CORSIE, M., MALONE, M. and SWINTON, P.A. 2024. Translating novel collective behaviour measures to concepts and principles of play as understood by football coaches. *International journal of sports science and coaching* [online], Online First. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541241238991</u>

Translating novel collective behaviour measures to concepts and principles of play as understood by football coaches.

CORSIE, M., MALONE, M. and SWINTON, P.A.

2024

© The Author(s) 2024. DOI: 10.1177/17479541241238991

Users who receive access to an article through a repository are reminded that the article is protected by copyright and reuse is restricted to non-commercial and no derivative uses. Users may also download and save a local copy of an article accessed in an institutional repository for the user's personal reference. For permission to reuse an article, please follow our <u>Process for Requesting Permission</u>.



This document was downloaded from https://openair.rgu.ac.uk



1 Translating novel collective behavior measures to concepts and principles of play as 2 understood by football coaches.

3

4 Martin Corsie¹, Michael Malone² and Paul A Swinton²

⁵ ¹Faculty of Sport, Health and Social Sciences, Solent University, East Park Terrace, Southampton,

6 UK

⁷ ²School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Garthdee Road, Aberdeen, UK

8 Abstract

9 Background: A range of innovative performance analysis metrics have been applied in recent years to investigate aspects of football using tempo-spatial and network analyses. 10 These approaches have gained traction within some professional teams to quantify and assess 11 features of collective behavior. However, metrics employed are rarely created from, or 12 clearly link to, domain expertise and as a result coaches may be hesitant of their value. 13 14 Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify coach perceptions of spatial temporal and network metrics and identify the feasibility of an iterative and collaborative process to 15 developing metrics. Methods: Two rounds of semi-structured interviews were conducted 16 with three Scottish youth international UEFA Pro License coaches (age: 47.0 ± 2.7 years) 17 with a focus on aligning metrics with concepts and principles of play. An iterative approach 18 was used centering around spatial-temporal and network metrics and their adaptation. 19 Reflexive thematic analyses were conducted with final metrics categorized as resonant 20 (accurately describing concept or principles of play), relevant (appropriate but with 21 limitations that need improvement), or hesitant (skeptical of usefulness). Results: Across the 22 ten recognized principles of play, nine metrics were identified and adapted to varying 23 degrees. Resonant metrics included: network intensity (mobility), distance between defenders 24 (discipline), triangles (support), team length and distance between deepest defender and goal 25 line (depth). Conclusion: Coaches recognize principles of play within complex collective 26 behavior metrics and should be encouraged to collaborate with analysts to develop support 27 28 systems that may prove to be more valuable and usable. 29 Keywords: Soccer, collaboration, performance analysis, data analysis, decision making 30 31 32 33 34 35 36

38 Introduction

With increasing data collection in elite football, more sophisticated approaches are being 39 developed to derive greater knowledge and insight¹. Traditional approaches to data analysis 40 have focused on players physical performance (e.g., information obtained by movement 41 analyses) or on team performance (e.g., technical, or tactical event frequencies occurring in 42 matches such as passes or dribbles)². Due to factors such as the low scoring nature of 43 football and subsequent fine margins to separate winning and losing teams, quantifying 44 performance in this manner is challenging³. Subsequently, individual moments in football 45 can greatly influence the match outcome and can lead to more frequent victories by teams 46 who do not perform as well as their losing opponents³. Additionally, the continuous nature of 47 football creates a dynamic environment where each player is constantly moving and adjusting 48 based on the positions of their teammates and the ball⁴. The complexity can be challenging 49 to summarize coherently such that performance analysts in football have traditionally 50 supported coaching staff through video analysis supplemented with basic descriptive statistics 51 ⁵. Indeed, whilst evidence shows increasing use of more complex key performance indicators, 52 a preference for simpler measures of performance such as shots on target has been 53 demonstrated ⁶. This mixed picture is further evidenced by the recruitment of data scientists 54 by some elite teams to assist in the development and use of complex performance indicators 55 that process positional and event data. This posits the question of how performance analysts, 56 and data scientists can collaborate to create a system that is effective and actively supports 57 coaching staff. 58

A barrier to achieving buy in from coaching staff is likely to include the mathematical nature 59 of the complex metrics used in the literature base. Some studies have computed metrics based 60 within principles of play using a range of techniques including computational measures 61 relying on the position of player and networks of interactions where sequential order was 62 integrated into the analysis ⁷. Another approach is the FUT-SAT instrument presented by 63 Costa et al. who created a notational tool based on player actions and underpinned by the 10 64 principles of play to evaluate tactical performance⁸. Whilst these approaches have 65 demonstrated progression within football performance analysis, uptake of these tools and 66 procedures appear limited. In a growing research field, there seems to be little collaboration 67 with coaches regarding how the metrics used in this field can be applied in coaching. 68 Gudmundsson and Wolle created tools while in close contact with coaches and analysts to 69

help shape analytical systems that were valuable ⁹. However, a large section of the literature
 base performs research independently and without reporting cooperation with coaches ¹⁰.

Considering these issues, a monodisciplinary approach may not be optimal when providing 72 performance analysis support for coaches. An alternative to this status-quo is co-production. 73 Co-production is a process for capturing knowledge that is valuable in multidisciplinary 74 75 contexts where in the domain of performance analysis, the analyst (the service provider) collaborates with the coach (the service user) to create higher value output. Whilst this 76 method has gained popularity in finding solutions to an array of problems, there remains 77 ambiguity in both the theoretical underpinnings ¹¹ and the terminology with co-creation, co-78 design, and co-innovation often being used interchangeably ¹². Despite this, variations of co-79 production have been applied in sport and health contexts ^{13,14}, however, there does not 80 appear to be any literature that explores co-production in the context of performance analysis. 81 Considering many coaches do not use more complex key performance indicators ⁶, 82 collaborative approaches offer an avenue to integrate spatial-temporal and network analysis 83 metrics into analysis provisions. Moreover, there is limited exploration of how coaches even 84 85 perceive and use these metrics. Consequently, the purpose of this research was to identify coach perceptions of novel collective behavior measurements. This was done through 86 87 investigating coaches' philosophy and principles of play and identifying how current measurements of collective behavior can be adapted to achieve buy in from a coach. The 88 89 study drew on elements of co-production and comprised an iterative approach working with elite football coaches to present contemporary collective behavior metrics, explore the coach 90 interpretations and their own philosophies and principles through qualitative interview and 91 subsequently refine the metrics used. 92

93 <u>Methodology</u>

94 *Study design*

A framework for creating a tailored system to augment coach decision-making through
performance data analysis and visualization was explored in this study. The framework
comprised of an iterative process ¹³ (Figure 1), including standard collective spatial temporal
and network metrics as a starting point, with modifications based on interviews with coaches
based on their philosophy. Prior to data collection, institutional ethical approval was granted.



101 Figure 1. Schematic overview of the iterative interview process.

102 Participants

103 Purposive sampling was used to recruit three Scottish international football coaches (average

age:47.0 \pm 2.7 years) to allow for extensive information to be gathered ¹⁵. Coaches had

between 8- and 28-years coaching experience (average experience: 18.3 ± 10.0 years) and

106 held the UEFA Pro License qualification. Between the initial and follow up interview, one

107 coach did not participate in the second interview due to changing jobs, resulting in a total of

108 five interviews throughout the iterative research process.

109 Data Collection

- 110 Two separate phases of semi-structured interviews were used to gather the coach perspectives
- and to provide feedback on the initial (phase one) and modified (phase two) spatial-temporal
- and network metrics developed to quantify aspects of collective behavior (Figure 1). Open
- ended questions were integrated throughout interviews to allow for concepts to be explored ¹⁶
- 114 while giving the researcher some control over the process ¹⁷. The interview questions
- 115 (Appendix 2) centered on attacking, defending and transitions as well as spatial temporal
- 116 principles including position, distances, spaces, and numerical relations along with network
- 117 metrics seeking to gain further understanding of passing sequences.
- 118 Before each data collection phase, a fifteen-minute presentation was provided to the coaches.
- 119 Phase one presentations provided an outline of common approaches used to describe

collective behavior, anchoring the discussions to relevant principles of play ^{10, 18}. Between 120 interviews, metrics were adapted or created based on coach comments and a second 121 presentation was constructed. During the second interview, coaches were provided quotes 122 and interpretations of the initial interview and asked to comment on whether the calculated 123 and visualized metrics were accurate and relevant or if concepts were incomplete. This 124 approach has previously been used within coaching ⁹ and allows for scrutiny of interviewee 125 quotes, facilitating adaptations to metrics and visualizations to better suit coach 126 conceptualization¹⁹. All interviews and presentations were undertaken by the same 127 researcher (MC). Interviews lasted approximately one hour and were recorded through 128 Microsoft Teams with participant's permission, for transcription in verbatim. 129

130 *Data Analysis*

Reflexive thematic analysis was used to generate themes for both interview phases with a 131 reflective log (Appendix 1) written to document the process ²⁰. Both researchers read through 132 the transcripts multiple times to get a clear understanding of the raw data ²⁰. Following this, 133 each researcher individually coded the transcripts prior an open and honest discussion to 134 finalize coding ²⁰. Initially, the lead researcher collated and organized these into potential 135 themes before discussing these with the research team ²⁰. These themes were reviewed to 136 ensure they were representative of the coded extracts and fitted with the research question. 137 Once agreed, themes were then defined prior to the formation of a final thematic 138 tables/branched matrix ²⁰. Data were analyzed by two researchers (MC and MM) and both 139 were involved in the creation of a reflective log to document the process (Appendix 1). Both 140 have undergone training by their university to conduct thematic analysis and have previous 141 experience of this process. This allowed for multiple analyst triangulation, ensuring 142 participant information was interpreted appropriately and allowed for any conflicts or 143 disagreements to be resolved within the research team ²¹. The final thematic tables/branched 144 matrixes can be seen in Tables 1 and 2. Based on the branched matrix and interviewee quotes, 145 systems were created to measure the tactical concepts and principles of play highlighted as 146 important. These were then computed using data from a Euro 2020 qualifying match and 147 visualized using R and presented back to participating coaches. This step functioned as a 148 member checking process to ensure credibility and trustworthiness ²², while forming the 149 iterative process whereby domain expertise and evidence-based research are combined to 150 create a robust process to inform practice ¹⁹. 151

153	Table 1.	Initial	thematic	analysis	identified	from the	e first stage	interviews.

Sub-themes	Themes	Main Themes
Disrupting Opponent	Penetration	Attacking
Creating Space		
Diamonds and Triangles	Support	
Balance		
Control		
Overloads	Width	
Attacking Shape		
Speed of Play	Mobility	
Movement		
Attacking Risk	Creativity	
Patterns of Play		
Decision Making	Attacking Transitions	
Counter Attacking		
Defensive Shape	Delay	Defending
Pressure		
Team Length	Depth	
Lines		
Cover	Balance	
Adjusting		
Compactness	Compactness	
Distances		
Triggers	Discipline	
Working as a Team		
Reaction	Defensive Transition	
Prediction of Transition		
Barriers to Development	Player Development	Team Performance
Learning Styles		
Learning Experiences		
Available Coaching Time		
Flexible Tactics	Match Preparation	
Pitch Size	-	
Opponent Ability		

155

156 **Discussion of Findings**

157 This section provides an overview of the data derived from the iterative interviews along with

discussions of the initial and adjusted thematic analyses, based on coach comments.

159 Additionally, coach perceptions of proposed metrics and visualizations describing the

160 principles of play are discussed, identifying the most promising metrics for tactical

161 measurement based on coach opinion. Finally, a discussion on how these metrics can be

162 further developed to support the coaching process will conclude this section.

Table 2. Iterated thematic analysis identified from the second stage interviews.

Sub-themes	Themes	Main Themes
Diamonds and Triangles	Support	Penetration
Passing Options		
Angles		
Teammate Distances		
Coordination		
Overloads in Wide Areas	Width	
Creating Space		
Disrupting Opponents		
Attacking Shape		
Passing Speed	Mobility	
Contact Time	-	
Movement		
Risk	Creativity	
Breaking Lines	-	
Patterns of Play		
Deception		
1v1		
Defensive Shape	Compactness	Delay
Reaction		2
Recovery		
Controlling Opponents		
Decisions		
Anticipation		
Length	Depth	
Lines		
Cover	Balance	
Overloads Near the Ball		
Adjusting	Discipline	
Triggers	*	
Time		
Distance to Opponent		
Working as a Team		
Pressure		
Barriers to Development	Player Development	Team Performance
Learning Styles		
Learning Experiences		
Available Coaching Time		
Flexible Tactics	Match Preparation	
Pitch Size	-	
Opponent Ability		
Game Context		
Player Strengths		

165

166 Iterative Thematic Analysis

167 Questions in the first interview were structured around attacking, transition to defense,

168 defense, and transition to attack. These concepts were represented in the main themes from

169 the initial thematic analysis: *attacking, defending,* and *team performance*. The twelve themes

that feed into *attacking* and *defending* main themes share strong similarities with traditional

- principles of play found in football literature ^{7, 23, 24}. As stated by Prickett these include five 171
- attacking principles: i) penetration, ii) support, iii) width, iv) mobility and v) creativity, and 172
- five defensive principles: i) delay, ii) depth, iii) concentration, iv) balance and v) discipline ²³. 173
- Attacking transition and defending transition were also identified as themes and are 174
- sometimes mentioned alongside the traditional ten principles ^{7, 24}. These ten principles of play 175
- were identified by participants, despite interview questions being designed without 176
- 177 considering these concepts. The coaches all recognized these principles with coach 1 stating.
- 178

"I'm one that very much strives to stick to the principles of the game, you know, those are the constant strains." 179

180 This finding suggests that the principles of play previously identified are robust, however, the need for elite coaches to undergo education systems featuring these concepts may have 181 182 played a role. The traditional principles also suffer from inconsistency in terminology used. This is demonstrated by coach 1 who lists the attacking principles as. 183

184

"depth, width, mobility, improvisation, penetration for your attacking ones."

The five principles highlighted by the coach align with the previously stated concepts, 185 however, inconsistent terminology could lead to different interpretations. Other research has 186 presented different principles of play that do not conform with the ten outlined by Costa²⁴. 187 Moreover, coaches will have differing opinions on how to implement tactical strategies, 188 underpinned by principles. Establishing a unified framework for principles of play would 189 help, but this is a challenge due to the varying perspectives of coaches. The initial thematic 190 191 analysis can be seen in Table 1.

A finding from the initial interviews was that coach 1 stated that they had previously seen 192 visualizations of team length before, however, did not use it to inform practice. Also, Coach 2 193 194 previously used network analysis to identify common passing behaviors of both their own team and the opponent, however, stopped the use of the analysis due to perceived limited 195 value and resource required to record the data live. This relates to the final main theme of 196 team performance, which branched into two themes: match preparation and player 197 development. These factors related to how performance analysis provision can support the 198 coaching process. Player development focused more on how training can be shaped to 199 maximize development with sub-themes including learning experiences, available coaching 200 time and barriers to development. These relate more generally to the holistic improvement of 201 players and teams. Whereas match preparation identified how changing contexts can impact 202

desirable aspects of team performance from match to match. From the experiences of the
coaches, their previous exposure to these visualizations and data had limited utility in
preparing their team for a match or developing the players.

After completing the initial thematic analysis, metrics from the literature were selected and 206 adjusted based on the coach comments. These were presented back in a second interview to 207 confirm the interpretation of the coaches' comments were accurate and evaluate how 208 representative the metrics were. From the transcripts of the 2nd interview process, the 209 thematic analysis was adapted further. The biggest difference was changing the main themes 210 of attacking and defending to *penetration* and *delay*, respectively. These were changed as 211 212 penetration describes the main aims of the other themes in attacking while every theme of defending was related to delay. The transition themes were also removed from the second 213 214 iteration of the thematic analysis as they were relevant across many themes. Instead, aspects of transition were combined as sub-themes within other concepts due to its importance in 215 216 tactical organization across both attacking and defending. The changes were not limited to the removal of transitions from the themes and the promotion of penetration and delay. Of the 33 217 original sub-themes identified, only 16 (48%) remained unchanged in the second iteration of 218 the table. Some of these changes were minor and were caused by the removal of the attacking 219 and defending transition themes whereby sub themes were moved into other relevant themes. 220 For example, prediction of transition moved from defensive transition to compactness and 221 was renamed to anticipation to better suit the terminology used by coaches. Only 4 (12%) sub 222 themes were rephrased and another 4 (12%) were removed completely where words were 223 either too similar to the themes they were allocated or were too broad and as a result not 224 informative. For instance, 'decision making', could be perceived as relevant in each theme 225 and was consequently removed to avoid sub-themes bleeding across the thematic analysis. 226

227 Such an effect is expected when evaluating tactical principles in a complex dynamical system such as a football match. Indeed, all these concepts are interconnected, naturally causing 228 229 some of the initial sub-themes to bleed into multiple themes. To minimize the impact of this effect, 3 (12%) of the original codes were split into 6 (14%) of the 41 total sub-themes 230 231 identified in the second iteration of the thematic analysis (Table 2). For example, distances were commonly referenced in the initial interviews. However, after devising the tools and 232 233 presenting them to coaches, it appeared that the distances occupied two distinct themes: discipline and support. Consequently, distance to opponent and distance to teammates were 234 placed in the themes respectively. Finally, a total of 9 new sub-themes were added to the 235

- thematic analysis based on the coaches' comments in the second interviews that related
- 237 distinctly to each principle of play.
- 238 **Table 3**. Overview of metrics summarizing principles after the iterative interview process and
- feedback from coaches.

Metric	Measurement	principle	Coach perception	Coach quotes
Network intensity	successful passes/time in possession ^{41,42}	Mobility	Resonant	"I love it, I think it's absolutely brilliant and so critical in terms of player development, team development, winning games."
Distance between defenders	Distance between defenders from identified players in defense position going from the left of the pitch to the right of the pitch 43,44	Discipline	Resonant	"the whole team needs to get back out. It's, for me really, really important to get those adjustments. And always, you can't you can't take risks."
Triangles	distances, angles and area of a triangle described by 3 pre- selected players (e.g., midfielders) ^{43,45-47}	Support	Resonant	"The distances are really important. But I also think it's the players that that need to sort understand that, you know, you don't just move to support the ball, if you're part of the Midfield three like that."
Team length and distance between deepest defender and goal line	Distances calculated in the x-axis only from the deepest defender to the furthest forward attacker (team length) and the goal line ⁴⁸⁻ ⁵⁰	Depth	Resonant	"I personally, coach my teams in a similar way. If we were under pressure, then I would want in that scenario, I would want my striker to be back as well"
Surface area	Calculated from the area of a convex hull of the outfield players ^{29, 30} . Differences are measured between 1 second before loss of possession, loss of possession, 2 seconds after loss of possession and time taken until 600m ² is reached	Concentration	Relevant	"I think the only thing I would add to that [author] is on the tactical instruction of the coach and the team, knowing whether on those transitions"
Team width	Distance along the y axis between player furthest right, and player furthest left on the field	Width	Relevant	"at a higher level of the game, they'll start to do things that are very different and much more complex"
Distance dyads, time to contact, and passing lane	Distance pressure calculated through pressure variable from Link ³² . Time pressure calculate	Pressure	Relevant	"I agree with your description of the pressures. What I would add I'm sure you're aware of it is, in my opinion, it's

	through time to contact from player in possession and closest defender ³³ . Passing lane identified from available players to pass to who have a passing			the decision from the [Team1] central defenders not to pressure once the transition happens."
	lane greater than $10^{\circ 34}$.			
Numerical	Effective area of pitch described	Balance	Hesitant	"I would say probably needs a little bit.
Advantage	by all outfield players is divided			A little bit of work."
	up into 7 areas as shown by Vilar			
	³⁷ . Difference in the number of			
	players in each team within each			
	section is calculated.			
Pitch control and	Points on the pitch closest to	Penetration	Hesitant	"You can show lots of pictures of good
number of	each player adjusted based on the			examples. But at the end of the day, it
outplayed	movement speed and direction of			comes down to quick time decision
opponents	each player ^{52, 53} .			making and execution."

241 Coach Perceptions of Collective Behavior Measurements

The coaches' perceptions of metric and visualizations presented to them in the second 242 interview that were constructed and adapted from approaches in the literature-based on the 243 comments made in the first interview. A grading system was used to categorize how coaches 244 responded to each metric. If coaches demonstrated enthusiasm towards a visualization or 245 identified that the measurement was fully descriptive of a principle in football, then it was 246 247 labelled as *resonant*. If the metric was identified as accurately describing a concept, however, the coach identified limitations or aspects that needed improved, then it was labelled as 248 249 relevant. Finally, if a coach was skeptical of how useful a metric would be in practical settings or identified situations where the model was inaccurate at representing the principle 250 251 then it was labelled as *hesitant*. Table 3 provides an overview of the 9 visualizations 252 presented to the coaches, highlighting which metrics show most promise, along with 253 summary quotes supporting the categorization of each metric.

254 *Resonant Metrics*

255 Mobility

256 The mobility principle was discussed several times in the initial interview phase. Naturally,

257 mobility relates to player movement and was suggested as being linked to the concept of

support, where teammates must move into appropriate positions to provide passing options.

- However, mobility also relates to actions on the ball and how a team can move the ball atpace. Coach 3 emphasized the importance of this:
- 261 *"that's what the top players can do, they can, they can play at speed, they can do*262 *everything quickly, control the ball pass the ball, turn."*
- 263 This relates closely with the measurement of network intensity, explored by Grund,
- measuring the rate that teams pass the ball 32 . This was presented to coaches as a mean across
- individual matches, as well as during attacks with comparisons between and within matches.
- 266 This measure received a positive reaction with coach 3 stating:
- 267 "I love it, I think it's absolutely brilliant and so critical in terms of player
 268 development, team development, winning games."
- 269 Evidence from Grund found a link between successful teams and high network intensity.
- 270 However, more investigation in this metric is required to inform training $^{25, 26}$. Despite
- 271 reacting positively, coach 3 provides more detail.
- 272 "...it's not just the speed of the pass, it's the contact time in between, you know, the
 273 amount of time it takes a player to control the ball and play the ball."
- This suggests that network intensity may not fully describe the team's ability to move the ballquickly. By splitting passing actions into control-time and pass-time, and incorporating
- starting and ending positions of passes, a deeper understanding might be obtained.
- 277 Discipline
- 278 Another measurement coaches responded positively was the distances between defenders.
- 279 This relates to discipline, a principle emphasized by the structure of the defensive unit.
- 280 Trigger points were identified as a sub-theme relating to discipline as coach 3 states.
- We speak about where we're going to engage with the opposition, whether it's at the
 top of the circle, whether it's the halfway line, the distances from side to side, are as
- important as from front to back and back to front... it comes from, from practice, and players
- being good enough to do what they've been asked and recognize it. And also disciplined
 enough to do it."
- Through discussions with a coach, these can act as transition between defensive states oforganization and pressure. However, the measurement presented to coaches focused on

- defensive structure. In the visualization presented (Figure 2), coach 3 believes players are not
- adjusting properly.



Figure 2. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Lines connecting
 defenders in team 1 show distance between defenders as they are positioned across the pitch.

"I don't think that's correct. I personally don't think the [Team 1] players are
adjusting enough. Like for me, they need to be adjusting more aggressively, especially in the
right back."

- Interestingly, there was a difference of opinion between coach 2 and coach 3 in the exampleshown. Coach 2 is happy with large gaps appearing based on contextual information.
- 298 "we're quite happy for the distance between the centre back and the fullback to be
 299 there, because we know that that central midfielder can drop in there as well."
- 300 Whilst coaches agreed with the importance of this concept, it highlights the need for systems
- at clubs to be tailored to individual coaches' principles and philosophies as there is no
- 302 universal agreement on nuances held within each concept.
- 303 Support
- 304 The support principle centers around how players organize themselves to provide passing
- 305 options. Coach 1 highlighted the importance of angles.

"we play on those sorts of angles, you know, you've got that ability, you know, to see
where the balls coming from, if it's coming from a deeper position and also the goal you want
to attack so you can make a decision on how to use the ball next."

309 This connects to another sub-theme identified as diamonds and triangles. Coaches emphasized these are important structures created by the players to help teammates. Angles 310 and distances have been used in multiple investigations, researching the coordination of 311 player actions ²⁷⁻³¹. Conceptualizing players in groups of 3 and calculating properties of the 312 triangles they form including distances, angles, areas, and positions on the x-axis can help 313 quantify team cohesion. These properties are visualized in Figure 3. Whilst measurements of 314 distances and angles have predominantly been identified through dyadic relationships ^{28, 31}. 315 Coaches agreed that triangle formation was an important aspect of team performance with 316 317 emphasis on the distances and angles between the players.



318

Figure 3. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). A triangle is annotated
between three central midfield players in team 1, visualizing the distances between the players and
the area.

322 The triangle described by three central midfielders was presented and was identified in the

follow up interviews as the most critical triangle in the formation, however other triangles

were also stated as useful. Coach 3 highlighted the triangular shape in the center midfield is

also important when defending.

"...whether the triangles match, because not sometimes it's just say, my team are
playing two holding midfielders and the number 10. So, in my, the way, I see the game that's
triangle up and the other team might be playing triangle up as well, which means there's not,
it's not man for man, the triangles don't match."

330 Therefore, triangles, and their relationship between attacking and defending teams may be

important, however, specific measurement for how these relate to each other and what

332 constitutes successful and unsuccessful organization needs to be identified. Clemente et al

previously investigated defensive triangles, specifically looking at the area ³². However, these

measures have not been comprehensively explored.

335 Depth

The final theme and visualization that resonated with coaches was depth. this relates to the position of players along the pitch. In this sense, many coaches perceive "*lines*" in their team. Indeed, this aspect was presented in the initial interviews through group centroids along the x-axis as shown in Figure 4. This visualization received positive feedback, however, coach 1 mentioned an alternative measurement that appears in the literature often named team length ^{33, 34}.



Figure 4. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Three lines

344 demonstrate the average x coordinate on the pitch of the defenders, midfielders and attackers

345 respectively.

- 346 "I've seen similar ones where they kind of always have a constant distance from the
 347 deepest defender, you know, maybe one of your center backs is behind the rest of the line.
 248 And the further forward always have a size that at 25 or 40 meters."
- And the furthest forward player, you know, is that at 35 or 40 meters."
- 349 The distance between the furthest back and the furthest forward player accompanied by the
- distance between the deepest defender and the goal line was measured 34 as shown in Figure
- 5. In the second round of interviews, coaches stated they actively coached this concept and
- that both visualizations aligned with their perception of the principle.



Figure 5. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold), (a) Team length is shown by the box that encompasses the width of the pitch and covers the furthest forward and furthest back outfield players in team 1, (b) Space behind the defence is shown by the box that

encompasses the width of the goal and goes from the deepest defender in team 1 to the goal line.

358 *Relevant Metrics*

359 *Compactness*

Common measures to evaluate the compactness of a team include surface area, stretch index 360 and team spread ³⁵⁻⁴⁰. These metrics demonstrate similar measurement patterns when 361 observing intricate attacks ⁴⁰. The sub-theme of defensive shape was identified as a 362 component of compactness; therefore, surface area was selected due to its alignment with this 363 term (Figure 6). However, simple analyses of surface area along with other measures have 364 demonstrated they are not sensitive enough to differentiate between successful and 365 unsuccessful team compactness ⁴⁰. To measure this principle in a meaningful way, the 366 coaches' conceptualization of it must be understood. Coach 3 highlighted the importance of 367 speed when returning into defensive shape after transition. 368

369 "how quickly you can get back in shape after you lose the ball. And that is something
370 that we coach."

When discussing these concepts, coaches emphasized the importance of "anticipating" and 371 "reacting to" the loss of possession. Therefore, these aspects are likely relevant when 372 evaluating defensive shape through surface area. The output signal of this measurement is the 373 area encompassed by the outfield players described in figure 6. Anticipation was measured by 374 the difference between surface area at the loss of possession and 1 second before. Reaction 375 was measured as the difference between the surface area at the loss of possession and 2 376 seconds afterwards. Finally, the time to get into a defensive shape was recorded and 377 measured as the time between losing possession to reaching a surface area of 600m². This 378 value was selected based on previous data examining other international teams surface area 379 ⁴⁰. Coaches agreed this model made sense; however, this value requires additional contextual 380 information to be representative as coach 3 highlights that an immediate return into a 381 defensive shape is not always desired. 382



383

Figure 6. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Surface area is calculated as the convex hull of the outfield players, visualized through the red polygon. The polygon describes the surface area of the team (a) 1 s before possession loss, (b) at possession loss, (c) 2 s after possession loss, and (d) when an area of 600 m² has been reached with the polygon turning green.

389 "what is the objective? to get back into shape, and be compact as quickly as possible,
390 like you're speaking about, or is it to try and win the ball back immediately and to actually
391 counter press?"

392 Width

Width is a principle simplistically measured in the literature base ^{34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 42}. This metric

measures the distance across the y-axis from the player furthest right on the pitch and the

395 player furthest left. This output is shown in Figure 7 and is often combined with the team

396 length measurement already discussed.

- 397 Coaches believed this was an important attacking aspect when presented the visualization.
- However, the example provided was specifically chosen to be a situation where the team
- 399 were demonstrating low levels of width but were still successful in scoring. Coach 2 believed
- 400 that they were performing complex actions due to the tactical set up of the opposition.



401

Figure 7. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Width is demonstrated
by the box surrounding a box described by the players closest to the touch lines and goal lines.

404 "…you can be really expansive in terms of your width and stuff like that. But if they
405 sit in and are happy just to defend whatever comes in, then you have to start going in and
406 trying to manipulate and get movements."

407 This suggests that applying width directly through players positioning themselves close to the 408 edge of the pitch was not having the desired effect. One of the sub-themes of width is 409 creating space and having players in wide areas should facilitate the creation of space in 410 central areas. Coach 3 highlights that in this situation, the attacking team still have space to 411 create viable passing options:

412 "...even though [team 2] are compact, there are still pass options through them
413 available."

This proposes that width as measured in this example is not comprehensively evaluating the
success of a team in destabilizing the opponent. Considering other sub-themes such as
overloads in wide areas, creating space, and disrupting opponents might help develop this
metric in its evaluation of how teams use width to create space and penetrate defenses.
Alternatively, incorporating overloads in peripheral areas may evaluate a team's ability to
penetrate opponents out wide.

420 *Delay*

After the initial interviews, delay was identified as a theme. However, in the subsequent
interviews it was promoted to a main theme. The following metric is still relevant to
performance and fits closely into the theme of discipline. The metric was initiated based on
comments identifying the role that applying pressure plays in delaying the opponent. Coach 1
states:

426 "the first thing we have to do is delay the opposition from progressing towards our
427 goal. So again, different applications doing that, you can apply pressure to, you know, the
428 opponent..."

Across the three interviews, the coaches highlighted three ways which a player on the ball 429 can be placed under pressure. Most prominently, the distance between the players was 430 emphasized as critical in delaying the opponent. However, other factors including the time a 431 432 player has on the ball and the number of passing options available. Three models were used 433 and adapted to evaluate the total pressure being applied to a player. To evaluate the space pressure, the system devised by Link et al to measure pressure relating to danger was used ⁴³. 434 Time pressure was evaluated by the time taken for the closest defender to reach the player on 435 the ball at their current speed ⁴⁴. Finally, decision pressure identified how many simple passes 436 to teammates were available. This was calculated using passing lanes whereby a simple pass 437

438 required an angle > 10° for each player ⁴⁵. Diagrams describing calculations for space and 439 decision pressure can be seen in Figure 8.

The three measurements were scaled to represent very high pressure as the value approached 440 1 and very low pressure as the value approached 0. A weighting procedure was then 441 intuitively applied where space, time and decision pressure values were multiplied by 0.7, 0.2 442 and 0.1 respectively before summing together to output the total pressure. Space pressure was 443 selected as the main component due to previous use as a measurement of pressure ⁴³. An 444 animated bar graph was presented to the coaches with the accompanying video footage and 445 top-down x y coordinates of the players and ball (Figure 9). Coaches stated this made sense 446 and agreed with the model as accurately describing the pressures on the pitch. However, the 447 angular threshold of 10° for the decision pressure variable along with the weightings are not 448 empirically supported and further analysis is required to refine this technique. These concepts 449 can then be used to accurately understand the pressure that players are under when playing in 450 matches and consequently tailor training to replicate what they will experience in matches. 451



Figure 8. Pressure models, (a) space pressure model, where subzones are created around an attacker based on the angle to the centre of the goal. Pressure is calculated based on which zone a defender is in, and their distance to the attacker. The closer a defender is, the higher the pressure, (b) time pressure measured through passing lanes are identified by the line from the attacker in possession to their teammates. The angle of a passing lane is calculated between the receiver to the defender closest to the passing lane.



Figure 9. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold) with the ball in black.
The accompanying graph shows the total pressure calculated from the space, time and decision
pressure on the player with the ball at each touch. Pressure is interpolated between touches.

463 *Hesitant Metrics*

464 *Penetration*

Penetration was also changed from a theme to a main theme. Similarly, the proposed metrics 465 may still be relevant, although needs adapted further as coaches were skeptical of its use. The 466 467 number of outplayed opponents was used to describe penetrative actions adapted from Rein et al ⁴⁶. In their analysis, passes were examined to identify the difference in number of 468 defenders closer to the goal line at the start and end of a pass. However, this outcome-469 470 orientated value does not explain how a team successfully progresses through the opposition and was used as a guide to identify instances deserving further analysis. Voronoi cell 471 472 computations have been used to examine passing actions and behaviors of high-level teams when successfully penetrating opponents through creating space ⁴⁷. This mathematical model 473 identifies the areas on the pitch closest to each individual and its relevance aligns with a 474 comment from coach 1. 475

476 "how can we get runs that will, in a sense destabilize, the opposition's organization,
477 and then use the ball to find those spaces or opportunities to penetrate."

478

Voronoi cell computations, or variations of the calculation termed as pitch control have been
suggested to identify likelihood of pass success based on the position a player is in and the
space they occupy relative to everyone else ⁴⁷. Several unique calculations of Voronoi cell
computations have been implemented across the literature, whereby player movement speed,
player characteristics, the offside line and the ball trajectory have been implemented to

484 evaluate actions such as passes ⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰. A simple model was presented to the coaches whereby

player speed was layered on top of positional data to identify areas of the pitch a player can

- pass the ball to successfully find a teammate. Figure 10 demonstrates the output of this model
- 487 while estimating the probability of a successful penetrative pass that outplayed 6 opponents
- 488 with a 55% likelihood.



489

Figure 10. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Pitch is tiled with each square and coloured depending on the likelihood of the blue team having possession (values closer to 0) or the gold team having possession (values closer to 1) when the ball is played into each area. Outplayed players are highlighted. Movement is shown on one defender to highlight their movement into a deeper position and not be counted in the outplayed opponents.

When presenting this to the coaches, coach 3 was surprised by how low the success percentage of the pass was based on the calculation and how they perceived the pass in the video. That might indicate that a more sophisticated model is required to accurately predict the success rate of this pass. Moreover, the usefulness of this model for informing training practices is unclear. Coach 2 emphasizes that identifying and showing previous situations where this is done effectively or ineffectively does not necessarily translate to players capable of identifying opportunities and executing penetrative actions successfully.

502 "You can show lots of pictures of good examples. But at the end of the day, it comes
503 down to quick time decision making and execution."

504 Balance

Coaches frequently discussed "overloads" as a tactically relevant concept. This occurs when 505 a subgroup of players in a section of the pitch form numerical superiority in a game situation, 506 for example creating a 2v1 or 3v2. This relates to the defensive principle of balance, where 507 the defending team seeks to distribute their players so that the opposition is unable to create a 508 numerical advantage. All coaches highlighted overloads in the wide areas as an effective 509 tactic to creating dangerous chances. Coaches also identified that overloads in the middle of 510 the pitch were desirable but more challenging to create. Different models of classifying zones 511 512 for numerical advantage have been applied in the research. Clemente et al used 12 static zones with 4 sections along and 3 sections across the pitch ⁵¹. However, the model selected to 513 show to coaches used 7 dynamic zones that shifted across the pitch relative to the outfield 514 players as shown in Figure 11⁵². 515



516

Figure 11. Top-down visualization of players in team 1 (blue) and team 2 (gold). Zones, based on the
length and width of all outfield players are coloured based on the numerical advantage of team 1.

Coaches believed this model was not representative of the situation presented to them. In theexample shown in figure 11, the numerical advantage is identified as a 4v2 in favor of the

521 blue team. However, coaches identified that they perceive this situation to be representative

of a 1v1, as only one defender stands between the highlighted player and goal. Although,

523 coach 2 suggested that it may be useful with some refinement.

- 524 "I like the thought process of it. It's more of an active zone as opposed to static
 525 zones"
- 526

527 *Creativity*

528 Creativity was a recurring theme throughout the interview process. Initially there was no 529 clear method of quantifying or representing the principle. In the second interviews coaches 530 were asked to expand on the principle of creativity. In turn, coaches identified that creative 531 behaviors often lead to penetrative behavior. Coach 2 states.

532 "I think when something is creative it penetrates a backline or the end result as
533 potentially maybe getting in behind or creating an overload situation."

This indicates that metrics used for penetration might be helpful in quantifying some aspect of creativity. However, coaches were hesitant on their value, so would require adaptation. Based on the sub-themes identified, other measurements could investigate the dynamics of 1v1 situations, as some research has already investigated ^{27, 53, 54}. Additionally, the sub-theme of deception, might provide some insight into a team or groups ability to play through the rate of change in distance between team centroids ⁵⁴. Although, such a metric may not align with how coaches conceptualize such a principle.

541 *Future Applications*

542 This methodology identified that novel metrics evaluating collective behavior are

representative of some concepts as understood by coaches. A critical question remains, can

these be used in practice to inform coaching and improve performance? Analysts should look

- to establish normative data for metrics that resonate with their coaches. Initially this would
- 546 describe team performance within tactical components. This can highlight team
- 547 vulnerabilities and inform training design for preparation against specific opponents. An
- 548 understanding of how the values and patterns of metric change as constraints are adjusted
- 549 could then be used to gain deeper insight in development of an overall performance analysis
- tool. Long-term observations could become relevant for developing youth players, creating
- pathways, and learning experiences that prepare players for competing at the highest level.
- 552 Challenges remain in applying spatial-temporal and network analysis metrics. Considering 553 the coaches working in the same organization and undergoing similar coach education had 554 some minor differences in perceptions. This difference has the potential to be greater in

coaches with very different educational and cultural backgrounds. Consequently, metrics 555 tailored to the individual are most likely to achieve buy in from coaches. However, many 556 coaches may be hesitant to participate in the creation process due to time commitments. In 557 this investigation, coaches only contributed two hours of their time, but a fully refined set of 558 metrics would likely require numerous interviews, along with implementation trials. 559 Moreover, practitioners would be required to continue with their current responsibilities 560 whilst creating these tools. Based on this investigation, the time requirement for each 561 iteration was approximately 80-100 hours of work, making the development a slow process. 562 563 Future refinements, however, may be less time consuming and once the system is created, valuable metrics can be fed back immediately after a session. 564

From the interviews, many principles and concepts are measurable using spatial-temporal and 565 566 network analysis metrics and as such further study is recommended. A collaborative approach might be valuable for analysts to consider, helping to achieve buy in from the coach 567 568 and develop metrics informing the decision-making processes. A limitation of this research is that the application of these novel metrics was not tested, limiting the evaluation of a 569 comprehensive co-creation process. Whilst this research presents evidence that should 570 encourage analysts to co-create collective behavior metrics through positional and network 571 data, more research is required to fully evaluate the utility of this process, especially 572 considering the small sample of coaches used in this investigation. A range of analysis 573 approaches including approximate entropy ⁵⁵, relative phase ⁵⁶, and vector coding ⁵⁷ have 574 been explored in the literature. Practitioners should remain cautious when applying more 575 advanced mathematical procedures, however, this research suggests that understanding coach 576 perceptions might be a valuable approach to start a collaborative process and create 577 individualized metrics that the coach will find value in. 578

579 **Conclusion**

This investigation demonstrates a methodology for collaborating with coaches to create a unique and tailored performance analysis system that integrates novel metrics applying social network and spatial temporal analyses to quantify principles of play. Coaches suggested that network intensity, distance between defenders, team length, space behind the defense and triads were the most promising metrics. From the interviews coaches highlighted these models can be useful for improving team performance with emphasis on enhancing training sessions. Further iteration and practical application of the systems being used are required to

- 587 maximize the utility of applying novel collective behavior systems. The models require
- 588 integration with contextual variables to comprehensively describe and explain the decision-
- 589 making processes in football.

590 **<u>Reference List</u>**

- Goes FR, Meerhoff LA, Bueno MJ, et al. Unlocking the potential of big data to
 support tactical performance analysis in professional soccer: A systematic review. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2021; 21: 481-496.
- Sarmento H, Marcelino R, Anguera MT, et al. Match analysis in football: a systematic
 review. Journal of Sports Sciences, *J. Sports Sci* 2014. DOI:
 10.1080/02640414.2014.898852.
- 3. Brechot M and Flepp R. Dealing with randomness in match outcomes: how to rethink
 performance evaluation in European club football using expected goals. *J Sports Econ*2020; 21: 335-362.
- Gréhaigne JF, Godbout P. Opposition and dynamics at the core of game-play analysis
 in soccer. *Int J Phys Educ* 2021 Jul; 58: 10-20.
- Wright C, Atkins S, Jones B, et al. The role of performance analysts within the
 coaching process: Performance Analysts Survey 'The role of performance analysts in
 elite football club settings.'. *Int J Perform Anal Sport* 2013; 13: 240-261.
- 605 6. Herold M, Kempe M, Bauer P, et al. Attacking key performance indicators in soccer:
 606 current practice and perceptions from the elite to youth academy level. *J Sports Sci*607 *Med* 2021; 20: 158-169.
- Clemente FM, Martins FM, Mendes RS, et al. A systemic overview of football game:
 the principles behind the game. *J Hum Sport Exerc* 2014; 9: 656-667.
- 8. Costa IT, Garganta J, Greco PJ, et al. System of tactical assessment in Soccer (FUTSAT): Development and preliminary validation. *System* 2011; 7: 69-83.
- Gudmundsson J, Wolle T. Football analysis using spatio-temporal tools. In: *International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems* Redondo
 Beach, CA, USA, 6 November 2012, pp. 566-569.
- 615 10. Corsie M, Craig T, Swinton PA, et al. Spatial-temporal metrics to assess collective
 616 behavior in football: a systematic review and assessment of research quality and
 617 applicability. *J Athl Enhanc* 2021; 10.
- 618 11. Bandola-Gill J, Arthur M and Leng RI. What is co-production? Conceptualising and
 619 understanding co-production of knowledge and policy across different theoretical
 620 perspectives. *Evid Policy* 2023; 19: 275-298.

621	12. Brandsen T, Steen T and Verschuere B. Co-production and co-creation: Engaging
622	citizens in public services. 1st ed. New York, NY: Routledge, 2018 p.9.
623	13. Thabrew H, Fleming T, Hetrick S, et al. Co-design of eHealth interventions with
624	children and young people. Front psychiatry 2018; 9: 481.
625	14. Woods CT, Rothwell M, Rudd J, et al. Representative co-design: Utilising a source of
626	experiential knowledge for athlete development and performance preparation. Psychol
627	Sport Exerc 2021; 52: 101804.
628	15. Shaheen M and Pradhan S. Sampling in qualitative research. In: Gupta M, Shaheen M
629	and Reddy KP. Qualitative techniques for workplace data analysis. Hershey, PA,
630	USA: IGI Global, 2019 pp. 25-51.
631	16. Weller SC, Vickers B, Bernard HR, et al. Open-ended interview questions and
632	saturation. PloS one. 2018; 13: e0198606.
633	17. Melia K. Conducting an interview. Nurse Res. 2000; 7: 75-89.
634	18. Low B, Coutinho D, Gonçalves B, et al. A systematic review of collective tactical
635	behaviours in football using positional data. Sports Med. 2020; 50: 343-385.
636	19. Donaldson A, Lloyd DG, Gabbe BJ, et al. Scientific evidence is just the starting point:
637	a generalizable process for developing sports injury prevention interventions. J Sport
638	Health Sci 2016; 5: 334-341.
639	20. Braun V, Clarke V. Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. Qual Res Sport Exerc
640	Health 2019; 11: 589-597.
641	21. Pitney WA, Parker J. Qualitative research in physical activity and the health
642	professions. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics, 2009.
643	22. Podlog L and Eklund RC. High-level athletes' perceptions of success in returning to
644	sport following injury. Psychol Sport Exerc 2009; 10: 535-544.
645	23. Prickett P. Football's Principles of Play. London: Hawksmoor Publishing, 2021.
646	24. da Costa IT, da Silva JM, Greco PJ, et al. Tactical principles of Soccer: concepts and
647	application. Mot Rev de Educ Fis 2009; 15: 657-668.
648	25. Grund TU. Network structure and team performance: The case of English Premier
649	League soccer teams. Soc Networks 2012; 34: 682-90.
650	26. Grund TU. The relational value of network experience in teams: Evidence from the
651	English Premier League. Am Behav Sci 2016; 60: 1260-1280.
652	27. Clemente FM, Couceiro MS, Martins FM, et al. Interpersonal dynamics: 1v1 sub-
653	phase at sub-18 football players. J Hum Kinet 2013; 36:181-191.

654	28	Laakso T, Travassos B, Liukkonen J, et al. Field location and player roles as
655		constraints on emergent 1-vs-1 interpersonal patterns of play in football. Hum Mov
656		<i>Sci</i> 2017; 54: 347-353.
657	29	Laakso T, Davids K, Liukkonen J, Travassos B. Interpersonal dynamics in 2-vs-1
658		contexts of football: the effects of field location and player roles. Front psychol 2019;
659		10: 1407.
660	30	Leser R, Hoch T, Tan X, et al. Finding efficient strategies in 3-versus-2 small-sided
661		games of youth soccer players. Kinesiology 2019; 51: 110-118.
662	31	Leser R, Moser B, Hoch T, et al. Expert-oriented modelling of a 1vs1-situation in
663		football. Int J Perf Anal Sport 2015; 15: 949-966.
664	32	Clemente FM, Couceiro MS, Martins FM, et al. Soccer team's tactical behaviour:
665		Measuring territorial domain. Proc Inst Mech Eng P J Sport Eng Technol 2015; 229:
666		58-66
667	33	Praça GM, Folgado H, Andrade AG, et al. Influence of additional players on
668		collective tactical behavior in small-sided soccer games. Rev Bras de
669		Cineantropometria e Desempenho Hum 2016; 18: 62-71.
670	34	Tenga A, Zubillaga A, Caro O, et al. Explorative study on patterns of game structure
671		in male and female matches from elite Spanish soccer. Int J Perf Anal Sport 2015; 15:
672		411-423.
673	35	Aquino RL, Gonçalves LG, Vieira LH, Oliveira LP, Alves GF, Santiago PR, Puggina
674		EF. Biochemical, physical and tactical analysis of a simulated game in young soccer
675		players. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2016; 56: 1554-1561.
676	36	Baptista J, Travassos B, Gonçalves B, et al. Exploring the effects of playing
677		formations on tactical behavior and external workload during football small-sided
678		games. J Strength Cond Res 2020; 34: 2024-2030.
679	37	Olthof SB, Frencken WG, Lemmink KA. When something is at stake: Differences in
680		soccer performance in 11 vs. 11 during official matches and training games. J
681		Strength Cond Res 2019; 33: 167-173.
682	38	Barnabé L, Volossovitch A, Duarte R, et al. Age-related effects of practice experience
683		on collective behaviours of football players in small-sided games. Hum Mov Sci 2016;
684		48: 74-81.
685	39	Moura FA, Martins LE, Anido RD, et al. Quantitative analysis of Brazilian football
686		players' organisation on the pitch. Sports Biomech 2012; 11: 85-96.

687	40. Bartlett R, Button C, Robins M, et al. Analysing team coordination patterns from
688	player movement trajectories in soccer: Methodological considerations. Int J Perf
689	Anal Sport 2012; 12: 398-424.
690	41. Duarte R, Araújo D, Folgado H, et al. Capturing complex, non-linear team behaviour
691	during competitive football performance. J Syst Sci Complex 2013; 26: 62-72.
692	42. Folgado H, Lemmink KA, Frencken W, et al. Length, width and centroid distance as
693	measures of teams tactical performance in youth football. Eur J Sport Sci 2014; 14:
694	487-492.
695	43. Link D, Lang S and Seidenschwarz P. Real time quantification of dangerousity in
696	football using spatiotemporal tracking data. PloS one 2016; 11: e0168768.
697	44. Shafizadeh M, Davids K, Correia V, et al. Informational constraints on interceptive
698	actions of elite football goalkeepers in $1v1$ dyads during competitive performance. J
699	Sports Sci 2016; 34: 1596-1601.
700	45. Steiner S, Rauh S, Rumo M, et al. Outplaying opponents-a differential perspective
701	on passes using position data. Ger J Exerc Sport Res 2019; 49: 140-149.
702	46. Rein R, Raabe D and Memmert D. "Which pass is better?" Novel approaches to
703	assess passing effectiveness in elite soccer. Hum Mov Sci 2017; 55: 172-181
704	47. Spearman W, Basye A, Dick G, et al. Physics-based modeling of pass probabilities in
705	soccer. In: MIT Sloan Sports Analytics Conference Boston, MA, USA, 3-4 March
706	2017.
707	48. Brefeld U, Lasek J, Mair S. Probabilistic movement models and zones of control.
708	Mach Learn 2019; 108: 127-147.
709	49. Filetti C, Ruscello B, D'Ottavio S, et al. A study of relationships among technical,
710	tactical, physical parameters and final outcomes in elite soccer matches as analyzed
711	by a semiautomatic video tracking system. Percept Mot Skills 2017; 124: 601-620.
712	50. Fernandez J and Bornn L. Wide Open Spaces: A statistical technique for measuring
713	space creation in professional soccer. In: Sloan sports analytics conference Boston,
714	USA, 23 – 24 February 2018.
715	51. Clemente FM, Couceiro, MS and Martins FML. Soccer Teams Behaviors: Analysis of
716	the Team's Distribution in Function to. Res J Appl Sci Eng Technol. 2013; 6: 130-
717	136
718	52. Vilar L, Araújo D, Davids K, et al. Science of winning soccer: Emergent pattern-
719	forming dynamics in association football. J syst sci complex 2013; 26: 73-84.

720	53. Duarte R, Araújo D, Davids K, et al. Interpersonal coordination tendencies shape 1-
721	vs-1 sub-phase performance outcomes in youth soccer. J Sports Sci 2012; 30: 871-
722	877.

- 54. Leser R, Moser B, Hoch T, et al. Expert-oriented modelling of a 1vs1-situation in
 football. *Int J Perform Anal Sport* 2015; 15: 949-966.
- 55. Memmert D, Lemmink KAPM and Sampaio J. Current Approaches to Tactical
 Performance Analyses in Soccer Using Position Data. *Sports Med* 2016; 47: 1-10.
- 56. Folgado H, Duarte R, Marques P, et al. The effects of congested fixtures period on
 tactical and physical performance in elite football. *J Sports Sci* 2015; 33: 1238-1247.
- 57. Moura FA, van Emmerik RE, Santana JE, et al. Coordination analysis of players'
- 730 distribution in football using cross-correlation and vector coding techniques. J Sports
- 731 *Sci* 2016; 34: 2224-2232.