


This article was downloaded by: [López, Ezequiel José]

On: 19 February 2011

Access details: Access Details: [subscription number 933743970]

Publisher Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455064>

An h-adaptive solution of the spherical blast wave problem

Gustavo A. Ríos Rodríguez^a; Mario A. Storti^a; Ezequiel J. López^a; Sofía S. Sarraf^a

^a Centro Internacional de Métodos Computacionales en Ingeniería CIMEC Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Santa Fe, Argentina

Online publication date: 19 February 2011

To cite this Article Ríos Rodriguez, Gustavo A. , Storti, Mario A. , López, Ezequiel J. and Sarraf, Sofía S.(2011) 'An h-adaptive solution of the spherical blast wave problem', International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, 25: 1, 31 – 39

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10618562.2010.543418

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10618562.2010.543418>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

An h-adaptive solution of the spherical blast wave problem

Gustavo A. Ríos Rodríguez*, Mario A. Storti, Ezequiel J. López and Sofia S. Sarraf

Centro Internacional de Métodos Computacionales en Ingeniería CIMEC Universidad Nacional del Litoral, CONICET Güemes
3450, 3000, Santa Fe, Argentina

(Received 28 April 2010; final version received 12 November 2010)

Shock waves and contact discontinuities usually appear in compressible flows, requiring a fine mesh in order to achieve an acceptable accuracy of the numerical solution. The usage of a mesh adaptation strategy is convenient as uniform refinement of the whole mesh becomes prohibitive in three-dimensional (3D) problems. An unsteady h-adaptive strategy for unstructured finite element meshes is introduced. Non-conformity of the refined mesh and a bounded decrease in the geometrical quality of the elements are some features of the refinement algorithm. A 3D extension of the well-known refinement constraint for 2D meshes is used to enforce a smooth size transition among neighbour elements with different levels of refinement. A density-based gradient indicator is used to track discontinuities. The solution procedure is partially parallelised, i.e. the inviscid flow equations are solved in parallel with a finite element SUPG formulation with shock capturing terms while the adaptation of the mesh is sequentially performed. Results are presented for a spherical blast wave driven by a point-like explosion with an initial pressure jump of 10^5 atmospheres. The adapted solution is compared to that computed on a fixed mesh. Also, the results provided by the theory of self-similar solutions are considered for the analysis. In this particular problem, adapting the mesh to the solution accounts for approximately 4% of the total simulation time and the refinement algorithm scales almost linearly with the size of the problem.

Keywords: mesh adaptation; unstructured grids; hanging nodes; refinement constraints; blast waves; SUPG formulation

1. Introduction

Transonic and supersonic inviscid flow problems are common candidates for being adaptively solved because discontinuities usually develop in very thin regions compared to some characteristic lengths of the problem. The adaptation of unstructured meshes allows to reduce the computational effort required to solve the numerical problem since smaller elements are introduced only where they are needed. This feature has been exploited for capturing shock waves and contact surfaces in both steady and transient problems (Berger and Collela 1989, Löhner and Baum 1992, Kallinderis and Vijayan 1993, Bell *et al.* 1994, Berzins and Speares 1997, Usmani 1998, 1999, Remacle *et al.* 2002). Among the various adaptation algorithms, h-refinement is regarded as the best method for transient problems (Löhner and Baum 1992).

Since a great number of refinements and derefinements are required for adapting the mesh in unsteady problems, the refinement algorithm should be fast. In addition, a desirable feature of any adaptation method is to minimise the geometrical quality degradation of

the mesh. To address these issues, an h-refinement strategy for linear tetrahedra based uniquely on the regular 1: 8 element subdivision is adopted. No transition elements are used to match zones with different levels of refinement so that hanging nodes appear and the refined mesh is non-conforming. An extension to three-dimensional (3D) meshes of the well-known one-irregular vertex refinement constraint is used as a smoothing procedure. This refinement scheme avoids the effort of considering and managing a great number of transition templates or transition elements (Staten 1996, Löhner and Baum 1992, Remacle *et al.* 2002) for the elimination of hanging nodes and also keeps bounded the quality degradation of the mesh (Ríos Rodríguez *et al.* 2005, 2009). As a consequence, the refinement algorithm proposed in this work gives simple results, scaling almost linearly with the number of refined elements. The solution procedure is partially parallelised since the adaptation of the mesh is sequentially performed while the solution of the flow equations is computed in parallel on a Beowulf cluster (Storti 2005–2010) using the

*Corresponding author. Email: gusadrr@santafe-conicet.gov.ar

PETSc-FEM software (Storti *et al.* 1999–2010, Sonzogni *et al.* 2002). The latter is a multi physics OOP code which uses both a finite element SUPG formulation to stabilise the advective terms of the equations and shock capturing methods for the treatment of non-linear instabilities in the neighbourhood of shocks (Brooks and Hughes 1980, 1982, Hughes and Mallet 1986a,b, Tezduyar and Senga 2006). Since continuous finite element functions are used, constraints to the solution field at irregular vertices are applied. A classical density-based gradient indicator is used to mark the cells of the mesh that need to be refined (Mavriplis 1995, Berzins and Speares 1997, Waltz 2004, Young and Kwon 2005).

Both the adaptation of the mesh and the solution computation are coupled through an interface which automates the process. In this manner, the boundary conditions for the problem are specified for the starting mesh and are automatically updated later. Also, a projected state is given in order to resume the flow computation.

The described strategy is used in this work to solve the spherical blast wave problem driven by a point-like explosion. Besides, the set of ordinary differential equations derived under the Taylor–Sedov self-similar assumptions (Thorne 2002) are determined. The solutions computed with the adaptive strategy are compared to those obtained on a fixed mesh and also to the self-similar ones.

Time measurements of the two main stages in the adaptive solution procedure are realised for analysing the adaptation algorithm from the computational cost point of view as well as its scalability.

2. Refinement schemes and constraints

Since the work of Babuska and Aziz (1976) it is known that the accuracy of the solution in the finite element method strongly depends on the shape of the elements in the mesh. More recently Shewchuck (2002) describes the relationship among the interpolation error, the

condition number of the global stiffness matrix in the finite element method and the geometry of the elements. Since refinement procedures usually reduce the quality of the mesh, some care has to be taken. The approach taken in this work only applies regular (isotropic) 1:8 subdivision patterns to the elements. However, as no regular 1:8 subdivision exists for tetrahedra, a refinement scheme that shows a good trade-off between the required computational effort and the geometrical quality of the resulting tetrahedra is desirable. In Ríos Rodriguez *et al.* (2009), it is shown through numerical experiments that in most of the cases, refining a tetrahedron by joining the midpoints of its edges and choosing then the shortest diagonal of the inner octahedron (see Figure 1) allows to maximise the minimum value of the quality index for the resulting elements. Also, the successive application of this refinement scheme to the minimum quality element shows that the minimum quality diminishes only in the first refinement and then keeps constant. The geometrical quality of the tetrahedra was measured with both the minimum dihedral angle and the mean ratio shape measure η introduced by Liu and Joe (1994), namely

$$\eta(T) = \frac{12(3V)^{2/3}}{\sum_{i=1..6} l_i^2} \quad (1)$$

where V is the volume of the tetrahedron T and l_i are the lengths of its edges.

But besides the shape's quality of the elements, their size distribution also influences the condition number of the stiffness matrix in the finite element method (Shewchuck 2002). A smooth change in the size among neighbour elements in the mesh is required in this sense. Because no transition elements are used to match zones with different levels of refinement, some refinement rules must be assumed. We adopt the one-irregular vertex mesh refinement constraint which



Figure 1. Tetrahedron refinement sequence.

was initially proposed by Babuska and Rheinboldt (1978) and has been used in many commercial and academic adaptive codes since then (Remacle *et al.* 2002, Popinet 2003, Greaves 2004). The rule states that *no more than one hanging node should be shared among neighbour elements through the common edge to which the hanging node belongs.*

However, for 3D meshes the neighbourhood among elements through edges and faces as well as the refinement of *orphan* edges on triangular faces have to be considered. In this work, we call orphan edge to that one which is not obtained by the refinement of another edge.

Consider the tetrahedral mesh shown in Figure 2a. Assume that the element that ‘touches’ the face defined by the vertices $a-b-c$ with the orphan edge $\overline{n_1 n_2}$ needs to be refined. Figure 2b shows how the refined mesh would look like after refinement if the two-dimensional constraint was just considered. It can be seen that a difference of more than one level of refinement would exist among nearby elements in the mesh. To avoid this situation, the strategy developed in this work also refines the element that shares the face $a-b-c$ if at least one of the orphan edges on that face has to be refined. Figure 2c shows the refined mesh that is obtained in this latter case.

3. Mesh adaptation strategy

The adaptive solution of the problem begins by solving the Euler equations on a conforming mesh, hereafter called the *base mesh*. After a fixed number of time steps (*nsteps*), the regions of the base mesh that need to be refined are selected. In this work the selection criterion is based on the magnitude of the density gradient computed in an element-wise fashion. Consequently, if the magnitude of the solution gradient for the element,

times its size is equal to or greater than a given percentage of the maximum corresponding value for all the elements in the mesh, then the element is marked to be refined,

$$c_1 \leq \frac{\|\nabla_i \rho\| \cdot h_i}{\max_i(\|\nabla_i \rho\| \cdot h_i)} \quad (2)$$

where c_1 is a constant set beforehand by the user, h_i is a measure of the element size (e.g. the length of the longest edge for the element) and $\|\nabla_i \rho\|$ is the magnitude of the density gradient computed for the element. The accurate choice of c_1 mostly depends on the user’s experience.

A succession of nested non-conforming meshes is then generated by applying the refinement rules described in the previous section until a maximum level of refinement is reached. This constraint on the number of refinement levels is applied because in problems where discontinuities in the solution exist, there is no stopping criterion if Equation (2) is used to select the elements to be refined.

It is worth to mention that although the adapted meshes introduce hanging nodes on the edges or faces of an element and assuming that linear finite elements are used, constraining the solution at these hanging nodes to the average at the nodes which define those edges or faces, ensures the solution’s continuity among nearby elements.

As the base mesh is refined, the state computed by the solver is linearly interpolated and the boundary conditions are updated. When the maximum level of refinement is attained the interpolated state is used as the initial condition to resume the numerical solution procedure.

After the solution is advanced *nsteps* time steps, the selection criterion given by Equation (2) is applied again




Figure 2. Refinement constraint for 3-D meshes - orphan edge case. (a) Element to be refined has an orphan edge on a triangular shared face. (b) Refined mesh considering only the 2D constraint. (c) Refinement of neighbour element through the face with an orphan edge that needs to be refined.

to the last computed solution and elements are marked to be refined. The adaptation strategy developed here assumes that all the elements that are not selected for refinement should be unrefined up to the base mesh level. Also, since a maximum level of refinement is imposed, only those elements that do not belong to the maximum level of refinement are finally included in the list of elements to be refined. On the other hand, an element is unrefined only if all its *brothers* (seven in three dimensions and three in two dimensions) are also marked to be unrefined. If this is so, they are replaced by their *parent* element in the mesh. This *search parent* procedure is recursively applied on the data structure that stores the hierarchical relationship of the elements in the mesh until the base mesh level is attained. It must be taken into account that although some elements are initially marked to be unrefined, the subsequent application of the refinement and unrefinement constraints may not allow it.

A few words about the frequency for the adaptation of the mesh would like to be mentioned, since it is set constant for the whole simulation. First of all, the time step size for the fluid flow problem is updated after every mesh adaptation in order to satisfy the Courant-Friedrich-Lewy (CFL) condition for compressible flows (Laney 1998) so the time simulated between two successive adaptations of the mesh is not constant. Because the most refined regions of the mesh are expected to be at the discontinuities, the time step size will be dictated by the size of those elements. This helps to prevent the discontinuities to move outside of the most refined regions until the mesh is adapted again.

The proper choice of the adaptation frequency depends on various factors. Several authors (Remacle *et al.* 2002, Ripley *et al.* 2004, Waltz 2004) find in practice that the adaptation of the mesh takes just a small fraction of the overall simulation time (approximately less than 5%). This result induces us to choose a high updating frequency for the mesh for not compromising the overall performance of the adaptive solution procedure. If the time required by the adaptation of the mesh was found to be a greater percentage of the overall simulation time, then a lower updating frequency should be chosen. However, in this latter case a bigger cost would be transferred to the flow computation stage since the refined regions of the mesh would need to be wider to ensure that discontinuities will be kept inside them until the mesh is adapted again. Choosing a higher frequency for adapting the mesh enables to use narrower refined regions around discontinuities and the fluid flow problem is less expensive to solve.

The boundary conditions and other properties applied to the mesh entities are handled by a property

identifier or flag associated to the entities of the base mesh. This flag is inherited from a parent entity to its children during the adaptation procedure. The flag is defined by the user and can describe a set of features of different nature for an entity (e.g. the identifier assigned to a face could mean that a slip boundary condition has to be enforced on that face and also that the face belongs to a curved surface which defines a particular section of the boundary). The user must supply a list of vertices which define the entities of the mesh that have a particular set of properties. Then the flag is only assigned to an entity provided certain conditions on the list of vertices are satisfied (e.g. if a set of properties is to be applied to faces then the condition might be that *all* the vertices of the faces should be in the list for the identifier to be assigned). After refinement, the entities with the same properties are identified in order to update the boundary conditions supplied to the flow solver.

4. The spherical blast wave problem

The blast wave problem was formerly and independently studied by Taylor (1946, 1950a,b) and Sedov (1959), and describes what happens if a point-like explosion occurs in a uniform density gas. After a short lapse of time one expects to find a spherical shock wave travelling radially outward at supersonic speeds with a transonic flow behind it. This shock wave comes to an end because the source of pressure (i.e. the release of energy) also comes to an end. This allows the rarefaction wave generated in the centre of the explosion to weaken the spherical shock until it becomes a pressure wave. When this kind of phenomena takes place it is said that a blast wave happens.

4.1. Self-similar solutions

Taylor and Sedov analysis assumes a self-similar solution for the problem, which means that the solution profiles for the density ρ , velocity u and pressure p keep their shape in time and only depend on a single parameter ξ that is defined as the ratio of the radial coordinate r measured from the centre of the explosion to the spherical shock front position R , so that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. Taylor and Sedov formulate the following relationship between the physical variables and the self-similar profiles for the velocity $U(\xi)$, density $\Omega(\xi)$ and pressure $P(\xi)$

$$u = \dot{R}U(\xi), \quad \rho = \rho_0\Omega(\xi), \quad p = \rho_0\dot{R}^2P(\xi) \quad (3)$$

where ρ_0 is the density of the surrounding gas (assumed uniform). This solution holds as long as the

mass swept up by the spherical shock front is much greater than the mass of the explosive material and as long as the shock wave can be considered strong. The equations for the self-similar solutions are derived from the Euler equations in radial coordinates

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \rho u) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - c_s^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right) = 0. \quad (6)$$

The latter can be reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations if it is further assumed that the density shows a power law dependence in space and time and the shock front position obeys to a power law in time. The resulting equations

$$[U(\xi) - \xi] \xi \Omega'(\xi) + [\xi U'(\xi) + 2U(\xi)] \Omega(\xi) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$-\frac{3}{2} U(\xi) \Omega(\xi) + [U(\xi) - \xi] U'(\xi) \Omega(\xi) + P'(\xi) = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$-3\Omega(\xi) P(\xi) + [U(\xi) - \xi] [\Omega(\xi) P'(\xi) - \gamma P(\xi) \Omega'(\xi)] = 0 \quad (9)$$

are then numerically integrated with a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method assuming the following boundary conditions immediately behind the shock front (at $\xi = 1$)

$$U = \frac{2}{\gamma + 1}, \quad \Omega = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}, \quad P = \frac{2}{\gamma + 1}. \quad (10)$$

The self-similar computed profiles plotted against the similarity parameter are shown in Figure 3. It is seen that the pressure in the centre of the blast wave is almost half the maximum pressure immediately behind the shock and it is fairly uniform within the blast wave. It can also be seen that most of the ambient gas mass processed by the shock wave is compressed within a thin spherical shell immediately behind the shock which moves slightly slower than the shock itself ($u \simeq 0.83\dot{R}$ if $\gamma = 1.4$). Finally, the velocity profile is almost linear in the blast wave, with the fluid being at rest in the centre of the explosion.




Figure 3. Self-similar profiles for the spherical blast wave problem ($\gamma = 1.4$).

By a simple dimensional analysis, Sedov and Taylor found that the power law dependence in time for the shock wave position is given by

$$R(t) \propto \left(\frac{E_x}{\rho_0} \right)^{1/5} t^{2/5} \quad (11)$$

where E_x is the energy released by the explosive material. The constant Q that allows to equate both sides of Equation (11) can be computed by numerical integration of the total energy profile

$$E_x = \int_0^R \left(\frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\rho u^2}{2} \right) 4\pi r^2 dr. \quad (12)$$

Changing to variable ξ and substituting u , p and ρ from Equation (3) in the integral of Equation (12), taking into account that $\dot{R} = \frac{2}{5} \frac{R}{t}$, then replacing E_x given by Equation (12) into Equation (11) and finally solving for Q it is found

$$Q = \left(\frac{16\pi}{25} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P(\xi)}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\Omega(\xi) U(\xi)^2}{2} \right) \xi^2 d\xi \right)^{-1/5}. \quad (13)$$

If a value of $\gamma = 1.4$ is assumed, the approximate value for Q is 1.165.

4.2. Finite element solutions

The finite element problem is solved on a spherical domain of radius $R_{ext} = 5\text{m}$. Although the problem has spherical symmetry, it is solved as 3D since the

goals of the simulation, besides verifying if there is an improvement in the shock resolution, are both to evaluate how much of the overall computational time is required to adapt the mesh and how the recursive refinement algorithm scales with the size of the problem.

The assumed initial conditions are: the resting ambient gas is air, at a constant pressure and density equal to $p_0 = 101325 \text{ Pa}$ and $\rho_0 = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$, and the energy released by the explosive instantly raises the pressure to $p_{blast} = 10^5 \cdot p_0$ in a small spherical region of radius $R_{blast} \approx 0.25 \text{ m}$. The initial explosion that generates the initial conditions is not simulated in this work but it is assumed to be a constant volume thermodynamic evolution.

The pressure fixation at the surface of the spherical domain is the only numerical boundary condition being prescribed. This condition can be applied as long as the shock wave does not reach this boundary.

Tetrahedral elements are used to subdivide the problem domain with elements of smaller size prescribed towards the centre of the sphere (Schberl *et al.* 2004). The resulting mesh has 421.000 tetrahedra and 76.500 vertices approximately. This mesh is used for both simulations, namely as the base mesh for the adaptive simulation and as the mesh for the non-adaptive one.

The Euler equations are solved in parallel with 15 processors on a cluster of workstations. A Forward-Euler (explicit) scheme is used for time integration and a Courant number equal to 0.2 is used for both simulations. It is recalled that the magnitude of the density gradient is chosen as an indicator for the adaptive simulation since the flow field generated by the blast wave is dominated by a strong shock and an expansion wave. A value of $c_1 \approx 0.15$ is considered in Equation (2) for the adaptive simulation. Also, an adapting frequency of 10 time steps is chosen and a maximum of 2 levels of refinement is prescribed. The final time for both simulations was set equal to $t_f \approx 0.001 \text{ s}$.

4.3. Simulation results

In comparing the position of the shock front to that given in Equation (11) it should be taken into account that the FEM solution profiles will just approximate those of the self-similar ones after a few time steps because the initial conditions for the flow variables are not those of the self-similar profiles from the theory. Bearing this in mind, Figure 4 shows the shock wave position as a function of time for both the adapted and fixed mesh simulations superposed to the analytical solution given in Equation (11). It can be stated that although there is a good agreement for the first time




Figure 4. Shock wave position as a function of time.

instants, both simulations are ahead of the analytical one by almost 10.5% (adaptive) and 26.3% (non-adaptive) at time $t = 0.00045 \text{ s}$. It is seen that the fixed mesh simulation is interrupted at nearly $t \approx 0.0005 \text{ s}$ because the shock wave reaches the boundary of the computational domain. A similar situation happens for the adaptive simulation at $t \approx 0.00075 \text{ s}$.

The Mach number, the decimal logarithm of the pressure and the density along the radius for different time instants are respectively shown in Figure 5a–c, computed with the adapted and the fixed meshes. Figure 5b shows that the pressure within the blast wave behaves like that predicted by the self-similar solution, that is, it is fairly uniform within the blast wave and has a value that is half the maximum reached immediately behind the shock. The Mach number within the blast wave is depicted in Figure 5a showing that it is in the transonic-subsonic regime in agreement with the theory of blast waves (Thorne 2002). The three figures show that the entire flow field is better resolved using the adaptive procedure because no spurious oscillations appear in the expansion region behind the shock wave and the shock front is sharply defined. Figure 6 depicts a cut of the mesh on a plane of symmetry at $t = 91.4 \mu\text{s}$. This figure shows that the region of two-level refined elements propagates in a thin region of one-level refined elements because of the refinement constraint. This mesh has approximately 2.34 million tetrahedra and 428,000 vertices.

4.4. Mesh adaptation cost

To evaluate the mesh adaptation code performance, clock time to perform the adaptation of the mesh and




Figure 5. Time evolution of the flow field within the blast wave.




Figure 6. Adapted mesh on a plane of symmetry at time $t = 91.4 \mu s$.

to compute the equations solution is measured throughout the simulation. The adaptation time t_{adapt} is defined as that required to realise all the necessary tasks to adapt the mesh, namely the error indication computation, the refinement of the elements, the boundary conditions update, the state projection, the time step size update using the CFL condition and the writing to disk of all the files required by the flow solver. On the other hand, the solution time t_{sol} takes into account both the time required to advance the solution plus the overhead incurred to restart the flow computation. Overall time is then defined as $t_{all} = t_{adapt} + t_{sol}$. Figure 7 shows that the ratio t_{adapt}/t_{all} keeps almost constant and equal to 0.04, which enables to state that, for this particular problem, the adaptation of the mesh takes just a small fraction of the solution time. Given that the biggest effort is involved in the solution of the flow equations, maybe a




Figure 7. Relative cost for the adaptation of the mesh.




Figure 8. Refinement algorithm scalability.

higher updating frequency for the mesh could have been used.

On the other hand the refinement algorithm scalability is depicted in Figure 8, where the clock time measured taken by the recursive algorithm of refinement is shown in the ordinates and the refined elements number is shown in abscissa. It is seen that an almost linear scalability is attained, at least for the range of refined elements $50.000 < N_{ele_{ref}} < 240.000$. A linear fit is superimposed in the same picture as a reference.

5. Conclusions

The mesh adaptation strategy is used to solve the spherical blast wave problem, improving the sharpness of the shock front and removing the spurious oscillations in the expansion which are present in the non-

adapted mesh solution. The behaviour of the flow field variables agrees rather well with the theoretical results from the Taylor and Sedov self-similar solution. It is also found that the position's prediction of the shock wave improves if the adaptive scheme is used. This suggests, in principle, that higher levels of refinement in the adapted mesh could improve the solution even further.

The overhead introduced by the adaptation of the mesh is just a small percentage of the time required to compute the flow, thus allowing to greatly reduce the computational effort. If we were to solve the problem with a fixed mesh to get a similar accuracy (in fact, if each tetrahedron of the base mesh used for the simulations and then all their sons were refined following the 1:8 pattern used by the adaptation procedure) a fixed mesh made up of 26.9 million would have been required. So it is concluded that true benefits are achieved because of adapting the mesh, namely an accuracy improvement and a reduction of the computational effort.

Acknowledgements

This work has received financial support from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina, grant PIP 5271/05), Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL, Argentina, grant CAI+D 2005-10-64) and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT, Argentina, grants PICT-01141/2007). Authors made extensive use of freely distributed software as GNU/Linux OS, MPI, PETSc, GCC compilers, Octave, Open-DX, Boost, LaTeX, LyX, Python, Perl, Netgen, VTK, among many others.

References

- Babuska, I. and Aziz, A.K., 1976. On the angle condition in the finite element method. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 13, 214–226.
- Babuska, I. and Rheinboldt, W.C., 1978. Error estimates for adaptive finite element computations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 15 (4), 736–754.
- Bell, J., et al., 1994. Three-dimensional adaptive mesh refinement for hyperbolic conservation laws. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 15 (1), 127–138.
- Berger, M.J. and Collela, P., 1989. Local adaptive mesh refinement for shock hydrodynamics. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 82, 64–84.
- Berzins, M. and Speares, W., 1997. A 3D unstructured mesh adaption algorithm for time dependent shock dominated problems. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 25, 81–104.
- Brooks, A.N. and Hughes, T.J.R., 1980. Streamline upwind/Petrov Galerkin methods for advection dominated flows. *Third International Conference of Finite Element Methods in Fluid Flow*, June, Banff, Canada, 283–292.
- Brooks, A.N. and Hughes, T.J.R., 1982. Streamline upwind/Petrov Galerkin formulations for convective dominated flows with particular emphasis on the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. *Computational Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 32, 199–259.

- Greaves, D., 2004. A quadtree adaptive method for simulating fluid flows with moving interfaces. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 194 (1), 35–56. ISSN 0021–9991.
- Hughes, T.J.R. and Mallet, M., 1986a. A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: Iii. The generalized streamline operator for multidimensional advective-diffusive systems. *Computational Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 58 (3), 305–328.
- Hughes, T.J.R. and Mallet, M., 1986b. A new finite element formulation for computational fluid dynamics: Iv. A discontinuity-capturing operator for multidimensional advective-diffusive systems. *Computational Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 58 (3), 329–336.
- Kallinderis, Y. and Vijayan, P., 1993. Adaptive refinement-coarsening scheme for three-dimensional unstructured meshes. *AIAA Journal*, 31 (8), 1440–1447.
- Laney, C., 1998. *Computational gas dynamics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Liu, A. and Joe, B., 1994. On the shape of tetrahedra from bisection. *Mathematics of Computation*, 63 (207), 141–154.
- Löhner, R. and Baum, J.D., 1992. Adaptive h-refinement on 3D unstructured grids for transient problems. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 14, 1407–1419.
- Mavriplis, D.J., 1995. *Unstructured mesh generation and adaptivity*. Report 95–26, ICASE – NASA Langley Research Center, April 1995. Hampton, VA: NASA Langley Research Center.
- Popinet, S., 2003. Gerris: a tree-based adaptive solver for the incompressible Euler equations in complex geometries. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 190, 572–600.
- Remacle, J., et al., 2002. Transient mesh adaptation using conforming and non conforming mesh modifications. *Proceedings of 11th International Meshing Roundtable*, Sandia.
- Ríos Rodríguez, G.A., et al., 2005. Refinamiento adaptativo homogéneo de mallas aplicable a problemas bi- y tridimensionales. In: A.E. Larrateguy (ed.) *Mechanica Computacional*. Buenos Aires: AMCA, 2365–2385.
- Ríos Rodríguez, G.A., Storti, M., and Nigro, N.M., 2009. An h-adaptive unstructured mesh refinement strategy for unsteady problems. *Latin American Applied Research*, 39, 137–143.
- Ripley, R.C., Lien, F.S., and Yovanovich, M.M., 2004. Adaptive unstructured mesh refinement of supersonic channel flows. *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics*, 18, 189–198.
- Schberl, J., Gerstmayr, H., and Gaisbauer, R., 2004. *NETGEN – automatic mesh generator*. Linz, Austria: JKU.
- Sedov, L.I., 1959. *Similarity and dimensional methods in mechanics*. New York: Academic Press.
- Shewchuck, J.R., 2002. *What is a good linear finite element? interpolation, conditioning, anisotropy and quality measures* [online]. Available from: [whhttp://www.cs.berkeley.edu/jrs/papers/elemj.ps](http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/jrs/papers/elemj.ps) [Accessed 27 December 2010].
- Sonzogni, E.V., et al., 2002. A parallel finite element program on a Beowulf cluster. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 33 (7–10), 427–443.
- Staten, M.L., 1996. *Selective refinement of two and three-dimensional finite element meshes*. Thesis (MSc). Department of Civil Engineering, Brigham Young University.
- Storti, M., 2005–2010. *Aquiles cluster at CIMEC* [online]. Available from: <http://www.cimec.org.ar/aquiles> [Accessed 27 December 2010].
- Storti, M., et al., 1999–2010. PETSc-FEM, a general purpose, parallel, multi-physics FEM program. CIMEC-CONICET-UNL. Available from: <http://www.cimec.org.ar/petscfem> [Accessed 27 December 2010].
- Taylor, G.I., 1946. The air wave surrounding an expanding sphere. In: *Mathematical and physical sciences*. Volume 186 of Series A. London: Royal Society of London, 273–292.
- Taylor, G.I., 1950a. The formation of a blast wave by a very intense explosion. I. Theoretical discussion. In: *Mathematical and physical sciences*. Volume 201 of Series A. London: Royal Society of London, 159–174.
- Taylor, G.I., 1950b. The formation of a blast wave by a very intense explosion. II. The atomic explosion of 1945. In: *Mathematical and physical sciences*. Volume 201 of Series A. London: Royal Society of London, 175–186.
- Tezduyar, T.E. and Senga, M., 2006. Stabilization and shock-capturing parameters in SUPG formulation of compressible flows. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 195, 1621–1632.
- Thorne, K., 2002. *Applications of classical physics* [online]. Available from: www.pma.caltech.edu/Courses/ph136/yr2008/0616.1.k.pdf [Accessed 27 December 2010].
- Usmani, A.S., 1999. Solution of steady and transient advection problems using an h-adaptive finite element method. *International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics*, 11, 249–259.
- Usmani, A.S., 1998. An h-adaptive SUPG-FEM solution of the pure advection equation. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 26, 193–202.
- Waltz, J., 2004. Parallel adaptive refinement for unsteady flow calculations on 3D unstructured grids. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 46, 37–57.
- Young, M.P. and Kwon, O.J., 2005. A parallel unstructured dynamic mesh adaptation algorithm for 3-D unsteady flows. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 48, 671–690.