

1 **Comparison of dolutegravir- and efavirenz on depression, anxiety**
2 **and sleep disorders in pregnant and postpartum women living with**
3 **HIV; a DolPHIN2 substudy**

4 Lena van der Wekken-Pas¹, Sylvia Nassiwa², Thokozule Malaba³, Mohammed Lamorde², Landon
5 Myer³, Catriona Waitt^{2,4}, Helen Reynolds⁴, Saye Khoo⁴, Nengjie He⁵, Liesbeth van Leeuwen⁶, David
6 Burger¹, Duolao Wang⁵, Angela Colbers¹

7 1 Radboud university medical center, department of pharmacy, Radboud Institute for Medical Innovations (RIMI), Nijmegen, the
8 Netherlands

9 2 Research Department, Infectious Diseases Institute, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

10 3 School of Public Health & Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

11 4 University of Liverpool, Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Liverpool, UK

12 5 Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool, UK

13 6 Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Amsterdam, Netherlands

14

15 **Correspondence:**

16 L.C. van der Wekken-Pas, MD, Department of Pharmacy (route 864), Radboud University Medical
17 Center, Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands (email:
18 wendy.vanderwekken-pas@radboudumc.nl)

19 **Funding:**

20 The DolPHIN-2 Study was funded by Unitaid (Grant number 2016-08-UoL). Dolutegravir was donated
21 by ViiV Healthcare. The funder did not take part in the design and execution of the study or the
22 writing of the manuscript. We acknowledge the invaluable and generous contributions from all study
23 participants, and from staff across health facilities who supported recruitment into our study.

24

25 **Conflict of interest statement**

26 LW, SN, TM, ML, LM, CW, HR, SK, NH, EL, DW and DB have no conflict of interest to declare
27 AC received research grants from ViiV Healthcare, Gilead, Merck, all paid to the institution

28

29 **Contributions**

1 LW wrote the manuscript. LW, AC, DW and DB designed the study. SN, TM, ML, LM, CW, HR, SK, and
2 NH were responsible for study execution and data-gathering. AC was responsible for monitoring. LW
3 and DW analysed the data. AC, DB, DW and EL reviewed first drafts of manuscript, all other authors
4 read and reviewed last draft of manuscript.

5

6 **Abstract**

7 **Background**

8 Both dolutegravir and efavirenz are known to be effective in pregnancy and post-partum to prevent
9 vertical transmission of HIV and to maintain maternal health. Both drugs have also been associated
10 with neuropsychiatric symptoms. To what extent these symptoms occur in pregnant and post-
11 partum women, however, is not yet known.

12 **Methods**

13 This was a secondary analysis of the DolPHIN2 study, a multicenter randomized trial among women
14 presenting late in pregnancy with untreated HIV- who received either a dolutegravir- or efavirenz-
15 containing regimen. Longitudinal measures of depression, anxiety and sleep quality were analyzed
16 during pregnancy and up to 48 weeks post-partum.

17 **Results**

18 Among 268 women median (IQR) Edinburgh Post Natal depression score (EPDS) scores were 8 (3-11)
19 and highest at enrolment. In the dolutegravir -and efavirenz arm, respectively, 23.7% and 25.6% had
20 an EPDS score above 9, indicating possible or probable depression. Abnormal Hospital Anxiety
21 Depression scores (HADS) (above 11) were seen at least once during follow up in 42 of patients
22 (15.7%), although no differences were seen between treatment arms. No association was found
23 between EPDS, suicidality and HADS scores and the assigned regimen ($p = 0.93, 0.97$ and 0.18
24 respectively). Median (IQR) Pittsburgh Sleep Quality index (PSQI) scores for dolutegravir- and
25 efavirenz were 6 (5-7) and 5 (5-6.5) respectively, $p=0.70$.

26 **Conclusions**

27 No statistically significant differences were observed between efavirenz- or dolutegravir containing
28 regimens. Rates of depression were high, but decreased over the course of time and confirm the
29 need for psychological support after initial HIV diagnosis in pregnancy.

30

1 **Introduction**

2 The risk of developing neuropsychiatric symptoms during pregnancy is high (1), especially following a
3 new diagnosis of HIV. Additionally, components of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) such as
4 the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTIs) efavirenz (EFV) and integrase strand-
5 transfer inhibitor (INSTIs) dolutegravir (DTG) have also been associated with depression.

6 Discontinuation rates due to adverse events in EFV treated patients were higher compared to those
7 treated with DTG in a large network-meta-analysis (2). When looking specifically at depression or
8 anxiety, the rates differed between DTG vs EFV treated patients (7% vs 14% for depression and 9%
9 vs 12%, respectively, for anxiety). No differences were seen in the rates of insomnia (3). In contrast,
10 the SPRING trial showed lower rates of insomnia in DTG (2%) treated patients, than in EFV treated
11 patients (10%) (4). Also, abnormal dreams were more often reported in the EFV arm (6% vs <1%) (5).
12 A cohort study of patients on DTG by de Boer et al reported that 13.7% of their patients stopped
13 using DTG because of drug intolerances, of which 5.6% were sleep disturbances and 2.5% were
14 psychological symptoms (6).

15 Unfortunately, no data exists on the rate of these side effects in pregnant and post-partum women,
16 because aforementioned studies were performed in a predominantly male population. During
17 pregnancy and post-partum, many things change in a woman's life. Due to hormonal, social and
18 physical changes the risk of anxiety and depression is increased. This might lead to sleeping
19 disorders. Vice versa, sleeping disorders (mainly insomnia) have been associated with post-natal
20 depression, anxiety and has been reported to diminish mother to child bonding (7). Mental issues
21 impact quality of life in a negative way and may hamper to function in day to day life (8).

22 Treatment interruption or cessation of cART is not feasible during pregnancy and lactation, because
23 cART is necessary to preserve maternal health, but even more to prevent vertical transmission (9-
24 11). Both DTG and EFV have shown to be highly effective, even when started in late pregnancy (12,
25 13). There is a need to understand the contribution of drug-associated neuropsychiatric toxicity to
26 the overall risk of depression in pregnancy. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the rate
27 of depression, anxiety and sleeping disorders in pregnant and postpartum women using either a DTG
28 or EFV containing regimen.

29 **Methods**

30 *Study design*

31 This was a sub study of Dolphin2 (NCT03249181), a multicenter study in which women with HIV
32 presenting late in pregnancy were randomized to receive a DTG- or EFV based regimen. Efficacy was

1 assessed in terms of viral load suppression and mother to child transmission rates. Methods and
2 results have been published elsewhere (12). The current study focusses on the results of
3 questionnaires obtained within this Dolphin-2 trial.

4 Participants were eligible for participation if they were 18 years or older, pregnant with an estimated
5 gestation of at least 28 weeks and were HIV positive and treatment naïve. Approval was obtained
6 from the ethical review committees of South-Africa, UK and Uganda. Participants gave written
7 informed consent. The study was conducted in South Africa and Uganda between 2018 and 2019.

8 *Procedures*

9 The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to assess the rate of depressive
10 symptoms. This tool was developed and validated to screen for postnatal depression. It consists of
11 10 questions with a 0-3 scoring system (14). Total scores of 9-11 are associated with possible
12 depression, 12-13 with fairly high possibility of depression and scores >14 with a probable
13 depression. Moreover, a positive score on question 10 is associated with increased suicidality.

14 The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score (HADS) was used for additional assessment of depressive
15 symptoms and for the screening of anxiety symptoms (15). This questionnaire consists of seven
16 questions regarding depression symptoms and seven questions regarding anxiety related symptoms.
17 Each question can be scored 0-3. Scores higher than 11 are deemed to be abnormal and below seven
18 normal. In-between scores are regarded to be borderline.

19 EPDS and HADS questionnaires were completed at screening, one and four weeks thereafter, at 36
20 weeks of gestation and six, 12, 24 and 48 weeks postpartum.

21 Sleep quality was assessed using the Pittsburgh Sleeping Quality Index (PSQI) at 24 weeks post-
22 partum. In this questionnaire seven different components are scored to form a total score, of which
23 higher scores are associated with poorer sleep quality and scores >5 have best sensitivity and
24 specificity to discriminate between poor and good sleep quality (16). This screening tool is validated
25 in pregnant and postpartum women and is widely used in studies assessing sleep quality in this
26 population (17).

27 *Statistical analysis*

28 No formal power calculation was performed for this sub study, because the sample size was based
29 on the primary endpoints of the original study. Analysis was performed along intention to treat
30 principles.

1 To compare differences in sleeping quality in patients who received a DTG- or EFV containing
2 regimen Wilcoxon rank sum test was used. Linear mixed models were used to see whether the
3 treatment regimen was associated with higher EPDS or HADS scores over time. To examine whether
4 one of the regimens was associated with higher proportion of women giving a positive answer on
5 EPDS question 10 (suicidality) a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) was used for binomial
6 variables.

7 Total scores on specific questionnaires were used as dependent variables, regimen and visit number
8 and interaction between time and treatment as fixed effects, baseline measurements as covariates
9 and subject as a random effect. Between group different at each visit as well as within group
10 difference at each visit were derived. Missing observations were not imputed but regarded as
11 missing completely. Socio-economic factors that might influence the scores on questionnaires, were
12 also added to the model as fixed effects (educational level, employment, marital status and study
13 site (South Africa versus Uganda) (see table 1). Only factors that significantly improved the model –
14 according the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) - were used in the final analysis. The GLMM
15 was constructed in a similar matter, with a binomial outcome (score of 0 or higher) as the dependent
16 variable.

17 Statistical analysis was performed using R and RStudio (version 4.1.3, 2022-03-10).

18 **Results**

19 A total of 268 women participated in this trial. At inclusion, the mean age of subjects was 27.7 ± 5.2
20 years, median estimated gestational age 31 (29-34) week, median viral load 4.4 (3.8 – 4.8) \log_{10}
21 copies/mL and median CD4 cell count 446 (296 - 633). A full description of the demographics of
22 these participants from the original Dolphin-2 study is published elsewhere (10). Overall, no
23 differences at baseline were detected between DTG or EFV- containing regimens in terms of risk of
24 depression, anxiety or sleeping disorders.

25 *Depression and anxiety*

26 EPDS and HADS scores over time are presented in figures 1 and 3. Median (IQR) EPDS scores were
27 highest at time of inclusion when participants had initially been diagnosed with HIV (8 (3-11)),
28 compared to 2 (0-6) at 12 weeks postpartum, 0 (0-4) at 24 weeks postpartum, and 0 (0-1.5) at 48
29 weeks postpartum. No association was found between the treatment arm and scores on these
30 questionnaires or specifically on question 10 of the EPDS (table 2, figure 2). Mean scores and slopes
31 for treatment effects at individual time points are summarized in table S1.

1 From all the retrieved EPDS scores, around a quarter (DTG 23.7% vs EFV 25.6%) were ≥ 9 , which
2 indicates a possible to probable depression. Scores of 14 or higher were seen in 8.1% and 8.0% of
3 the questionnaires from subjects assigned to DTG and EFV, respectively. At individual patient level,
4 128 (47.8%) had a score ≥ 9 at least once during follow up period. Of these patients, 65 (24.3%) were
5 assigned to EFV and 63 (23.5%) to DTG. Scores ≥ 14 were seen in 34 (12.7%) patients, from whom 15
6 (5.6%) on EFV and 19 on DTG (7.1%). Highest scores were seen at inclusion, when participants were
7 recently diagnosed with HIV. However, 39 patients had an increase in EPDS score later during follow
8 up, of which 24 had scores associated with possible depression and 8 had scores of 14 corresponding
9 with a probable depression. Of these patients, 4 were assigned to DTG and 4 to EFV (figure S1).

10 A similar pattern was seen for the HADS scores. Median (IQR) HADS was highest at inclusion 5 (2-9)
11 and decreased over time to a median (IQR) of 0 (0-2) at 48 postpartum. Abnormal scores (above 11)
12 were seen in 49/834 (5.9%) of the questionnaires in the DTG arm and 67/826 (8.1%) in the EFV arm.
13 Total scores >11 were seen at least once during follow up in 42 of patients (15.7%), from whom 21
14 (7.8%) were using DTG. When concentrating on the subscales of anxiety- or depression of the
15 questionnaires the following median scores (IQR) were observed: 1 (0-3.0) in both DTG- and EFV
16 treated patients on anxiety subscale and 0 (0-2.0) for both treatment groups on the depression
17 subscale. 3.4% of patients had a HADS-a score above 11, associated with anxiety, from whom 4
18 (1.5%) used EFV and 5 (1.9%) DTG. Median scores (IQR) for the depression subscale of the HADS
19 were 0 (0-0.2) for both DTG and EFV treated patients. Only one patient had scores > 11 , she was
20 assigned to EFV. All scores and model outcomes are summarized in the supplementary data.

21 *Sleeping quality*

22 No differences were seen in median (IQR) PSQI scores for DTG- and EFV; 6 (5-7) and 5 (5-6.5)
23 respectively, $p=0.714$. In total, 46.5% of participants experienced poor sleeping quality. Moreover,
24 no differences were seen in odds ratios for poor sleep quality (PSQI > 5) between patients receiving
25 DTG or EFV (OR 1.24, 95% CI 0.54 – 2.90).

26

27 **Discussion**

28 Our study did not find any differences between EFV and DTG treated participants in terms of
29 sleeping quality, rate of depression or anxiety, which is partly in accordance with previous research.
30 Ochanda et al (1) evaluated quality of life within the same patient population as the present study
31 and did not find any differences on the mental component of the Medical Outcome Study – HIV
32 health survey (MOS-HIV) either. Other studies did find higher rates of depression and anxiety (2) and

1 sleep disturbances in patients using DTG compared to other regimens (3, 4). Also, analysis of
2 participant level data from four AIDS Clinical trial group studies in treatment naïve patients showed
3 an association between the use of EFV and suicide events (ideation and attempts/completed
4 suicides) (5). However, another study with data from the D:A:D cohort did not find such an
5 association (6).

6 It is difficult to compare studies on neuropsychiatric adverse events, because various screening tools
7 and different patient populations were used to establish an association. MOS-HIV (1), Centre for
8 Epidemiologic studies Depression scale (CES-D) (7) and self-reported symptoms (4, 8) are
9 instruments with which these symptoms were assessed. This might have led to an under- or
10 overestimation of the actual rate. Furthermore, analyzing treatment-naïve or experienced
11 populations might have introduced confounding by indication, further complicating the
12 interpretation of the results. Finally, the sensitivity and specificity of screening tools vary by
13 populations they are used in. In our study, a different rate of depression symptoms was noted in the
14 EPDS and HADS questionnaires. In a Dutch cohort of pregnant women the HADS-a, EPDS and other
15 screening tools were assessed and showed a low performance of the HADS-a (18). Moreover,
16 psychometric analysis of the HADS score, showed poor test-retest reliability in a pregnant cohort.
17 The authors recommended the use of other screening tools than HADS in a pregnant population,
18 because this tool lacks robustness (19).

19 Overall, depression and poor sleep quality were more prevalent in our population in comparison to
20 others, while anxiety was reported less often. Depending on which definition was used, 8 to 25.6%
21 of participants in this study had a possible to probable depression, which is more than the previously
22 reported 2.5-6% (8, 9). In the general population, the rate of depression during pregnancy and
23 postpartum is 10-16% and 10-15%, respectively (20). The rate of depressive symptoms in our study
24 was notably high at start of the study and decreased over time. This is comparable to the study of
25 Knettel et al, who focused on suicidal ideation (21). Probably, the fact that participants in our study
26 had just received word on their diagnosis of HIV is a greater contributing factor for developing these
27 symptoms than the treatment is. It is reassuring that after delivery, no increase was seen in the rate
28 of depression, which underlines the safety of ART post-partum. In our study, 3.4% of participants
29 had HADS-a scores associated with anxiety, while Walmsley et al (3) reported 3% vs 6% in DTG and
30 EFV treated patients respectively. Almost half of participants in the present study experienced poor
31 sleep, although no differences were seen between the two assigned regimens. Sleep disturbances in
32 our study were more prevalent than in previous studies where it was <6% (4, 5) which might be
33 explained by the fact that our cohort consists of mothers with young children, leading to interrupted

1 and less sleep. This is confirmed by a systematic review and meta-analysis, in which prevalence of
2 poor sleep quality in perinatal women was 54.2% (17).

3 Our study has several strengths. First, the randomized design of the original trial from which the
4 surveys were obtained, allows for a comparison of side effects between two treatment arms.
5 Previous studies (6) were not randomized and a control group was lacking, which might have led to
6 channeling bias. Second, the longitudinal design and inclusion of socio-economic factors in this study
7 are important, because many neuropsychiatric syndromes fluctuate over time and have a
8 multifactorial etiology. Williams et al noted the importance of taking socio-economic factors and
9 longitudinal gathered data into account when analyzing clinical data on such disorders (7). Their
10 study on several ART and depression, for example, showed no association on items on CES-D for DTG
11 and only in a positive way for EFV. Another strength is the use of clinically validated tools to assess
12 the risk of depression, anxiety and sleeping quality, which makes it easier for future research to
13 compare results. The use of self-reported symptoms could introduce information bias and is
14 therefore better avoided.

15 This study is limited by the fact that a majority of participants was breastfeeding their infant, and
16 breastfeeding is known to reduce the risk of postnatal depression (10), which makes it difficult to
17 extrapolate our findings to high- and middle income countries where guidelines currently advise
18 against breastfeeding. Here, the absence of drug-effect on the development of neuropsychiatric
19 symptoms cannot be excluded.

20 According to Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS), closer surveillance is needed for
21 depression and suicidality in pregnant and postpartum women. Indeed, our study shows high rates
22 of depression and poor sleep quality, but these were not different between regimens. These effects
23 are better explained by the recent diagnosis of HIV and transition into motherhood, which comes
24 with its own psychological challenges. It underlines the need for peripartum support to assure drug
25 adherence and timely implementation of psychological care, especially in women who are diagnosed
26 during pregnancy.

27 **Conclusion**

28 No differences were observed in rates of depression, anxiety or sleeping disorders in women
29 diagnosed with HIV late in pregnancy treated with EFV- or DTG containing regimens throughout
30 pregnancy and after delivery.

31

32

1 References

- 2 1. Orza L, Bewley S, Logie CH, Crone ET, Moroz S, Strachan S, et al. How does living with HIV
3 impact on women's mental health? Voices from a global survey. *Journal of the International AIDS*
4 *Society*. 2015;18(Suppl 5):20289.
- 5 2. Kanters S, Vitoria M, Doherty M, Socias ME, Ford N, Forrest JI, et al. Comparative efficacy
6 and safety of first-line antiretroviral therapy for the treatment of HIV infection: a systematic review
7 and network meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3(11):e510-e20.
- 8 3. Walmsley SL, Antela A, Clumeck N, Duiculescu D, Eberhard A, Gutierrez F, et al. Dolutegravir
9 plus abacavir-lamivudine for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
10 2013;369(19):1807-18.
- 11 4. Stellbrink HJ, Reynes J, Lazzarin A, Voronin E, Pulido F, Felizarta F, et al. Dolutegravir in
12 antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1: 96-week results from a randomized dose-ranging study. *AIDS*.
13 2013;27(11):1771-8.
- 14 5. van Lunzen J, Maggiolo F, Arribas JR, Rakhmanova A, Yeni P, Young B, et al. Once daily
15 dolutegravir (S/GSK1349572) in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV: planned
16 interim 48 week results from SPRING-1, a dose-ranging, randomised, phase 2b trial. *Lancet Infect*
17 *Dis*. 2012;12(2):111-8.
- 18 6. de Boer MG, van den Berk GE, van Holten N, Orszcyn JE, Dorama W, Moha DA, et al.
19 Intolerance of dolutegravir-containing combination antiretroviral therapy regimens in real-life
20 clinical practice. *AIDS*. 2016;30(18):2831-4.
- 21 7. Nodine PM, Matthews EE. Common sleep disorders: management strategies and pregnancy
22 outcomes. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2013;58(4):368-77.
- 23 8. McDonnell KA, Gielen AC, Wu AW, O'Campo P, Faden R. Measuring health related quality of
24 life among women living with HIV. *Qual Life Res*. 2000;9(8):931-40.
- 25 9. DHHS. Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV
26 Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States 2018 [updated
27 7 December 2018 Available from: <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/3/perinatal/0>.
- 28 10. EACS. the European Guidelines for the treatment of HIV-positive adults in Europe: version
29 11.1 2022 [cited October 2022. Available from: [https://www.eacsociety.org/media/guidelines-](https://www.eacsociety.org/media/guidelines-11.1_final_09-10.pdf)
30 [11.1 final_09-10.pdf](https://www.eacsociety.org/media/guidelines-11.1_final_09-10.pdf).
- 31 11. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, Fowler MG, Mofenson LM, Owor M, et al. Prevention of
32 HIV-1 Transmission Through Breastfeeding: Efficacy and Safety of Maternal Antiretroviral Therapy
33 Versus Infant Nevirapine Prophylaxis for Duration of Breastfeeding in HIV-1-Infected Women With
34 High CD4 Cell Count (IMPAACT PROMISE): A Randomized, Open-Label, Clinical Trial. *Journal of*
35 *Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome*. 2018;77(4):383-92.
- 36 12. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, Papamichael C, Colbers A, Byrne K, et al. Dolutegravir versus
37 efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DoIPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised
38 controlled trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e332-e9.
- 39 13. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, Stranix-Chibanda L, McCarthy K, Coletti A, et al. Efficacy
40 and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir
41 disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV
42 antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-
43 label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10281):1276-92.
- 44 14. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-
45 item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental*
46 *science*. 1987;150:782-6.
- 47 15. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta psychiatrica*
48 *Scandinavica*. 1983;67(6):361-70.

- 1 16. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
2 Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry research*. 1989;28(2):193-
3 213.
- 4 17. Yang Y, Li W, Ma TJ, Zhang L, Hall BJ, Ungvari GS, et al. Prevalence of Poor Sleep Quality in
5 Perinatal and Postnatal Women: A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Frontiers*
6 *in psychiatry*. 2020;11:161.
- 7 18. Heller HM, Draisma S, Honig A. Construct Validity and Responsiveness of Instruments
8 Measuring Depression and Anxiety in Pregnancy: A Comparison of EPDS, HADS-A and CES-D. *Int J*
9 *Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(13).
- 10 19. Karimova G, Martin C. A psychometric evaluation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression
11 Scale during pregnancy. *Psychol Health Med*. 2003;8(1):89-103.
- 12 20. Becker M, Weinberger T, Chandy A, Schmukler S. Depression During Pregnancy and
13 Postpartum. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2016;18(3):32.
- 14 21. Knettel BA, Mwamba RN, Minja L, Goldston DB, Boshe J, Watt MH. Exploring patterns and
15 predictors of suicidal ideation among pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV in
16 Kilimanjaro, Tanzania. *Aids*. 2020;34(11):1657-64.

17

18 **Figure legends**

19 Figure 1: Median (IQR) EPDS score per visit divided by treatment. Visit number 1 corresponds with
20 inclusion, 2 with 1 week after inclusion, 3 with 4 weeks after inclusion, 4 with 36 weeks of gestation,
21 5 till 9 with six, 12, 24 and 48 and 72 weeks postpartum

22 Figure 2: Number of positive answers on question 10 of EPDS questionnaire divided per regimen.
23 These scores are associated with suicidality. Visit number 1 corresponds with inclusion, 2 with 1
24 week after inclusion, 3 with 4 weeks after inclusion, 4 with 36 weeks of gestation, 5 till 9 with six, 12,
25 24 and 48 and 72 weeks postpartum

26 Figure 3: Median (IQR) HADS scores over time, divided per regimen. Visitnumber 1 corresponds with
27 inclusion, 2 with 1 week after inclusion, 3 with 4 weeks after inclusion, 4 with 36 weeks of gestation,
28 5 with delivery and 6 till 9 with six, 12, 24 and 48 weeks postpartum

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10