


Journal Pre-proof

The effects of childhood trauma on stress-related vulnerability factors and indicators of suicide risk: An ecological momentary assessment study

Olivia Rogerson, Rory C. O'Connor, Daryl B. O'Connor



PII: S0165-0327(24)00338-0

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.02.029>

Reference: JAD 17196

To appear in:

Received date: 4 May 2023

Revised date: 1 February 2024

Accepted date: 8 February 2024

Please cite this article as: O. Rogerson, R.C. O'Connor and D.B. O'Connor, The effects of childhood trauma on stress-related vulnerability factors and indicators of suicide risk: An ecological momentary assessment study, (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.02.029>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier B.V.

INTRODUCTION

Childhood trauma is experienced by approximately one third of young people in the UK and two thirds of adults in the US and is associated with poorer health outcomes with detrimental impacts on physical and mental health (Lewis et al., 2019; Swedo et al., 2023). Trauma exposed young people have been found to be twice as likely to develop a mental health condition compared to non-trauma exposed young people and they have also an increased risk of suicide (Lewis et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2013). Additionally, experiences of trauma are associated with a variety of pre-psychopathological outcomes: dysregulated hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis functioning (O'Connor et al., 2018; 2020), retrospective assessments of greater perceived stress (Gouin et al., 2012), poor executive functioning (Gould et al., 2012), impulsive behaviours (Lovallo et al., 2013) and sleep disruption (Tinajero et al., 2020). Each of these pre-psychopathological factors has been shown to confer an increased risk for mental health difficulties in adulthood such as depression, self-harm and suicide; questioning whether a pathway exists whereby childhood trauma confers an increased risk for future mental and physical health outcomes by influencing key pre-psychopathological, or stress-related vulnerability factors, before negative health outcomes manifest.

Effects of childhood trauma on stress-related vulnerability factors

The experience of childhood trauma is associated with a plethora of poor health outcomes, specifically impacting upon daily functioning. There is growing evidence showing that fluctuations in within-person daily stressors are important to understanding stress-outcome processes (Almeida et al., 2005; Gartland et al., 2014; Smyth et al. 2018). Previous research has found childhood abuse to be associated with greater daily hassles (Tinajero et al., 2020) and adverse childhood experiences to significantly predict self-reported stress (Kalmakis et al., 2020). Furthermore, stress, including early life adversity, acute stress, chronic stress and daily hassles can increase the likelihood an individual will experience suicidal ideation and later suicidal behaviour (Howarth et al., 2020; Liu & Miller, 2014).

Childhood trauma has been found to impact on a diverse array of stress-related vulnerability factors such as sleep, impulsivity, executive functioning, defeat and entrapment. Indeed, a recent study found that a greater number of traumatic events during childhood was associated with poorer sleep health as assessed by both actigraphy and sleep diaries in adulthood (Hamilton et al., 2018) and childhood abuse was associated with poorer sleep quality (Tinajero et al., 2020). In addition, impulsivity has also been shown to play a key role in numerous adverse outcomes such as drug addiction (Arguiou et al., 2018) and suicide (Anestis et al., 2014). Moreover, poorer executive function performance has also been found in adults who have been exposed to childhood trauma and in one study, when considering specific facets of trauma, childhood abuse and neglect were found to be associated with poorer reported and behavioural executive function difficulties (Gould et al., 2012; Tinajero et al., 2020).

As outlined above, childhood trauma is an established risk factor for suicide. A leading model of suicide behaviour, the Integrated Motivational-Volitional Model (IMV), has identified psychological vulnerability factors such as defeat, entrapment, stress and impulsivity contribute to an increased risk of suicidal ideation and behaviour (O'Connor & Kirtley, 2018). The first part of the model, outlining the pre-motivational and motivational phases, summarises the complex interplay of the aforementioned stress related vulnerability factors, in relation to childhood trauma (as well as other factors), *prior* to the development of suicidal ideation. Specifically, the model postulates that feelings of defeat can trigger feelings of entrapment which in turn predicts suicidal ideation as an escape from unbearable psychological distress. However, there is a lack of evidence linking childhood trauma to daily feelings of defeat and entrapment in adulthood, therefore a key aim of the current study was also to investigate the extent to which childhood trauma is associated with daily feelings of defeat and entrapment.

Furthermore, in the IMV model, there are stage-specific motivational moderators that facilitate or impede the emergence of suicidal ideation. Motivational moderators include resilience, social support, social connectedness and loneliness, as well as previous suicidal history, all influencing the transition from entrapment to suicidal ideation. Recent research has reported lower levels of social support, connectedness and resilience in individuals vulnerable to suicide compared to individuals with no history of suicidal ideation or behaviour, suggesting that these factors are protective (O'Connor et al., 2021). Therefore, another aim of the current research was to understand whether the associations between childhood trauma and defeat, entrapment and the other aforementioned daily stress-related vulnerability factors were moderated by known protective-factors (resilience, social support, social connectedness) and/or risk-factors (loneliness and suicide history).

Do stress-related vulnerability factors mediate the childhood trauma – suicide risk relationship?

The final aim of the current study was to investigate the factors that may mediate the effects of childhood trauma on suicide risk. In particular, we were interested in exploring whether childhood trauma had indirect effects on key indicators of suicide risk (i.e., reasons for living, optimism, defeat, entrapment and suicide ideation) through influencing the aforementioned stress-related vulnerability factors (i.e., daily stress, executive functioning, impulsivity and sleep). Determining the mechanisms by which childhood trauma is associated with suicide risk factors, *prior* to the development of mental and physical health problems may help further elucidate the pathways that increase future vulnerability and may uncover key targets for intervention.

Finally, it is worth noting that relatively few studies have explored the associations between childhood trauma, stress-related vulnerability factors and suicide risk indicators in naturalistic settings using an ecological momentary assessment (EMA) methodology. EMA allows the assessment of a phenomenon even if dynamic changes are unobservable when measuring at fixed time points. Moreover, an important avenue for research in this area is understanding the state-dependent dynamics that may help explain changes in daily functioning, such as impulsive behaviours (Tomko et al., 2014) and feelings of stress (van Nierop et al., 2018). Previous research has revealed that childhood trauma is associated with higher perceived daily hassles (Berhe et al., 2023) and that even in a sample of clinically healthy, asymptomatic individuals with milder forms of childhood trauma, changes in real-life wellbeing is observable as adults (Berhe et al., 2023). In a typical single point measurement study, changes in stress, wellbeing and mental health may be missed, whereas EMA methodology offers an ability to capture changes in risk-associated changes in psychological processes and behaviours within naturalistic settings. A notable recent study by Tinajero et al. (2020) provided useful insights into the links between childhood trauma and pre-psychopathological factors using an EMA approach. This study found childhood abuse to be associated with pre-psychopathological factors such as emotion regulation difficulties, daily hassles and poorer executive function. However, their sample size was relatively small (N = 79), participants were only sampled over 3 days and the study did not explore the possible indirect effects of childhood trauma on known risk factors for suicide through stress-related vulnerability variables. Therefore, in the current paper, we sought to recruit a larger sample, to extend the study period to 7 days and to explore whether the effects of childhood trauma on suicide risk factors were mediated through daily stress-related vulnerability variables.

Taken together, the primary aims of the current study were two-fold. First, to investigate the effects of childhood trauma on daily stress-related vulnerability factors (daily stress, hassles, impulsivity, executive functioning and sleep) over a period of 7 days and to test whether any observed relationships were moderated by protective factors (resilience, social support, social connectedness) or risk factors (loneliness and suicide history). The secondary aims were to test the indirect effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living, optimism, daily suicide ideation, defeat and entrapment through the daily stress-related vulnerability factors.

METHODS

Design and participants

A 7-day ecological momentary assessment study was utilised whereby participants completed three surveys per day. 302 participants were recruited during June 2022 through the Prolific platform to complete a 7-day daily diary survey. Participants were paid £1.50 for the baseline survey (taking 15 – 20 minutes) and 20p for each daily survey (taking 1-2 minutes). This totalled a potential incentive of £5.70. Participants were sent email notifications to complete their daily surveys once in a morning upon awakening (8am), at 12pm and again at 8pm, before the participants went to bed. 211 participants (67.8% female) completed two or more full days of surveys. Participants were required to be aged between 18 and 45 years old and fluent in the English language. Given the study aimed to recruit currently healthy participants, the participants were screened and excluded if they reported having any long-term health condition or chronic illness or a score above 14 for clinical insomnia symptoms (Insomnia Severity Index; Bastien, Valieres & Morin, 2001). These exclusion criteria were to prevent potential confounding influences of age related decline or extreme values from sleep or long-term health conditions impacting the measures administered. The main study hypotheses were preregistered at AsPredicted.org (#98604). The current study was approved by the University Of Leeds School of Psychology Ethics Committee (PSYC-522).

The sample size was determined using a summary-statistics-based power analysis to detect a cross-level effect (Murayama, Usami, & Sakaki, 2020) informed by a previous unpublished study dataset (Rogerson, 2019). The power analysis showed that a minimum sample of 220 would be required to achieve 80% power ($t = -2.22$, $df = 130$; the cross-level effect of childhood trauma on the level 1 slope between daily stress and executive functioning). Therefore, in order to allow for 10% attrition and drop out between completing the baseline measures and the diaries, the current study aimed to recruit 242 participants. As noted above, the final sample size included in the main analyses ($N=211$) is 9 participants less than the minimum sample size indicated by the a priori power analysis.

Background measures

Childhood trauma was assessed using the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire Short Form (CTQ-SF; Bernstein et al., 2003). This is a 28-item questionnaire that covers five sub-categories of childhood trauma; sexual abuse, physical abuse, emotional abuse, physical neglect and emotional neglect. Each subscale is rated from '1 – Never True' to '5 – Very often true'. Composite scores for overall trauma were calculated by summing all items in the CTQ. The scale had good internal consistency $\alpha = 0.84$; internal consistency was calculated for the scores on each scale for the current sample and good internal consistency in this context is a value of $\alpha \geq .70$ (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). Example items for each sub-scale are provided; emotional abuse 'I thought my parents wished I had never been born', emotional neglect 'I felt loved', physical abuse 'I got hit so hard that I had to see a doctor', physical neglect 'I didn't have enough to eat' and sexual abuse 'someone molested me'.

Lifetime suicidal ideation and attempt were measured using the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS, McManus et al., 2007) Two questions were taken from this survey to determine both lifetime suicide ideation: "Have you ever seriously thought of taking your life, but not actually attempted to do so?" and lifetime suicide attempts "Have you ever made an attempt to take your life, by taking an overdose of tablets or in some other way?" Response options were "yes", "no" or "would rather not say". The APMS measure has been frequently used to determine lifetime history of suicide behaviour (e.g., McDonald et al., 2017; O'Connor et al., 2021; Stickley et al., 2016; Wetherall et al., 2018). Participants who answered yes to either question were classified as having a history of suicide behaviour.

Loneliness was assessed using the UCLA loneliness scale (Hughes et al., 2004). This 3-item scale (2004) asks participants “how often do you feel that you lack companionship?”, “How often do you feel left out?”, “How often do you feel isolated from others?”. Answers range from ‘1 – Hardly ever’, ‘2 – Some of the time’ and ‘3 – Often’. The responses are summed to give a range of scores from 3 – 9. The scale demonstrated good internal consistency, $\alpha = 0.88$.

Resilience was measured using the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10; Campbell-Sills & Stein, 2007). This 10-item measure of resilience’s items reflect the degree to which individuals have the ability to cope with adversity rated from ‘0 – Not true at all’ to ‘4 – True nearly all the time’; items in the scale include, “Not easily discouraged by failure”. This version has displayed good psychometric properties and is highly correlated with the original 25-item version ($r = 0.92$, Campbell-Sills & Stein, 2007; Connor & Davidson, 2003). The scale had good internal consistency, $\alpha = 0.92$.

Social connectedness was assessed using the UCLA Loneliness Scale-Revised (Russell et al., 1980) which is a 20-item self-report measure. Items are rated on a four-point Likert scale from ‘1 – Never’ to ‘4 – Always’; including items such as ‘I have nobody to talk to’. The Cronbach’s alpha value indicated good internal consistency, $\alpha = 0.97$.

Social support was measured using the ENRICH Social Support Inventory (ESSI; Mitchell et al., 2003). A 7-item measure that is comprised of items such as “Is there someone available to you whom you can count on to listen to you when you need to talk?” rated from ‘1 – None of the time’ to ‘5 – All of the time’. The Cronbach’s alpha value indicated good internal consistency, $\alpha = 0.90$.

Daily measures

Stress was measured using a singular item asking participants “How stressed have you felt, since the last survey?”. This item was rated from ‘0 – not at all stressed’ to ‘4 – extremely stressed’. This item was developed by the research team for the purpose of the current study based on standard single item assessments of stress and has good face validity (O’Connor & Ferguson 2016).

Sleep was measured by asking participants about last night’s sleep in the first survey of the day upon waking up at 8am. Sleep quality was assessed with one item whereby participants were asked to rate their sleep quality from ‘1 – Very bad’ to ‘7 – Very good’; adapted from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (Buysse et al., 1989). Additionally, morning tiredness was assessed with one item whereby participants were asked to rate from ‘1 – Not at all’ to ‘5 – Very’ how tired they felt that morning; these items were adapted from Clancy, O’Connor & Prestwich (2020) and have been shown to have good validity. Higher scores were indicative of poorer sleep quality and greater morning tiredness.

Daily Hassles was measured using the adapted Hassles and Uplifts Scale (DeLongis, Folkman & Lazarus, 1988) as used by Tinajero et al., (2020). Seven categories were chosen which participants rated on a Likert scale from ‘0 – none or not applicable’ to ‘3 – a great deal’ all questions asked ‘Today, how much of a hassle were ___ for you?’ and the categories were friends, work/school, external events, physical health, romantic partner, co-workers. The mean across all categories was taken for each day to indicate the degree of general daily hassles. The scale had an omega value of $\omega = 0.65$.

Defeat was measured using the four-item defeat scale was used from the Short Defeat and Entrapment Scale (SDES; Griffiths et al., 2015), items include “I feel defeated by life” and “I feel powerless” rated on a Likert scale from ‘0 – Never’ to ‘4 – Always’. The total score indicated the sum of the items and greater feelings of defeat. The scale demonstrated good internal consistency, with an omega value of $\omega = 0.96$.

Entrapment was assessed using the Entrapment Short-Form (E-SF; De Beurs et al., 2020), a four-item short form of the 16-item entrapment scale. For the current study, the two items representing internal entrapment were used only. The items “I feel I’m in a deep hole I can’t get out of” and “I feel trapped inside myself” were rated on a Likert scale from ‘0 – Not at all like me’ to ‘4 – extremely like me’. For the current study, the sum of the two items were used to indicate daily feelings of wanting to escape from inner feelings and thoughts (Baumeister, 1990). The scale has an omega value of $\omega = 0.78$.

Executive Functioning was measured using the WEBEXEC (Buchanan et al., 2010). A short self-report measure to assess the degree of executive functioning, designed for internet research. The WEBEXEC scores have been reported to be correlated positively with the DEX and confirmed that it appears suitable for online research (Buchanan, 2010). The scale consists of 6-items, such as, “Do you find it difficult to keep your attention on a particular task?” rated from ‘1 – No problems experienced’ to ‘4 – A great many problems experienced’. The total score was calculated for each day with higher scores indicating poorer executive functioning. The scale had an omega value of $\omega = 0.92$.

Impulsivity was measured using the Momentary Impulsivity Scale (Tomko et al., 2014). A 6-item scale where participants were asked to “Describe how much each statement described their experience since the last completed prompt”. In the current study, this item was changed to, “Please indicate how much each statement below describes your experience since the last survey” in order to be suitable for daily use. The items were rated from ‘1 – very slightly or not at all’ to ‘5 – extremely’. The total score was calculated, higher scores indicated greater impulsive behaviours. The scale had an omega value of, $\omega = 0.75$.

Optimism was assessed using item 4 from the Revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R; Scheier, Carver & Bridges 1994). Participants were presented with the following statement ‘I feel optimistic about my future’ to rate from ‘0 – strongly disagree’ to ‘4 – strongly agree’. This item was adapted from ‘I’m always optimistic about my future’ to be suitable for daily diary use and is positively correlated with the total LOT-R scale score. The higher the score indicated a greater degree of optimism.

Reasons for living was assessed using item 4 from the Reasons for Living Inventory (RFLA; Linehan et al., 1983). ‘I have a desire to live’ rated on a Likert scale from ‘1 – not at all important’ to ‘6 – extremely important’. This item is positively correlated with the total RFLA scale score. The higher the rated score indicated a greater desire to live.

Thoughts of suicide were assessed in the final diary of each day. The measure consisted of an item from the Beck Suicide Scale (BSI; Beck & Steer, 1991) informed by the daily diary research and shown to be reliable and valid (Coppersmith et al., 2019). Participants were asked to rate the extent to which they: “wish to live”, using the following three options ‘0 – I have a moderate to strong wish to live’, ‘1 – I have a weak wish to live’ and ‘2 – I have no wish to live’.

Statistical Analysis

Table 1. Mean and standard deviations for level 1 and 2 variables in the total sample (n = 211) and in males (n = 88) and females (n = 143) separately.

Level 1	Total		Male		Female	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
Stress	1.20	1.00	1.12	0.71	1.24	0.78
Impulsivity	5.76	2.34	5.69	1.90	5.79	1.94
Executive function	8.52	3.32	8.21	2.62	8.67	2.97
Reasons for living	5.33	1.17	5.13	1.31	5.43	1.00
Optimism	3.10	1.06	3.20	0.93	3.06	0.87
Defeat	3.98	4.23	3.33	3.29	4.26	3.71
Entrapment	1.79	2.10	1.67	1.67	1.85	1.84
Sleep quality	4.77	1.78	4.98	1.74	4.68	1.80
Morning tiredness	2.61	1.17	2.50	1.10	2.67	1.20
Hassles	0.39	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.41	0.42
Daily suicide thoughts	0.08	0.30	0.09	0.32	0.08	0.29
Level 2	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
Age (years)	33.76	6.87	33.52	6.57	33.82	7.03
Childhood trauma	40.52	14.77	39.46	13.82	40.94	15.24
Suicide history (n = history of suicide behaviour)	n = 72		n = 19		n = 53	
Resilience	35.18	7.69	37.99	7.44	33.88	7.51
Loneliness	5.38	1.93	4.97	1.87	5.58	1.93
Social support	25.84	6.24	26.40	6.71	25.62	6.02
Social connectedness	48.04	3.53	48.18	3.16	47.97	3.71

*Score ranges for level 1 variables: stress (0 – 4), impulsivity (6 – 30), executive function (6 – 24), reasons for living (1 – 6), optimism (0 – 4), defeat (0 – 16), entrapment (0 – 8), sleep quality (1 – 7), morning tiredness (1 – 5), hassles (0 – 3), daily suicide thoughts (0 – 2). Score ranges for level 2 variables: age (19 – 45), childhood trauma (28 – 140), resilience (0 – 40), loneliness (3 – 9), social support (8 – 34), social connectedness (0 – 60).

Analyses were conducted in SPSS v.26 and multilevel models were conducted in HLM8 (Raudenbush et al., 2019). We assessed whether childhood trauma was associated with daily stress-related vulnerability factors and whether these associations were moderated by potential protective and risk factors. In addition, we determined whether childhood trauma was associated with daily reasons

for living, suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment and whether the aforementioned daily stress-related vulnerability factors mediated these relationships. Analyses were performed on individuals who completed at least 2 full days of the study (i.e., at least 6 surveys). This resulted in a total of 211 participants completing 3719 diaries over a 7-day period: 1269 morning surveys; 1236 afternoon surveys; 1214 evening surveys. The dataset was checked for outliers by scanning the data for any values ± 3 standard deviations away from the mean for all continuous variables. Each measure had the ranges checked to ensure no errors were present in the dataset. Normality was assessed through utilising the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, all values $p > 0.05$. Histograms and Q-Q plots were also inspected to confirm the data was normally distributed. Full details for the statistical analyses and treatment of missing data are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Multilevel mediation analysis was conducted using the MLMED macro in SPSS (Rockwood, 2017). Using multilevel mediation analysis, we explored whether there were indirect effects of CTQ total score on daily reasons for living, optimism, suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment through daily stress-related vulnerability factors. In these analyses, total CTQ score (at level 2) and daily measured reasons for living, optimism, suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment (at level 1) were the X and Y variables (respectively), the daily stress-related vulnerability factors (at Level 1) were the mediators (M variables). The indirect effects use Monte Carlo simulation to generate the confidence intervals and report the unstandardized estimates, these are summarised in Table 4.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics for the background and daily variables, measured at one or three time points are presented in Table 1. We observed similar scores to previous research assessing total CTQ ($M = 40.52$). Scores on the other main study variables were within normal ranges. 72 participants reported suicide ideation or a suicide attempt history by answering 'yes' to either of the APMS questions. There were 60 individuals reporting only suicide thoughts and 12 individuals reporting both thoughts and attempts.

Effects of childhood trauma on daily stress-related vulnerability factors (hassles, stress, executive functioning, impulsivity and sleep)

The findings for each of the effects of childhood trauma on each of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors are presented in Table 2. The results showed main effects of childhood trauma on daily stress ($\beta = 0.01, p < .001$), executive functioning ($\beta = .039, p = .003$) and sleep quality ($\beta = -0.02, p < .001$) indicating that higher levels of childhood trauma were associated higher daily stress, poorer executive functioning and sleep quality (see Figure 1). However, childhood trauma was not related to daily hassles or morning tiredness.

Moderating effects of protective factors (resilience, social support, social connectedness) and risk factors (loneliness and suicide history) on the childhood trauma - daily stress vulnerability relationships

There were no moderating effects of the childhood trauma and daily stress-related variables relationships by any of the protective or risk factors (see supplementary materials, *Table S1*). However, we did observe main effects of loneliness on all daily stress-related vulnerability factors; suggesting that higher levels of loneliness were associated with a higher number of hassles, poorer sleep quality, poorer executive function, greater morning tiredness, greater daily stress, and more impulsive behaviour (see supplementary materials, *Table S1*). Similarly, higher levels of resilience were associated with better sleep quality and executive function, lower levels of impulsivity, morning tiredness and daily stress. There were also main effects of suicide history such that individuals who had a suicide history

reported greater daily stress, poorer sleep quality, greater morning tiredness and poorer executive function than individuals without a suicide history. Lastly, main effects of social support were found such that lower stress, greater executive functioning and lower impulsivity was reported in individuals who had higher social support compared to those with lower social support. For social connectedness, we observed no main effects.

Table 2. A summary of the main effects of childhood trauma on daily stress-related vulnerability factors.

	β	Coefficient	SE	df	p value
Hassles					
Intercept	β_{00}	0.400	0.021	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.004	0.002	209	.029
Stress					
Intercept	β_{00}	1.217	0.039	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.010	0.003	209	< .001
Impulsivity					
Intercept	β_{00}	5.780	0.108	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.027	0.010	209	.005
Executive function					
Intercept	β_{00}	8.566	0.162	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.039	0.013	209	.003
Sleep quality					
Intercept	β_{00}	4.767	0.079	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	-0.018	0.005	209	< .001
Morning tiredness					
Intercept	β_{00}	2.611	0.051	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.007	0.004	209	.048
	β	Coefficient	SE	df	p value
Reasons for living					
Intercept	β_{00}	5.343	0.067	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	-0.022	0.006	209	< .001
Optimism					
Intercept	β_{00}	3.11	0.055	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	-0.011	0.004	209	0.005

Note: statistical significance = $p < .01$ (see supplementary materials)

Direct effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living, optimism, daily suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment

Childhood trauma was significantly associated with all daily measured suicide risk factors such that higher levels of childhood trauma were related to lower reasons for living and optimism, and higher daily suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment (see Figure 2). The results of the aforementioned associations are presented in Table 3 and are the basis for the subsequent mediation analyses.

Table 3. A summary of the main effects of childhood trauma on daily suicide risk factors

Daily suicide thoughts					
Intercept	β_{00}	0.004	0.002	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.005	0.002	209	< .001
Defeat					
Intercept	β_{00}	3.986	0.223	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.058	0.018	209	0.001
Entrapment					
Intercept	β_{00}	1.792	0.109	209	< .001
CTQ	β_{01}	0.03	0.009	209	< .001

Indirect effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living, optimism, daily suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment via daily stress-related vulnerability factors

Next, we tested whether there were indirect effects of childhood trauma on the aforementioned outcome variables through daily stress-related vulnerability factors. In these analyses, childhood trauma (at Level 2) and outcome variables (at Level 1) were the X and Y variables, respectively, and daily stress-related factors (at Level 1) acted as the mediator (M variable). All the analyses are adjusted for age and sex. A summary of the indirect effects, showing unstandardised coefficients, is shown in Table 4 and in Figure 3.

Reasons for Living

We tested whether there were indirect effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living through the daily stress-related vulnerability factors. There were indirect effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living through daily executive functioning ($B = -0.005, p = .006$). There were no indirect effects through daily hassles, daily impulsivity and daily morning tiredness.

Optimism

There were significant indirect effects of childhood trauma on optimism through all of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors: daily stress ($B = -0.007, p = .001$), daily executive functioning ($B = -0.006, p = .002$), daily impulsivity ($B = -0.004, p = .009$) and daily sleep quality ($B = -0.004, p = .005$).

Defeat

There were significant indirect effects of childhood trauma on defeat through all of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors: daily hassles ($B = 0.019, p = .008$); daily stress ($B = 0.039, p < .001$); daily executive functioning ($B = 0.037, p < .001$); daily impulsivity ($B = 0.026, p = .001$); daily sleep quality ($B = 0.018, p = .005$).

Entrapment

There were significant indirect effects of childhood trauma on entrapment through all of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors: daily hassles ($B = 0.009, p = .008$); daily stress ($B = 0.019, p < .001$); daily executive functioning ($B = 0.017, p < .001$); impulsivity ($B = 0.012, p = .001$); sleep quality ($B = 0.008, p = .008$).

Suicide thoughts

There were significant indirect effects of childhood trauma on greater daily suicide thoughts through all of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors: daily hassles ($B = 0.001, p = .012$), daily stress ($B = 0.002, p < .001$), daily executive functioning ($B = 0.002, p = .002$) and daily impulsivity ($B = 0.001, p = .003$).

Taken together, these results show that, in addition to the direct effects on key risk factors for suicide, childhood trauma has indirect effects on suicide vulnerability factors by influencing daily stress-related variables.

Journal Pre-proof

Table 4. Summary of all the indirect effects

Indirect effects	b (unstandardised)	SE	Monte Carlo 95% CI		<i>p</i>
			Lower	Upper	
Outcome: reasons for living					
1.1 CTQ - hassles - reasons for living	-0.0015	0.0011	-0.0039	0.0002	0.1630
1.2 CTQ - stress - reasons for living	-0.0029	0.0015	-0.0061	-0.0004	0.0469
1.3 CTQ - executive functioning - reasons for living	-0.0050	0.0018	-0.0089	-0.0019	0.0055
1.4 CTQ - impulsivity - reasons for living	-0.0024	0.0014	-0.0054	-0.0001	0.0814
1.5 CTQ - sleep quality - reasons for living	-0.0032	0.0014	-0.0064	-0.0007	0.0288
1.6 CTQ - morning tiredness - reasons for living	-0.0017	0.0011	-0.0042	0.0000	0.1208
Outcome: optimism					
2.1 CTQ - hassles - optimism	-0.0029	0.0013	-0.0056	-0.0007	0.0211
2.2 CTQ - stress - optimism	-0.0069	0.0020	-0.0110	-0.0033	0.0005
2.3 CTQ - executive functioning - optimism	-0.0063	0.0020	-0.0105	-0.0026	0.0015
2.4 CTQ - impulsivity - optimism	-0.0036	0.0014	-0.0066	-0.0013	0.0090
2.5 CTQ - sleep quality - optimism	-0.0044	0.0016	-0.0077	-0.0017	0.0051
2.6 CTQ - morning tiredness - optimism	-0.0031	0.0016	-0.0064	-0.0002	0.0489
Outcome: daily suicide thoughts					
3.1 CTQ - hassles - daily suicide thoughts	0.0011	0.0004	0.0003	0.0021	0.0118
3.2 CTQ - stress - daily suicide thoughts	0.0018	0.0005	0.0008	0.0029	0.0006
3.3 CTQ - executive functioning - daily suicide thoughts	0.0018	0.0006	0.0007	0.0030	0.0017
3.4 CTQ - impulsivity - daily suicide thoughts	0.0013	0.0004	0.0005	0.0022	0.0033
3.5 CTQ - sleep quality - daily suicide thoughts	0.0008	0.0003	0.0002	0.0016	0.0172
3.6 CTQ - morning tiredness - daily suicide thoughts	0.0008	0.0004	0.0001	0.0016	0.0407
Outcome: defeat					
4.1 CTQ - hassles - defeat	0.0194	0.0073	0.0060	0.0352	0.0081
4.2 CTQ - stress - defeat	0.0392	0.0105	0.0193	0.0608	0.0002
4.3 CTQ - executive functioning - defeat	0.0373	0.0110	0.0161	0.0599	0.0007
4.4 CTQ - impulsivity - defeat	0.0261	0.0079	0.0114	0.0430	0.0010
4.5 CTQ - sleep quality - defeat	0.0180	0.0064	0.0068	0.0320	0.0047
4.6 CTQ - morning tiredness - defeat	0.0153	0.0075	0.0011	0.0312	0.0427
Outcome: entrapment					
5.1 CTQ - hassles - entrapment	0.0094	0.0035	0.0029	0.0170	0.0082
5.2 CTQ - stress - entrapment	0.0191	0.0051	0.0094	0.0296	0.0002
5.3 CTQ - executive functioning - entrapment	0.0172	0.0051	0.0074	0.0277	0.0008
5.4 CTQ - impulsivity - entrapment	0.0122	0.0037	0.0053	0.0202	0.0011
5.5 CTQ - sleep quality - entrapment	0.0075	0.0028	0.0026	0.0137	0.0079
5.6 CTQ - morning tiredness - entrapment	0.0067	0.0034	0.0005	0.0138	0.0457

DISCUSSION

Three key findings emerged from this study. First, childhood trauma significantly affected daily functioning by influencing stress-related vulnerability variables (while controlling for age and sex). In particular, childhood trauma was associated with greater daily stress and impulsivity and poor sleep quality and executive functioning. Second, there was robust evidence that childhood trauma affected established indicators of suicide risk (such as defeat and entrapment). Third, childhood trauma indirectly affected suicide risk factors through key daily stress-related variables. Specifically, childhood trauma had indirect effects on daily defeat, entrapment, reasons for living, optimism and suicide thoughts through daily executive functioning. There was also evidence for an indirect effect of childhood trauma on optimism, daily thoughts of suicide, defeat and entrapment through daily impulsivity and stress. Sleep quality was also found to mediate the effects of childhood trauma on optimism, defeat and entrapment. Lastly, an indirect relationship between childhood trauma and daily thoughts of suicide, defeat and entrapment through daily hassles was also found.

The current findings are important as they confirm, and extend previous research suggesting childhood trauma predisposes individuals to poorer health outcomes through impacting a number of stress-related processes in adulthood. A conceptual model posits that exposure to childhood trauma is associated with reduced stress reactivity, altered cognitive abilities and greater impulsive behaviours which in turn contribute to greater risk of experiencing poorer health behaviours (Lovallo, 2013). We found childhood trauma to negatively impact cognitive ability indexed by daily executive functioning; echoing findings whereby exposure to childhood abuse was associated with poorer executive functioning in daily life in a sample of healthy adults (Tinajero et al., 2020). The current study fails to replicate the finding of trauma impacting daily hassles (Tinajero et al., 2020). This disparity may be, in part, due to the current study assessing general childhood trauma as opposed to childhood abuse, *per se*. Nevertheless, we did find that greater childhood trauma impacted daily self-reported stress; aligning with the notion that the experience of childhood trauma may predispose individuals to poorer health outcomes in the future by influencing daily levels of stress through prolonged activation of the stress response system. It has been suggested that the cumulative burden of chronic stress and life events, such as childhood trauma, exceed the ability to cope potentially leading to allostatic overload (O'Connor et al., 2020; O'Connor, Thayer & Vedhara, 2021). Repeated activation of the stress response system can dysregulate immune, cardiovascular and endocrine systems and individuals' daily cortisol awakening response.

The results of this research are also consistent with a growing body of work that has confirmed strong links between childhood trauma and suicide risk in adulthood (Gartland et al., 2022; Lewis et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2013; O'Connor et al., 2018). However, much of the previous research has been overly reliant on cross-sectional designs, whereas, the current study extends earlier work into naturalistic settings showing that childhood trauma is significantly associated with a range of daily indicators of suicide risk in adulthood; reasons for living, optimism, daily suicide thoughts, defeat and entrapment. Defeat and entrapment have been identified as important variables in understanding suicide risk, for example the IMV model of suicidal behaviour conceptualises suicide as a behaviour that results from a complex interplay of factors; a pathway from ideation to behaviour through defeat and entrapment (O'Connor & Kirtley, 2018). Moreover, optimism is a factor identified in the IMV model, it is predictive of suicide risk, and experiencing childhood adversity is associated with lower self-perceptions of optimism (Mumford, Copp & MacLean, 2022). The current study found optimism to be significantly associated with childhood trauma both directly, and indirectly, through all of the daily stress-related vulnerability factors. Therefore, although the negative outcomes associated with childhood trauma are well established, these findings suggest that fostering a greater optimistic outlook may potentially help mitigate, in part, the negative effects on health outcomes, such as suicide risk.

Our findings also suggest that exposure to childhood trauma may create a generalised vulnerability in adulthood across cognitive, stress-related, behavioural and sleep domains. The current study found that childhood trauma had indirect effects on a range of daily suicide vulnerability variables (i.e., reasons for living, optimism, defeat and entrapment) through multiple stress-related variables (daily stress/hassles, executive functioning, impulsivity and sleep quality). This is consistent with a recent study that found indirect effects of childhood trauma on recent suicidal ideation through executive functioning and impulsivity (Rogerson, Baguley & O'Connor, 2022). Importantly, these findings suggest that childhood trauma influences multiple different processes that are likely to influence future psychological health. Therefore, further research should endeavour to investigate the effects of childhood trauma across a broad range of cognitive, psychological, biological and behavioural domains.

Another important finding of the current study is that childhood trauma was shown to influence suicide vulnerability, as indexed by higher levels of daily defeat and entrapment, through poorer levels of sleep quality. This is a notable observation particularly given the recent work showing links between sleep quality and suicide ideation. For example, Littlewood et al. (2019), in a sample of participants with current suicide ideation, found that days preceded with shorter sleep duration and poorer sleep quality were associated with greater levels of suicidal ideation. It is well established that childhood trauma has serious long-term negative consequences on different components of sleep, and poor sleep health in turn has been linked with numerous negative health outcomes (e.g., Buysse, 2014). Moreover, a conceptual model linking childhood trauma and sleep disturbances to poor health outcomes suggests a role for the HPA axis in understanding the relationships between both constructs and later health outcomes (Fulgini et al., 2021). The influence of childhood trauma on HPA axis dysregulation may negatively affect stress reactivity and cortisol awakening responses, but also sleep quality, which in turn may affect poor health outcomes (O'Connor et al., 2018; 2024). Collectively, these findings confirm that in both a healthy adult sample, and a sample with individuals at vulnerable to suicide, that childhood trauma is associated with indicators of suicide risk through a poorer sleep quality pathway. Future research ought to continue to consider the role of sleep quality as one of the putative mechanisms through which childhood trauma confers its future physical and mental health risks.

The current findings may have implications for interventions aimed at mitigating the negative impacts of childhood trauma. They suggest that such interventions should incorporate components that target modifiable risk factors such as sleep, stress, impulsivity and executive function. For example, Prudenzi et al. (2022) suggested that acceptance and commitment approaches could benefit stress and worry. There are further avenues for more targeted stress management interventions (McCarrick et al., 2021; Rogerson et al., 2023) and to improve sleep outcomes (e.g. Murawski et al., 2018; Saruhanian et al., 2021). There is also promising evidence showing that cognitive enhancement interventions such as goal management training, can be effective in reducing impulsive action and choices (Anderson et al., 2021).

We recognise there are a number of shortcomings to the current research. First, the current sample falls a little short of the target sample size determined by the a priori power analysis (i.e., the main analysis was based on 9 participants less than the 220 target sample size). The main reason for this was because we wanted to perform the analysis only on individuals who completed at least 2 full days of the study (i.e., at least 6 surveys). Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the main analysis was still conducted on data from 3719 diaries and we found strong support for our main hypotheses, suggesting that the current study was adequately powered to detect the predicted effects. Moreover, in terms of research in this area, this sample is considered relatively large and the within-participants, ecological momentary assessment design, comes with several strengths such as multiple observations, and using each participant as their own control. Nonetheless, exploring the associations between childhood trauma and these important stress-related vulnerability variables in a larger sample and

separated into different assessment bouts over time (e.g., Jones et al., 2024) are important next steps for research in this area..

Second, as outlined earlier, we recruited healthy participants because we wanted to explore mechanisms by which childhood trauma may be associated with suicide risk factors, *prior* to the development of mental and physical health problems. As such, the current sample comprised of healthy young adults aged 18-45 years who were screened for sleep problems and chronic illness and as a result, the sample may not yet evidence deficits in some aspects of executive functioning. That is, it may be that longer-term exposure to stress-related dysfunction such as sleep disturbance leads to broader executive deficits over time (Tinajero et al., 2020). Moreover, we recognise that adopting these inclusion criteria limits the generalisability and representativeness of the sample. The generalisability of our findings to older populations where executive function, impulsivity and cognitive measures may differ is unknown and thereby further investigation is required in individuals capturing all age groups including older populations.

Third, we also note that there was limited variability in suicide ideation scores across the 7 days. This may be, in part, due to the healthy sample of adult participants included in the current research compared to other studies that recruited participants who reported suicide ideation following psychiatric hospitalisation or that targeted recruitment at participants with a history of suicide (e.g., Kleiman et al., 2017; O'Connor et al., 2024; 2020). Therefore, future research ought to attempt to replicate these findings in a more representative sample that includes individuals with and without a mental and physical health conditions.

Finally, we are aware that there are a number of potential shortcomings relating to the measures included in the current study. We note that our measures of executive function and sleep are self-reported and future research ought to attempt to replicate our results using more objective methods such as polysomnography and laboratory-based neuropsychology tests. We also recognise that we assessed suicide ideation and history using only two questions from the Adult Psychiatry Morbidity Survey. Although these questions are used widely in the literature (e.g., McDonald et al., 2017; O'Connor et al., 2021; Stickley et al., 2016; Wetherall et al., 2018), we note that such brief assessments may have limitations and do not provide more detailed and nuanced data on suicidal ideation, plans, intent, gestures or type of suicide attempts. Future research should endeavour, where possible, to include more comprehensive assessment tools such as Self Injurious Thoughts and Behaviors Interview (Nock et al., 2007).

In conclusion, the current study found that childhood trauma was significantly associated with higher scores on daily stress-related vulnerability variables and daily indicators of suicide risk. Moreover, it identified key pathways whereby childhood trauma had indirect effects on suicide vulnerability through executive functioning, impulsivity, sleep quality and stress in adulthood. Taken together, these findings highlight the complexity of childhood trauma and its potentially damaging effects on stress-related vulnerability factors and poorer mental health outcomes. A greater understanding of the pathways by which childhood trauma influences later health outcomes is essential for development of appropriate, and timely, intervention.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, D. M., Neupert, S. D., Banks, S. R., & Serido, J. (2005). Do daily stress processes account for socioeconomic health disparities?. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, *60*, S34-S39.
- Anderson, A. C., Youssef, G. J., Robinson, A. H., Lubman, D. I., and Verdejo-Garcia, A. (2021) Cognitive boosting interventions for impulsivity in addiction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive training, remediation and pharmacological enhancement, *Addiction*, *116*: 3304–3319.
- Anestis, M. D., Soberay, K. A., Gutierrez, P. M., Hernández, T. D., & Joiner, T. E. (2014). Reconsidering the link between impulsivity and suicidal behavior. *Personality and social psychology review*, *18*(4), 366-386.
- Argyriou, E., Um, M., Carron, C., & Cyders, M. A. (2018). Age and impulsive behavior in drug addiction: A review of past research and future directions. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*, *164*, 106-117.
- Bastien, C. H., Vallières, A., & Morin, C. M. (2001). Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep medicine*, *2*(4), 297-307.
- Baumeister, R. F. (1990). Suicide as escape from self. *Psychological review*, *97*(1), 90.
- Beck, A. T., & Steer, R. A. (1991). Manual for the Beck scale for suicide ideation. *San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation*, 63.
- Bernstein, D. P., Stein, J. A., Newcomb, M. D., Walker, E., Pogge, D., Ahluvalia, T., ... & Zule, W. (2003). Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. *Child abuse & neglect*, *27*(2), 169-190.
- Branley-Bell, D., O'Connor, D.B., Green, J., Ferguson, E., O'Carroll, R.E., & O'Connor, R.C. (2019). Distinguishing suicide ideation from suicide attempts: Further evidence in support of the Integrated Motivational-Volitional Model of Suicidal Behaviour. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *117*, 100-107.
- Buchanan, T., Heffernan, T. M., Parrott, A. C., Ling, J., Rodgers, J., & Scholey, A. B. (2010). A short self-report measure of problems with executive function suitable for administration via the Internet. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 709-714.
- Buysse, D. J. (2014). Sleep health: Can we define it? Does it matter? *Sleep*, *37*(1), 9–17.
- Buysse, D. J., Reynolds, C. F., Monk, T. H., Berman, S. R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1989). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*, *28*(2), 193–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4)
- Campbell-Sills, L., & Stein, M. B. (2007). Psychometric analysis and refinement of the

- connor–davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC): Validation of a 10-item measure of resilience. *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*, 20(6), 1019-1028.
- Clancy, F., O'Connor, D.B & Prestwich, A. (2020). Do worry and brooding predict health behaviours? A daily diary investigation. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 27, 591-601.
- Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and anxiety*, 18(2), 76-82.
- De Beurs, D., Cleare, S., Wetherall, K., Eschle-Byrne, S., Ferguson, E., O'Connor, D. B., & O'Connor, R. C. (2020). Entrapment and suicide risk: the development of the 4-item Entrapment Scale Short-Form (E-SF). *Psychiatry research*, 284, 112765.
- DeLongis, A., Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1988). The impact of daily stress on health and mood: psychological and social resources as mediators. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 54(3), 486.
- Enders, C. K. (2001). A primer on maximum likelihood algorithms available for use with missing data. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 8, 128-141.
- Ernst, M., Tibubos, A. N., Kubiak, T., O'Connor, R. C., & Beutel, M. E. (2022). Study Protocol for an Ecological Momentary Assessment Study: TempRes “Temporal Variability of Risk and Resilience Factors for Suicidal Ideation”. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 877283.
- Fulgini, A. J., Chiang, J. J., & Tottenham, N. (2021). Sleep disturbance and the long-term impact of early adversity. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 126, 304-313.
- Gartland, N., O'Connor, D. B., Lawton, R., & Ferguson, E. (2014). Investigating the effects of conscientiousness on daily stress, affect and physical symptom processes: A daily diary study. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 19(2), 311-328.
- Gartland, N., Rosmalen, J.G.M., O'Connor, D.B. (2022). Effects of childhood adversity and cortisol levels on suicidal ideation and behaviour: Results from a general population study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 138, 105664.
- Gouin, J. P., Glaser, R., Malarkey, W. B., Beversdorf, D., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. (2012). Chronic stress, daily stressors, and circulating inflammatory markers. *Health Psychology*, 31(2), 264.
- Gould, F., Clarke, J., Heim, C., Harvey, P. D., Majer, M., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2012). The effects of child abuse and neglect on cognitive functioning in adulthood. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 46(4), 500-506.
- Griffiths, A. W., Wood, A. M., Maltby, J., Taylor, P. J., Panagioti, M., & Tai, S. (2015). The development of the short defeat and entrapment scale (SDES). *Psychological Assessment*, 27(4), 1182.

- Hamilton, J. L., Brindle, R. C., Alloy, L. B., & Liu, R. T. (2018). Childhood trauma and sleep among young adults with a history of depression: a daily diary study. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, *9*, 673.
- Howarth, E., O'Connor, D.B., Panagioti, M., Hodkinson, A., Wilding, S., & Johnson, J. (2020). Are stressful life events prospectively associated with increased suicidality? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *266*, 731-742.
- Hughes, M. E., Waite, L. J., Hawkey, L. C., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2004). A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: Results from two population-based studies. *Research on aging*, *26*(6), 655-672.
- Jones, C. M., Ferguson, S. G., O'Connor, D. B., & Schüz, B. (2024). Curb the Spread: Leveraging Intensive Longitudinal Data to Unravel Within- and Between-Person Processes in Adherence to Nonpharmaceutical Interventions During COVID-19. *Health Psychology*, in press.
- Kalmakis, K. A., Chiodo, L. M., Kent, N., & Meyer, J. S. (2020). Adverse childhood experiences, post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms, and self-reported stress among traditional and nontraditional college students. *Journal of American college health*, *68*(4), 411-418.
- Kleiman, E. M., Turner, B. J., Fedor, S., Beale, E. E., Huffman, J. C., & Nock, M. K. (2017). Examination of real-time fluctuations in suicidal ideation and its risk factors: Results from two ecological momentary assessment studies. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, *126*(6), 726.
- Lewis, S. J., Arseneault, L., Caspi, A., Fisher, H. L., Matthews, T., Moffitt, T. E., ... & Danese, A. (2019). The epidemiology of trauma and post-traumatic stress disorder in a representative cohort of young people in England and Wales. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, *6*(3), 247-256.
- Linehan, M. M., Goodstein, J. L., Nielsen, S. L., & Chiles, J. A. (1983). Reasons for staying alive when you are thinking of killing yourself: the reasons for living inventory. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, *51*(2), 276.
- Littlewood, D. L., Kyle, S. D., Carter, L. A., Peters, S., Pratt, D., & Gooding, P. (2019). Short sleep duration and poor sleep quality predict next-day suicidal ideation: an ecological momentary assessment study. *Psychological medicine*, *49*(3), 403-411.
- Liu, R. T., & Miller, I. (2014). Life events and suicidal ideation and behavior: A systematic review. *Clinical psychology review*, *34*(3), 181-192.
- Lovallo, W. R. (2013). Early life adversity reduces stress reactivity and enhances impulsive behavior: Implications for health behaviors. *International journal of psychophysiology*, *90*(1), 8-16.
- Marshall, B. D., Galea, S., Wood, E., & Kerr, T. (2013). Longitudinal associations between


- types of childhood trauma and suicidal behavior among substance users: a cohort study. *American journal of public health*, 103(9), e69-e75.
- McDonald, K. C., Saunders, K. E., & Geddes, J. R. (2017). Sleep problems and suicide associated with mood instability in the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey, 2007. *The Australian and New Zealand journal of psychiatry*, 51(8), 822–828.
- McManus, S., Meltzer, H., Brugha, T., Bebbington, P. E., & Jenkins, R. (2009). *Adult psychiatric morbidity in England: Results of a household survey*. Health and Social Care Information Centre.
- Mitchell, P. H., Powell, L., Blumenthal, J., Nortén, J., Ironson, G., Pitula, C. R., ... & Berkman, L. F. (2003). A short social support measure for patients recovering from myocardial infarction: the ENRICHD Social Support Inventory. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention*, 23(6), 398-403.
- Mumford, E. A., Copp, J., & MacLean, K. (2022). Childhood adversity, emotional well-being, loneliness, and optimism: a national study. *Adversity and resilience science*, 1-13.
- Murawski, B., Wade, L., Plotnikoff, R. C., Lubans, D. R., & Duncan, M. J. (2018). A systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive and behavioral interventions to improve sleep health in adults without sleep disorders. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 40, 160-169.
- Murayama, K., Usami, S., & Sakaki, M. (2022). Summary-statistics-based power analysis: A new and practical method to determine sample size for mixed-effects modeling. *Psychological Methods*.
- Nock, M. K., Holmberg, E. B., Photos, V. I., & Michel, B. D. (2007). Self injurious thoughts and behaviors interview: Development, reliability, and validity in an adolescent sample. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(3), 309–317.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. C. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- O'Connor, D.B., Branley-Bell, D., Green, J., Ferguson, E., O'Carroll, R., & O'Connor, R.C. (2024). Effects of childhood trauma on sleep quality and stress-related variables. Results from two multi-level studies. *Psychology & Health*, in press.
- O'Connor, D.B., Branley-Bell, D., Green, J., Ferguson, E., O'Carroll, R., & O'Connor, R.C. (2020). Effects of Childhood Trauma, Daily Stress and Emotions on Daily Cortisol Levels in Individuals Vulnerable to Suicide. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 129, 92-107.
- O'Connor, D. B., Branley-Bell, D., Green, J. A., Ferguson, E., O'Carroll, R. E., & O'Connor, R. C. (2021). Resilience and vulnerability factors influence the cortisol awakening response in individuals vulnerable to suicide. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 142, 312-320.
- O'Connor, D.B., & Ferguson, E. (2016). Stress and Stressors. In Y. Benyamini, M. Johnston, & E.C. Karademas (Eds.), *Assessment in health psychology* (pp. 104-118). Göttingen/Boston: Hogrefe. 978-0889374522.

- O'Connor, D.B., Green, J., Ferguson, E., O'Carroll, R.E., & O'Connor, R.C. (2018). Effects of childhood trauma on cortisol levels in suicide attempters and ideators. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 88, 9-16.
- O'Connor, D.B., Thayer, J.T., & Vedhara, K. (2021). Stress and health: A review of psychobiological processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 72, 663-688.
- O'Connor, R. C. (2018). From ideation to action: Differentiating between those who think about suicide and those who attempt suicide in a national study of young adults. *Journal of affective disorders*, 241, 475-483.
- O'Connor, R. C., & Kirtley, O. J. (2018). The integrated motivational–volitional model of suicidal behaviour. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1754), 20170268.
- O'Connor, R.C., Wetherall, K., Cleare, S., McClelland, H., Melson, A.J., Niedzwiedz, C.L., O'Carroll, R.E., O'Connor, D.B., Platt, S., Scowcroft, E., Watson, B., Zortea, T., Ferguson, E. Robb, K.A. (2021). Mental health and wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic: longitudinal analyses of adults in the UK COVID-19 Mental Health & Wellbeing study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 218, 326-333.
- Raudenbush, S.W., Bryk, A.S, Cheong, Y.F. & Congdon, R. (2019). HLM 8 for Windows [Computer software]. Skokie, IL: Scientific Software International, Inc
- Rockwood, N. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2017, May). MLmed: An SPSS macro for multilevel mediation and conditional process analysis. In *Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Association of Psychological Science (APS), Boston, MA*.
- Rogerson, O. (2019). Suicide, Childhood Trauma and Daily Stress [unpublished master's thesis]. University of Leeds.
- Rogerson, O., Baguley, T., & O'Connor, D. B. (2022). Childhood trauma and suicide: Associations between impulsivity, executive functioning, and stress. *Crisis: The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide Prevention*.
- Rogerson, O., Wilding, S., Prudenzi, A., & O'Connor, D.B. (2023). Effectiveness of stress management interventions to change cortisol levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Under review*.
- Russell, D., Peplau, L. A., & Cutrona, C. E. (1980). The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 39(3), 472.
- Saruhanjan, K., Zarski, A. C., Bauer, T., Baumeister, H., Cuijpers, P., Spiegelhalter, K., ... & Ebert, D. D. (2021). Psychological interventions to improve sleep in college students: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 30(1), e13097.
- Scheffer, J. (2002), Dealing with missing data, *Research Letters in the Information and*

Mathematical Sciences, 3, 153-160


- Scheier, M. F., Carver, C. S., & Bridges, M. W. (1994). Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 67(6), 1063.
- Simmons, J. P., Nelson, L. D., & Simonsohn, U. (2011). False-Positive Psychology: Undisclosed Flexibility in Data Collection and Analysis Allows Presenting Anything as Significant. *Psychological Science*, 22(11), 1359–1366.
- Smyth, J. M., Sliwinski, M. J., Zawadzki, M. J., Scott, S. B., Conroy, D. E., Lanza, S. T., ... & Almeida, D. M. (2018). Everyday stress response targets in the science of behavior change. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 101, 20-29.
- Stickley, A., Koyanagi, A., Ruchkin, V., & Kamio, Y. (2016). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms and suicide ideation and attempts: Findings from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2007. *Journal of affective disorders*, 189, 321–328.
- Swedo EA, Aslam MV, Dahlberg LL, et al. Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences Among U.S. Adults — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011–2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2023;72:707–715.
- Tinajero, R., Williams, P. G., Cribbet, M. R., Rau, H. K., Silver, M. A., Bride, D. L., & Suchy, Y. (2020). Reported history of childhood trauma and stress-related vulnerability: Associations with emotion regulation, executive functioning, daily hassles and pre-sleep arousal. *Stress and Health*, 36(4), 405-418.
- Tomko, R. L., Solhan, M. B., Carpenter, R. W., Brown, W. C., Jahng, S., Wood, P. K., & Trull, T. J. (2014). Measuring impulsivity in daily life: the momentary impulsivity scale. *Psychological assessment*, 26(2), 339.
- van Nierop, M., Lecei, A., Myin-Germeys, I., Collip, D., Viechtbauer, W., Jacobs, N., ... & van Winkel, R. (2018). Stress reactivity links childhood trauma exposure to an admixture of depressive, anxiety, and psychosis symptoms. *Psychiatry research*, 260, 451-457.
- Wetherall, K., Cleare, S., Eschle, S., Ferguson, E., O'Connor, D. B., O'Carroll, R. E., &

Figure 1. Effects of childhood trauma on daily stress, impulsivity, executive function and sleep outcomes




Note: 'none or minimal' < 37; 'moderate to severe' > 55 based on the cut-offs for the subscales reported by Bernstein et al. (2003).

Figure 2. Effects of childhood trauma on daily indicators of suicide risk



Note: 'none or minimal' < 37; 'moderate to severe' > 55 based on the cut-offs for the subscales reported by Bernstein et al. (2003).

Figure 3. Path diagrams showing the significant indirect effects on outcome variables through daily stress-related vulnerability factors. All diagrams represent unstandardized B and mediators are grouped for illustrative purposes only, each mediator was in a separate model.



Author statements

Author contributions: OR, DO'C and RO'C designed the study. OR led the statistical analyses with supervision from D.OC. OR and D'OC led the drafting of the manuscript. All authors contributed to further drafting, revising and approved the final manuscript for submission.

Funding: OR is the recipient of an Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) PhD. The funder had no role in the writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: None

Journal Pre-proof

Declaration of interests: The authors have no declaration of interests to declare

Journal Pre-proof

Highlights

- Childhood trauma was associated with higher daily stress and impulsivity
- Childhood trauma was related to poorer sleep quality and executive functioning
- Childhood trauma was associated with suicide risk factors
- Childhood trauma had indirect effects on suicide risk through stress-related variables

Journal Pre-proof

The effects of childhood trauma on stress-related vulnerability factors and indicators of suicide risk: an ecological momentary assessment study

Olivia Rogerson^{1*}, Rory C O'Connor² & Daryl B O'Connor^{1*}

¹School of Psychology, University of Leeds, Leeds UK

²Suicidal Behaviour Research Laboratory, Institute of Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

RUNNING HEAD: Childhood trauma and stress-related vulnerability factors

**Correspondence to:*

School of Psychology

University of Leeds, UK

e: psolpr@leeds.ac.uk / d.b.oconnor@leeds.ac.uk

Journal Pre-proof

ABSTRACT

Background: Childhood trauma is experienced by approximately one third of young people in the United Kingdom and has been shown to confer an increased risk for mental health difficulties in adulthood. Understanding the associations between these factors before negative health outcomes manifest in adulthood is imperative to help inform the development of interventions. The aims of this study were two-fold; first, to investigate the effects of childhood trauma on daily stress-related vulnerability factors over a period of 7 days and to test whether any observed relationships were moderated by protective or risk factors. Second, to explore the indirect effects of childhood trauma on reasons for living, optimism, daily suicide ideation, defeat and entrapment through the daily stress-related vulnerability factors.

Methods: 212 participants were recruited to an ecological momentary assessment study to complete three diaries per day for a 7-day period. Participants completed daily measures of stress, hassles, executive functioning, impulsivity, sleep quality (stress-related vulnerability factors) as well as measures of reasons for living, optimism, daily thoughts of suicide, defeat and entrapment. The Childhood Trauma Questionnaire was also completed at baseline.

Results: Analyses found that childhood trauma was significantly associated with higher scores on the daily stress-related vulnerability factors and positively related to each of the daily indicators of suicide risk. The study also uncovered key pathways whereby trauma had indirect effects on reasons for living, optimism, daily thoughts of suicide, defeat and entrapment through executive functioning, impulsivity, sleep quality and stress.

Limitations: The measures of executive function and sleep were self-reported and future research ought to replicate the current findings using more objective methods.

Discussion: The findings from this study highlight the complexity of childhood trauma and its damaging impacts on stress-related vulnerability factors and poorer mental health outcomes. Greater understanding of pathways by which trauma may impact later health outcomes is essential for development of interventions.

Keywords: early life adversity, suicide, executive functioning, impulsivity, sleep