



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1017/S1041610224000073

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Velayudhan, L., & Mueller, C. (2024). Diagnostic challenges and disparities in young-onset dementia: insights from a Southeast London memory clinic study. *International Psychogeriatrics*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610224000073

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This is the Author's Accepted Manuscript version of the article: Velayudhan L, Mueller C (2024). Diagnostic Challenges and Disparities in Young-Onset Dementia: Insights from a Southeast London Memory Clinic Study. Accepted for publication on 10th Jan 2024.

Diagnostic Challenges and Disparities in Young-Onset Dementia: Insights from

a Southeast London Memory Clinic Study

Latha Velayudhan ^{*1,2}, Christoph Mueller^{1,2} ¹King's College London (Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience), London, United Kingdom. ²South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

***Corresponding author:** Dr Latha Velayudhan, M6.01, Old Age Psychiatry Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Denmark Hill, United Kingdom Email: <u>latha.velayudhan@kcl.ac.uk</u>

No of words: 775

No of Table: 1

Number of references: 11

Presentation with dementia is not uncommon in people under the age of 65 years (youngonset dementia, YOD) with significant impact on patients and their families (Hendriks *et al.*, 2022). Subjective cognitive complaints are associated with a higher risk for dementia (Perna *et al.*, 2023), but are similar in their prevalence in working age and older populations (Begum *et al.*, 2014). Aim of this study was to determine the proportion of younger people attending memory clinics eventually diagnosed with dementia, and to characterise dementia subtypes, time to diagnosis, and risk factors in a diverse population. We carried out a retrospective analysis of people aged under 65 years referred to diagnostic memory service in Southeast London, UK, between March 2011 to March 2022 using data from the Clinical Record Interactive Search (CRIS) system. CRIS provides researchers access to more than 500,000 anonymised mental health and dementia care records (Perera *et al.*, 2016) and has full approval for secondary analysis by the Oxford Research Ethics Committee (reference: 18/SC/0372).

Between 2011 and 2022, 2,738 patients aged under 65 years were referred to memory services in Southeast London. Mean age(SD) at referral was 57.1(+/- 6.8) years and 41.2% were male. Of those 408 (14.8%) were eventually diagnosed with dementia and mean age(SD) at dementia diagnosis was 61.6(+/- 4.7) years. Those diagnosed with dementia were older at the time of first referral and more frequently male (see Table 1). In the White British group 12.8% and in the Black group (combining Black Caribbean and Black African) 21.5% were eventually diagnosed with dementia (p<0.001; corrected p<0.002).

Dementia subtypes are presented in Table 1 with Alzheimer's disease (AD) being commonest followed by vascular dementia (VD). Average time from first referral to diagnosis was 1.4 years, whereby this was longest in those diagnosed with a Lewy body dementia (LBD; 2.9 years), followed by AD (1.6 years), unspecified dementia (1.4 years), vascular and frontotemporal dementia (0.8 years), and dementia in other diseases (0.6 years).

Compared to their White counterparts, patients of Black background had higher odds of being diagnosed with any dementia (Odds ratio (OR): 1.86; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.42-2.43;

p<0.001), AD (OR: 1.53; 95%CI: 1.08-2.15; p=0.016; comparison with no dementia), and VD (OR: 3.75; 95%CI: 2.18-6.46; p<0.001; comparison with no dementia) in logistic regression models adjusted for age at first referral and gender. There was no significant difference in time to diagnosis between White (1.6 years) and Black (1.2 years) patients (p=0.123).

Diagnosing YOD can be challenging due to atypical presentations. Our findings of Alzheimer's being the most common followed by VD are in keeping with previous reports of YOD subtypes (Harvey *et al.*, 2003; Kvello-Alme *et al.*, 2019). For LBD it took almost 3 years, and twice as long than in all-cause dementia, from first referral to reach a dementia diagnosis. Whereby delays in LBD diagnosis are known from older populations, with 1.2 years in one UK study (Surendranathan *et al.*, 2020), this seems to be more pronounced in our working age sample. A recent retrospective Australian study also mentions other dementias including LBD as predictors for delayed diagnosis in people with YOD (Loi *et al.*, 2022). LBD frequently has a psychiatric onset with low mood or hallucinations being common early complaints (Moylett *et al.*, 2019). In younger patients, it is likely that affective or psychotic symptoms are attributed to functional mental illness.

Black patients presenting with cognitive concerns had almost two-times higher odds of being diagnosed with any dementia and four-times higher odds of being diagnosed with VD. Previous studies in this catchment showed that people from ethnic minority groups are diagnosed younger, with more advanced cognitive impairment and non-cognitive symptoms (Mukadam *et al.*, 2019; Tsamakis *et al.*, 2021). Our study extends those findings to a young-onset population. VD was more common in our sample, which was drawn from a catchment where Black and Ethnic minorities represent up to 40% of the population, than in other

populations (Harvey *et al.*, 2003; Kvello-Alme *et al.*, 2019) suggesting that a higher prevalence of vascular risk factors could contribute to more people with Black backgrounds receiving a diagnosis of dementia.

In conclusion, we found that about one in seven people under 65 years referred to memory services were eventually diagnosed with dementia, with AD and VD being the most common subtypes. Challenges known from older adult services, as delayed diagnosis of DLB and higher rates of VD in Black populations, appear to be more pronounced in this younger populations. Clinicians ought to be aware of the possibility of DLB in psychiatric presentations in middleaged patients and public health measures should target vascular risk factors and encourage earlier memory clinic assessments in Black minority groups.

Funding statement: The data resource and CM are part-funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Author contributions: The study was conceived by LV. Analyses were carried out by CM. The manuscript was written by LV and CM.

Conflicts of Interest: CM and LV declare no conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

References:

Begum, A., Dewey, M., Hassiotis, A., Prince, M., Wessely, S. and Stewart, R. (2014). Subjective cognitive complaints across the adult life span: a 14-year analysis of trends and associations using the 1993, 2000 and 2007 English Psychiatric Morbidity Surveys. *Psychol Med*, 44, 1977-1987.

Harvey, R. J., Skelton-Robinson, M. and Rossor, M. N. (2003). The prevalence and causes of dementia in people under the age of 65 years. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 74, 1206-1209.

Hendriks, S., et al. (2022). Global incidence of young-onset dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Alzheimers Dement*.

Kvello-Alme, M., Bråthen, G., White, L. R. and Sando, S. B. (2019). The Prevalence and Subtypes of Young Onset Dementia in Central Norway: A Population-Based Study. *J Alzheimers Dis*, 69, 479-487.

Loi, S. M., *et al.* (2022). Time to diagnosis in younger-onset dementia and the impact of a specialist diagnostic service. *Int Psychogeriatr*, 34, 367-375.

Moylett, S., et al. (2019). Clinical Presentation, Diagnostic Features, and Mortality in Dementia with Lewy Bodies. *J Alzheimers Dis*, 67, 995-1005.

Mukadam, N., Lewis, G., Mueller, C., Werbeloff, N., Stewart, R. and Livingston, G. (2019). Ethnic differences in cognition and age in people diagnosed with dementia: A study of electronic health records in two large mental healthcare providers. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, 34, 504-510.

Perera, G., et al. (2016). Cohort profile of the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust Biomedical Research Centre (SLaM BRC) Case Register: current status and recent enhancement of an Electronic Mental Health Record-derived data resource. *BMJ Open*, 6, e008721.

Perna, L., et al. (2023). Subjective cognitive complaints and blood biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases: a longitudinal cohort study. *Alzheimers Res Ther*, 15, 198.
Surendranathan, A., et al. (2020). Clinical diagnosis of Lewy body dementia. *BJPsych Open*, 6, e61.

Tsamakis, K., et al. (2021). Dementia in People from Ethnic Minority Backgrounds: Disability, Functioning, and Pharmacotherapy at the Time of Diagnosis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*, 22, 446-452.

This is the Author's Accepted Manuscript version of the article: Velayudhan L, Mueller C (2024). Diagnostic Challenges and Disparities in Young-Onset Dementia: Insights from a Southeast London Memory Clinic Study. Accepted for publication on 10th Jan 2024.

	Diagnosed with	Not diagnosed	P-values ¹	Corrected
	dementia	with dementia		P-values ²
	(n=408)	(n=2,330)		
Age at first referral (mean,	60.2 (4.3)	56.5 (7.0)	<0.001	<0.002
SD)				
Male (%)	47.6%	40.1%	0.005	0.012
Ethnicity (%)				
White – British	33.3%	39.9%	0.012	0.021
White – Irish & Other	9.3%	12.1%	0.111	0.130
Black – Caribbean & African	34.6%	22.1%	<0.001	<0.002
Asian	12.0%	10.7%	0.444	0.444
Other or missing	10.8%	15.2%	0.065	0.091
Dementia subtype (%)				
Alzheimer's disease	58.3%			
Vascular dementia	22.3%			
Frontotemporal dementia	3.9%			
Lewy body dementia	4.4%			
Dementia in other diseases	2.9%			
Unspecified dementia	8.1%			

Table 1: Patient characteristics in those with and without dementia diagnosis anddementia subtypes

1 – P-values are calculated using t-test for age and chi² test for gender and ethnicity

2 – P-values corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995)