



On Pólya's random walk constants

Document Version

Submitted manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Gaunt, R. E., Nadarajah, S., & Pogány, T. K. (in press). On Pólya's random walk constants. In *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* American Mathematical Society.

Published in:

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



On Pólya's random walk constants*

Robert E. Gaunt[†], Saralees Nadarajah* and Tibor K. Pogány^{‡§}

Abstract

A celebrated result in probability theory is that a simple symmetric random walk on the d -dimensional lattice \mathbb{Z}^d is recurrent for $d = 1, 2$ and transient for $d \geq 3$. In this note, we derive a closed-form expression, in terms of the Lauricella function of type C, for the return probability for all $d \geq 3$. Previously, a closed-form formula had only been available for $d = 3$.

Keywords: Random walk; return probability; Pólya's random walk constants; Lauricella function; Watson's triple integrals; Laplace transform

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: Primary 60G50; 33C65

1 Introduction

Let $p(d)$ be the probability that a simple symmetric random walk on the d -dimensional lattice \mathbb{Z}^d returns to origin, for $d \geq 1$. A celebrated result of Pólya [10] states that $p(1) = p(2) = 1$ but $p(d) < 1$ for $d \geq 3$. An explicit formula is available in the three-dimensional case:

$$p(3) = 1 - 1/u(3) = 0.3405373296\dots,$$

where

$$u(3) = \frac{3}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dx dy dz}{3 - \cos x - \cos y - \cos z} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{32\pi^3} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right) \\ &= 1.5163860592\dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

(see [2, 5, 7, 13]). The integral in (1.1) is one of Watson's triple integrals [13] up to a multiplicative factor.

Closed-form expressions for the case $d \geq 4$ are not available to date in the literature, although numerical values are reported in [4, 8] and an integral representation was obtained by [8]: for $d \geq 3$,

$$p(d) = 1 - 1/u(d), \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$u(d) = \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^d} \left(d - \sum_{k=1}^d \cos x_k \right)^{-1} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_d \quad (1.4)$$

*Dedicated to the 130th anniversary of Lauricella functions.

[†]Department of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK, robert.gaunt@manchester.ac.uk; Saralees.Nadarajah@manchester.ac.uk

[‡]Institute of Applied Mathematics, Óbuda University, 1034 Budapest, Hungary, pogany.tibor@nik.uni-obuda.hu

[§]Faculty of Maritime Studies, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia, tibor.poganj@uniri.hr

$$= \int_0^\infty \left[I_0 \left(\frac{x}{d} \right) \right]^d e^{-x} dx, \quad (1.5)$$

with $I_0(\cdot)$ denoting the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero, defined by

$$I_0(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{2k}. \quad (1.6)$$

The integral in (1.4) is a d -fold integral generalisation of the Watson triple integral (1.1) (again, up to a multiplicative factor). Note that the integral (1.5) is not convergent for $d = 1, 2$, which is easily seen from the limiting form $I_0(x) \sim e^x / \sqrt{2\pi x}$, $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see [9]).

In this note, we derive a closed-form expression for the return probability $p(d)$ for any positive integer $d \geq 3$. The expression involves the Lauricella function of type C (see [3, 6]), defined by

$$F_C^{(d)}(a, b; c_1, \dots, c_d; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{(a)_{k_1 + \dots + k_d} (b)_{k_1 + \dots + k_d} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_d^{k_d}}{(c_1)_{k_1} \dots (c_d)_{k_d} k_1! \dots k_d!}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $(f)_k = f(f+1) \dots (f+k-1) = \Gamma(f+k)/\Gamma(f)$ denotes the ascending factorial or the Pochhammer symbol. Numerical routines for the direct computation of (1.7) are available; see, for instance, the *Mathematica*-based routine presented in [1].

2 Closed-form expression for the return probability

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *For any positive integer $d \geq 3$,*

$$u(d) = F_C^{(d)} \left(1, \frac{1}{2}; 1, \dots, 1; \frac{1}{d^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{d^2} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Using (1.6), we can write (1.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} u(d) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2d} \right)^{2k} \right]^d e^{-x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k_1! \dots k_d!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2d} \right)^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k_1! \dots k_d!)^2 (2d)^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d}} \int_0^\infty x^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k_1! \dots k_d!)^2 (2d)^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d}} \Gamma(2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Using the duplication formula for the gamma function, (2.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(d) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k_1! \dots k_d!)^2 d^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d}} \Gamma \left(k_1 + \dots + k_d + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma(k_1 + \dots + k_d + 1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \dots \sum_{k_d \geq 0} \frac{(1)_{k_1 + \dots + k_d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_{k_1 + \dots + k_d}}{(1)_{k_1} \dots (1)_{k_d} k_1! \dots k_d! d^{2k_1 + \dots + 2k_d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.8) follows from the definition in (1.7). □

Remark 2.2. The return probability (1.3) becomes

$$p(d) = 1 - \left[F_C^{(d)} \left(1, \frac{1}{2}; 1, \dots, 1; \frac{1}{d^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{d^2} \right) \right]^{-1},$$

for all positive integers $d \geq 3$.

Corollary 2.3. The following reduction formula holds:

$$F_C^{(3)} \left(1, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1, 1; \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{32\pi^3} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Combine (1.2) and (2.8). □

Remark 2.4. 1. The reduction formula (2.10) appears to be new. We could not locate it in standard references such as [12].

2. We were unable to obtain a further simplification of (2.8) for $d \geq 4$ from reduction formulas for Lauricella functions in standard references such as [12]. However, we cannot rule out this possibility, especially in the light of the fact that we could not locate (2.10) in the existing literature.

The direct Laplace transform [11, p. 346, Eq. 3.15.16.35] turns out to be erroneous. Here we give its corrected form. On specifying $\lambda = \nu_j = 0$, $a_j = d^{-1}$ and $p = 1$ in (2.12) below we arrive at (2.8). Recall that the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by the power series

$$I_\nu(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{\nu + 2k}. \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.5. Denote $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^d \nu_j$, where d is a positive integer. Let $\Re(\lambda) + \nu > -1$ and $\nu_j > -1$; $j = 1, \dots, d$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_d > 0$. Then, the Laplace transform

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_p \left[x^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^d I_{\nu_j}(a_j x) \right] dx &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu + 1)}{2^\nu p^{\lambda + \nu + 1}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{a_j^{\nu_j}}{\Gamma(\nu_j + 1)} \right\} \\ &\cdot F_C^{(d)} \left(\frac{\lambda + \nu + 1}{2}, \frac{\lambda + \nu}{2} + 1; \nu_1 + 1, \dots, \nu_d + 1; \frac{a_1^2}{p^2}, \dots, \frac{a_d^2}{p^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

provided $p > \sum_{j=1}^d a_j$, or $p = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j$ and $\Re(\lambda) < d/2 - 1$.

Proof. The conditions $p > \sum_{j=1}^d a_j$, or $p = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j$ and $\Re(\lambda) < d/2 - 1$ are required to ensure that the integral in the Laplace transform is convergent; this is easily seen from the limiting form $I_\nu(x) \sim e^x / \sqrt{2\pi x}$, $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see [9]).

Applying the power series definition (2.11) of the function $I_\nu(x)$, denoting $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ and $n = \sum_{j=1}^d n_j$, we conclude by the Legendre duplication formula (twice) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_p \left[x^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^d I_{\nu_j}(a_j x) \right] dx &= \int_0^\infty e^{-px} x^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^d I_{\nu_j}(a_j x) dx \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\left(\frac{a_j}{2} \right)^{2n_j + \nu_j}}{\Gamma(n_j + \nu_j + 1) n_j!} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} x^{\lambda + 2n + \nu + 1} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\left(\frac{a_j}{2}\right)^{2n_j + \nu_j}}{\Gamma(n_j + \nu_j + 1) n_j!} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 2n + \nu + 1)}{p^{\lambda + 2n + \nu + 1}} \\
&= \frac{2^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi} p^{\lambda + \nu + 1}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{a_j^{\nu_j}}{\Gamma(\nu_j + 1)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + \nu + 1}{2} + n\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda + \nu}{2} + 1 + n\right) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(a_j^2/p^2)^{n_j}}{(\nu_j + 1)_{n_j} n_j!} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \nu + 1)}{2^\nu p^{\lambda + \nu + 1}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{a_j^{\nu_j}}{\Gamma(\nu_j + 1)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{\lambda + \nu + 1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{\lambda + \nu}{2} + 1\right)_n \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(a_j^2/p^2)^{n_j}}{(\nu_j + 1)_{n_j} n_j!},
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the statement. □

References

- [1] Bytev, V. V. and Kniehl, B. A. HYPERDIRE – HYPERgeometric functions Differential REDuction: Mathematica-based packages for the differential reduction of generalized hypergeometric functions: Lauricella function F_C of three variables. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **206** (2016), 78–83.
- [2] Domb, C. On Multiple Returns in the Random-Walk Problem. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **50** (1954), 586–591.
- [3] Exton, H. *Handbook of Hypergeometric Integrals: Theory, Applications, Tables, Computer Programs*. Halsted Press, New York, 1978.
- [4] Finch, S. R. *Mathematical Constants*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [5] Glasser, M. L. and Zucker, I. J. Extended Watson Integrals for the Cubic Lattices. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **74** (1977), 1800–1801.
- [6] Lauricella, G. Sulla funzioni ipergeometriche a più variabili. *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* **7** (1893), 111–158.
- [7] McCrea, W. H. and Whipple, F. J. W. Random Paths in Two and Three Dimensions. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **60** (1940), 281–298.
- [8] Montroll, E. W. Random Walks in Multidimensional Spaces, Especially on Periodic Lattices. *J. SIAM* **4** (1956), 241–260.
- [9] Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F. and Clark, C. W. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [10] Pólya, G. Über eine Aufgabe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung betreffend die Irrfahrt im Straßennetz. *Math. Ann.* **84** (1921), 149–160.
- [11] Prudnikov A.B., Brychkov Yu.A., Marichev O.I. *Integrals and series*. Volume 4. *Direct Laplace Transforms*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1992.
- [12] Srivastava, H. M. and Karlsson, P. W. *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*. Chichester, England: Ellis Horwood, 1985.
- [13] Watson, G. N. Three Triple Integrals. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2* **10** (1939), 266–276.