Faculty of Health: Medicine, Dentistry and Human Sciences

School of Psychology

2024-02-29

# Assessing immediate emotions in the Theory of Planned Behavior can substantially contribute to increases in pro-environmental behavior

Ho, V

https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/22113

10.3389/fclim.2024.1344899 Frontiers in Climate Frontiers Media

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.



# Assessing immediate emotions in the Theory of Planned Behavior can substantially contribute to increases in pro-environmental behavior

Vanessa C. Ho<sup>1</sup>, Anne H Berman<sup>2</sup>, Jackie Andrade<sup>3</sup>, David J. Kavanagh<sup>4</sup>, Stéphane L. Branche<sup>5</sup>, Jon May<sup>3</sup>, Conner S. Philson<sup>1</sup>, Daniel T. Blumstein<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, United States, <sup>2</sup>Uppsala University, Sweden, <sup>3</sup>University of Plymouth, United Kingdom, <sup>4</sup>Queensland University of Technology, Australia, <sup>5</sup>International Panel on Behavior Change, France

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Climate

Specialty Section: Climate and Decision Making

Article type: Perspective Article

*Manuscript ID:* 1344899

Received on: 27 Nov 2023

*Revised on:* 19 Feb 2024

Journal website link: www.frontiersin.org



#### Scope Statement

Our perspective piece, "Adding immediate emotions to the Theory of Planned Behavior: A prospect for augmenting pro-environmental behavioral prediction", suggests that pro-environmental applications of Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) overlook the role of immediate emotions (i.e., the visceral emotions experienced during decision-making) in modulating individual behaviors. Instead, most pro-environmental TPB studies include anticipated emotions (i.e., the emotions a person forecasts they will experience after decision-making) and/or rely on research methods that do not reflect immediate emotions. We, therefore, advance previous work by proposing possible pathways through which immediate emotions shape our pro-environmental intentions, along with recommendations for empirically assessing immediate emotions within the TPB framework. This manuscript aligns with Frontiers in Climate, Climate and Decision Making as it expands on an underexplored avenue of research that could assist scientists in maximizing the psychological effectiveness of current pro-environmental messaging, interventions, and policies. We also acknowledge that climate change communicators, public authorities, and other key players in pro-environmental decision-making often underestimate the emotional impact of their initiatives, resulting in undesirable outcomes. Ultimately, we hope our insights will enable climate actors to deepen their understanding of how emotions shape our environmental choices and seize more opportunities for stimulating collective, pro-environmental action.

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

## CRediT Author Statement

Anne H Berman: Writing - review & editing. Stéphane La Branche: Writing - review & editing. Conner S Philson: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. David John Kavanagh: Writing - review & editing. Jackie Andrade: Writing review & editing. Jon May: Writing - review & editing. Daniel T Blumstein: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Vanessa C Ho: Conceptualization, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

#### Keywords

behavior change, Decision Making, Immediate emotions, Pro-environmental action, Theory of Planned Behavior

#### Abstract

#### Word count: 130

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is a highly influential and powerful behavior change model that offers promising guidance on promoting urgently needed, pro-environmental action. Recent pro-environmental research has successfully augmented TPB using anticipated emotions—the emotions an individual consciously predicts they will experience in relation to possible outcomes of their decision. However, immediate emotions—the emotions an individual actually experiences during decision-making—have received far less attention. Given that immediate emotions are relevant to pro-environmental decision-making and can address the theoretical and empirical limitations of TPB, we contend that pro-environmental studies should explicitly examine immediate emotions within the TPB framework. This article aims to stimulate rigorous research that enhances pro-environmental communication and policymaking by providing integrative insights into immediate emotions along with recommendations for evaluating immediate emotions in a pro-environmental TPB context.

## Funding statement

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Ethics statements

#### Studies involving animal subjects

Generated Statement: No animal studies are presented in this manuscript.

## Studies involving human subjects

Generated Statement: No human studies are presented in the manuscript.

### Inclusion of identifiable human data

Generated Statement: No potentially identifiable images or data are presented in this study.



## Data availability statement

Generated Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.



# Assessing immediate emotions in the Theory of Planned Behavior can substantially contribute to increases in pro-environmental behavior

- 1 Vanessa C. Ho<sup>1</sup>, Anne H. Berman<sup>2</sup>, Jackie Andrade<sup>3</sup>, David J. Kavanagh<sup>4</sup>, Stéphane La
- 2 Branche<sup>5</sup>, Jon May<sup>3</sup>, Conner S. Philson<sup>1</sup>, Daniel T. Blumstein<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los
- 4 Angeles, CA, United States
- 5 <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 6 <sup>3</sup>School of Psychology, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, United Kingdom
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>4</sup>School of Psychology and Counselling, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove,
- 8 Queensland, Australia
- 9 <sup>5</sup>International Panel on Behavior Change, Grenoble, France

## 10 **\* Correspondence:**

- 11 Daniel T. Blumstein
- 12 marmots@ucla.edu

#### 13 Keywords: behavior change, decision making, immediate emotions, pro-environmental action, 14 Theory of Planned Behavior

14 **Theory of Planned Behavior.** 

## 15 Abstract

16 The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is a highly influential and powerful behavior change model

17 that offers promising guidance on promoting urgently needed, pro-environmental action. Recent pro-

18 environmental research has successfully augmented TPB using *anticipated emotions*—the emotions

19 an individual consciously predicts they will experience in relation to possible outcomes of their

- 20 decision. However, *immediate emotions*—the emotions an individual actually experiences during
- 21 decision-making—have received far less attention. Given that immediate emotions are relevant to
- pro-environmental decision-making and can address the theoretical and empirical limitations of TPB, we contend that pro-environmental studies should explicitly examine immediate emotions within the
- TPB framework. This article aims to stimulate rigorous research that enhances pro-environmental
- communication and policymaking by providing integrative insights into immediate emotions along
- with recommendations for evaluating immediate emotions in a pro-environmental TPB context.

## 27 1 Introduction

- 28 Collective behavioral changes at the individual level are pivotal (Williamson et al., 2018) in
- addressing the current environmental plight (Bradshaw et al., 2020). Despite numerous opportunities
- 30 for individuals to substantially protect the environment (Wynes and Nicholas, 2017; Williamson et
- al., 2018), conventional efforts encouraging pro-environmental behaviors have to date been largely
- 32 ineffective, a situation that partly stems from a flawed understanding of human behavior (Clayton et
- al., 2015; Green et al., 2019). Current research suggests that psychological theories can help inform
- efforts to promote such behaviors. Among these theories is Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior
- 35 (TPB), which has successfully predicted individuals' intentions to engage in various behaviors

- 36 spanning food waste reduction (Graham-Rowe et al., 2015), eco-friendly dining (Kim et al., 2013),
- and air conditioning use reduction (Lam et al., 2022).
- 38 The quintessential TPB model posits that our *attitudes* (i.e., the evaluation of behaviors as favorable
- 39 or unfavorable), *subjective norms* (i.e., the perceived social pressure to perform a behavior), and
- 40 *perceived behavioral control* (i.e., the extent that the performance of a behavior is perceived to be
- 41 within our control) influence our intention to perform a behavior, which in turn predicts and guides
- 42 our performance of the behavior (Ajzen, 2011; Ajzen and Schmidt, 2020). While alternative models
- 43 are also constructive for explaining pro-environmental behaviors (Sawitri et al., 2015; Keller et al.,
- 44 2019), TPB is notable for several reasons. First, TPB has reportedly contributed to 17 of 83 behavior
- 45 change theories (Michie et al., 2014) and is among the most frequently applied models within
- 46 (Sawitri et al., 2015; Tian and Liu, 2022) and beyond (Ajzen, 2011; Yuriev et al., 2020) the pro-
- 47 environmental domain. Second, TPB yields highly effective behavior change interventions (Yuriev et
- 48 al., 2020) comparable to other prominent theories (e.g., Transtheoretical Model of Behavior Change
- 49 and Social Cognitive Theory; Ajzen and Schmidt, 2020). Lastly, TPB exhibits parsimony and
- 50 flexibility, allowing behavioral scientists to easily incorporate and evaluate potential constructs 51 (Vuriev et al. 2020)
- 51 (Yuriev et al., 2020).
- 52 However, TPB gives no formal role to emotions (Ajzen and Schmidt, 2020) and minimizes their
- 53 importance in measurement, reasoning that emotions' effects on behavior are mediated by other
- 54 factors (Ajzen, 2011). Instead, emotions are generalized as shaping the development and/or retrieval
- of background beliefs concerning a behavior's outcomes, social acceptability, and ease of
- 56 performance; these beliefs may then inform our attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral
- 57 control regarding the behavior (Ajzen, 2011). Several health psychology studies have adopted this
- 58 logic by considering emotions as an affective sub-component of attitude (French et al., 2005;
- 59 Kobbeltved and Wolff, 2009; Rocheleau, 2013). Clowes and Masser's (2011) study, in particular,
- found that anxiety correlated with less positive attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral
- 61 control in relation to blood donation (Clowes and Masser, 2012).
- 62 Pro-environmental TPB scientists should then analyze emotions explicitly. Even if emotions tend to
- 63 shape behavior indirectly, their dynamic, multidimensional nature (Chapman et al., 2017) and
- 64 importance in guiding our responses to pro-environmental opportunities (Brosch, 2021; Stanley et al.,
- 65 2021), messages (Nabi et al., 2018), and policies (Smith and Leiserowitz, 2014; Lu and Schuldt,
- 66 2015) mean that environmental advocates can benefit from a more nuanced understanding of
- 67 emotions. This is especially true since pro-environmental communicators and public authorities often
- oversimplify and overlook the full emotional impacts of their initiatives, resulting in suboptimal or
- 69 even counterproductive outcomes (Agyeman et al., 2009; Chapman et al., 2017; Stanley et al., 2021).
- 70 Furthermore, previous TPB studies in other disciplines reported significant increases in their model's
- 71 explanatory power after specifically accounting for emotions (Mohiyeddini et al., 2009; Clowes and
- 72 Masser, 2012; Bee and Madrigal, 2013; Berki-Kiss and Menrad, 2022), suggesting that TPB's core
- 73 constructs do not capture the influence of emotions in their entirety.
- Accordingly, recent pro-environmental studies have investigated *anticipated emotions* as a separate
- 75 TPB construct. Anticipated emotions, or the emotions an individual consciously predicts they will
- reprince given their perceptions of the decision outcomes (Loewenstein, 2000; Schlösser et al.,
- 2013; Dunning et al., 2017), were found to enhance TPB's predictions of pro-environmental
- intentions (Kim et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Lam et al., 2022). For example, Graham-
- 79 Rowe et al.'s extended TPB model with anticipated regret accounted for 64% of the variance in food
- 80 waste reduction intentions, while the original TPB model accounted for 55% (Graham-Rowe et al.,

- 81 2015). Lam et al.'s extended TPB model with anticipated regret likewise accounted for an additional
- 82 32% of variance in intentions to limit air conditioning use (Lam et al., 2022). However, we contend
- 83 that existing pro-environmental TPB studies have yet to comprehensively assess another category of
- 84 emotions with significant implications for pro-environmental interventions, namely *immediate*
- 85 *emotions*. Thus, this paper aims to present a compelling case for the utility and feasibility of
- 86 examining immediate emotions in a pro-environmental TPB context.

## 87 2 Immediate emotions in pro-environmental decision-making

88 Immediate emotions are the visceral emotions an individual experiences during decision-making

89 (Loewenstein, 2000; Schlösser et al., 2013) and comprise a combination of incidental (i.e., arising

90 from factors and/or events outside of the decision at hand) and anticipatory (i.e., arising from the

91 decision-making process) affect (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Mankad, 2012; Dunning et al.,

- 92 2017). Importantly, anticipatory emotions differ from anticipated emotions in that the former is
- experienced in the current moment, whereas the latter is more closely associated with "cold"
- cognition (Baumgartner et al., 2008). Table 1 summarizes the subtle distinctions between the
- 95 classifications of emotions mentioned throughout our discussion.

96 Table 1. Distinguishing characteristics of anticipated, immediate, anticipatory, and incidental
 97 emotions.

Category	Description	Example with potential behavioral consequence
Anticipated	<i>Forecasted</i> in relation to perceived outcomes of a given behavior; <i>not experienced</i> during decision-making; may or may not be experienced <i>after</i> decision-making (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Mankad, 2012; Feil et al., 2022)	Individual predicts they will experience regret in the event they lose money after choosing to gamble → anticipated regret deters gambling (Schlösser et al., 2013)
Immediate	Experienced <i>during</i> decision-making; often accompanied by changes in physiological state (Loewenstein, 2000; Schlösser et al., 2013)	Individual experiences excitement when presented with gambling opportunity → excitement incentivizes gambling (Schlösser et al., 2013)
Anticipatory	Subcategory of immediate emotion; experienced <i>during</i> decision-making as a result of <i>contemplating a given behavior</i> (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Mankad, 2012; Feil et al., 2022)	Anxiety in response to thoughts of investing $\rightarrow$ heightens perceived riskiness of investment option $\rightarrow$ potential investor warded off (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003)
Incidental	Subcategory of immediate emotion; experienced <i>during</i> decision-making as a result of <i>extraneous factors</i> (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Mankad, 2012; Dunning et al., 2017)	Prior happiness spills over → assuages potential investor's apprehension, encouraging investment (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003)

- Although immediate emotions have conventionally been studied to explain economic decision-
- 100 making under risk (Schlösser et al., 2013; Dunning et al., 2017), their attributes render them pertinent
- 101 to pro-environmental behaviors. Immediate emotions, for one, advise and moderate decision-making
- behaviors by inducing heightened or reduced risk perceptions (Table 1, column 3, rows 3-4;
- 103 Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Lerner et al., 2015; Sobkow et al., 2016). Notably, risk perception
- 104 plays a critical role in shaping our receptiveness to pro-environmental acts. At the micro and meso
- 105 levels of society, the objective and perceived risks of eco-friendly activities like cycling
- 106 (Ravensbergen et al., 2020) and sustainable consumption (e.g., ingestion of reclaimed sewage;
- 107 Powell et al., 2019) may serve as barriers to performing these behaviors. At the meso and macro
- 108 levels, the diminished perception of risk regarding environmental degradation among key decision-109 makers commonly results in absent to minimal pro-environmental action (Rickards et al., 2014;
- makers commonly results in absent to minimal pro-environmental action (Rickards et al., 2014;
  Bradshaw et al., 2020). More thorough examinations of immediate emotions in these contexts can,
- 110 bradshaw et al., 2020). More morough examinations of infinediate emotions in these contexts can, 111 therefore, enrich our understanding of how emotions can be leveraged to 1) lessen the public's risk-
- based avoidance of high-impact, eco-friendly behaviors and 2) address the pervasive underestimation
- 113 of environmental threats.
- 114 Immediate emotions can also drive behaviors by reinforcing bias toward short-term decision-making
- 115 (Table 1, column 3, rows 1-2; Loewenstein, 2000; Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Schlösser et al.,
- 116 2013; Dunning et al., 2017). This aspect also makes immediate emotions worthy of increased
- 117 empirical attention, considering how individuals and institutions often prioritize the short-term
- benefits of pro-environmental inaction over the long-term benefits of pro-environmental action
- 119 (Kollmuss and Agyeman, 2002; Rickards et al., 2014). To illustrate, positive immediate emotions
- 120 (e.g., happiness) associated with meat consumption and luxury vehicle usage may hinder the public
- from adopting a plant-based diet (Hopwood and Bleidorn, 2019) and a car-free life (Waitt and Harnda, 2012) memoringly, despite the leaves reference of these life transmissions of the second second second
- Harada, 2012), respectively, despite the known potency of these lifestyle changes in reducing
   greenhouse emissions (Wynes and Nicholas, 2017; Williamson et al., 2018). Negative immediate
- emotions (e.g., apprehension) linked to endorsing environmental initiatives that are politically
- 125 unpopular and/or jeopardize a party's agenda may prod policymakers and politicians to prioritize
- their candidacy and public approval instead (Rickards et al., 2014; Hornsey and Fielding, 2020).
- 127 Other studies have continued to corroborate immediate emotions' relevance in pro-environmental
- decision-making. For instance, Lammers et al. (2019) identified anticipatory disgust as the strongest
- 129 predictor for safe insect consumption, outweighing participant awareness of entomophagy's low-risk,
- high-return benefits (Lammers et al., 2019). Lu and Schuldt (2015) reported that adults recalling an
   autobiographical event eliciting guilt endorsed industry-targeted policies more strongly than those
- recalling a neutral one, thereby proving the utility of immediate emotions' incidental dimension (Lu
- a neural one, mereby proving the utility of minediate emotions incidental dimension (Lu and Schuldt 2015)
- 133 and Schuldt, 2015).

## 1343The current research gap

- 135 Immediate emotions' potential for supporting pro-environmental behavior change is presently limited
- by a two-part literature gap. First, existing pro-environmental TPB studies underexplore immediate
- emotions. Advanced Google Scholar searches up to November 2022 using "TPB" and "immediate
- emotion\*" yielded one pro-environmental TPB study that briefly mentioned immediate emotions
- (Ibrahim et al., 2021), two pro-environmental TPB studies suggesting immediate emotions as a
   possible avenue of research (Kim et al., 2013; Brosch et al., 2014), and two pro-environmental paper
- possible avenue of research (Kim et al., 2013; Brosch et al., 2014), and two pro-environmental papers examining the utility of immediate emotions for enhancing decentralized water system acceptance
- examining the utility of immediate emotions for enhancing decentralized water system acceptance
   (Mankad, 2012) and public service announcement effectiveness (Poškus et al., 2019). Another search
- using "TPB" and "anticipatory" or "incidental" yielded one pro-environmental study that evaluated

- 144 anticipatory worry's influence on cyclists' risk-taking behavior (Kummeneje and Rundmo, 2020). A
- 145 final search using "TPB" and "emotion" or "affect" yielded a single pro-environmental TPB study
- 146 that investigated whether immediate emotions toward an electric car's appearance predicted
- 147 intentions to use electric cars (Moons and De Pelsmacker, 2012).
- 148 Second, pro-environmental TPB studies inadequately represent and analyze immediate emotions, 149 with most studies either investigating anticipated emotions as an independent TPB construct (Kim et al., 2013; Graham-Rowe et al., 2015; Ibrahim et al., 2021; Lam et al., 2022), relying on other 150 151 proposed TPB constructs (e.g., attitudes, environmental concerns, moral norms, etc.) to stand for 152 emotions (de Leeuw et al., 2015; Rhodes et al., 2015; Hameed et al., 2019; Savari and Gharechaee, 153 2020), or omitting the demarcation between anticipated and immediate emotions (Russell et al., 154 2017; Ansu-Mensah and Bein, 2019; Berki-Kiss and Menrad, 2022; La Barbera et al., 2022). As part 155 of our efforts to confirm the existence of this methodological gap, we scanned through the papers' 156 procedures to account for possible discrepancies in how researchers used (or did not use) affective 157 terminology. Interestingly, we observed that studies typically employed approaches that did not elicit 158 immediate emotions or consider their temporal specificity. Simply put, the researchers did not 1) 159 have participants engage in actual decision-making (e.g., La Barbera et al. [2022] and Russell et al. 160 [2017] inquired about participants' feelings toward food waste without presenting them with an
- 161 opportunity to make a concrete decision between retaining or reducing current levels of personal food
- 162 waste) or 2) use questionnaires with the appropriate written cues (e.g., Ansu-Mensah and Bein's
- 163 [2019] questionnaire asks "I *will* feel X" rather than "I feel X", thereby assessing anticipated
- 164 emotions; Berki-Kiss and Menrad's [2022] questionnaire asks "When I decide to do X, I feel Y",
- 165 which implies that the participants are reporting emotions that occurred *after* a decision was made).
- 166 This oversight may ultimately result in missed opportunities for campaigners, policymakers, and
- 167 other critical actors to address pressing environmental threats. Following this rationale, we aim to
- 168 stimulate more empirical attention toward immediate emotions by delineating the potential
- 169 theoretical and empirical benefits this construct brings to the TPB framework. We also provide
- 170 pointers for productively evaluating immediate emotions.

## 171 **4** Augmenting TPB with immediate emotions

- 172 Like other behavior change models, TPB comes with theoretical limitations, one of which is the
- 173 intention-behavior gap. The intention-behavior gap refers to the discrepancy between an individual's
- 174 predicted and actual behavior (Ajzen and Schmidt, 2020); plausible explanations for this
- phenomenon include the provisional nature of intentions and the presence of methodological
- 176 drawbacks (Sutton, 1998; Yuriev et al., 2020). Given this information, immediate emotions can likely
- ameliorate this shortcoming in two ways. First, a TPB model extended with immediate emotions may
- 178 possess an increased capacity for explaining specific changes in intention. This is probable since
- 179 immediate emotions at high intensities can alter our behaviors by overwhelming the cognitive
- 180 processes responsible for deliberate decision-making (Loewenstein, 2000; Loewenstein and Lerner,
- 181 2003). It is known that individuals experiencing heightened levels of immediate emotions tend to be
- 182 more impulsive and face greater difficulties with suppressing problematic behaviors like aggression, 183 overconsumption, and substance abuse; here, immediate emotions can be construed as disrupting pre-
- existing intentions to avoid these adverse actions (Pearlstein et al., 2019; Elliott et al., 2023).
- 185 Second, TPB studies that deliberately factor in immediate emotions will have the opportunity to
- adopt empirical approaches that remedy their methodologies' weaknesses. Specifically, research on
- 187 immediate emotions generally have participants engage in tasks that activate their decision-making

- 188 processes; this is done to accommodate the fact that immediate emotions are experienced *during*
- decision-making (Schlösser et al., 2013). For example, Notaro and Grilli's (2022) inquiry on how
- 190 emotions shape public preferences for wildlife conservation had participants choose between
- 191 different monetary amounts that they would donate to conservation efforts (Notaro and Grilli, 2022).
- 192 It is also common for affective research to ascertain participants' immediate emotions via objective
- 193 physiological measures, which is feasible given that immediate emotions are *actually experienced*
- 194 (Schlösser et al., 2013). To illustrate, Bettiga and Lamberti (2020) successfully distinguished
- 195 anticipatory happiness from anticipated happiness by analyzing participants' micro-expressions
- (Bettiga and Lamberti, 2020). These experimental methods have significant implications for
   increasing the reliability of TPB findings, especially since TPB studies heavily rely on questionnaires
- and other self-reported measures that are 1) usually limited to gauging hypothetical rather than
- authentic intentions (Sutton, 1998) and 2) highly susceptible to self-report bias (Yuriev et al., 2020).
- 200 Besides the possibility of reducing TPB's intention-behavior gap, immediate emotions could improve
- 201 TPB's predictive power by serving as potential measures of past behavior. To clarify, psychologists
- 202 have recognized past behavior as a significant indicator for future conduct but could not explain this
- 203 phenomenon with TPB's main predictors or other commonly considered constructs (e.g., anticipated
- emotions, habit strength, and self-identity; Ajzen, 2011). Ajzen thus proposed the existence of
- 205 "missing" variables that mediate past behaviors' influence on intentions. Prior research paints
- immediate emotions as a strong contender. For instance, Feil et al.'s (2022) investigation on the
- 207 affective drivers of physical activity discovered that immediate emotions associated with 208 participants' earlier fitness sessions 1) resurfaced when participants pondered a prospective
- 200 participants carrier intress sessions () resurfaced when participants pondered a prospective 209 opportunity to exercise and 2) correlated with the participants' overall exercise frequency (Feil et al.,
- 2022). Kuwabara and Pillemar (2010) analogously observed that participants prompted to recall
- 211 pleasant university experiences subsequently experienced positive immediate emotions while
- deciding whether to contribute to their alma mater; additionally, the more intense their positive
- 213 immediate emotions were, the stronger their intentions and decisions to contribute (Kuwabara and
- 214 Pillemer, 2010).
- 215 Neuropsychology also supports this notion that immediate emotions recur and shape current conduct
- when previous behaviors or experiences are recalled. According to Damasio's somatic marker
- 217 hypothesis, prior decision-making events are coupled with bodily responses such as changes in blood
- 218 pressure, electrodermal activity, and heart rate; when an individual encounters similar decision-
- 219 making opportunities in the future, these bodily responses are reproduced and function as biological 220 signals that antecedently guide conscious decision-making (Damasio et al., 1996). In other words,
- signals that antecedently guide conscious decision-making (Damasio et al., 1996). In other words,
   immediate emotions—which are tied to changes in physiological states (Loewenstein, 2000;
- 221 Infinediate emotions—which are field to changes in physiological states (Loewenstein, 2000;
   222 Schlösser et al., 2013; Dunning et al., 2017)—can be interpreted as evolutionary features designed to
- rapidly inform our behavioral intentions. Extant research has also identified immediate emotions'
- rapidly inform our behavioral intentions. Extant research has also identified immediate emotions
   visceral aspect as a critical element for adaptive learning and decision-making (Carter and Pasqualini,
- 224 visceral aspect as a critical element for adaptive learning and decision-making (Carter and Pasquanni 225 2004; Ohira, 2010), with some studies describing this facet as offering biologically "preprogrammed
- but partially modifiable behavioral routines" (Pacella et al., 2017; Tyng et al., 2017). In summary, a
- TPB model extended with immediate emotions may better predict our intentions because it would
- 228 likely account for past behavior's residual effects on current intention.
- 229 Altogether, we strongly recommend that pro-environmental TPB scientists place greater emphasis on
- 230 immediate emotions and their associated evaluation methods when designing their studies. Table 2,
- informed by our discussion and literature review findings, presents guidelines for prospective
- researchers looking to examine immediate emotions as a distinctive variable.

Immediate emotions' attribute of interest	Suggested research protocol	Example set up
Occurrence <i>during</i> decision-making, i.e., temporal specificity) (Loewenstein, 2000; Schlösser et al., 2013)	<ol> <li>Engage participants in tasks that activate their decision- making processes.</li> <li>Use appropriate verbal and/or written cues when questioning participants about their immediate emotions.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Participants are presented with various pro-environmental options and instructed to decide as if their selection was binding (Notaro and Grilli, 2022).</li> <li>Researchers explain the differences between anticipated and immediate emotions to participants (Feil et al., 2022).</li> <li>Researchers explicitly ask participants to report how they feel <i>right now</i> (Clowes and Masser, 2012; Schlösser et al., 2013; Feil et al., 2022).</li> </ul>
Association with hot- cognition and visceral feelings (Loewenstein, 2000; Schlösser et al., 2013; Dunning et al., 2017)	<ol> <li>Assess and verify immediate emotions using physiological measures.</li> </ol>	• Researchers analyze participants' micro-expressions to distinguish between anticipated and anticipatory emotions (Bettiga and Lamberti, 2020).
(Potential) mediator between past behavior and intention (Kuwabara and Pillemer, 2010; Feil et al., 2022)	<ol> <li>Inquire about emotional memories related to the study's behavior of interest.</li> <li>Evaluate data to identify correlations between past behavioral experience, present immediate emotions, and participants' behavioral intentions/performance.</li> </ol>	• Researchers conduct face to face interviews where participants discuss how their prior behavioral experiences relate to their current anticipatory emotions toward a comparable, target behavior; data is then decoded and correlated with how frequently participants perform the targeted behavior (Feil et al., 2022).

## 233 Table 2. Recommendations for empirically evaluating immediate emotions

234

## 235 **5** Discussion and future research

236 Thus far, the prospects of explicitly examining immediate emotions within TPB appear highly

237 promising. Immediate emotions are not only relevant for a wide variety of optimal pro-environmental

238 behaviors but also possess the potential to mitigate TPB's intention-behavior gap and the

unexplained, residual effects of past behavior on current decision-making. Our contribution lies in 1)

240 identifying the empirical, methodological, and interdisciplinary gap pertaining to immediate

emotions in pro-environmental TPB literature and 2) offering suggestions for addressing this gap.

- 242 Nonetheless, there are limitations to this paper. First, immediate emotions are discounted from
- 243 further TPB scrutiny because they only directly influence intentions in special circumstances (e.g., at
- high levels of intensity [Loewenstein, 2000; Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003] and when memories of
- past behavior are triggered [Kuwabara and Pillemer, 2010; Feil et al., 2022]); Ajzen's sufficiency
- assumption states that additional variables merit investigation only if they consistently share a direct, causal relationship with intentions (Ajzen, 2011). It is then imperative to emphasize that regardless of
- Ajzen's stance, immediate emotions in their entirety (including their indirect effects on intentions)
- 248 Ajzen's stance, inimediate emotions in their entirety (including their indirect effects on intentions) 249 are important for influencing and understanding behaviors (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003) as well as
- for designing interventions (Chapman et al., 2017). Pro-environmental TPB scientists have even
- acknowledged the importance and necessity of studying traditionally secondary but contextually
- 252 significant variables (Yuriev et al., 2020).
- 253 Second, while it is ideal to engage participants in authentic decision-making and to verify their
- 254 immediate emotions through objective physiological measures, executing these research tasks may
- conflict with the researchers' time and monetary constraints. In situations where it is unfeasible to
- employ these methods, researchers can consider designing and relying on more comprehensive
- 257 questionnaires that include both discrete (e.g., studying specific immediate emotions like immediate
- anxiety; Clowes and Masser, 2012; Feil et al., 2022) and dimensional (e.g., assessing immediate
- emotions on a continuum like immediate levels of arousal; Schlösser et al., 2013) measures.
- 260 Finally, our recommendations for empirically evaluating immediate emotions may be insufficient for
- studying mixed emotions. Individuals can experience different immediate and anticipated emotions
- simultaneously (Loewenstein and Lerner, 2003; Dunning et al., 2017), which makes it less
- straightforward to understand how immediate emotions might guide our decisions to engage in proenvironmental behaviors. Future research will need to determine how specific immediate emotions
- 204 environmental behaviors. Future research will need to determine how specific immediate emotions 265 interact with each other, the necessary conditions for one emotional reaction to emerge over another,
- and how these interactions may differ between short and long-term decision-making. Ultimately, a
- 267 thorough understanding of the mechanisms through which immediate emotions impact our decisions
- and behaviors can have powerful implications for designing interventions that stimulate urgently
- 269 needed pro-environmental action.

## 270 6 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# 273 **7** Author Contributions

- 274 VCH: Writing original draft, Writing review & editing, Conceptualization, Project
- 275 administration; AHB: Writing review & editing; JA: Writing review & editing; DJK: Writing –
- 276 review & editing; SB: Writing review & editing; JM: Writing review & editing; CSP: Writing –
- 277 review & editing, Conceptualization; DTB: Writing original draft, Writing review & editing,
- 278 Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision.

# 279 8 Acknowledgements

- We thank Paul Ehrlich, Jennifer Jacquet, Mathis Wackernagel, and the late Lee Ross for constructive
   comments on earlier versions of this manuscript.
- 282 9 References

- Agyeman, J., Devine-Wright, P., and Prange, J. (2009). Close to the Edge, down by the River?
  Joining up Managed Retreat and Place Attachment in a Climate Changed World. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1068/a41301 (Accessed October 13, 2023).
- Ajzen, I. (2011). The Theory of Planned Behaviour: Reactions and reflections. *Psychology & Health* 26, 1113–1127. doi: 10.1080/08870446.2011.613995
- Ajzen, I., and Schmidt, P. (2020). "Changing behavior using the Theory of Planned Behavior," in
   *The Handbook of Behavior Change* Cambridge Handbooks in Psychology., eds. K.
   Hamilton, L. D. Cameron, M. S. Hagger, N. Hankonen, and T. Lintunen (Cambridge:
- 291 Cambridge University Press), 17–31. Available at:
- https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/handbook-of-behavior-change/changing-behaviorusing-the-theory-of-planned-behavior/BB87E67D7E443C718DE4BFA0EA9356DE
  (Accessed June 2, 2022).
- Ansu-Mensah, P., and Bein, M. A. (2019). Towards sustainable consumption: Predicting the impact
   of social-psychological factors on energy conservation intentions in Northern Cyprus. *Natural Resources Forum* 43, 181–193. doi: 10.1111/1477-8947.12174
- Baumgartner, H., Pieters, R., and Bagozzi, R. P. (2008). Future-oriented emotions: conceptualization
   and behavioral effects. *European Journal of Social Psychology* 38, 685–696. doi:
   10.1002/ejsp.467
- Bee, C. C., and Madrigal, R. (2013). Consumer uncertainty: The influence of anticipatory emotions
   on ambivalence, attitudes, and intentions. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. Available at:
   https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/cb.1435 (Accessed November 3, 2023).
- Berki-Kiss, D., and Menrad, K. (2022). The role emotions play in consumer intentions to make pro social purchases in Germany An augmented theory of planned behavior model. *Sustainable Production and Consumption* 29, 79–89. doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2021.09.026
- Bettiga, D., and Lamberti, L. (2020). Future-Oriented Happiness: Its Nature and Role in Consumer
   Decision-Making for New Products. *Frontiers in Psychology* 11. Available at:
   https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00929 (Accessed October 28, 2023).
- Bradshaw, C., Ehrlich, P., Beattie, A., Ceballos, G., Crist, E., Diamond, J., et al. (2020).
  Underestimating the challenges of avoiding a ghastly future. *Frontiers in Conservation Science* 1, 615419. doi: 10.3389/fcosc.2020.615419
- Brosch, T. (2021). Affect and emotions as drivers of climate change perception and action: A review.
   *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* 42, 15–21. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2021.02.001
- Brosch, T., Patel, M., and Sander, D. (2014). Affective influences on energy-related decisions and
  behaviors. *Frontiers in Energy Research* 2. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2014.00011

# Carter, S., and Pasqualini, M. S. (2004). Stronger autonomic response accompanies better learning: A test of Damasio's somatic marker hypothesis. *Cognition and Emotion* 18, 901–911. doi: 10.1080/02699930341000338

320 Chapman, D. A., Lickel, B., and Markowitz, E. M. (2017). Reassessing emotion in climate change communication. Nature Clim Change 7, 850-852. doi: 10.1038/s41558-017-0021-9 321 Clayton, S., Devine-Wright, P., Stern, P. C., Whitmarsh, L., Carrico, A., Steg, L., et al. (2015). 322 323 Psychological research and global climate change. Nature Clim Change 5, 640-646. doi: 324 10.1038/nclimate2622 325 Clowes, R., and Masser, B. M. (2012). Right here, right now: The impact of the blood donation 326 context on anxiety, attitudes, subjective norms, self-efficacy, and intention to donate blood. 327 Transfusion 52, 1560-1565. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2011.03486.x 328 Damasio, A. R., Everitt, B. J., Bishop, D., Roberts, A. C., Robbins, T. W., and Weiskrantz, L. (1996). 329 The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. 330 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 331 351, 1413-1420. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1996.0125 332 de Leeuw, A., Valois, P., Ajzen, I., and Schmidt, P. (2015). Using the Theory of Planned Behavior to 333 identify key beliefs underlying pro-environmental behavior in high-school students: 334 Implications for educational interventions. Journal of Environmental Psychology 42, 128-335 138. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.03.005 Dunning, D., Fetchenhauer, D., and Schlösser, T. (2017). The varying roles played by emotion in 336 337 economic decision making. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 15, 33-38. doi: 338 10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.05.006 339 Elliott, M. V., Johnson, S. L., Pearlstein, J. G., Muñoz Lopez, D. E., and Keren, H. (2023). Emotion-340 related impulsivity and risky decision-making: A systematic review and meta-regression. Clinical Psychology Review 100, 102232. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2022.102232 341 342 Feil, K., Weyland, S., Fritsch, J., Wäsche, H., and Jekauc, D. (2022). Anticipatory and anticipated 343 emotions in regular and non-regular exercisers – A qualitative study. Frontiers in Psychology 344 13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.929380 345 French, D. P., Sutton, S., Hennings, S. J., Mitchell, J., Wareham, N. J., Griffin, S., et al. (2005). The 346 importance of affective beliefs and attitudes in the Theory of Planned Behavior: Predicting 347 intention to increase physical activity. Journal of Applied Social Psychology 35, 1824-1848. 348 doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02197.x 349 Graham-Rowe, E., Jessop, D. C., and Sparks, P. (2015). Predicting household food waste reduction 350 using an extended Theory of Planned Behaviour. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 351 101, 194-202. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.020 Green, K. M., Crawford, B. A., Williamson, K. A., and DeWan, A. A. (2019). A meta-analysis of 352 353 social marketing campaigns to improve global conservation outcomes. Social Marketing 354 Quarterly 25, 69-87. doi: 10.1177/1524500418824258 355 Hameed, I., Waris, I., and Amin ul Haq, M. (2019). Predicting eco-conscious consumer behavior 356 using Theory of Planned Behavior in Pakistan. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26, 15535-15547. doi: 357 10.1007/s11356-019-04967-9

- Hopwood, C. J., and Bleidorn, W. (2019). Psychological profiles of people who justify eating meat as
   natural, necessary, normal, or nice. *Food Quality and Preference* 75, 10–14. doi:
   10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.02.004
- Hornsey, M. J., and Fielding, K. S. (2020). Understanding (and Reducing) Inaction on Climate
   Change. Social Issues and Policy Review 14, 3–35. doi: 10.1111/sipr.12058
- Ibrahim, H., Mariapan, M., Lin, E. L. A., and Bidin, S. (2021). Wildlife conservation through
  economically responsible ecotourist: The mediator roles of attitude between anticipated
  emotion and intention to stay in local homestays. *Sustainability* 13, 9273. doi:
  10.3390/su13169273
- Keller, E., Eisen, C., and Hanss, D. (2019). Lessons learned from applications of the stage model of
   self-regulated behavioral change: A review. *Frontiers in Psychology* 10. Available at:
   https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01091 (Accessed April 19, 2023).
- Kim, Y. J., Njite, D., and Hancer, M. (2013). Anticipated emotion in consumers' intentions to select
   eco-friendly restaurants: Augmenting the Theory of Planned Behavior. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 34, 255–262. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.04.004
- Kobbeltved, T., and Wolff, K. (2009). The Risk-as-feelings hypothesis in a Theory-of-plannedbehaviour perspective. *Judgment and Decision Making* 4, 567–586. doi:
  10.1017/S1930297500001145
- Kollmuss, A., and Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally and what
   are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior? *Environmental Education Research* 8, 239–
   260. doi: 10.1080/13504620220145401
- Kummeneje, A.-M., and Rundmo, T. (2020). Attitudes, risk perception and risk-taking behaviour
   among regular cyclists in Norway. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 69, 135–150. doi: 10.1016/j.trf.2020.01.007
- Kuwabara, K. J., and Pillemer, D. B. (2010). Memories of past episodes shape current intentions and
   decisions. *Memory* 18, 365–374. doi: 10.1080/09658211003670857
- La Barbera, F., Amato, M., Riverso, R., and Verneau, F. (2022). Social emotions and good provider
   norms in tackling household food waste: An extension of the Theory of Planned Behavior.
   *Sustainability* 14, 9681. doi: 10.3390/su14159681
- Lam, T. W. L., Tsui, Y. C. J., Fok, L., Cheung, L. T. O., Tsang, E. P. K., and Lee, J. C.-K. (2022).
  The influences of emotional factors on householders' decarbonizing cooling behaviour in a
  subtropical metropolitan city: An application of the extended Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Science of The Total Environment* 807, 150826. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150826
- Lammers, P., Ullmann, L. M., and Fiebelkorn, F. (2019). Acceptance of insects as food in Germany:
   Is it about sensation seeking, sustainability consciousness, or food disgust? *Food Quality and Preference* 77, 78–88. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.05.010
- Lerner, J. S., Li, Y., Valdesolo, P., and Kassam, K. S. (2015). Emotion and decision making. *Annu Rev Psychol* 66, 799–823. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115043

- Loewenstein, G. (2000). Emotions in economic theory and economic behavior. *American Economic Review* 90, 426–432. doi: 10.1257/aer.90.2.426
- Loewenstein, G., and Lerner, J. S. (2003). "The role of affect in decision making," in *Handbook of Affective Science*, eds. R. Davidson, H. Goldsmith, and K. Scherer (Oxford: Oxford
   University Press), 619–642.
- 401 Lu, H., and Schuldt, J. P. (2015). Exploring the role of incidental emotions in support for climate
  402 change policy. *Climatic Change* 131, 719–726. doi: 10.1007/s10584-015-1443-x
- 403 Mankad, A. (2012). Decentralised water systems: Emotional influences on resource decision making.
   404 *Environment International* 44, 128–140. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2012.01.002
- Michie, S., West, R., Campbell, R., Brown, J., and Gainforth, H. (2014). ABC of Behaviour Change
   *Theories*. Bream: Silverback Publishing.
- Mohiyeddini, C., Pauli, R., and Bauer, S. (2009). The role of emotion in bridging the intention–
  behaviour gap: The case of sports participation. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 10, 226–
  234. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2008.08.005
- Moons, I., and De Pelsmacker, P. (2012). Emotions as determinants of electric car usage intention.
   *Journal of Marketing Management* 28, 195–237. doi: 10.1080/0267257X.2012.659007
- Nabi, R. L., Gustafson, A., and Jensen, R. (2018). Framing Climate Change: Exploring the Role of
  Emotion in Generating Advocacy Behavior. *Science Communication* 40, 442–468. doi:
  10.1177/1075547018776019
- Notaro, S., and Grilli, G. (2022). How much fear? Exploring the role of integral emotions on stated
  preferences for wildlife conservation. *Environmental Management* 69, 449–465. doi:
  10.1007/s00267-022-01593-z
- 418 Ohira, H. (2010). The somatic marker revisited: Brain and body in emotional decision
  419 making. *Emotion Review* 2, 245–249. doi: 10.1177/1754073910362599
- Pacella, D., Ponticorvo, M., Gigliotta, O., and Miglino, O. (2017). Basic emotions and adaptation. A
  computational and evolutionary model. *PLOS ONE* 12, e0187463. doi:
  10.1371/journal.pone.0187463
- Pearlstein, J. G., Johnson, S. L., Modavi, K., Peckham, A. D., and Carver, C. S. (2019).
  Neurocognitive mechanisms of emotion-related impulsivity: The role of arousal. *Psychophysiology* 56, e13293. doi: 10.1111/psyp.13293
- 426 Poškus, M. S., Pilkauskaitė Valickienė, R., and Kuzinas, A. (2019). The effects of descriptive
  427 imagery and appeals on emotions and intentions related to pro-environmental behavior.
  428 Sustainability 11, 5257. doi: 10.3390/su11195257
- Powell, P. A., Jones, C. R., and Consedine, N. S. (2019). It's not queasy being green: The role of
   disgust in willingness-to-pay for more sustainable product alternatives. *Food Quality and Preference* 78, 103737. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.103737

- 432 Ravensbergen, L., Buliung, R., and Laliberté, N. (2020). Fear of cycling: Social, spatial, and
- 433 temporal dimensions. *Journal of Transport Geography* 87, 102813. doi:
- 434 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2020.102813
- Rhodes, R. E., Beauchamp, M. R., Conner, M., de Bruijn, G.-J., Kaushal, N., and Latimer-Cheung,
  A. (2015). Prediction of depot-based specialty recycling behavior using an extended Theory
  of Planned Behavior. *Environment and Behavior* 47, 1001–1023. doi:
  10.1177/0013916514534066
- Rickards, L., Wiseman, J., and Kashima, Y. (2014). Barriers to effective climate change mitigation:
  the case of senior government and business decision makers. *WIREs Climate Change* 5, 753–
  773. doi: 10.1002/wcc.305
- Rocheleau, C. (2013). Organ donation intentions and behaviors: application and extension of the
  theory of planned behavior. *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* Available at:
  https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2012.00998.x (Accessed
  October 29, 2023).
- Russell, S. V., Young, C. W., Unsworth, K. L., and Robinson, C. (2017). Bringing habits and
  emotions into food waste behaviour. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 125, 107–114.
  doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.06.007
- Savari, M., and Gharechaee, H. (2020). Application of the extended Theory of Planned Behavior to
   predict Iranian farmers' intention for safe use of chemical fertilizers. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 263, 121512. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121512
- 452 Sawitri, D. R., Hadiyanto, H., and Hadi, S. P. (2015). Pro-environmental Behavior from a Social
  453 Cognitive Theory Perspective. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 23, 27–33. doi:
  454 10.1016/j.proenv.2015.01.005
- Schlösser, T., Dunning, D., and Fetchenhauer, D. (2013). What a feeling: The role of immediate and
  anticipated emotions in risky decisions. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 26, 13–30.
  doi: 10.1002/bdm.757
- Smith, N., and Leiserowitz, A. (2014). The Role of Emotion in Global Warming Policy Support and
   Opposition. *Risk Analysis* 34, 937–948. doi: 10.1111/risa.12140
- Sobkow, A., Traczyk, J., and Zaleskiewicz, T. (2016). The Affective Bases of Risk Perception:
  Negative Feelings and Stress Mediate the Relationship between Mental Imagery and Risk
  Perception. *Frontiers in Psychology* 7. Available at:
  https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00932 (Accessed October 27, 2023).
- Stanley, S. K., Hogg, T. L., Leviston, Z., and Walker, I. (2021). From anger to action: Differential
  impacts of eco-anxiety, eco-depression, and eco-anger on climate action and wellbeing. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health* 1, 100003. doi: 10.1016/j.joclim.2021.100003
- 467 Sutton, S. (1998). Predicting and Explaining Intentions and Behavior: How Well Are We Doing?
  468 *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 28, 1317–1338. doi: 10.1111/j.1559469 1816.1998.tb01679.x

- 470 Tian, H., and Liu, X. (2022). Pro-Environmental Behavior Research: Theoretical Progress and Future 471 Directions. Int J Environ Res Public Health 19, 6721. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19116721 Tyng, C. M., Amin, H. U., Saad, M. N. M., and Malik, A. S. (2017). The influences of emotion on 472 learning and memory. Front Psychol 8. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01454 473 474 Waitt, G., and Harada, T. (2012). Driving, Cities and Changing Climates. Urban Studies 49, 3307-3325. doi: 10.1177/0042098012443858 475 476 Williamson, K., Satre-Meloy, A., Velasco, K., and Green, K. (2018). Climate change needs behavior 477 change: Making the case for behavioral solutions to reduce global warming. Arlington: Rare. 478 Available at: https://rare.org/report/climate-change-needs-behavior-change/ (Accessed 479 December 4, 2020). 480 Wynes, S., and Nicholas, K. A. (2017). The climate mitigation gap: Education and government 481 recommendations miss the most effective individual actions. Environ. Res. Lett. 12, 074024. 482 doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aa7541 Yuriev, A., Dahmen, M., Paillé, P., Boiral, O., and Guillaumie, L. (2020). Pro-environmental 483 behaviors through the lens of the Theory of Planned Behavior: A scoping review. Resources, 484 Conservation and Recycling 155, 104660. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104660 485 486
- 487