

One Parameter Composite Semigroups of Linear Bounded Operators in Strong Operator Topology of Schatten Class C_p

Dr.Samir Kasim Hassan*

Received on: 20/9/2010

Accepted on: 7/4/2011

Abstract

For semigroups of linear bounded operators on Hilbert spaces, the problem of being in C_p , $0 < p < \infty$ is more interesting than of being compact, so we developed some new results of a composite semigroups, $T(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$ which defined on a Banach space of linear bounded operators on Hilbert space to be in the Schatten class C_p in strong operator topology.

زمر مركبة لمؤثرات خطية مقيدة ذات معلمة واحدة لصف شاتين C_p , في فضاء
تبولوجي مؤثر قوي

الخلاصة

ان دراسة الزمرة شبه الاولية لمؤثرات خطية مقيدة على فضاء هلبرت في الصف $0 < p < \infty$, C_p أكثر أهمية من دراستها في أن تكون مرصوصة ولذلك طورت بعض النتائج الجديدة لزمرة شبه أولية مركبة $T(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$ معرفة على فضاء بناخ لمؤثرات خطية مقيدة ومعرفة على فضاء هلبرت حتى تكون في صف شاتين C_p , في فضاء تبولوجي مؤثر قوي.

1. Introduction

Let $L(H)$ be a Banach space, a one-parameter family $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L(L(H))$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ of bounded linear operators defined by:

$$T(t)X = T_1(t)XT_2(t), \text{ for any } X \in L(H) \text{ and } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (1)$$

with generator A is called composite semi group if:

- (i) $T(0)X = IX$, (I the identity operator of $L(H)$).
- (ii) $T(t + s)X = T(t)T(s)X = T(s)T(t)X$, for every $t, s \geq 0$.

Where $T_1(t), T_2(t)$ are two semigroups defined from H into H for A_1 and A_2 generators respectively, [1].

The infinitesimal generator A of $T(t)$ a strong operator topology defined as the limit:

$$AX = \tau - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{T(t)Xh - Xh}{t} \right\} \in D(A),$$

Where $D(A) \subset L(H)$ is the domain of A defined as follows:

$$D(A) = \left\{ X \in L(H) : \tau - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{T(t)Xh - Xh}{t} \right\} \text{ exist in } \{L(H), \tau\} \right\}.$$

where $\{L(H), \tau\}$ stands for $L(H)$ equipped with the strong operator topology τ , i.e., topology induced by family of seminorms $\rho = \{\rho_h\}_{h \in H}$, where seminorms $\rho_h(X) = \|Xh\|_H$, X

$\in L(H)$. $T(t) \in L(L(H))$ is a strong-operator and continuous at the origin, i.e.,

$$\tau\text{-}\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|(T(t)X)h - (T(0)X)h\|_H = 0, h \in H, X \in L(H).$$

Remarks(1.1):

- a- The different between the usual strongly continuous semigroups and the composite semigroups (1) follows from the fact that in general for $X \in L(H)$, the function $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto T(t)X \in L(H)$ is continuous in $\{L(H), \tau\}$, and which cannot be continuous in $\{L(H), \|\cdot\|\}$ unless the semigroups $\{T_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \{T_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L(H)$ are uniformly continuous. However, this takes place case only if their generators A_1, A_2 are bounded operators on H .
- b- The generator A is densely defined only in $\{L(H), \tau\}$ and does not in $\{L(H), \|\cdot\|\}$. This implies that the closure of $D(A)$ in $L(H)$ is only a proper set and not the whole $L(H)$.

The problem of being in C_p is more interesting than of being compact. This is due to the fact that for a C_0 -semigroup $T(t) \in C_p, 0 < t < \infty$, $\{\|T(t)\|, 0 < t \leq a\}$ is a bounded in H for every finite a . But if $T(t) \in C_p, 0 < t < \infty$, then $\|T(t)\|_p$ need not to be bounded in any interval $(0, a)$ for any finite a . For the basic theory of semigroups we refer to Pazy [5].

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, set:

$$C_p = \left\{ T \in L(H) : \sup \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \langle T e_n, f_n \rangle \right|^p < \infty \right\}$$

Where the supremum is taken over all orthonormal bases (e_n) and (f_n) of H . For $T \in C_p$, one defines $\|T\|_p = \left(\sup \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \langle T e_n, f_n \rangle \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$. This defines a norm on C_p . With this norm, C_p is a two sided Banach ideal in $L(H)$. For more on C_p we refer to KhaliL and Deeb[3].

Lemma(1.2),[3]:

Let $T_n(t) \in C_p$ such that $\sup_n \|T_n\|_p < \infty$ if $T_n \rightarrow T(n \rightarrow \infty)$ in the operator norm, then $T(t) \in C_p$

2. Main results:

The following results of composite semigroups of Schatten Class C_p have been presented as follows:

Lemma (2.1) :

Let $T(t)X = T_1(t)XT_2(t), t \geq 0$ be a C_0 - composite semigroup in $\{L(H), \tau\}$. If for some $t_0 > 0, T_1(t) \in C_p$ and $T_2(t) \in C_p$, for all $t > t_0$. Further there exists $M_1, M_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $w_1, w_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\|T(t)\|_p$

$$\leq \|T_1(t)\|_p M_1 M_2 e^{(w_1 + w_2)(t - t_0)} \|T_2(t)\|_p$$

Proof:

For the semigroup property, we have $\mathbb{T}(t) = T_1(t_0) T_1(t-t_0) \cdot T_2(t-t_0) T_2(t_0)$, for $t, t_0 \geq 0$

Since C_p is a two sided ideal and $T_1(t) \in C_p$, it follows that

$\mathbb{T}(t) \in C_p$. Further Banach ideal property of C_p gives $\|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_p =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|T_1(t_0)T_1(t-t_0) \cdot T_2(t-t_0) T_2(t_0)\|_p \\ &\leq \|T_1(t_0)\|_p \|T_1(t-t_0) \cdot T_2(t-t_0)\|_{L(H)} \|T_2(t_0)\|_p \|T_2(t_0)\|_{L(H)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|T_1(t)\|_p M_1 M_2 e^{(w_1+w_2)(t-t_0)} \|T_2(t)\|_p \|T_2(t)\|_{L(H)}$$

Thus

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_p \leq \|T_1(t)\|_p M_1 M_2 e^{(w_1+w_2)(t-t_0)} \|T_2(t)\|_p \|T_2(t)\|_{L(H)}$$

for

$M_1, M_2 \geq 1, w_1, w_2 \geq 0$ and

for $t \geq 0$.

Theorem (2.1):

Let $T_{1,n}(t), T_{2,n}(t) \in C_p$ such that $\sup_n \|T_{1,n}(t)\| < \infty$, for and

$\sup_n \|T_{2,n}(t)\| < \infty$

and $\sup_n \|T_{2,n}(t)\| < \infty$. If $T_n(t)X =$

$$T_{1,n}(t)XT_{2,n}(t) \rightarrow T_1(t)XT_2(t)$$

in $\{L(H), \tau\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$T_1(t)XT_2(t) \in C_p.$$

Proof:

Since $T_{1,n}(t), T_{2,n}(t) \in C_p$, each one of $T_{1,n}(t), T_{2,n}(t)$ is compact, see [2]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(t)X &= \\ T_{1,n}(t)XT_{2,n}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{nk}(t) e_{nk} \otimes f_{nk} X \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{nk}(t) e_{nk} \otimes f_{nk} \end{aligned}$$

, for $t \geq 0$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{nk}(t)|^p &\leq \lambda_1 < \infty, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{nk}(t)|^p \leq \lambda_2 < \infty \\ &, t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all n , (e_n) and (f_n) are orthonormal sequences for each n . Since

$$\|T_{1,n}(t) - T_1(t)\| \rightarrow 0, \|T_{2,n}(t) - T_2(t)\| \rightarrow 0$$

, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$T_1(t), T_2(t)$ are compact.

$$\text{Let } T_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k(t) e_k \otimes f_k$$

$$T_2(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k(t) e_k \otimes f_k.$$

Using Theorem 1.20[6], we get

$$\sigma_{nk}(t) \rightarrow \sigma_k(t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\delta_{nk}(t) \rightarrow \delta_k(t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for}$$

all k .

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^r |\sigma_k(t)|^p = \sum_{k=1}^r \lim_n |\sigma_{nk}(t)|^p = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^r |\sigma_{nk}|^p \leq \lambda_1$$

, for $t \geq 0$,

Also, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^r |\delta_k(t)|^p \leq \lambda_2 \quad \text{is true for every}$$

r , it follows that,

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_p =$$

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_k(t)|\right)^p \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_k|\right)^p \leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

, for $t \geq 0$.

Hence

$$\mathbb{T}(t)X = T_1(t)XT_2(t) \in C_p.$$

Definition (2.2):

Let $T(t)Z = T_1(t)ZT_2(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be a C_0 - composite semigroup in $\{L(H), \tau\}$. We say $T(t)$ is of type P if :

- (i) $T(t) \in C_p$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_p \leq \alpha$ for all $t \in (0, \epsilon)$.

Let $T(t)X = T_1(t)XT_2(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be a C_0 - composite semigroup of operators with generator $A = A_1 + A_2$.

Let $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ such that the real part $\text{Re}(\lambda) > w_1 + w_2$, where

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)\| \leq M_1 M_2 e^{(w_1 + w_2)t}.$$

We define a family of operators $\{R_t(\lambda, A)\}$, where

$$R_t(\lambda, A)X = \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} \mathbb{T}(s)X ds.$$

$$= \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s)XT_2(s) ds.$$

We say $\{R_t(\lambda, A)\}$ is of type p if

- (i) $R_t(\lambda, A) \in C_p$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ such that $\text{Re}(\lambda) > w_1 + w_2$.

- (ii) There exists an $\beta > 0$ such that $\|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p \leq \beta$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, $\text{Re}(\lambda) > a > w_1 + w_2$, where a is positive constant.

Now we prove the following results.

Theorem (2.2):

Let $T(t)Z = T_1(t)ZT_2(t)$, $t \geq 0$ be a C_0 - composite semigroup of operators in $\{L(H), \tau\}$ with generator $A = A_1 + A_2$. Then the following are equivalent

- (i) $T_1(t)$ is of type p.
- (ii) $\{R_t(\lambda, A)\}$ is of type p and $T(t)$ is uniformly continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii), we have

$$R_t(\lambda, A)X = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s)XT_2(s) ds$$

$$= \tau\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s)XT_2(s) ds$$

$$= \tau\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R_t(\lambda, A).$$

where the above limit is uniform limit.

Now,

$$R_t(\lambda, A)X = \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s)XT_2(s) ds$$

$$=$$

$$T_1(t) \left[\int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s-t) X T_2(s-t) ds \right] T_2(t)$$

Since $T_1(t) \in C_p$, it follows that

$$R_t(\lambda, A) \in C_p.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p &= \\ \left\| \lambda T_1(t) \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s-t) X T_2(s-t) ds T_2(t) \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lambda \|T_1(t)\|_p \left\| \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda s} M_1 M_2 e^{(w_1+w_2)(s-t)} ds \right\| \|T_2(t)\|_{L(H)} \|X\|_{L(H)}$$

Since

$$\|T_2(t)\|_{L(H)} \leq M_1 e^{w_1 t}, \text{ So}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p &\leq \lambda \|T_1(t)\|_p \frac{1}{|(w_1 + w_2) - \lambda|} \xi \|X\|_{L(H)} \end{aligned}$$

if $t \in (0, \delta)$, $\delta \leq \varepsilon$, we get

$$\|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p \leq \beta.$$

Conversely, (ii) \rightarrow (i)

Since $T_1(t), T_2(t)$ are uniformly continuous, it follows that

$$R_t(\lambda, A) \rightarrow R(\lambda, A) :=$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s) T_2(s) ds \text{ convergent}$$

uniformly, as $t \rightarrow 0$. By the assumption,

$\|R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p \leq \beta$. It follows that from convergence theorem in C_p , [7], that $R(\lambda, A) \in C_p$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\|_p &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A)\|_p \leq \beta \\ \forall t \in (0, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

Further it follows from [4] that

$$\lambda R(\lambda, A) T_1(t) \rightarrow T_1(t) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly,}$$

And

$$\|\lambda R(\lambda, A) T_1(t)\|_p \leq \beta \|T_1(t)\|, \text{ from the semigroup property, [4],}$$

Since $\|T_1(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{w_1 t}$, so as $t \in (0, \delta)$, we obtain

$$\|T_1(t)\| \leq \eta, \text{ for some } \eta > 0. \text{ Thus}$$

$\lambda R(\lambda, A) T_1(t)$ is uniformly bounded in C_p .

$$\|\lambda R_t(\lambda, A) T_1(t)\|_p \leq \beta \|T_1(t)\| \leq \beta \eta.$$

Consequently, [7], $T_1(t) \in C_p$

for all $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$.

from the semigroup property that

$$T_1(t) \in C_p \text{ for all } t > 0. \text{ Further;}$$

$$\|T_1(t)\| \leq \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda, A) \right\|$$

$$\|T_1(t)\|_p \leq \left\| \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p$$

$$\|T_1(t)\| \leq \beta \eta, \text{ for } t \in (0, \varepsilon].$$

Remark(2.3):

- (i) $T_1(t) \in C_p$ and $T_2(t) \in C_p$ then $T(t) \in C_p$ for all p and $t \in (0, \infty)$.
- (ii) There exists a C_0 - semigroup of operators $T(t)$ such that $T(t) \in C_p$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, but $\|T(t)\|_p \leq \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ as the following example.

Example(2.4):

Let A_1 and A_2 are a positive compact operators which are not of finite ranks and $\|A_1\|, \|A_2\| \leq 1$. So $A_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes e_n, A_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n e_n \otimes e_n$ for some $0 < \lambda_n, \sigma_n < 1$ and decreasing, and (e_n) is some orthonormal basis. Define a one parameter family of composite operators as follows:
 $T(t)X = T_1(t)XT_2(t) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^t e_n \otimes e_n)X(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^t e_n \otimes e_n)$

It is easily seen that $T_1(t), T_2(t)$ are C_0 - semigroups of operators on H , see [4].

Choose $(\lambda_n), (\sigma_n) \in \bigcap_{p>0} \ell^p$,

where ℓ^p is the space of p -summable sequences. Then $T_1(t), T_2(t) \in C_p$ for all p and all t and also from Remark(2.3)(i), we have that $T(t) \in C_p$.

Now,

$$\|T_1(t)\|_p = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{tp})^{1/p}, \text{ and } \|T_2(t)\|_p = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{tp})^{1/p}$$

Further

$$\|T_1(t)\|_p \leq \|T_1(s)\|_p, \|T_2(t)\|_p \leq \|T_2(s)\|_p \text{ for } t > s.$$

The Monotone Convergence Theorem implies that $\|T_1(t)\|_p \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\|T_2(t)\|_p \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

Since

$$\|T(t)\|_p \leq \|T_1(t)\|_p \|T_2(t)\|_p, \text{ we}$$

have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T(t)\|_p \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T_1(t)\|_p \|T_2(t)\|_p$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T(t)\|_p \leq \infty \text{ and this}$$

completes the proof.

Theorem(2.3):

Let $T(t)Z = T_1(t)ZT_2(t), t \geq 0$ be a C_0 - composite semigroup of operators in

$\{L(H), \tau\}$ with generator A then the following are equivalent $= A_1 + A_2$. If $w \in (0, \infty)$ such that $T(t)$

$$\leq e^{(w_1+w_2)t},$$

(i)

$T(t) \in C_p$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and if

$$\left\| T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_p \leq \left\| T_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_p \left\| T_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_p \leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2$$

for $n \geq n_0$, for some n_0 and some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$.

(ii) $R(\lambda, A) \in C_p$ and $\left\| \lambda R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p \leq \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{|(w_1 + w_2) - \lambda|}$,

for some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$, and all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii), set

$$R_n(\lambda, A) X = \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s) X T_2(s) ds = T_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1\left(s - \frac{1}{n}\right) X T_2\left(s - \frac{1}{n}\right) ds \right] T_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Since (i) is satisfied, we have that

$T_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), T_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \in C_p$ and from theorem(2.2) that $R_n(\lambda, A) \in C_p$, and also implies that

$$\left\| R_n(\lambda, A) X \right\|_p = \left\| T_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_p \left\| \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T_1\left(s - \frac{1}{n}\right) X T_2\left(s - \frac{1}{n}\right) ds \right\|_p \left\| T_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_p$$

$$\leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \frac{1}{|(w_1 + w_2) - \lambda|}, \text{ for}$$

$n > n_0$ for large value of n .

But

$$R_n(\lambda, A) X \rightarrow R(\lambda, A) X$$

for all $X \in L(H)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Consequently, lemma(1.2), implies that $R(\lambda, A) \in C_p$, and

$$\left\| R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p \leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \frac{1}{|(w_1 + w_2) - \lambda|}, \text{ for } \lambda > (w_1 + w_2).$$

(ii) \rightarrow (i) by expansion formulation of any semigroup, see [4] we have that

$T(t)X =$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^n t^n)}{n!} [\lambda R(\lambda, A)]^n X, \text{ for } (w_1 + w_2) > \lambda$$

where w_1, w_2 is as given in the assumption. Then

$$\left\| T(t) \right\|_p \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^n t^n)}{n!} \lambda^n \left\| R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p^{n-1}$$

$$\leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^n t^n)}{n!} \lambda^n \frac{M_1 M_2}{\lambda - (w_1 + w_2)}$$

But

$$\left\| R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p$$

$$\leq \frac{M_1 M_2}{\lambda - (w_1 + w_2)}$$

Since

$$\lambda - (w_1 + w_2) > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda - (w_1 + w_2) > 0 \Rightarrow (w_1 + w_2) - \lambda < 0$$

Thus

$$\left\| R(\lambda, A) \right\|_p$$

$$\|L(L(H))\| \leq \frac{M_1 M_2}{|\lambda - (w_1 + w_2)|}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(t)\|_p \\ & \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^n t^n)}{n! |(\lambda - (w_1 + w_2))^{n-1}|} \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{|\lambda - (w_1 + w_2)|} \\ & \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \sup_n \left| \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda - (w_1 + w_2))} \right|^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n t^n}{n!} \\ & \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \left| \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda - (w_1 + w_2))} \right| \leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2 K, \quad \text{for } K \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $T(t) \in C_p$.

References:

[1] Emirsajlow, Z., "A composite semigroup for the infinite-dimensional differential Sylvester equation", Proceedings of 7th Mediterranean Conference on

Control and Automation, Haifa, Jun 28-30, 1999.

[2] Erwin, K., "Introduction functional analysis with application", by John Wiley and sons, 1978.

[3] KhaliL, R. and Deeb, W., "One parameter semigroups of operators of Schatten Class C_p ", Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 32(1989)389-394.

[4] Klaus, J. E and Rainer N., "One-parameter semigroup for linear evolution equation", by springer-verlag, New York, Inc., 2000.

[5] Pazy, A., "Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations", Berlin, springer Verlag, 1983.

[6] Simon, B., "Trace ideals and their applications", London. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes series 35, 1997.

[7] Weidmann, J., "linear operator in Hilbert spaces", springer verlg, newyork, 1980.