Journal Pre-proof



ASSOCIATION OF A DIETARY INFLAMMATORY INDEX WITH CARDIOMETABOLIC, ENDOCRINE, LIVER, RENAL AND BONES BIOMARKERS: CROSS-SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE UK BIOBANK STUDY

Fernanda Carrasco-Marín, Longgang Zhao, James R. Hébert, Michael D. Wirth, Fanny Petermann-Rocha, Nathan Phillips, Fiona C. Malcomson, John C. Mathers, Lyn D. Ferguson, Frederik Ho, Jill Pell, Carlos Celis-Morales, Guillermo Molina-Recio, Rafael Molina-Luque

PII: S0939-4753(24)00112-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2024.03.010

Reference: NUMECD 3591

To appear in: *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*

Received Date: 8 November 2023

Revised Date: 4 March 2024

Accepted Date: 7 March 2024

Please cite this article as: Carrasco-Marín F, Zhao L, Hébert JR, Wirth MD, Petermann-Rocha F, Phillips N, Malcomson FC, Mathers JC, Ferguson LD, Ho F, Pell J, Celis-Morales C, Molina-Recio G, Molina-Luque R, ASSOCIATION OF A DIETARY INFLAMMATORY INDEX WITH CARDIOMETABOLIC, ENDOCRINE, LIVER, RENAL AND BONES BIOMARKERS: CROSS-SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE UK BIOBANK STUDY, *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2024.03.010.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University.

Journal Pre-proof

Pro-inflammatory Diet

Anti-inflammatory Diet

CARDIOVASCULAR

- ↑ APOLIPOPROTEIN B
- ↑ CHOLESTEROL
- ↑ LDL CHOLESTEROL
- ↑ TRIGLYCERIDES
- ↑ C-REACTIVE PROTEIN

- ↓ APOLIPOPROTEIN A
- ↓ HDL CHOLESTEROL
- — LIPOPROTEIN A

LIVER FUNCTION

- ↑ ALT
- ↑ AST
- ↑ GGT
- ↑ DIRECT BILIRRUBIN
- ↑ TOTAL BILIRRUBIN

- — ALBUMIN

RENAL

- ↑ CREATININE
- ↑ URATE
- ↑ UREA
- ↑ CYSTATIN C

- ↓ PHOSPHATE
- — TOTAL PROTEIN

BONE HEALTH

- — ALP

- ↓ VITAMIN D
- — RHEUMATOID FACTOR
- — CALCIUM

DIABETES

- ↑ HbA1c
- — Glucose

ENDOCRINE

- — TESTOSTERONE
- ↓ SHBG
- — OESTRADIOL
- ↓ IGF-1



Journal Pre-proof
Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases https://www.nmcd-journal.com/

ASSOCIATION OF A DIETARY INFLAMMATORY INDEX WITH CARDIOMETABOLIC, ENDOCRINE, LIVER, RENAL AND BONES BIOMARKERS: CROSS-SECTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE UK BIOBANK STUDY

Fernanda Carrasco-Marín[1,2,3], Longgang Zhao[4,5], James R. Hébert[4,5,6], Michael D. Wirth[4,5,7], Fanny Petermann-Rocha[1,9], Nathan Phillips[8], Fiona C Malcomson[8], John C Mathers[8], Lyn D Ferguson[1], Frederik Ho[10], Jill Pell[10], Carlos Celis-Morales[1,11], Guillermo Molina-Recio[2,12], Rafael Molina-Luque[2,12]

1 School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow. Glasgow, UK

2 Departamento de Enfermería, Farmacología y Fisioterapia. Facultad de Medicina y Enfermería. Universidad de Córdoba. Córdoba, Spain.

3 Centro de Vida Saludable, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

4 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

5 Cancer Prevention and Control Program and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

6 Department of Nutrition, Connecting Health Innovations LLC, Columbia, SC 29201, USA

7 College of Nursing, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

8 Human Nutrition & Exercise Research Centre, Centre for Healthier Lives, Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

9 Centro de Investigación Biomédica, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile;

10 School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

11 Laboratorio de Rendimiento Humano, Grupo de Estudio en Educación, Actividad Física y Salud (GEEAFyS), Universidad Católica del Maule. Talca, Chile.

12 Lifestyles, Innovation and Health (GA-16). Maimonides Biomedical Research Institute of Cordoba (IMIBIC). Córdoba. Spain

Corresponding author:

Dr Carlos Celis-Morales, School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, 126 University Place, Glasgow, G12 8TA. Carlos.Celis@glasgow.ac.uk

Electronic word count (excluding abstract, references, tables, figures): 3070 words.

Financial Support: None of the authors have funding for conducting this study. However, UK Biobank was established by the Welcome Trust, Medical Research Council, Department of Health, Scottish Government and the Northwest Regional Development Agency. It has also had funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the British Heart Foundation.

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Abstract

Background and Aims

Research into the relationship between an Energy-adjusted Diet-Inflammatory Index (E-DII) and a wider health-related biomarkers profile is limited. Much of the existing evidence centers on traditional metabolic biomarkers in populations with chronic diseases, with scarce data on healthy individuals. Thus, this study aims to investigate the association between an E-DII score and 30 biomarkers spanning metabolic health, endocrine, bone health, liver function, cardiovascular, and renal functions, in healthy individuals.

Methods and Results

66,978 healthy UK Biobank participants, the overall mean age was 55.3 (7.9) years were included in this cross-sectional study. E-DII scores, based on 18 food parameters, were categorized as anti-inflammatory (E-DII <-1), neutral (-1 to 1), and pro-inflammatory (>1). Regression analyses, adjusted for confounding factors, were conducted to investigate the association of 30 biomarkers with E-DII. Compared to those with an anti-inflammatory diet, individuals with a pro-inflammatory diet had increased levels of 16 biomarkers, including six cardiometabolic, five liver, and four renal markers. The concentration difference ranged from 0.27 SD for creatinine to 0.03 SD for total cholesterol. Conversely, those on a pro-inflammatory diet had decreased concentrations in six biomarkers, including two for endocrine and cardiometabolic. The association range varied from -0.04 for IGF-1 to -0.23 for SHBG.

Conclusion

This study highlighted that a pro-inflammatory diet was associated with an adverse profile of biomarkers linked to cardiometabolic health, endocrine, liver function, and renal health.

Keywords: Diet inflammatory index, Biomarkers, Diet.

Journal Pre-proof

Introduction

Poor diet has been associated with increased risk and premature mortality of many chronic diseases (1). In fact, over 11 million deaths worldwide are attributable to not meeting healthy dietary recommendations (1). Despite the substantial evidence supporting associations between different dietary patterns and health outcomes, the exact mechanisms linking diet and health are complex and the mechanisms underlying these associations are poorly understood. Inflammation has been proposed as the substrate for key mechanistic drivers linking diet with multiple non-communicable diseases (2).

Although the anti- and pro-inflammatory properties of different food items and nutrients are well known, investigating single foods or nutrients with health provides only a partial understanding of the role of the inflammatory potential of diet on intermediate and long-term health outcomes (3). The Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII®) was developed to assess the overall inflammatory potential of whole diets on a continuum from anti- to pro-inflammatory. Evidencing that DII and energy-adjusted DII (E-DII™) are strongly associated with indicators of systemic inflammation (4-7) and with risk of multiple chronic, inflammation-related conditions, including cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancer (8-10). In a recent meta-analysis, individuals with the highest DII scores had a 36% increased risk of CVD incidence and mortality, relative to those with the lowest DII scores (11).

Despite the well-known association between inflammatory biomarkers (4-7) and inflammation-related to chronic diseases (11, 12), the association between a DII and intermediate biomarkers of cardiometabolic health has been less explored. Several, but not all, studies have shown that higher DII is associated with higher blood pressure, abnormal lipid profile (13, 14) and with poorer glycaemic control (15). However, most of this evidence has been derived from small-scale studies and in populations with existing multimorbidity which introduces a potential for reverse causation bias (13-15). There is also limited evidence for other biomarkers related to bone health, liver disease, and cancer (16-18). Therefore, the purpose of this study is to assess the specific benefits on the levels of 30 biomarkers linked to metabolic, endocrine, bone, liver, cardiovascular and renal health in healthy participants enrolled in the UK Biobank study, by comparing the effects of an anti-inflammatory diet versus a pro-inflammatory diet.

Methods

Out of 502,535 participants recruited in UK Biobank between 2006 and 2010, 329,036 were excluded due to having existing chronic illness at baseline (Supplementary Table 1). A total of 66,978 participants with available data for biomarkers, dietary exposure and covariates were included in this study (Supplemental Figure 1). Participants (aged 37-73 years) were enrolled across 22 assessment centres from England, Wales, and Scotland. All participants gave informed written consent to data collection which included physical measurements, biological samples and, a touch-screen questionnaire. A complete detailed description of the protocol and baseline assessments is available (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/).

Diet Inflammatory Index (DII) and Energy-Adjusted DII (E-DII)

The Oxford WebQ questionnaire (WebQ), which queried 206 foods and 32 beverages consumed during the past 24 hours (h), was used to collect dietary information. Nutrient intake was calculated using McCance and Widdowson's Composition of Foods Tables (19). Results from a validation study showed that the mean Spearman correlation coefficient between the Oxford WebQ and interviewer-administered 24 h dietary recall for 21 nutrients was ≈0.6, with the majority ranging from 0.5 to 0.9 (20).

DII scores were calculated based on the mean of the available Oxford WebQ dietary data using the methodology described elsewhere (21). To account for differences in total energy intake, the energy-adjusted DII (E-DII^{TM}) was estimated. The rationale and description of E-DII methodology were published in 2019 (2, 22). In about -two-thirds of studies, the E-DII has better predictive ability than DII. 18 of the possible 45 food parameters were used for DII calculation in UK Biobank and data on 17 food parameters for calculation of E-DII (as energy was in the denominator) was finally used as described elsewhere (23). E-DII score was used as a continuous variable and classified into three categories following a previous study (Ref Michael) - from anti-inflammatory (scores down to − 4.39) to pro-inflammatory (scores up to 3.45): i) anti-inflammatory (<-1); ii) neutral (≥ -1 to ≤ 1); and iii) pro-inflammatory (>1).

Biomarkers

Thirty biomarkers were determined in blood and urine samples collected in non-fasted condition at baseline (24). The biomarkers included were C-reactive protein (CRP), calcium, phosphate, vitamin D, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), albumin, total protein, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, apolipoprotein A (apoA), apolipoprotein B (apoB) lipoprotein A, urea, creatinine, cystatin C, urate, haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), glucose, testosterone, oestradiol, sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), IGF-1 and rheumatoid factor. A summary of the biomarkers and their links to health can be found in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Covariates

Age was calculated from the date of birth and the date of baseline assessment. Ethnicity was self-reported and categorized as White, south Asian, Black, Chinese and mixed or other ethnic backgrounds. The Townsend deprivation index score is constructed using data pertaining to unemployment rates, car ownership, household overcrowding, and owner occupation, all aggregated at the geographic level of postcode area. Each study participant was allocated a Townsend score based on their residential address at the time of recruitment, with calculations based on the preceding national census data from 2001. A

Journal Pre-proof

higher Townsend score signifies a heightened degree of socioeconomic deprivation. Smoking status, assessed at baseline was categorised as never, former, or current smoker. Alcohol categorised as risk (>14 unit/week) or no risk (<14 unit/week). We assessed physical activity levels through self-report using the validated International Physical Activity Questionnaire, which estimates the total metabolic equivalent of task (MET) per week. These activity levels were categorised as inactive, moderately active, and active.

Patients' Involvement

No patients were involved in the conception of the research question or in the choice of outcome measures. They were not involved in the design or implementation of the study. No patients were asked to share suggestions on interpreting the finding or in drafting this manuscript.

Ethics Approval

The UK Biobank study was approved by the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (NHS National Research Ethics Service 16/NW/0274). Participants provided written informed consent for data collection, data analysis, and record linkage. This study is part of UK Biobank project 7155.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive characteristics were classified by sex and E-DII category. Numerical variables were presented as means with standard deviation and categorical variables as percentage.

Biomarker values are presented in their traditional units of measurement as well as z-score (per standard deviation, SD). Using standardized units allows for easier comparisons of the magnitude of associations of each biomarker with the E-DII score.

The associations between each biomarker, expressed as z-score and as their traditional units, and the E-DII categories (pro, neutral and anti-inflammatory) were obtained through linear regression analysis. The results were presented as regression coefficients with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). In the analyses, adjustments were made for age, sex, ethnicity, deprivation, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and BMI. When evaluating testosterone and oestradiol as outcomes, that the analyses were restricted exclusively to men and women, respectively. The interaction between covariates and the E-DII score was evaluated by incorporating a multiplicative interaction term between each covariate and the E-DII into the regression model. Our analysis did not reveal any significant interactions; hence, the results were not further stratified based on the covariates.

Data were analysed using Stata statistical software, version 14.0. Statistical significance was defined as p-value of <0.0004 after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

Journal Pre-proof

Results

of the 66,978 participants included in this study, the overall mean age was 55.3 (7.9) years and 53.9% were women. Briefly, participants who reported consuming an anti-inflammatory diet, based on the E-DII score, were slightly older, more likely to be highly educated, physically active and less likely to be obese or a current smoker than their counterparts classified in the pro-inflammatory E-DII (**Table 1**).

Renal function

The associations of the standardized biomarkers with categories of the E-DII are presented in **Figure 1**. Compared with individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had significative higher concentrations of four renal (creatinine, urate, cystatin C and urea). The difference in biomarker concentration between those with ant- and pro-inflammatory diets ranged from 0.27 SD for creatinine (equivalent to 5.1µmol/L). Among people with a pro-inflammatory compared with an anti-inflammatory diet, there were significative lower concentrations of one renal biomarker (phosphate).

Biomarkers concentration among people with an anti-inflammatory diet compared with those in people with a neutral E-DII are shown in **Figure 2**. Individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet also had significative lower concentrations of four renal biomarkers (creatinine, urate, urea and cystatin C). The lowest concentration was observed for creatinine (-0.15 SD, equivalent to -2.95 µmol/L) (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3).

Compared with participants with a neutral E-DII diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had higher concentrations of four kidney biomarkers (creatinine, cystatin C, urate and urea), Those with a neutral E-DII also had lower concentrations, the biggest concentration differences were for creatinine (0.11 SD, equivalent to 2.2 µmol/L) (**Figure 3** and **Supplementary Table 3**).

Cardiovascular function

Compared with individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet those with a pro-inflammatory diet had significative higher concentrations of six cardiometabolic (Total cholesterol, TG, LDL, apolipoprotein B, HbA1c and CRP), The difference in biomarker concentration between those with ant- and pro-inflammatory diets ranged from 0.27 SD for creatinine (equivalent to 5.1µmol/L) to 0.03 SD for total cholesterol (equivalent to 0.035 mmol/L). Among people with a pro-inflammatory compared with an anti-inflammatory diet, there were significative lower concentrations of two bio cardiometabolic markers (apolipoprotein A and HDL).

Biomarkers concentration among people with an anti-inflammatory diet compared with those in people with a neutral E-DII are shown in **Figure 2**. Two biomarkers showed a significative higher concentration

Journal Pre-proof

(HDL and apolipoprotein A). Individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet also had significative lower concentrations of thirteen biomarkers, including five cardiometabolic biomarkers (TG, LDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, HbA1c and CRP),

Compared with participants with a neutral E-DII diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had higher concentrations of three cardiometabolic biomarkers (TG, LDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein B). Those with a neutral E-DII also had lower concentrations of three cardiometabolic biomarkers (HDL cholesterol, vitamin D and apolipoprotein A. The lowest concentration differences were for HDL cholesterol (-0.1 SD, equivalent to -0.039 nmol/L) (**Figure 3** and **Supplementary Table 3**).

Liver function

The associations of the standardized biomarkers with categories of the E-DII are presented in **Figure 1**. Compared with individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had significative higher concentrations of five liver function (ALT, GGT, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin and AST).

Biomarkers concentration among people with an anti-inflammatory diet compared with those in people with a neutral E-DII are shown in **Figure 2**. Individuals with an anti-inflammatory diet had significative lower concentrations of four liver function biomarkers (ALT, direct bilirubin, total bilirubin and GGT). Compared with participants with a neutral E-DII diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had higher concentrations of two liver biomarkers (GGT and ALT.

Endocrine Function

Compared with individuals with pro -inflammatory diet those with anti-inflammatory diet had significative lower concentrations of six biomarkers including two endocrine biomarkers (IGF-1 and SHBG), two cardiometabolic biomarkers (apolipoprotein A and HDL). The range of associations varies from -0.04 for IGF-1 (equivalent to -0.28 nmol/L) to -0.23 (equivalent to -6.6 nmol/L) for SHBG in individuals with a pro-inflammatory versus an anti-inflammatory diet (**Figure 1** and **Supplementary Table 3**).

Biomarkers concentration among people with an anti-inflammatory diet compared with those in people with a neutral E-DII are shown in **Figure 2**. one endocrine biomarker (SHBG). The largest differences were found for SHBG (0.14 SD, equivalent to 3.9 nmol/L).

Compared with participants with a neutral E-DII diet, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had higher concentrations of one endocrine biomarker (testosterone). Those with a neutral E-DII also had lower concentrations of two endocrine biomarkers (IGF-1 and SHBG).

Bone Health

Journal Pre-proof

Among people with a pro-inflammatory compared with an anti-inflammatory diet, there were a lower concentrations of vitamin D. Biomarkers concentration among people with an anti-inflammatory diet compared with those with a neutral E-DII are shown in **Figure 2**. Two bone biomarkers showed a significantly higher concentration (vitamin D and phosphate). The largest differences were found for SHBG (0.14 SD, equivalent to 3.9 nmol/L) and the lowest for vitamin D (0.037 SD, equivalent to 0.78 nmol/L).

In **Supplementary Table 3**, we present the mean concentrations of biomarkers, expressed in their respective clinical units, stratified by E-DII into anti-inflammatory, neutral, and pro-inflammatory categories.

Discussion

Our study offers new insights into the association between 30 health-related biomarkers and indicators of an anti-inflammatory diet according to E-DII among healthy individuals. We found that participants with a pro-inflammatory diet had elevated levels of 16 biomarkers, with renal biomarkers displaying the most significant differences. These differences were observed independently of age, sex, BMI, and other lifestyle factors. Conversely, a pro-inflammatory diet was linked to lower concentrations of seven biomarkers, among which SHBG, HDL, and apolipoprotein A showed the lowest concentrations relative to an anti-inflammatory diet. Our findings contribute novel evidence to the field by shedding light on associations between E-DII and health-related biomarkers pertinent to a range of chronic diseases, including cardiovascular, metabolic, renal and liver conditions.

Renal function

In our study, participants who reported an anti-inflammatory diet showed the lowest concentrations of renal function biomarkers, including cystatin C, urate, urea, and creatinine. In contrast, those with a pro-inflammatory diet had elevated levels of these markers. The elevated creatinine and urate levels observed in the pro-inflammatory diet group could be attributed to the higher meat content in their diets(25, 26). Creatinine originates from creatine during muscle breakdown and from dietary protein (27). Thus, protein-rich diets are likely to increase creatinine levels (25, 26). These results are consistent with earlier research indicating a higher incidence of kidney disease among individuals with pro-inflammatory diets (28). Specifically, previous studies have demonstrated a positive association between DII score and elevated creatinine and urea nitrogen concentrations, particularly in men (29). Poor nutrition and increased inflammation are linked to higher mortality rates and diminished quality of life in chronic kidney disease patients (30). Furthermore, high urea concentrations have been associated with a greater incidence of CVD, insulin resistance, bowel disorders, and anemia, in people with and without chronic kidney disease (31). In addition, raised concentrations of cystatin C predict higher risk of cardiovascular events in various clinical settings (32). The relationship between pro-inflammatory dietary patterns and renal biomarkers is becoming a key focus in nephrological research. A diet

Journal Pre-proof

characterized by high levels of saturated fats, sugars, and sodium, coupled with a lack of fibre, fruits, and vegetables, is thought to trigger systemic inflammation, adversely impacting renal function (28, 33).

Cardiovascular function

Our study indicates that participants consuming anti-inflammatory diets exhibited a more favourable lipid profile, characterized by reduced LDL cholesterol, total cholesterol, Apo-B, and triglycerides, with higher HDL and apolipoprotein A concentrations. Additionally, these participants had lower concentrations of CRP an acute-phase protein produced by the liver and a well-established marker of systemic inflammation. These findings are in line with previous research (34), which has reported less favourable lipoprotein profiles and elevated concentrations of CRP in those with higher E-DII scores, indicative of a pro-inflammatory diet. Such dietary patterns have been associated with a raised risk of CVD and metabolic syndrome (34, 35).

Endocrine Function

We observed a positive association between an anti-inflammatory diet and higher concentrations of SHBG, which might confirm findings from a cross-sectional study conducted among American women (34, 35). There are several hypotheses to explain this relationship. One prominent hypothesis focuses on insulin sensitivity. Diets rich in anti-inflammatory components—typically high in fibre, omega-3 fatty acids and antioxidants—are associated with improved insulin sensitivity, which is linked with higher SHBG concentrations (36-38). Additionally, anti-inflammatory diets reduce systemic inflammation which could affect SHBG concentrations indirectly (39). Furthermore, since E-DII is positively associated with adiposity (40), an anti-inflammatory diet may facilitate weight management, leading to reduced fat mass which is associated with higher SHBG concentrations (41).

Liver function

We found that pro-inflammatory diets were linked to higher serum concentrations of key liver function markers, including ALT, GGT, AST, and total bilirubin. Although previous research involving multi-ethnic populations established an association between the AST:ALT ratio and the DII (42), fewer studies have investigated links with total bilirubin, albumin, and GGT (17). A recent study on 4189 US adults aged 20–80 years showed contrasting findings: those participants with pro-inflammatory diets had higher concentrations of GGT and a higher AST:ALT ratio, while concentrations of both ALT and AST were lower among those with a more pro-inflammatory diet (17). The higher concentrations of ALT and AST with pro-inflammatory diets in our study may be because we focused on healthy individuals. In contrast, the study by Ramirez-Velez et al. was based on a nationally representative sample of the American adult population and, therefore, included both healthy and unhealthy individuals. Alternatively, the divergent findings may be explained by differences in the covariates included in the statistical models in the two studies. The liver function markers we examined are low-cost, non-invasive

and they hold promise as early indicators of liver damage, including Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, that could result from a pro-inflammatory diet (43).

Bone Health

We found no association between the E-DII and ALP but vitamin D concentrations were higher among those consuming anti-inflammatory diets. These findings differ from previous research, which has suggested that anti-inflammatory diets are associated with higher ALP concentrations (44). While our study identifies elevated vitamin D concentrations among participants adhering to a more anti-inflammatory diet, existing literature primarily focuses on individuals with chronic conditions, leaving a gap in evidence concerning the relationship between vitamin D and E-DII in healthy individuals (45, 46).

Strength and limitations

The primary strengths of this study lie in the extensive range of health-related biomarkers evaluated and the large sample size of healthy people drawn from the UK Biobank cohort. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the associations between an E-DII and a comprehensive array of clinically relevant biomarkers. However, caution is advised in interpreting our findings. Since this is an observational study, causality cannot be firmly established, and diet may have been changed because of suboptimal biomarker level. Additionally, findings from the study may not be generalizable to the whole UK population since the UK Biobank sample is slightly skewed towards higher socio-economic status and healthier behaviours compared with the general UK population. While our analyses are adjusted for key covariates, residual confounding may affect interpretation of the findings. It is also important to note that DII scores were derived from self-reported dietary questionnaires, which may introduce reporting bias. Another limitation is related to the cross-sectional design of the study, the biomarkers investigated are a snapshot and not necessarily reflective of long-term biomarker status. Despite these limitations, this is the first large study to explore the relationship between E-DII and a range of clinically used biomarkers reporting on health of multiple body systems. Confirming these associations and their potential causal association in independent studies and using Mendelian Randomization analysis may offer valuable insights for predicting chronic diseases, thus presenting an opportunity to mitigate their prevalence. Finally, about the observed differences in means we acknowledge that these differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$ for all comparisons). However, it is crucial to contextualize these differences from a clinical perspective as while they may be statistically significant due to the large sample size, the clinical relevance of some of these variations may be limited.

Conclusions and implications

Journal Pre-proof

This study highlighted that a pro-inflammatory diet was associated with an adverse profile of biomarkers linked to cardiometabolic health, endocrine, liver function, and renal health. Notably, these associations remained significant even after adjusting for adiposity and other socio-demographic and lifestyle-related confounding factors.

Specifically, individuals following a pro-inflammatory diet have elevated levels of biomarkers associated with kidney function, such as creatinine and uric acid, suggesting a potential risk of chronic kidney disease. In addition, the relationship between pro-inflammatory dietary patterns and liver markers indicates a possible link with liver damage, including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. On the other hand, those following an anti-inflammatory diet have a more favourable lipid profile and protein concentrations associated with cardiovascular health, suggesting a lower risk of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. The positive association between an anti-inflammatory diet and higher concentrations of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) supports the importance of these dietary patterns on endocrine function, potentially improving insulin sensitivity.

Our findings contribute to an enhanced understanding of how dietary components and overall diet quality may influence biological processes in healthy individuals. Understanding how diet changes disease risk mechanistically could offer a way to monitor and potentially anticipate disease risk, thereby creating opportunities to reverse or delay its onset.

Financial Support: None of the authors have funding for conducting this study. However, UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, Department of Health, Scottish Government and the Northwest Regional Development Agency. It has also had funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the British Heart Foundation.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to UK Biobank participants. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under application number 7155.

Authorship Contributions: F.C-M, FH and C.C-M., contributed to the conception and design of the study, advised on all statistical aspects, and interpreted the data. J.M and M.W created the E-DII. All authors critically reviewed this and previous drafts. All authors approved the final draft for submission, with final responsibility for publication.

Ethical Standards Disclosure: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (NHS National Research Ethics Service 16/NW/0274**).** Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects/patients. Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

1. Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, Cornaby L, Ferrara G, Salama JS, et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2019;393(10184):1958-72.
2. Shivappa N, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Hébert JR. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. Public Health Nutr. 2014 Aug;17(8):1689-96.
3. Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, De Lorenzo A. Diet, Nutrition and Chronic Degenerative Diseases. Nutrients. 2021 Apr 20;13(4).
4. Phillips CM, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Perry IJ. Dietary Inflammatory Index and Biomarkers of Lipoprotein Metabolism, Inflammation and Glucose Homeostasis in Adults. Nutrients. 2018 Aug 8;10(8).
5. Tabung FK, Steck SE, Zhang J, Ma Y, Liese AD, Agalliu I, et al. Construct validation of the dietary inflammatory index among postmenopausal women. Ann Epidemiol. 2015;25(6):398-405.
6. Shivappa N, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Ma Y, Ockene IS, et al. A population-based dietary inflammatory index predicts levels of C-reactive protein in the Seasonal Variation of Blood Cholesterol Study (SEASONS). Public health nutrition. 2014;17(8):1825-33.
7. Ma Y, Hébert JR, Li W, Bertone-Johnson ER, Olendzki B, Pagoto SL, et al. Association between dietary fiber and markers of systemic inflammation in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. Nutrition. 2008;24(10):941-9.
8. Namazi N, Larijani B, Azadbakht L. Association between the dietary inflammatory index and the incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Public Health. 2018 Nov;164:148-56.
9. Zhong X, Guo L, Zhang L, Li Y, He R, Cheng G. Inflammatory potential of diet and risk of cardiovascular disease or mortality: A meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2017 Jul 25;7(1):6367.
10. Ji M, Hong X, Chen M, Chen T, Wang J, Zhang N. Dietary inflammatory index and cardiovascular risk and mortality: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020 May;99(20):e20303.
11. Shivappa N, Godos J, Hébert JR, Wirth MD, Piuri G, Speciani AF, et al. Dietary Inflammatory Index and Cardiovascular Risk and Mortality-A Meta-Analysis. Nutrients. 2018 Feb 12;10(2).
12. Garcia-Arellano A, Ramallal R, Ruiz-Canela M, Salas-Salvadó J, Corella D, Shivappa N, et al. Dietary Inflammatory Index and Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease in the PREDIMED Study. Nutrients. 2015 May 29;7(6):4124-38.
13. Neufcourt L, Assmann KE, Fezeu LK, Touvier M, Graffouillère L, Shivappa N, et al. Prospective Association Between the Dietary Inflammatory Index and Cardiovascular Diseases in the SUpplémentation en VItamines et Minéraux AntioXydants (SU.VI.MAX) Cohort. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016 Mar 15;5(3):e002735.
14. Sokol A, Wirth MD, Manczuk M, Shivappa N, Zatonska K, Hurley TG, et al. Association between the dietary inflammatory index, waist-to-hip ratio and metabolic syndrome. Nutr Res. 2016 Nov;36(11):1298-303.
15. Vitale M, Calabrese I, Massimino E, Shivappa N, Hebert JR, Auciello S, et al. Dietary inflammatory index score, glucose control and cardiovascular risk factors profile in people with type 2 diabetes. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition. 2021 2021/05/19;72(4):529-36.
16. Darbandi M, Hamzeh B, Ayenepour A, Rezaeian S, Najafi F, Shakiba E, et al. Anti-inflammatory diet consumption reduced fatty liver indices. Sci Rep. 2021 Nov 19;11(1):22601.
17. Ramírez-Vélez R, García-Hermoso A, Izquierdo M, Correa-Rodríguez M. The Dietary Inflammatory Index and hepatic health in the US adult population. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics. 2022;35(5):968-79.
18. Chen WY, Fu YP, Zhong W, Zhou M. The Association Between Dietary Inflammatory Index and Sex Hormones Among Postmenopausal Women in the US. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:771565.

19. Paul AA, Southgate DA, Buss DH. McCance and Widdowson's 'The composition of foods': supplementary information and review of new compositional data. Hum Nutr Appl Nutr. 1986 Aug;40(4):287-99.
20. Liu B, Young H, Crowe FL, Benson VS, Spencer EA, Key TJ, et al. Development and evaluation of the Oxford WebQ, a low-cost, web-based method for assessment of previous 24 h dietary intakes in large-scale prospective studies. Public Health Nutr. 2011 Nov;14(11):1998-2005.
21. Liu B, Young H, Crowe FL, Benson VS, Spencer EA, Key TJ, et al. Development and evaluation of the Oxford WebQ, a low-cost, web-based method for assessment of previous 24 h dietary intakes in large-scale prospective studies. Public Health Nutrition. 2011 Nov 2011;14(11):1998-2005.
22. Hébert JR, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hussey JR, Hurley TG. Perspective: The Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII)-Lessons Learned, Improvements Made, and Future Directions. Adv Nutr. 2019 Mar 1;10(2):185-95.
23. Zhao L, Wirth MD, Petermann-Rocha F, Parra-Soto S, Mathers JC, Pell JP, et al. Diet-Related Inflammation Is Associated with Worse COVID-19 Outcomes in the UK Biobank Cohort. Nutrients. 2023;15(4):884.
24. Elliott P, Peakman TC. The UK Biobank sample handling and storage protocol for the collection, processing and archiving of human blood and urine. Int J Epidemiol. 2008 Apr;37(2):234-44.
25. Vukovic V, Hantikainen E, Raftopoulou A, Gögele M, Rainer J, Domingues FS, et al. Association of dietary proteins with serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate in a general population sample: the CHRIS study. J Nephrol. 2023 Jan;36(1):103-14.
26. Haring B, Selvin E, Liang M, Coresh J, Grams ME, Petruski-Ivleva N, et al. Dietary Protein Sources and Risk for Incident Chronic Kidney Disease: Results From the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. J Ren Nutr. 2017 Jul;27(4):233-42.
27. Wyss M, Kaddurah-Daouk R. Creatine and creatinine metabolism. Physiol Rev. 2000 Jul;80(3):1107-213.
28. Mazidi M, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Kengne AP. Greater Dietary Inflammatory Index score is associated with higher likelihood of chronic kidney disease. British Journal of Nutrition. 2018;120(2):204-9.
29. Farhangi MA, Najafi M. Dietary inflammatory index: a potent association with cardiovascular risk factors among patients candidate for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery. Nutr J. 2018 Feb 13;17(1):20.
30. Moludi J, Fateh HL, Pasdar Y, Moradinazar M, Sheikhi L, Saber A, et al. Association of dietary inflammatory index with chronic kidney disease and kidney stones in Iranian adults: A cross-sectional study within the Ravansar non-communicable diseases cohort. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2022 2022-October-12;9.
31. Lau Wei L, Vaziri Nosratola D. Urea, a true uremic toxin: the empire strikes back. Clinical Science. 2016;131(1):3-12.
32. Taglieri N, Koenig W, Kaski JC. Cystatin C and Cardiovascular Risk. Clinical Chemistry. 2009;55(11):1932-43.
33. Ikizler TA. Nutrition, inflammation and chronic kidney disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2008 Mar;17(2):162-7.
34. Hermsdorff HHM, Zulet MA, Puchau B, Martínez JA. Fruit and vegetable consumption and proinflammatory gene expression from peripheral blood mononuclear cells in young adults: a translational study. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2010;7:42-.
35. Mazidi M, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Mikhailidis DP, Kengne AP, et al. Dietary inflammatory index and cardiometabolic risk in US adults. Atherosclerosis. 2018 Sep;276:23-7.
36. Ding EL, Song Y, Malik VS, Liu S. Sex differences of endogenous sex hormones and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama. 2006 Mar 15;295(11):1288-99.
37. Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, et al. Effect of a mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial. Jama. 2004 Sep 22;292(12):1440-6.
38. Sears B, Ricordi C. Anti-inflammatory nutrition as a pharmacological approach to treat obesity. J Obes. 2011;2011.

39. Minihane AM, Vinoy S, Russell WR, Baka A, Roche HM, Tuohy KM, et al. Low-grade inflammation, diet composition and health: current research evidence and its translation. Br J Nutr. 2015 Oct 14;114(7):999-1012.
40. Lozano CP, Wilkens LR, Shvetsov YB, Maskarinec G, Park SY, Shepherd JA, et al. Associations of the Dietary Inflammatory Index with total adiposity and ectopic fat through the gut microbiota, LPS, and C-reactive protein in the Multiethnic Cohort-Adiposity Phenotype Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2022 May 1;115(5):1344-56.
41. Fontana L, Eagon JC, Trujillo ME, Scherer PE, Klein S. Visceral fat adipokine secretion is associated with systemic inflammation in obese humans. Diabetes. 2007 Apr;56(4):1010-3.
42. Ramírez-Vélez R, García-Hermoso A, Izquierdo M, Correa-Rodríguez M. The Dietary Inflammatory Index and hepatic health in the US adult population. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics.n/a(n/a).
43. Valibeygi A, Davoodi A, Dehghan A, Vahid F, Hébert JR, Farjam M, et al. Dietary inflammatory index (DII) is correlated with the incidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): Fasa PERSIAN cohort study. BMC Nutrition. 2023 2023/07/11;9(1):84.
44. Darbandi M, Hamzeh B, Ayenepour A, Rezaeian S, Najafi F, Shakiba E, et al. Anti-inflammatory diet consumption reduced fatty liver indices. Scientific Reports. 2021 2021/11/19;11(1):22601.
45. Morimoto M, Shivappa N, de Souza Genaro P, Martini LA, Schuch NJ, Hebert JR, et al. Lack of association between dietary inflammatory index and low impact fractures in the Brazilian population: the Brazilian Osteoporosis Study (BRAZOS). Advances in Rheumatology. 2019 2019/04/11;59(1):16.
46. Wu W, Li X, Di J, Zhou H, Niu H, Yang M. Dietary inflammatory index is associated with Vitamin D in CKD patients. International Urology and Nephrology. 2023 2023/06/28.

Journal Pre-proof

Figure legends

Figure 1. Differences in Biomarker Concentrations between Individuals Following Pro-inflammatory vs. Anti-inflammatory E-DII Diet.

Data are presented as the standardized beta coefficient. This coefficient represents the difference in biomarker concentrations between individuals with anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory E-DII diet. A positive coefficient indicates that the biomarker concentration was higher with a pro-inflammatory diet, while a negative coefficient suggests it was higher with an anti-inflammatory diet. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.0004$ after applying a Bonferroni correction. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, deprivation, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and BMI.

Figure 2. Differences in Biomarker Concentrations between Individuals Following Anti-inflammatory vs. Neutral E-DII Diet.

Data are presented as the standardized beta coefficient. This coefficient represents the difference in biomarker concentrations between individuals with anti-inflammatory and neutral E-DII diet. A positive coefficient indicates that the biomarker concentration was higher with an anti-inflammatory diet, while a negative coefficient suggests it was higher with neutral diet. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.0004$ after applying a Bonferroni correction. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, deprivation, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and BMI.

Figure 3. Differences in Biomarker Concentrations between Individuals Following Pro inflammatory vs. Neutral E-DII Diet.

Data are presented as the standardized beta coefficient. This coefficient represents the difference in biomarker concentrations between individuals with pro-inflammatory and neutral E-DII diet. A positive coefficient indicates that the biomarker concentration was higher with a pro-inflammatory diet, while a negative coefficient suggests it was higher with neutral diet. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.0004$ after applying a Bonferroni correction. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, deprivation, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and BMI.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Association of Diet Inflmatory Index with health-related biomarkers.

The infographic presents alterations in biomarker levels tied to dietary habits, marked by a red upward arrow (↑) for increases and a blue downward arrow (↓) for decreases. A grey horizontal line (—) indicates no association between biomarkers and the E-DII. These variations align with adherence to pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory diet.

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by E-DII categories.

	Total	Anti-inflammatory	Neutral	Pro-inflammatory
Sociodemographic				
Total, n	66,978	20,095	35,128	11,755
E-DII, Mean (SD)	-0.28 ±1.31	-1.84 ±0.59	-0.01 ±0.56	1,57 ±0.44
E-DII, (Min-Max range)		-4.39; -1.00	-0.99; 0.99	1.00; 3.45
Age (y), mean (SD)	55.3 ±7.9	54.3 ±7.7	53.3 ±7.8	52.2 ±7.8
Sex, n (%)				
Women	36,126 (53.9)	12,937 (64.4)	18,135 (51.6)	5,054 (43.0)
Men	30,852 (46.1)	7,158 (35.6)	16,993 (48.4)	6,701 (57.0)
Education Qualifications, n (%)				
College or University	37,073 (55.4)	11,530 (57.4)	19,773 (56.3)	5,770 (49.1)
A levels/AS levels or eq.	9,807 (14.6)	2,947 (14.7)	5,078 (14.5)	1,782 (15.2)
0 levels/GCSEs or eq.	13,678 (20.4)	3,951 (19.6)	7,054 (20.1)	2,667 (22.7)
SEs/NVQ, HND or HNC	6,426 (9.6)	1,667 (8.3)	3,223 (9.2)	1,536 (13.1)
Ethnicity, n (%)				
White	63,947 (95.5)	19,196 (95.5)	33,647 (95.7)	11,104 (94.4)
Mixed	445 (0.6)	122 (0.61)	224 (0.64)	99 (0.84)
South Asian	944 (1.4)	295 (1.47)	468 (1.33)	181 (1.54)
Black	864 (1.3)	238 (1.18)	398 (1.13)	228 (1.94)
Chinese	258 (0.4)	69 (0.34)	145 (0.41)	44 (0.37)
Others	520 (0.8)	175 (0.87)	246 (0.70)	99 (0.84)
Townsend Deprivation index, n (%)				
Lower deprivation	14,697 (21.9)	4,470 (22.2)	7,791 (22.1)	2,436 (20.7)
Middle Lower deprivation	14,096 (21.0)	4,395 (21.8)	7,377 (21.0)	2,324 (19.7)
Middle deprivation	13,882 (20.7)	4,139 (20.6)	7,370 (20.9)	2,373 (20.2)
Middle higher deprivation	13,711 (20.5)	4,091 (20.4)	7,130 (20.3)	2,490 (21.2)
Higher deprivation	10,592 (15.8)	3,000 (14.9)	5,460 (15.5)	2,132 (18.1)
Anthropometric				
BMI ($kg.m^{-2}$), mean (SD)	25.8 ±3.9	25.5 ±3.9	25.8 ±3.9	26.5 ±4.3
BMI category, n (%)				
Underweight	456 (0.68)	185 (0.92)	205 (0.58)	66 (0.56)
Normal	30,350 (45.4)	9,965 (46.68)	15,795 (45.03)	4,590 (39.14)
Overweight	27,106 (40.5)	7,611 (37.9)	14,424 (41.13)	5,071 (43.2)
Obese	8,947 (13.4)	2,298 (11.4)	4,649 (13.26)	2,000 (17.05)
Fitness and lifestyle				
Smoking status, n (%)				
Current	5,346 (8.0)	1,131 (5.6)	2,746 (7.8)	1,469 (12.5)
Previous	20,427 (30.5)	6,405 (31.9)	10,650 (30.4)	3,372 (28.7)
Never	41,107 (61.5)	12,525 (62.4)	21,681 (61.8)	6,901 (58.7)
IPAQ activity group, n (%)				
Inactive	9,665 (16.4)	2,218 (12.5)	5,351 (17.2)	2,096 (20.4)
Moderate active	24,978 (42.3)	7,326 (41.2)	13,241 (42.7)	4,411 (42.9)
Active	24,427 (41.3)	8,222 (46.3)	12,434 (40.1)	3,771 (36.7)
Alcohol Consumption, mean (SD)	16.2 ±16.3	14.4 ±14.2	17.1 ±16.8	16.4 ±17.4
Alcohol Intake Category, n (%)				
No risk (<14 unit)	35,916 (56.8)	11,528 (60.7)	18,112 (54.5)	6,276 (57.2)
Risk (>14 unit)	27,281 (43.2)	7,472 (39.3)	15,123 (45.5)	4,686 (42.7)

Data presented as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and as frequency and % for categorical variables.

Journal Pre-proof




β-coefficient (95% CI)
P-Value
ω2
Journal Pre-proof
SHBG 0.14 (0.12; 0.15) <0,0001 0.012
HDL 0.09 (0.07; 0.11) <0,0001 0.007
Phosphate 0.065 (0.04; 0.08) <0,0001 0.002
Apolipoprotein A 0.06 (0.04; 0.08) <0,0001 0.001
Vitamin D 0.037 (0.01; 0.05) <0,0001 0.001
Lipoprotein A 0.026 (0; 0.04) 0,01 0.002
Calcium 0.02 (0; 0.04) 0,02 0.000
Albumin 0.01 (0; 0.02) 0,25 0.001
IGF-1 0.006 (-0.01; 0.02) 0,45 0.000
ALP 0.002 (-0.01; 0.01) 0,74 0.000
Total protein 0.0003 (-0.01; 0.01) 0,97 0.000
Oestradiol 0.064 (0.02; 0.1) <0,0001 0.000
Rheumatoid factor 0 (-0.06; 0.06) 0,98 0.000
Testosterone 0 (-0,06; 0,05) 0,8 0.000
Glucose -0.006 (-0.01; 0.005) 0,267 0.000
AST -0.018 (-0.03; 0) 0,02 0.000
C-reactive protein -0.02 (-0.03; 0) <0,0001 0.000
Cholesterol -0.02 (-0.03; 0) 0,01 0.000
HbA1c -0.026 (-0.03; -0.015) <0,0001 0.000
Total bilirubin -0.03 (-0.05; 0) <0,0001 0.000
GGT -0.03 (-0.05; -0.02) <0,0001 0.012
Apolipoprotein B -0.03 (-0.05; -0.02) <0,0001 0.000
Direct bilirubin -0.031 (-0.05; -0.01) <0,0001 0.000
LDL -0.048 (-0.06; -0.03) <0,0001 0.000
ALT -0.053 (-0.06; -0.03) <0,0001 0.017
Triglycerides -0.057 (-0.07; -0.04) <0,0001 0.001
Cystatin C -0.07 (-0.08; -0.06) <0,0001 0.001
Urea -0.08 (-0.09; -0.06) <0,0001 0.001
Urate -0.122 (-0.13; -0.1) <0,0001 0.003
Creatinine -0.15 (-0.17; -0.14) <0,0001 0.008
Endocrine
Bone and joint
Liver function
Cardiovascular system
Renal
Diabetes
-0.20 -0.15 -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20
β-coefficient [95% CI]
Neutral Diet
Anti-inflammatory Diet
Journal Pre-proof



Journal Pre-proof
Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Highlights

- Evidence on the association of energy-adjusted Diet-Inflammatory Index (E-DII) with commonly measured cardiometabolic, renal, and liver biomarkers is limited.
- Compared to individuals who followed an anti-inflammatory diet, individuals with a pro-inflammatory diet had increased levels of 16 commonly measured biomarkers, including six cardiometabolic, five liver, and four renal markers.

This study highlighted that a pro-inflammatory diet was associated with an adverse biomarkers profile linked to cardiometabolic health, endocrine, liver function, and renal health.

Journal Pre-proof