University of Massachusetts Amherst

[ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/)

[Civil and Environmental Engineering Faculty](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cee_faculty_pubs)

Civil and Environmental Engineering

2023

Peace-Athabasca Delta water surface elevations and slopes mapped from AirSWOT Ka-band InSAR

Colin J. Gleason

et. al.

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cee_faculty_pubs](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cee_faculty_pubs?utm_source=scholarworks.umass.edu%2Fcee_faculty_pubs%2F859&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Gleason, Colin J. and et. al., "Peace-Athabasca Delta water surface elevations and slopes mapped from AirSWOT Ka-band InSAR" (2023). Remote Sensing Letters. 859. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil and Environmental Engineering at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Civil and Environmental Engineering Faculty Publication Series by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

Remote Sensing Letters

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: [www.tandfonline.com/journals/trsl20](https://www.tandfonline.com/journals/trsl20?src=pdf)

Peace-Athabasca Delta water surface elevations and slopes mapped from AirSWOT Ka-band InSAR

Laurence C. Smith, Jessica V. Fayne, Bo Wang, Ethan D. Kyzivat, Colin J. Gleason, Merritt E. Harlan, Theodore Langhorst, Dongmei Feng, Tamlin M. Pavelsky & Daniel L. Peters

To cite this article: Laurence C. Smith, Jessica V. Fayne, Bo Wang, Ethan D. Kyzivat, Colin J. Gleason, Merritt E. Harlan, Theodore Langhorst, Dongmei Feng, Tamlin M. Pavelsky & Daniel L. Peters (2023) Peace-Athabasca Delta water surface elevations and slopes mapped from AirSWOT Ka-band InSAR, Remote Sensing Letters, 14:12, 1238-1250, DOI: [10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464>

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

6

[View supplementary material](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/suppl/10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464) \mathbb{Z}

Published online: 22 Nov 2023.

 \overrightarrow{S} [Submit your article to this journal](https://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?journalCode=trsl20&show=instructions&src=pdf) \overrightarrow{S}

III Article views: 626

 $\overline{\text{O}}$ [View related articles](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/mlt/10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464?src=pdf) \mathbb{C}^{\bullet}

[View Crossmark data](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464&domain=pdf&date_stamp=22 Nov 2023) \mathbb{Z}

a OPEN ACCESS

Check for updates

Peace-Athabasca Delta water surface elevations and slopes mapped from AirSWOT Ka-band InSAR

Laurence C. Smit[h](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6866-5904) $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$ $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$ $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$, Jessi[c](#page-2-1)a V. Fayne \mathbf{D}^c \mathbf{D}^c \mathbf{D}^c , Bo Wang $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$, Ethan D. Kyzivat $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$, Colin J. Gleason D^{[d](#page-2-2)}, Merritt E. Harlan^d, Theodore Langhorst^e, Dongmei Feng^{[f](#page-2-4)}, Tamlin M. Pav[e](#page-2-3)lsky **D**^e and Daniel L. Peters^g

^aInstitute at Brown for Environment and Society, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; ^bDepartment of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; 'Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan - Ann Arbor, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; dDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA; ^eDepartment of Earth, Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ^fDepartment of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA; Watershed Hydrology and Ecology Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, University of Victoria Queenswood Campus, Victoria, BC, Canada

ABSTRACT

In late2023 the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) satellite mission will releaseunprecedented high-resolution measurements of water surface elevation (WSE) andwater surface slope (WSS) globally. SWOT's exciting Ka-band near-nadirwideswath interferometric radar (InSAR) technology could transform studies of surfacewater hydrology, but remains highly experimental. We examine Airborne SWOT(AirSWOT) data acquired twice over Canada's Peace-Athabasca Delta (PAD), alarge, low-gradient, ecologically important riverine wetland complex. Whilenoisy and susceptible to "dark water" (low-return) data losses, spatiallyaveraged AirSWOT WSE observations reveal a broad-scale water-level decline of ~44cmn (σ =271 cm) between 9 July and 13 August 2017, similar to a \sim 56 cm decline (σ =33 cm) recorded by four in situ gauging stations.River flow directions and WSS are correctly inferred following filtering andreach-averaging of AirSWOT data, but ~10 km reaches are essential to retrieve them.July AirSWOT observations suggest steeper WSS down an alternate flow course(Embarras River–Mamawi Creek distributary) of the Athabasca River, consistentwith field surveys conducted the following year. This signifies potential forthe Athabasca River to avulse northward into Mamawi Lake, with transformativeimpacts on flooding, sedimentation, ecology, and human activities in the PAD.Although AirSWOT differs from SWOT, we conclude SWOT Ka-band InSAR observationsmay detect water level changes and avulsion potentials in other lowgradientdeltas globally.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 5 July 2023 Accepted 3 November 2023

KEYWORDS

AirSWOT; SWOT; radar remote sensing; wetlands; river avulsion; hydrology

CONTACT Laurence C. Smith and laurence_smith@brown.edu **Institute at Brown for Environment and Society**, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2023.2280464>

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

1. Introduction

The Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) satellite radar mission that was launched on 16 December 2022 (Tollefson [2022](#page-14-0); <https://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/>) is the first near-nadir wide-swath Ka-band imaging altimeter in space (Durand et al. [2010](#page-12-0); Peral and Esteban-Fernandez [2018\)](#page-14-1). Using two radar antennae and interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) processing, SWOT measures water surface elevation (WSE) directly from free-water surfaces, unlike pioneering InSAR approaches requiring 'double-bounce' returns from flooded vegetation (e.g., Alsdorf et al. [2000;](#page-12-1) Alsdorf, Smith, and Melack [2001;](#page-12-2) Wdowinski et al. [2008](#page-14-2)). SWOT will map WSE globally in unprecedented detail, with 1 km spatial resolution over oceans. Over land, SWOT will observe WSE for lakes as small as $(250 \text{ m})^2$, and WSE and water surface slope (WSS) for rivers as narrow as 100 m wide (JPL Internal Document [2018](#page-13-0)).

Prior to SWOT, near-nadir wide-swath Ka-band measurements of WSE and WSS were demonstrated with AirSWOT, an airborne platform developed by the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory as a testbed and validation instrument for SWOT (Moller et al. [2011\)](#page-13-1). Past AirSWOT targets include the Tanana River, Alaska (Altenau et al. [2017](#page-12-3), [2019](#page-12-4)), the Sacramento River and Piute Ponds, California (Baney et al. [2014;](#page-12-5) Pitcher et al. [2014](#page-14-3)); the Willamette River, Oregon (Tuozzolo et al. [2019\)](#page-14-4), and the Mississippi River Delta (Denbina et al. [2019](#page-12-6); [2021](#page-12-7); [2019b\)](#page-12-6). In 2017, an extensive radar data collection (128 flight lines covering 22,775 km^2 and 23 degrees of latitude) and high-resolution colour-infrared camera water mask (Kyzivat et al. [2018](#page-13-2), [2019](#page-13-3)) were acquired by AirSWOT in Alaska and western Canada for the NASA Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE) (Fayne et al. [2019,](#page-13-4) [2020;](#page-13-5) Miller et al. [2019\)](#page-13-6).

Simultaneous ground-based field campaigns deployed under these previous flight campaigns established good accuracy of spatially averaged WSE and WSS observations. Relative to in situ GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) and/or permanent gauging station measurements, AirSWOT root-mean-square errors (RMSE) were \sim 8-15 cm for WSE (after spatial averaging of areas 1.0–0.0625 km², respectively) and ~ 1.0–1.5 cm km⁻¹ for WSS (for river reaches ~10 km long, Altenau et al. [2017](#page-12-3), [2019](#page-12-4); Pitcher et al. [2019;](#page-14-5) Tuozzolo et al. [2019\)](#page-14-4). In general, longer reaches improve WSS estimation accuracy (Altenau et al. [2019;](#page-12-4) Denbina et al., [2019](#page-12-6); Langhorst et al., [2019](#page-13-7)). Scientific discoveries from AirSWOT include improved understanding of surface water gradients and subsurface permafrost (Pitcher et al. [2019](#page-14-5)), stability of WSS profiles (Altenau et al. [2019](#page-12-4)), and fundamental Kaband backscattering physics (Fayne and Smith [2021](#page-13-8), [2023;](#page-13-9) Fayne et al. [2023](#page-13-10)).

AirSWOT and SWOT hold promise for mapping WSE changes and channel flow directions in low-gradient wetland and delta environments, which are challenging to determine from land surface DEMs. One such system is the Peace-Athabasca Delta (PAD) in northern Alberta, Canada, a ~6000 km² inland river delta complex that was mapped twice in 2017 during the ABoVE AirSWOT campaigns ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)). Formed by the confluence of the Peace, Athabasca, and Birch Rivers, the PAD is a RAMSAR Wetland of International Importance and dominant feature of the Wood Buffalo National Park UNESCO World Heritage Site. PAD surface hydrology consists of a complex mosaic of rivers, distributary channels, lakes, and wetlands (**Figures S1, S2**) with varying degrees of hydrological connectivity (Kay et al. [2019](#page-13-11); Long and Pavelsky [2013](#page-13-12); Neary et al. [2021](#page-13-13); Pavelsky and Smith [2008,](#page-14-6) [2009;](#page-14-7) Smith and

Figure 1. The Peace-Athabasca Delta (PAD), Canada. Outlined in grey is a 1500 km² mosaic of the central PAD imaged twice by AirSWOT on 9 July and 13 August 2017. Four Water Survey of Canada gauges recorded in situ water levels on both dates (red bullseye symbols). In 2018, in-situ longitudinal GNSS profiles of water surface elevation were collected in rivers and distributary channels by boat (cyan dots).

Pavelsky, [2009;](#page-14-8) Timoney [2013](#page-14-9)). While the overall flow directions of PAD river channels are well-known, most are low gradient (<10 cm km^{-1}) and certain channels connecting the PAD to the Peace River are susceptible to flow reversals and/or backwater effects (e.g., Quatre Fourches, Peters and Buttle [2010;](#page-14-10) Timoney [2013](#page-14-9)). A major avulsion (channel abandonment for a new flow path on a lower elevation on the floodplain) of the Athabasca River (the PAD's most direct source of water) into its enlarging Embarras River – Mamawi Creek (EM) distributary channel may be imminent, with potentially transformative long-term impacts to PAD hydrology, ecology, and human use (Wang et al. [2023\)](#page-14-11). For further description see **Supplemental Material**.

Here, we use the 9 July and 13 August 2017 AirSWOT datasets to assess PAD channel WSE, WSS, flow directions, and a potential avulsion path for the Athabasca River. First, we process AirSWOT elevation data to retrieve spatially averaged WSE, WSS, and infer river flow directions. Next, we compare surface gradients of the Athabasca River and its recently opened EM distributary, using AirSWOT and in-situ field GNSS WSE surveys collected the following year. Finally, we assess the importance of river reach-averaging length for WSS estimation. We conclude with a discussion of our findings and their implications for hydrologic studies of the PAD and other low-gradient wetlands and deltas using AirSWOT and SWOT Kaband InSAR.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. AirSWOT observations and data processing

AirSWOT flights over the PAD took place on 9 July and 13 August 2017 [\(Figure 1](#page-4-0)). Five 3.4 km wide, slightly overlapping parallel swaths were flown in a $N - S$ configuration, with west and east radar look directions corresponding to N and S flight lines, respectively. The primary AirSWOT data product analysed here is the 'elevation' product, with coherence, magnitude, incidence angle, and elevation uncertainty products used for data filtering and water masking as per Fayne et al. [\(2020\)](#page-13-5) (**see SM**). These filtering and masking steps reduced data coverage over water bodies to 15% (July) and 27% (August) of total mapped water area. The filtered data were spatially averaged to a 125 m grid to produce July, August, and composite maps of WSE and standard deviation (*σ*). Domain-wide July and August WSE values were determined, averaged, and differenced to estimate a seasonal summer drawdown of PAD water levels (**Figure S3**). To derive WSS throughout the study area, channel centerlines were obtained from the SWOT Rivers Database (SWORD v.12, Altenau et al. [2021](#page-12-8)) for intersection with gridded AirSWOT WSE retrievals throughout the study area. For the EM and Athabasca River data, ordinary-least-squares and loess regressions were applied to enable comparison with in situ field observations acquired the following year. For descriptions of these methods see **Supplemental Material**.

2.2. In situ observations and data processing

Four permanent Water Survey of Canada gauging stations provided daily stage observations during both AirSWOT overflights [\(Figure 1,](#page-4-0) Table S1). Daily stage observations for 9 July and 13 August 2017 were downloaded from [https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/map/](https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/map/index_e.html?type=historical) index e.html?type=historical and differenced to obtain four point locations of WSE change during the two dates of AirSWOT flight acquisitions (**Table S1**).

One year after the 2017 AirSWOT campaigns, we collected high-quality longitudinal GNSS surveys of WSE throughout the PAD, using the boat-based method of Pitcher et al. ([2020\)](#page-14-12) from 4–26 August 2018 (Wang et al. [2023](#page-14-11); [2023;](#page-14-13) [Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). While these field measurements cannot be directly compared with AirSWOT WSE or WSS due to their asynchronous timing, they offer an independent dataset of EM and Athabasca River hydraulic gradients useful for qualitative comparison. GNSS WSE measurements were logged at 1 Hz sampling frequency from a slowly moving motorboat, using a Septentrio PolaRX5 GNSS receiver and a PolaNt-x MF antenna and Precise Point Positioning (PPP) data processing. For further description see **Supplemental Material**.

3. Results

The 2017 AirSWOT flight campaigns retrieved Ka-band backscatter, water surface elevations, and water surface slopes for many of the rivers, lakes, and wetlands within a \sim 1500 km² area of the PAD [\(Figure 2](#page-6-0)). Spatially heterogenous areas of low radar backscatter (so-called 'dark water', Denbina et al. [\(2019](#page-12-6))) caused by low wind speeds (<3 m/s, Fayne and Smith [2023\)](#page-13-9) produced numerous data gaps, especially at far-range ([Figure 2b](#page-6-0)). This dark water, together with viewing geometries perpendicular to some

Figure 2. AirSWOT observations of the PAD: a) Close-up of Figure 1, showing 2017 AirSWOT flight coverage and 2018 in situ WSE profiles (in cyan); b) AirSWOT composite Ka-band backscatter (9 July and 13 August); c) AirSWOT surface elevations (see Figure 3 for legend); d) AirSWOT water surface slopes for rivers and major distributary channels (red= -3 cm km⁻¹, orange = 0 cm km⁻¹, blue = 3 cm km^{-1}).

river channels (Denbina et al. [2019\)](#page-12-6) and data noise, created sizable WSE data losses, yielding 15% and 27% water body coverage for July and August, respectively. This WSE coverage is notably lower than $a \sim 70\%$ average for the entire 2017 ABoVE collection (Fayne et al. [2020](#page-13-5)). However, spatial averaging of available WSE observations nonetheless yields useful measurements, with derived WSEs of 180–185 m above mean sea level (WGS84 ellipsoid, or 210–215 m a.s.l. if corrected to the EGM 96 geoid) conforming with expectations. Averaged across the study domain, AirSWOT-derived water levels were ~44 cm lower in August than in July (*σ* = 271 cm), consistent with ~56 cm lowering (*σ* = 33 cm) measured by four Water Survey of Canada gauges (**Table S1**).

AirSWOT-derived WSE maps correctly identify known channel flow directions ([Figures](#page-6-0) [2d, 3\)](#page-6-0), as well as virtually flat channels known to be susceptible to flow reversals (e.g., in orange, [Figure 2d](#page-6-0)). In general, rivers display higher WSE values upstream and lower values downstream as expected. Inferred flow directions are eastward and northward along the Athabasca River and Embarras-Mamawi distributary, consistent with a priori knowledge

Figure 3. Composite AirSWOT water surface elevations on 9 July and 13 August 2017. Black arrows indicate the east-flowing course of the Athabasca River; red arrows indicate the north-flowing course of the Embarras-Mamawi (EM) distributary channel.

(black and red arrows, [Figure 3\)](#page-7-0). Lakes display little or no surface gradient with similar WSE values among proximal water bodies ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)).

Comparison of longitudinal AirSWOT WSE profiles down the Athabasca River and EM distributary suggest a steeper hydraulic gradient of the EM during high-water conditions (gauging station 07DD011 stage = 9.10 m) on 9 July 2017 [\(Figure 4\)](#page-8-0). On this day, ensemble ordinary-least-squares regressions from the AirSWOT data yield an average WSS of −10.0 cm km⁻¹ (EM) and −9.1 cm km⁻¹ (Athabasca) with an ensemble spread of 3.0 cm km⁻¹ and 2.8 cm km−1 respectively. While asynchronous with AirSWOT, a similar phenomenon is evident in our longitudinal field GNSS surveys (Wang et al. [2023](#page-14-11)) collected the following summer when water levels were nearly as high (07DD011 stage = 8.84 m) averaging -8 cm km^{-1} for the EM (5 August 2018) and −4 cm km−1 for the Athabasca (13 August 2018). On 13 August 2017 when water levels were lower (stage = 8.18 m) AirSWOT ensemble slopes were more similar between the two channels, averaging −9.1 cm km⁻¹ (EM) and −9.6 cm km⁻¹ (Athabasca) with

Figure 4. Comparisons between 2017 AirSWOT and 2018 field surveys. WSE profiles down the Athabasca River and Embarras-Mamawi (EM) distributary channel were sampled on 9 July and 13 August 2017 by AirSWOT (c, e); and on 5 August (EM) and 13 August (Athabasca) 2018, respectively, by boat GNSS surveys (d, f). Because the AirSWOT and GNSS data were collected in different years, the absolute elevation values are different (a, b), requiring application of a bias shift to the GNSS data to better align them with AirSWOT observations for visualization purposes (d, f). 2017 AirSWOT data are also presented with 2018 GNSS data for visual comparison (d, f).

Figure 5. Influence of AirSWOT reach-averaging length on low-gradient water surface slope (WSS) estimation. The mean detected WSS throughout the PAD study area is <3 cm km⁻¹ at longer reachaveraging lengths. In general, a reach-averaging length of at least ~10 km is necessary to obtain persistently negative (i.e., flowing downstream) WSS.

an ensemble spread of 3.1 cm km^{-1} (EM) and 1.0 cm km^{-1} . The July AirSWOT and in situ field measurements thus suggest hydraulic conditions favourable for avulsion of the Athabasca River into its EM distributary channel during high discharge conditions, when the EM displays a steeper slope towards a lower elevation on the floodplain.

This conclusion is tempered by the fact that channel width and reach-averaging length significantly impact estimation of WSE and WSS ([Figures 4, 5](#page-8-0)), making estimations for narrow channels challenging. The Mamawi Creek portion of the EM channel is just ~ 30– 50 m wide, whereas the Athabasca River is about ~ 250–375 m wide. After bias correcting the 2017 AirSWOT data to mitigate water level differences with 2018 GNSS data [\(Figures 4](#page-8-0) [\(a,b\)](#page-8-0)), this yields higher mean absolute error for the EM (47 cm in July and 36 cm in August) than the Athabasca River (15 cm in both months). Furthermore, choice of reachaveraging length exerts a profound effect on AirSWOT-derived WSS, greater even than temporal differences between July and August ([Figure 5\)](#page-9-0). A minimum reach-averaging length of at least \sim 10 km appears necessary to confidently infer flow direction (i.e., persistently negative slopes) and WSS in low-gradient PAD rivers [\(Figure 5\)](#page-9-0).

4. Discussion and conclusion

Despite dark water and noisy elevation data, the 2017 AirSWOT flight campaigns successfully mapped water flow directions, surface elevations, and surface slopes on the PAD after standard data filtering and spatial averaging. This conclusion is supported by a priori knowledge of channel flow directions [\(Figures 2 and](#page-6-0) [3\)](#page-7-0), in situ observations from four permanent gauges (**Table S1**), and our field GNSS surveys acquired the following year [\(Figure 4](#page-8-0)). The domain-averaged \sim 44 cm (σ = 271 cm) drawdown of PAD water levels observed between 9 July and 13 August is noisy but broadly consistent with the gauges (\sim 56 cm, σ = 33 cm). It is 1246 $\left(\rightarrow\right)$ L. C. SMITH ET AL.

also consistent with summer 2017 drawdowns observed elsewhere in the ABoVE domain by AirSWOT (Fayne et al. [2020](#page-13-5)); and from Planet smallsat imagery (Cooley et al. [2019](#page-12-9)). Our study thus affirms the PAD's general synchroneity with seasonal inundation cycles across boreal North America and, more generally, joins a small but growing AirSWOT literature affirming the utility of near-nadir wide-swath Ka-band InSAR for mapping water surface elevations and slopes (Altenau et al. [2017](#page-12-3), [2019;](#page-12-4) Denbina et al. [2019;](#page-12-6) Fayne et al. [2020](#page-13-5); Pitcher et al. [2019](#page-14-5); Tuozzolo et al. [2019\)](#page-14-4), including for low-relief wetland environments like the PAD.

Abundant data gaps from dark water make AirSWOT WSS retrievals highly sensitive to reach-averaging length [\(Figure 5](#page-9-0)). Despite this, determining flow directions through the low-gradient PAD channel network becomes straightforward if reach averaging lengths exceed ~10 km. This suggests that AirSWOT (and SWOT) could infer channel flow patterns in other, less studied low-gradient wetlands and deltas globally, especially if >10 km reachaveraging lengths are used. While the nominal reach length of the SWOT Rivers Database is 10 km, SWORD also contains many reaches <10 km so users of forthcoming SWOT observations should carefully examine WSE and WSS retrievals in shorter reach lengths to assess their data quality. That said, the dark water reported here for AirSWOT derives from a far larger range of incidence angles $(2.8^{\circ}-15^{\circ})$ than is observed by SWOT $(0.5^{\circ}-4.1^{\circ})$, thus increasing specular scattering and data loss. Ground experiments using a bridge-mounted Ka-band radar report a steep drop-off in backscatter at incidence angles \sim 5–7 \degree (Figrtoft et al. [2013;](#page-13-14) Moller and Esteban-Fernandez [2014\)](#page-13-15); and a recent study of AirSWOT backscatter from ~ 11,000 lakes in the ABoVE domain (including the PAD) finds that wind speeds of just \sim 2 m/s yield bright radar returns (>10 dB) in the near-range (2.8°–5.7°) (Fayne and Smith [2023](#page-13-9)). The abundant dark water and consequently long reach-averaging lengths described here may be less problematic for SWOT, owing to its smaller incidence angles.

AirSWOT mapping of the EM distributary channel suggests it has a slightly steeper gradient than the Athabasca River during high-water conditions in July, as do in situ GNSS surveys collected the following year ([Figure 4\)](#page-8-0). While not the sole driver of river avulsions, hydraulic gradient advantage is a leading factor (Ethridge, Skelly, and Bristow [1999](#page-12-10); Jones and Schumm [1999;](#page-13-16) Slingerland and Smith [1998](#page-14-14)). Therefore, our findings support Wang et al. ([2023](#page-14-11)) who find potential for the Athabasca River to avulse into the EM using additional lines of evidence (increasing EM discharge, channel widening, and contrasting delta growth rate). While we cannot comment on the probability or pace of such an avulsion, its occurrence would profoundly transform the PAD's inundation patterns, ecology, and human use by diverting its largest direct source of water and sediment northward towards Mamawi Lake rather than eastward towards Lake Athabasca. The EM thus warrants focused field study to assess bank cohesion, bed sill height and grain size, and other factors that also influence whether an avulsion progresses or fails after breaching a new flow course (Slingerland and Smith [1998](#page-14-14), [2004\)](#page-14-15). Such work would provide critical physical parameters needed for sediment transport modelling to estimate a probability and timeline for an avulsion.

In addition to dark water and data noise, limitations of this study include lack of perpendicular flight paths and narrow swath overlaps, which hinder data calibration and reduce data volume. Recent Mississippi River AirSWOT campaigns (Denbina et al. [2021;](#page-12-7) [2021b](#page-12-11)) demonstrate that greater flight line overlap retains 50% or more of the swath. Future AirSWOT campaigns should 1) file flight plans having both perpendicular and parallel swaths, with at least ~ 30% overlap of the latter (Denbina et al. [2019;](#page-12-6) Fayne et al. [2020](#page-13-5)); 2) acquire data during wind speeds >3 m/s to reduce dark water (Fayne and Smith [2023\)](#page-13-9); and 3) collect simultaneous GNSS elevations for calibration/validation (formal protocols for this have been developed by the SWOT mission Calibration/Validation team, JPL [2018](#page-13-0)). Implementing these recommendations in future AirSWOT flight campaigns would reduce data gaps, improve WSE and WSS retrievals, and perhaps enable shorter reach-averaging lengths.

Regardless of these limitations, AirSWOT near-nadir wide-swath Ka-band InSAR assessed channel WSE, WSS, flow directions, and avulsion potential of the low-gradient Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada. AirSWOT (and SWOT) data enable computation of WSE and WSS in a way that is otherwise difficult to obtain without in situ GNSS. Our findings thus qualitatively support the simulations of Desrochers et al. ([2023](#page-12-12)), who predict that SWOT observations should significantly improve spatio-temporal understanding of PAD hydrology. Dark water was common and a >10 km reach-averaging length essential for estimating WSS. However, Kaband InSAR appears to offer a promising new approach for studying surface water elevations, slopes, flow directions, and avulsion potentials in low-gradient floodplains and deltas, portending exciting new research opportunities for AirSWOT and the SWOT mission.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by a NASA SWOT Science Team grant (80NSSC20K1144S) managed by Dr. Nadya Vinogradova-Shiffer. The original 2017 AirSWOT flight campaigns were funded by the NASA Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (NNX17AC60A). JVF acknowledges a Future Investigators in Earth and Space Science and Technology (FINESST) graduate fellowship (80NSSC19K1377). We thank two anonymous reviewers for helpful, constructive comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration [80NSSC20K1144S, NNX17AC60A, 80NSSC19K1377].

ORCID

Laurence C. Smith **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6866-5904 Jessica V. Fayne **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2352-546X Bo Wang **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9786-2747 Ethan D. Kyzivat **http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4748-2938** Colin J. Gleason **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3525-6220 Tamlin M. Pavelsky **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0613-3838

Data availability statement

AirSWOT radar data (Fayne et al. [2019\)](#page-13-4) are available from <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1646>. AirSWOT colour-infrared camera water mask (Kyzivat et al. [2018](#page-13-2)) are available from [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1643) [10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1643.](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1643) Field GNSS data (Wang et al. [2023\)](#page-14-13) are available from [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7622504) 1248 \bigodot L. C. SMITH ET AL.

[5281/zenodo.7622504](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7622504). Water Survey of Canada gauging station data are available from [https://](https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/map/index_e.html?type=historical) wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/map/index_e.html?type=historical.

Geolocation information

The approximate geographical centre of our study area is 58.67° N, 111.42° W

References

- Alsdorf, D. E., J. M. Melack, T. Dunne, L. A. K. Mertes, L. L. Hess, and L. C. Smith. [2000](#page-3-0). "Interferometric Radar Measurements of Water Level Change on the Amazon Flood Plain." *Nature* 404 (6774): 174–177. [https://doi.org/10.1038/35004560.](https://doi.org/10.1038/35004560)
- Alsdorf, D. E., L. C. Smith, and J. M. Melack. [2001](#page-3-0). "Amazon Water Level Changes Measured with Interferometric SIR-C Radar." *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 39 (2): 423–431. <https://doi.org/10.1109/36.905250>.
- Altenau, E. H., T. M. Pavelsky, M. T. Durand, X. Yang, R. P. D. M. Frasson, and L. Bendezu. [2021.](#page-5-0) "The Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) Mission River Database (SWORD): A Global River Network for Satellite Data Products." *Water Resources Research* 2021 (7): 57. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030054) [1029/2021WR030054](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030054) .
- Altenau, E. H., T. M. Pavelsky, D. Moller, C. Lion, L. H. Pitcher, G. H. Allen, P. D. Bates, S. Calmant, M. Durand, and L. C. Smith. [2017.](#page-3-1) "AirSwot Measurements of River Water Surface Elevation and Slope: Tanana River, AK." *Geophysical Research Letters* 44 (1): 181–189. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071577) [2016GL071577](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071577).
- Altenau, E. H., T. M. Pavelsky, D. Moller, L. H. Pitcher, P. D. Bates, M. T. Durand, and L. C. Smith. [2019](#page-3-2). "Temporal Variations in River Water Surface Elevation and Slope Captured by AirSwot." *Remote Sensing of Environment* 224:304–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.02.002>.
- Baney, O. N., L. C. Smith, L. H. Pitcher, C. J. Gleason, V. W. Chu, M. M. Bennett, T. Pavelsky, and G. A. Sadowy. [2014.](#page-3-3) "First Airswot Ka-Band Radar Backscatter Returns Over a Complex California Wetland, American Geophysical Union **2014**." *Fall Meeting* H43H–1046.
- Cooley, S. W., L. C. Smith, J. C. Ryan, L. H. Pitcher, and T. M. Pavelsky. [2019](#page-10-0). "Arctic‐Boreal Lake Dynamics Revealed Using CubeSat Imagery." *Geophysical Research Letters* 46 (4): 2111–2120. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081584.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081584)
- Denbina, M. W., M. Simard, and E. Rodriguez. [2021b](#page-10-1) . *Delta-X: AirSwot L2 Geocoded Water Surface Elevation, MRD, Louisiana*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA:ORNL DAAC. [https://doi.org/10.3334/](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2070) [ORNLDAAC/2070](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2070).
- Denbina, M., M. Simard, E. Rodriguez, X. Wu, A. Chen, and T. Pavelsky. [2019.](#page-3-4) "Mapping Water Surface Elevation and Slope in the Mississippi River Delta Using the AirSwot Ka-Band Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar." *Remote Sensing* 11 (23): 2739. [https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11232739.](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11232739)
- Denbina, M. W., M. Simard, E. Rodriguez, X. Wu, and C. Michailovsky. [2021](#page-3-5). *Pre-Delta-X: L3 AirSwot-Derived Water Level Profiles, Wax Lake Outlet, LA, USA, 2015*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA: ORNL DAAC. [https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1819.](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1819)
- Desrochers, N. M., D. L. Peters, G. Siles, E. Cauvier Charest, M. Trudel, and R. Leconte. [2023.](#page-11-0) "A Remote Sensing View of the 2020 Extreme Lake-Expansion Flood Event into the Peace–Athabasca Delta Floodplain—Implications for the Future SWOT Mission." *Remote Sensing* 15 (5): 1278. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15051278) [org/10.3390/rs15051278](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15051278).
- Durand, M., L.-L. Fu, D. P. Lettenmaier, D. E. Alsdorf, E. Rodriguez, and D. Esteban-Fernandez. [2010](#page-3-6). "The Surface Water and Ocean Topography Mission: Observing Terrestrial Surface Water and Oceanic Submesoscale Eddies." Proceedings of the IEEE 98 (5): 766–779. [https://doi.org/10.1109/](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2010.2043031) [JPROC.2010.2043031.](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2010.2043031)
- Ethridge, F. G., R. L. Skelly, and C. S. Bristow. [1999.](#page-10-2) "Avulsion and Crevassing in the Sandy, Braided Niobrara River: Complex Response to Baselevel Rise and Aggradation." *Fluvial Sedimentology VI* 1999:179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304213.ch14> .
- Fayne, J. V., and L. C. Smith; Characterization of Near-Nadir Ka-Band Scattering from Wet Surfaces. In *IEEE Int. Geosci. Remote Sens. Symp*. [2021](#page-3-7), <https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS47720.2021.9553413>
- Fayne, J. V., and L. C. Smith. [2023.](#page-3-7) "How Does Wind Influence Near-Nadir and Low-Incidence Ka-Band Radar Backscatter and Coherence from Small Inland Water Bodies?" *Remote Sensing* 15 (13): 3361. [https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15133361.](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15133361)
- Fayne, J. V., L. C. Smith, T.-H. Liao, L. H. Pitcher, M. Denbina, A. C. Chen, M. Simard, C. W. Chen, and B. A. Williams. [2023.](#page-3-7) "Characterizing Near-Nadir and Low Incidence Ka-Band SAR Backscatter from Wet Surfaces and Diverse Land Covers." *Revision, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3317502> .
- Fayne, J. V., L. C. Smith, L. H. Pitcher, E. D. Kyzivat, S. W. Cooley, M. G. Cooper, M. Denbina, A. Chen, C. Chen, and T. M. Pavelsky. [2020.](#page-3-8) "Airborne Observations of Arctic-Boreal Water Surface Elevations from AirSwot Ka-Band InSar and LVIS LiDar." *Environmental Research Letters* 15 (10): 105005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abadcc>.
- Fayne, J. V., L. C. Smith, L. H. Pitcher, and T. M. Pavelsky. [2019.](#page-3-9) *ABoVe: AirSwot Ka-Band Radar Over Surface Waters of Alaska and Canada, 2017*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA: ORNL DAAC. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1646) [org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1646.](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1646)
- Fjørtoft, Roger, Jean-Marc Gaudin, Nadine Pourthié, Jean-Claude Lalaurie, Alain Mallet, Jean-François Nouvel, Joseph Martinot-Lagarde et al. [2013](#page-10-3). "KaRin on SWOT: Characteristics of Near-Nadir Ka-Band Interferometric SAR Imagery." *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing: A Publication of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society* 52 (4): 2172–2185. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2013.2258402) [doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2013.2258402.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2013.2258402)
- Jet Propulsion Laboratory, SWOT Calibration/Validation Plan, JPL Publication D-75724, [2018,](#page-3-10) pp. 152
- Jones, L. S., and S. A. Schumm. [1999.](#page-10-2) "Causes of Avulsion: An Overview". In *Fluvial Sedimentology VI*, In edited by N. D. Smith, and J. Rogers, 169–178.[https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304213.ch13.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304213.ch13)
- Kay, M. L., J. A. Wiklund, C. R. Remmer, L. K. Neary, K. Brown, A. Ghosh, E. MacDonald. [2019](#page-3-11). "Bi-Directional Hydrological Changes in Perched Basins of the Athabasca Delta (Canada) in Recent Decades Caused by Natural Processes." *Environmental Research Communications* 1 (8): 081001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ab37e7>.
- Kyzivat, E. D., L. C. Smith, L. H. Pitcher, J. Arvesen, T. M. Pavelsky, S. W. Cooley, and S. Topp. [2018](#page-3-12). *ABoVe: AirSwot Color-Infrared Imagery Over Alaska and Canada, 2017*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA: ORNL DAAC. [https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1643.](https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1643)
- Kyzivat, E. D., L. C. Smith, L. H. Pitcher, J. V. Fayne, S. W. Cooley, M. G. Cooper, S. N. Topp, et al. [2019](#page-3-12). "A High-Resolution Airborne Color-Infrared Camera Water Mask for the NASA ABoVe Campaign." *Remote Sensing* 11 (18): 2163. [https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11182163.](https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11182163)
- Langhorst, T., T. M. Pavelsky, R. P. D. M. Frasson, R. Wei, A. Domeneghetti, E. H. Altenau, M. T. Durand, J. T. Minear, K. W. Wegmann, and M. R. Fuller. [2019.](#page-3-4) "Anticipated Improvements to River Surface Elevation Profiles from the Surface Water and Ocean Topography Mission." *Frontiers in Earth Science* 7 (102). [https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00102.](https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00102)
- Long, C. M., and T. M. Pavelsky. [2013](#page-3-13). "Remote Sensing of Suspended Sediment Concentration and Hydrologic Connectivity in a Complex Wetland Environment." *Remote Sensing of Environment* 129:197–209. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.019)
- Miller, C. E., P. C. Griffith, S. J. Goetz, E. E. Hoy, N. Pinto, I. B. McCubbin, A. K. Thorpe, et al. [2019](#page-3-8). "An overview of ABoVE airborne campaign data acquisitions and science opportunities." *Environmental Research Letters* 14 (8): 080201. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab0d44.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab0d44)
- Moller, D., and D. Esteban-Fernandez. [2014](#page-10-3). "Near-Nadir Ka-Band Field Observations of Freshwater Bodies." In *Remote Sensing of the Terrestrial Water Cycle*, edited by V. Lakshmi, D. Alsdorf, M. Anderson, S. Biancamaria, M. Cosh, J. Entin, G. Huffman, W. Kustas, P. van Oevelen, T. Painter, J. Parajka, M. Rodell, and C. Rüdiger. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118872086.ch9>.
- Moller, D., E. Rodriguez, J. Carswell, and D. Esteban-Fernandez AirSwot—A Calibration/Validation Platform for the SWOT Mission. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Geosci. Remote Sens. Symp*. [2011,](#page-3-14) Vancouver, BC, Canada, 24–29 July 2011.
- Neary, L. K., C. R. Remmer, J. Krist, B. B. Wolfe, and R. I. Hall. [2021.](#page-3-13) "A New Lake Classification Scheme for the Peace-Athabasca Delta (Canada) Characterizes Hydrological Processes That Cause

Lake-Level Variation." *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 38:100948. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100948) [ejrh.2021.100948](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100948) .

- Pavelsky, T. M., and L. C. Smith. [2008.](#page-3-13) "Remote Sensing of Hydrologic Recharge in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada." *Geophysical Research Letters* 35 (8): L08403. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033268) [1029/2008GL033268.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL033268)
- Pavelsky, T. M., and L. C. Smith. [2009](#page-3-13). "Remote Sensing of Suspended Sediment Concentration, Flow Velocity, and Lake Recharge in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada." *Water Resources Research* 45 (11): W11417. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007424>.
- Peral, E. and D. Esteban-Fernandez. [2018](#page-3-15). "Swot Mission Performance and Error Budget." In *IGARSS 2018 - 2018 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, 8625–8628. Valencia, Spain. [http://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2018.8517385 .](http://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2018.8517385)
- Peters, D. L., and J. M. Buttle. [2010.](#page-4-1) "The Effects of Flow Regulation and Climatic Variability on Obstructed Drainage and Reverse Flow Contribution in a Northern River–Lake–Delta Complex, Mackenzie Basin Headwaters." *River Research and Applications* 26 (9): 1065–1089. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1314) [10.1002/rra.1314](https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1314).
- Pitcher, L. H., Lincoln H., Laurence C. Smith, Sarah W. Cooley, Annie Zaino, Robert Carlson, Joseph Pettit, Colin J. Gleason. et al. [2020](#page-5-1). "Advancing Field-Based GNSS Surveying for Validation of Remotely Sensed Water Surface Elevation Products." *Frontiers in Earth Science* 8:278. [https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.00278.](https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.00278)
- Pitcher, L. H., T. M. Pavelsky, L. C. Smith, D. K. Moller, E. H. Altenau, G. H. Allen, C. Lion, et al. [2019](#page-3-2). "AirSwot InSar Mapping of Surface Water Elevations and Hydraulic Gradients Across the Yukon Flats Basin, Alaska." *Water Resources Research* 55 (2): 937–953. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023274) [2018WR023274.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023274)
- Pitcher, L. H., Laurence C. Smith, Colin J. Gleason, Oliwia N. Baney, Vena W. Chu, M. M. Bennett, Tamlin Pavelsky, and Gregory A. Sadowy. [2014.](#page-3-3) "First AirSwot Interferometric Radar Water Surface Elevations and Flooded Inundation Extent from the Sacramento River and Edwards AFB Wetland Complex, California." *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting* H43H–104.
- Slingerland, R., and N. D. Smith. [1998.](#page-10-4) "Necessary Conditions for a Meandering-River Avulsion." *Geology* 26 (5): 435–438. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1998\)026<0435:NCFAMR>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026%3C0435:NCFAMR%3E2.3.CO;2).
- Slingerland, R., and N. D. Smith. [2004.](#page-10-4) "River Avulsions and Their Deposits." *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 32 (1): 257–285. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.32.101802.120201>.
- Smith, L. C., and T. M. Pavelsky. [2009.](#page-3-13) "Remote sensing of volumetric storage changes in lakes." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 34 (10): 1353–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1822> .
- Timoney, K. P. [2013](#page-4-1). *The Peace-Athabasca Delta: Portrait of a Dynamic Ecosystem*, 595. Vol. 2013. Edmonton, AB, Canada: The University of Alberta Press.
- Tollefson, J. [2022](#page-3-16). "Billion-Dollar NASA Satellite Launches to Track Earth's Water." *Nature News* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04455-0>.
- Tuozzolo, S., G. Lind, B. Overstreet, J. Mangano, M. Fonstad, M. Hagemann, R. P. M. Frasson, et al. [2019.](#page-3-17) "Estimating River Discharge with Swath Altimetry: A Proof of Concept Using AirSwot Observations." *Geophysical Research Letters* 46 (3): 1459–1466. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080771) [2018GL080771](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080771).
- Wang, B., E. Kyzivat, C. Gleason, J. V. Fayne, M. E. Harlan, T. Feng, D. Langhorst, et al. [2023](#page-5-1). "Data for "Athabasca River Avulsion Underway in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada." *Water Resources Research* 59 (3). [https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034114.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034114)
- Wang, B., L. C. Smith, C. Gleason, E. D. Kyzivat, J. V. Fayne, M. E. Harlan, T. Langhorst, et al. [2023](#page-4-2). "Athabasca River Avulsion Underway in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada." *Water Resources Research* 2023**a** (3): e2022WR034114. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034114>.
- Wdowinski, S., Sang-Wan Kim, Falk Amelung, Timothy H. Dixon, Fernando Miralles-Wilhelm, and Roy Sonenshein. [2008](#page-3-18). "Space-Based Detection of wetlands' Surface Water Level Changes from L-Band SAR Interferometry." *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112 (3): 681–696. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.06.008) [1016/j.rse.2007.06.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.06.008)