References

- [1] Escudie L, Francoz C, Vinel JP, Moucari R, Cournot M, Paradis V, et al. *Amanita phalloides* poisoning: reassessment of prognostic factors and indications for emergency liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2007;46:466–473.
- [2] Ganzert M, Felgenhauer N, Zilker T. Indication of liver transplantation following amatoxin intoxication. J Hepatol 2005;42:202–209.
- [3] O'Grady JG, Alexander GJ, Hayllar KM, Williams R. Early indicators of prognosis in fulminant hepatic failure. Gastroenterology 1989;97:439–445.
- [4] Bernuau J, Goudeau A, Poynard T, Dubois F, Lesage G, Yvonnet B, et al. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors in fulminant hepatitis B. Hepatology 1986;6:648–651.

François Durand
Claire Francoz
Luc Escudié
Pôle des Maladies de l'Appareil Digestif,
Service d'Hépatologie, Hôpital Beaujon,
100 Boulevard du général Leclerc,
92110 Clichy, France
E-mail address: francois.durand@bjn.aphp.fr
(F. Durand).

doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2007.06.006

Angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockade in early cirrhosis

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the article by Debernardi-Venon and collaborators, evaluating the hemodynamic response and variations in serum markers of liver fibrosis of twelve months' administration of candesartan in Child A/B cirrhotic patients without ascites [1]. The authors found that angiotensin II type 1 receptor (AT1R) blockade induced a significant decrease in portal pressure, assessed as hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG), with 25% of the patients showing a decrease greater than 20%. The authors also observed a significant correlation between the reductions in HVPG and serum concentrations of hyaluronic acid. In the aforementioned study, candesartan was well tolerated in compensated cirrhotics with low plasma renin activity. The lack of severe adverse reactions was also observed in a previous report of losartan in preascitic cirrhosis [2]. In our opinion the main strength of this study is the simultaneous evaluation of hemodynamic and antifibrotic effects of AT1R blockade in humans.

AT1R blockers have been used in the treatment of portal hypertension with diverse results. Losartan at doses of 25 mg per day induced a decrease in HVPG equal or greater than 20% in more than 40% of the patients in different studies without serious clinical adverse effects [3–6]. Nevertheless, higher doses of losartan did not achieve a good hemodynamic response but produced a decrease in arterial pressure and glomerular filtration rate in patients with moderate liver disease [7]. Irbesartan at doses of 150 and 300 mg showed a variable hemodynamic response, but induced hypotension and impaired renal function in patients with Child B or C cirrhosis [8–10].

Several factors may affect the effects of AT1R blockers in cirrhosis, including administered doses [11], length of the therapy and degree of liver disease, as the authors observed [1]. In addition, genetic factors may influence

the response to these drugs as well. We have found a relationship between the AT1R A1166C polymorphisms and the therapeutic response to losartan in compensated cirrhosis [5]. It would be of great usefulness to analyse AT1R A1166C polymorphism in this population to test these preliminary results.

AT1R blockade may be potentially harmful in advanced cirrhosis, where the renin angiotensin system is activated as an endocrine homeostatic mechanism to maintain arterial pressure in a context of hyperdynamic circulation. In contrast, in patients with early cirrhosis these drugs seem to be well tolerated. Moreover, AT1R blockers have shown inhibition of activated hepatic stellate cells contraction [12] antifibrotic effect [13,14] and natriuretic effect [15] in patients with severe fibrosis or early cirrhosis. These arguments make these drugs good candidates for pharmacological treatment of portal hypertension in patients with early cirrhosis, selected, perhaps, on based genetic profiles.

References

- [1] Debernardi-Venon W, Martini S, Biasi F, Vizio B, Termine A, Poli G, Brunello F, Alessandria C, Bonardi R, Saracco G, Marzano A, Rizzetto M. AT1 receptor antagonist candesartan in selected cirrhotic patients: effect on portal pressure and liver fibrosis markers. J Hepatol 2007;46:1026–1033.
- [2] Castano G, Viudez P, Sookoian S. Losartan in preascitic cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2004;99:2282–2283.
- [3] De BK, Bandyopadhyay K, Das TK, Das D, Biswas PK, Majumdar D, Mandal SK, Ray S, Dasgupta S. Portal pressure response to losartan compared with propranolol in patients with cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2003;98: 1371–1376.
- [4] Schneider AW, Kalk JF, Klein CP. Effect of losartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, on portal pressure in cirrhosis. Hepatology 1999;29:334–339.
- [5] Sookoian S, Castano G, Garcia SI, Viudez P, Gonzalez C, Pirola CJ. A1166C angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene polymorphism may predict hemodynamic response to losartan in patients with

- cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:636-642.
- [6] Tripathi D, Therapondos G, Lui HF, Johnston N, Webb DJ, Hayes PC. Chronic administration of losartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, is not effective in reducing portal pressure in patients with preascitic cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2004:99:390–394.
- [7] Gonzalez-Abraldes J, Albillos A, Banares R, Del Arbol LR, Moitinho E, Rodriguez C, Gonzalez M, Escorsell A, Garcia-Pagan JC, Bosch J. Randomized comparison of long-term losartan versus propranolol in lowering portal pressure in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2001;121:382–388.
- [8] Venon WD, Baronio M, Leone N, Rolfo E, Fadda M, Barletti C, Todros L, Saracco G, Rizzetto M. Effects of long-term irbesartan in reducing portal pressure in cirrhotic patients: comparison with propranolol in a randomised controlled study. J Hepatol 2003;38:455–460.
- [9] Debernardi-Venon W, Barletti C, Alessandria C, Marzano A, Baronio M, Todros L, Saracco G, Repici A, Rizzetto M. Efficacy of irbesartan, a receptor selective antagonist of angiotensin II, in reducing portal hypertension. Dig Dis Sci 2002;47:401–404.
- [10] Schepke M, Werner E, Biecker E, Schiedermaier P, Heller J, Neef M, Stoffel-Wagner B, Hofer U, Caselmann WH, Sauerbruch T. Hemodynamic effects of the angiotensin II receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension. Gastroenterology 2001;121:389–395.
- [11] Castano G, Viudez P, Frider B, Sookoian S. Discussion on randomized comparison of long-term losartan versus propranolol in lowering portal pressure in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2002;122:1544–1545.
- [12] Bataller R, Gines P, Nicolas JM, Gorbig MN, Garcia-Ramallo E, Gasull X, Bosch J, Arroyo V, Rodes J. Angiotensin II induces

- contraction and proliferation of human hepatic stellate cells. Gastroenterology 2000;118:1149–1156.
- [13] Sookoian S, Fernandez MA, Castano G. Effects of six months losartan administration on liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis c patients: a pilot study. World J Gastroenterol 2005;11:7560–7563.
- [14] Yokohama S, Yoneda M, Haneda M, Okamoto S, Okada M, Aso K, Hasegawa T, Tokusashi Y, Miyokawa N, Nakamura K. Therapeutic efficacy of an angiotensin II receptor antagonist in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2004;40:1222–1225.
- [15] Girgrah N, Liu P, Collier J, Blendis L, Wong F. Haemodynamic, renal sodium handling, and neurohormonal effects of acute administration of low dose losartan, an angiotensin II receptor antagonist, in preascitic cirrhosis. Gut 2000;46:114–120.

Gustavo O. Castano Government of the City of Buenos Aires, Consejo de Investigacion, Internal Medicine, Buenos Aires, Argentina E-mail address: castano@intramed.net

Silvia C. Sookoian Instituto de Investigaciones Medicas Alfredo Lanari. CONICET. Department of Genetic and Molecular Biology of Complex Diseases, Buenos Aires, Argentina

doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2007.06.007