

## OC13. Optimization and validation of an analytical method for the determination of opiates in urine using microextraction by packed sorbent

Simão A.Y.<sup>1,2</sup>, Monteiro C.<sup>1</sup>, Marques H.<sup>1,2</sup>, Rosado T.<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Barroso M.<sup>4</sup>, Andraus M.<sup>5</sup>, Gallardo E.<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Investigação em Ciências da Saúde, Universidade da Beira Interior (CICS-UBI), 6200-506, Covilhã, Portugal;

<sup>2</sup>Laboratório de Fármaco-Toxicologia, UBIMedical, Universidade da Beira Interior, 6200-284, Covilhã, Portugal;

<sup>3</sup>Centro Académico Clínico das Beiras (CACB) – Grupo de Problemas Relacionados com Toxicofílias, Covilhã, Portugal;

<sup>4</sup>Serviço de Química e Toxicologia Forenses, Instituto Nacional de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses - Delegação do Sul, 1169-201, Lisboa, Portugal;

<sup>5</sup>Cansford Laboratories Limited, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Email: ana.simao@fcsaude.ubi.pt

According to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), approximately 1.3 million individuals have used opiates, both for medical and illicit purposes<sup>1</sup>, presenting a significant public health challenge<sup>2,3</sup>. To address this issue, methods for quantifying these substances are needed. Urine is a commonly employed matrix in clinical and forensic toxicological analyses due to its ease of collection and ample availability. Its short detection window is particularly effective for monitoring recent drug exposure<sup>4,5</sup>. This study aimed to optimize a method for determining tramadol, codeine, morphine, 6-acetylmorphine, 6-acetylcodeine, and fentanyl in urine samples (250 µL). The process involved centrifugation, acid hydrolysis, and extraction using microextraction by packed sorbent (MEPS). MEPS offered a rapid, environmentally friendly, and reusable extraction technique<sup>6</sup>. All parameters that influence the extraction were previously optimized. The method was validated following international guidelines, demonstrating excellent linearity [1 to 1000 ng/mL for all compounds, except for fentanyl (10 to 1000 ng/mL), with coefficients of determination of at least 0.99], and presenting coefficients of variation and bias ≤ 15% for precision and accuracy, except for the lowest calibrator (≤ 20%).

Recoveries obtained ranged from 17 to 107%, with lowest percentages for morphine (12 to 17%). Despite the low extraction efficiency obtained for morphine, it was possible to detect concentrations as low as 1 ng/mL for all compounds, except for fentanyl (10 ng/mL). The method was successfully applied to real samples from consumers of these substances.

This is the first method to use MEPS and GC-MS/MS for the simultaneous determination of these six opioids in urine samples.

**Acknowledgements:** This work was partially supported by CICS-UBI, which is financed by National Funds from *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) and by *Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional* (FEDER) under the scope of PORTUGAL 2020 and *Programa Operacional do Centro* (CENTRO 2020), with the project reference UIDB/00709/2020 and UIDP/00709/2020. Ana Y. Simão acknowledges the PhD fellowship from FCT (Reference: 2020.09070.BD) and the PhD fellowship from Program Santander-Totta Universidades (Reference: Bolsa BID/UBI-Santander Universidades/2019).

### References

1. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) *European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction: European Drug Report 2018: Trends and Developments*; 2018.
2. Meadway, C.; George, S.; Braithwaite, R. *Forensic Sci. Int.* (2002). 127. 136–141.
3. Barroso, M.; Gallardo, E.; Vieira, D.N.; Queiroz, J.A.; López-Rivadulla, M. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* (2011). 400. 1665–1690.
4. Malaca, S.; Rosado, T.; Restolho, J.; Rodilla, J.M.; Rocha, P.M.M.; Silva, L.; Margalho, C.; Barroso, M.; Gallardo, E. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* (2019). 1120. 41–50.
5. Barroso, M.; Gallardo, E.; Queiroz, J.A. *Bioanalysis* (2009), 1. 977–1000.
6. Abdel-Rehim, M. *Anal. Chim. Acta* (2011). 701. 119–128.
7. Costa S, Barroso M, Castañera A, Dias M. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* (2010). 396(7). 2533-42.
8. Scientific Working Group for Forensic Toxicology (SWGTOX). *J. Anal. Toxicol.* (2013). 37. 452–474.
9. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Food and Drug Administration, *Bioanalytical Method Validation Guidance for Industry Biopharmaceutics Bioanalytical Method Validation Guidance for Industry Available online:* <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm#d/orhttp://www.fda.gov/AnimalVeterinary/GuidanceComplianceEnforcement/GuidanceforIndustry/default.htm> (accessed on Jan 23, 2021).
10. World Anti-doping Agency, *International standard for laboratories: identification criteria for qualitative assays incorporating column chromatography and mass spectrometry*, (2010). [https://www.wadaama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/WADA\\_TD2010IDCrV1.0\\_Identification%20Criteria%20for%20Qualitative%20Assays\\_May%2008%202010\\_EN.doc.pdf](https://www.wadaama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/WADA_TD2010IDCrV1.0_Identification%20Criteria%20for%20Qualitative%20Assays_May%2008%202010_EN.doc.pdf) (accessed Jan 23, 2021)