. Therefore, we recommend future research to explore the cultural characteristics of AD writing among Koreans. Second, this study included only those community-dwelling older adults who could self-report. Thus, study findings are not generalized to older adults who are institutionalized or admitted. Future studies should include older adults admitted to a facility. Third, owing to cross-sectional secondary data, causality could not be explored; this should be clarified in a future longitudinal study.

# 6 Conclusion

The ADs documentation rate remains low among those aged 65 years and above. The comparison of an ADs group with a non-ADs groups in this study revealed that various general, physical, and psychological characteristics influenced the advance directives completion. Although ADs aim to reduce unnecessary medical treatment and facilitate a dignified death, the rate of ADs completion remains rather low among the older adults with high awareness of dying-well. Therefore, information dissemination regarding ADs should be promoted and relevant authorities should consider multiple options to improve the physical and psychological health of older adults, as well as their attitude toward death to increase the ADs completion rate. Furthermore, it is essential to devise creative and

cost-effective person-to-person intervention strategies considering the importance of participants' ability to ask questions and receive assistance with completing ADs.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Institutional Review Board of Gachon University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

SC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs for their help in collecting the data and the older adults who participated in this study.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Statistics Korea. Korean population projection 2017. (2017). Available at: https:// kosis.kr/statHtml/statHtml.do?orgId=101&tbIId=DT\_1BPA001&vw\_cd=MT\_ ETITLE&list\_id=A41\_10&scrId=&language=en&seqNo=&lang\_mode=en&obj\_var\_ id=&itm\_id=&conn\_path=MT\_ETITLE&path=%252Feng%252FstatisticsList%252Fst atisticsListIndex.do

2. Park KS, Suh YJ, Ahn KJ. Dilemma in decision making about life-sustaining treatment and its social context. *Korean J Social Theory*. (2015) 26:255–302. doi: 10.17209/st.2015.05.26.255

3. An MS, Lee KJ. Awareness of well-dying and attitudes toward terminal care among geriatric hospital nurses. *J Hosp Palliat Care*. (2014) 17:122–33. doi: 10.14475/ kjhpc.2014.17.3.122

4. Statistics Korea. (2022). Population Trend Survey: Birth and Death Statistics (provisional), Feb 2023. Available at: https://www.korea.kr/briefing/policyBriefingView. do?newsId=156553941 (Accessed March 06, 2023).

5. Min D, Cho E. Concept analysis of good death in the Korea community. J Korean Gerontol Nurs. (2017) 19:28–38. doi: 10.17079/jkgn.2017.19.1.28

6. Borovečki A, Nikodem K, Ćurković M, Braš M, Palić-Kramarić R, Špoljar D, et al. What constitutes a "good death"?-a representative cross-sectional survey among the general public in Croatia. *Omega (Westport).* (2023) 86:1415–31. doi: 10.1177/00302228211010597

7. Cho JS. Perception of hospice, knowledge and attitude toward advance directives in health care workers. [dissertation/master's thesis]. Pusan: Pusan Catholic University. (2018).

8. Nicholson B. Advanced care planning: the concept over time. *Nurs Forum.* (2021) 56:1024–8. doi: 10.1111/nuf.12631

9. Bravo G, Dubois MF, Wagneur B. Assessing the effectiveness of interventions to promote advance directives among older adults: a systematic review and multi-level analysis. *Soc Sci Med.* (2008) 67:1122–32. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.06.006

10. Zhang RR, Yan X, Zhao YP. Perspective on advanced directives among older adults in Shanghai: a qualitative study. *Int J Nurs Sci.* (2023) 10:46–52. doi: 10.1016/j. ijnss.2022.12.018

11. Teno JM. Advance directives for nursing home residents. JAMA. (2007) 283:1481-2. doi: 10.1001/jama.283.11.1481

12. Yadav KN, Gabler NB, Cooney E, Kent S, Kim J, Herbst N, et al. Approximately one in three US adults completes any type of advance directive for end-of-life care. *Health Aff.* (2017) 36:1244–51. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0175

13. Yonhap news. (2019). One year and five months after the enforcement of life sustaining treatment Act, July 2019. Available at: https://www.yna.co.kr/view/AKR20190710119300017 (Accessed August 07, 2019).

14. Horn R. I don't need my patients' opinion to withdraw treatment: patient preferences at the end-of-life and physician attitudes towards advance directives in England and France. *Med Health Care Philos.* (2014) 17:425–35. doi: 10.1007/s11019-014-9558-9

15. Lee SH, Lee KE. Knowledge, awareness and attitude toward advance directives in hospital outpatients and caregivers. *J Health Info Stat.* (2015) 40:117–28.

16. Ahn DI. Searching for a methodology for the developmental progress of the system of decisions on life-sustaining treatment the need to strengthen the legal status and role of the advance statement on life-sustaining treatment. *Adm Law J.* (2021) 65:175–204. doi: 10.35979/ALI.2021.08.65.175

17. Park N-J, Hong S-A, Song I. Factors affecting intention to complete advance directives in older Koreans. *Korean Pub Health Res.* (2021) 47:47–57.

18. Bazargan M, Cobb S, Assari S. Completion of advance directives among African Americans and white adults. *Patient Educ Couns*. (2021) 104:2763–71. doi: 10.1016/j. pec.2021.03.031

19. Ko E, Lee J, Hong Y. Willingness to complete advance directives among lowincome older adults living in the USA. *Health Soc Care Community*. (2016) 24:708–16. doi: 10.1111/hsc.12248

20. Nedjat-Haiem FR, Cadet TJ, Amatya A, Mishra SI. Healthcare providers' attitudes, knowledge, and practice behaviors for educating patients about advance directives: a national survey. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care.* (2019) 36:387–95. doi: 10.1177/1049909118813720

21. Cohen MG, Althouse AD, Arnold RM, Bulls HW, White DB, Chu E, et al. Hope and advance care planning in advanced cancer: is there a relationship? *Cancer*. (2022) 128:1339–45. doi: 10.1002/cncr.34034

22. Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs. Survey of Korea Older Adults 2020. (2020). Available at: https://kostat.go.kr/board.es?mid=a10301010000&bid=10820&tag =&act=view&list\_no=385322&ref\_bid= (Accessed April 16, 2021).

23. Boyle MA, Morris DH. Community nutrition in action: An entrepreneurial approach, vol. 2003. 3rd ed. Belmont CA: Wadsworth press (2003). 352 p.

24. Jae NB, Maeng JC. Development of the Korean version of the geriatric depression scale and its short form among elderly psychiatric patients. *J Psychosom Res.* (2004) 57:297–305. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2004.01.004

25. Kim TH, Jhoo JH, Park JH, Kim JL, Ryu SH, Moon SW, et al. Korean version of mini mental status examination for dementia screening and its' short form. *Psychiatry Investig.* (2010) 7:102–8. doi: 10.4306/pi.2010.7.2.102

26. Cheon JY. Completion of advance directive and withdrawal of life-sustaining treatments among older adults in South Korea: a systematic review. *J Korean Soc Living Envirion Sys.* (2020) 27:473–88. doi: 10.21086/ksles.2020.08.27.4.473

27. Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs. (2012). National Bioethics Review Committee forms a special committee to institutionalize cessation of meaningless lifesustaining treatment, Dec 2012. Available at: https://www.mohw.go.kr/react/al/ sal0301vw.jsp?PAR\_MENU\_ID=0403&CONT\_SEQ=349863&page=1 (Accessed August 05, 2023).

28. National Agency for Management of Life-Sustaining Treatment. (2023). Policy & Legislations. Available at: https://www.lst.go.kr/eng/decn/legislation.do (Accessed August 05, 2023).

29. National Agency for Management of Life-Sustaining Treatment. (2021). Annual Report on Life-sustaining Medical Decision System, May 5. (2021). Available at: https:// www.lst.go.kr/comm/referenceDetail.do?pgNo=1&cate=&searchOption=0&searchTex t=&bno=2764 (Accessed August 05, 2023).

30. Lee MC, Hinderer KA, Kehl KA. A systematic review of advance directives and advance care planning in Chinese people from eastern and Western cultures. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs.* (2014) 16:75–85. doi: 10.1097/NJH.0000000000024

31. Miyashita J, Kohno A, Cheng S-Y, Hsu SH, Yamamoto Y, Shimizu S, et al. Patients' preferences and factors influencing initial advance care planning discussions' timing: a cross-cultural mixed-methods study. *Palliat Med.* (2020) 34:906–16. doi: 10.1177/0269216320914791

32. Xu C, Yan S, Chee J, Lee EP, Lim HW, Lim SWC, et al. Increasing the completion rate of the advance directives in primary care setting-a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Fam Pract.* (2021) 22:115. doi: 10.1186/s12875-021-01473-1

33. Chambers CV, Diamond JJ, Perkel RL, Lasch LA. Relationship of advance directives to hospital charges in a medicare population. *Arch Intern Med.* (1994) 154:541–7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1994.00420050093009

34. Brinkman-Stoppelenburg A, Rietjens JAC, Van Der Heide A. The effects of advance care planning on end-of-life care: a systematic review. *Palliat Med.* (2014) 28:1000–25. doi: 10.1177/0269216314526272

35. Rao JK, Anderson LA, Lin FC, Laux JP. Completion of advance directives among US consumers. Am J Prev Med. (2014) 46:65–70. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.09.008

36. Carr D. Racial and ethnic difference in advance care planning: identifying subgroups patterns and obstacles. *J Aging Health*. (2012) 24:923–47. doi: 10.1177/0898264312449185

37. Ko E, Lee J. Completion of advance directives among low-income older adults: does race/ethnicity matter? *Am J Hosp Palliat Me.* (2014) 31:247–53. doi: 10.1177/1049909113486170

38. Dobbs D, Park NS, Jang Y, Meng H. Awareness and completion of advance directives in older Korean-American adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2015) 63:565–70. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13309

39. Ramsaroop SD, Reid MC, Adelman RD. Completing an advance directive in the primary care setting: what do we need for success? *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2007) 55:277–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01065.x

40. Park JY, Lim CY, Puurveen G, Kim DY, Lee JH, Do HH, et al. Effect of the contents in advance directives on individuals' decision-making. *Omega*. (2020) 81:436–53. doi: 10.1177/0030222818782344

41. Vilpert S, Borrat-Besson C, Maurer J, Borasio GD. Awareness, approval and completion of advance directives in older adults in Switzerland. *Swiss Med Wkly*. (2018) 148:w14642. doi: 10.4414/smw.2018.14642

42. Mansell D, Kazis L, Glantz L, Heeren T. Roles of physicians, attorneys, and illness experience in advance directives. *South Med J.* (1999) 92:197–203. doi: 10.1097/00007611-199902000-00007

43. Wissow LS, Belote A, Kramer W, Compton-Phillips A, Kritzler R, Weiner JP. Promoting advance directives among elderly primary care patients. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2004) 19:944–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2004.30117.x

44. Koso RE, Sheets C, Richardson WJ, Galanos AN. Hip fracture in the elderly patients: a sentinel event. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. (2018) 35:612–9. doi: 10.1177/1049909117725057

45. Khan IA, Magnuson JA, Ciesielka KA, Levicoff EA, Cohen-Rosenblum A, Krueger CA, et al. Patients from distressed communities who undergo surgery for hip fragility fractures are less likely to have advanced care planning documents in their electronic medical record. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (2023) 481:312–21. doi: 10.1097/CORR.00000000002354