Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Mojisola Olanike Kehinde, Landmark University, Nigeria

REVIEWED BY Olutosin Ademola Otekunrin, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria Yordanos Sisay Asgedom, Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lire Lemma Tirore ⊠ lemmalire@gmail.com

RECEIVED 04 December 2023 ACCEPTED 29 December 2023 PUBLISHED 24 January 2024

#### CITATION

Tirore LL, Areba AS, Habte A, Desalegn M and Kebede AS (2024) Prevalence and associated factors of severity levels of anemia among women of reproductive age in sub-Saharan Africa: a multilevel ordinal logistic regression analysis. *Front. Public Health* 11:1349174. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1349174

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tirore, Areba, Habte, Desalegn and Kebede. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Prevalence and associated factors of severity levels of anemia among women of reproductive age in sub-Saharan Africa: a multilevel ordinal logistic regression analysis

Lire Lemma Tirore<sup>1\*</sup>, Abriham Shiferaw Areba<sup>1</sup>, Aklilu Habte<sup>1</sup>, Mitiku Desalegn<sup>2</sup> and Abraham Sahilemichael Kebede<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wachemo University, Hossana, Ethiopia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesia, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wachemo University, Hossana, Ethiopia, <sup>3</sup>MSCA Fellow, School of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Brighton, Brighton, United Kingdom

**Background:** Sub-Saharan Africa is the most anemia-prone region, with several of the sub-region's countries having a substantial prevalence of the anemia among women of reproductive age. Nonetheless, no adequate study has been conducted to illustrate severity levels and associated factors of anemia among women of reproductive age. Therefore, this study presents the most recent estimates on the prevalence and severity levels of anemia and its associated factors among women of reproductive age in 21 Sub-Saharan Africa countries.

**Methods:** This study used the most recent Demographic Health Survey (DHS) datasets, which were collected in 21 sub-Saharan African countries between 2015 and 2022. A total of 171,348 women of reproductive age were included in the analysis. Multilevel (three-level) ordinal logistic regression was done to identify factors associated with severity levels of anemia.

**Results:** The pooled prevalence of anemia was 41.74%. The pooled prevalence of mild, moderate and severe anemia was 23.45, 17.05 and 1.24, respectively. Women who were living at distance to a health facility (AOR = 1.07), women living in the poorest households (AOR = 1.49), women living in the households with unimproved toilet (AOR = 1.12) and in households that were using solid cooking fuel (AOR = 1.10), pregnant women (AOR = 1.72) and those who have given birth to more than one children within 3 years (AOR = 1.43) had greater odds of higher levels of anemia as compared to their counterparts. Women who were in the age groups of 20-24 (AOR = 0.81), 25-29 (AOR = 0.78), 30-34 (AOR = 0.79), 35-39 (AOR = 0.88), and 45-49 (AOR = 0.89), women who have attended primary school (AOR = 0.50), secondary (AOR = 0.57) and higher education (AOR = 0.76) and who were living in rural area (AOR = 1.07) had lower odds of higher levels of anemia as compared to their counterparts.

**Conclusion:** Considering individual, household and community contexts is necessary while formulating and implementing anemia prevention and control policies. Adolescent women, and women who did not attend education and at a distance to a health facility should get especial attention while implementing anemia prevention and control programs.

#### KEYWORDS

severity levels, anemia, women, multilevel analysis, sub-Saharan Africa

## **1** Introduction

Anemia is a disorder when the hemoglobin (Hb) concentration, or the quantity and size of red blood cells, falls below a predetermined threshold, hence reducing the blood's ability to carry oxygen throughout the body. Anemia is a sign of poor health and inadequate nutrition (1).

Iron deficiency is the leading cause of anemia, accounting for an estimated 50% of cases in women globally, despite the disease's complex character (2). Intestinal parasitic infections, malaria, chronic illness, menstrual blood loss, gynecological and obstetric conditions, various nutritional deficiencies (particularly in folate and vitamins B12, A, and C), genetic disorders (such as sickle cell disease, thalassemia, and hereditary blood problem), loss of appetite, low dietary diversity score, poor dietary habit, household food insecurity are additional significant causes of anemia (3).

If a woman's Hb level is less than 12 g/dL for non-pregnant women and less than 11 g/dL for pregnant women, she is anemic (1). Based on Hb level, anemia is categorized as mild, moderate, or severe (4).

Its clinical manifestations and associated complications differ depending on the type of anemia and its severity (3). Anemia impairs women's health and wellbeing and raises the possibility of unfavorable consequences for mothers and newborns (2). Preterm birth, low birth weight, miscarriages, stillbirths, and other morbidities are among the risks of maternal anemia that affect both the mother and the child (5). It has contributed to over 25% of maternal deaths, newborn mortality, fetal impairment, and infant death (6, 7). Anemia impairs the learning and development of future generations of children as well as the economic productivity and development of communities and countries (5). Each individual with anemia bears an economic burden ranging from \$US29, 511 to \$US7, 092 (8).

The most difficult nutritional issue women of reproductive age facing worldwide is still anemia, and the overall burden of this condition may increase. The prevalence of anemia in women who are of reproductive age has been stable worldwide since 2000 (9). The prevalence of anemia among women of reproductive age (WRA) accounts for nearly one-third of the worldwide cases (10, 11).

In the world in 2019, anemia affected half a billion (30%) WRA and 37% of pregnant women (12). When it comes to anemia (62.3%) and severe anemia (1.8%), Africa was ranked the second. Moreover, the prevalence of anemia is rising alarmingly in Africa for both pregnant and non-pregnant women, rising from 38.9 to 48.7% and 37.7 to 41.5%, respectively (11). Almost 40% of these women reside in developing regions, including sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (10, 11). SSA is the most anemia-prone region, with several of the sub-region's countries having a substantial prevalence of the condition (13, 14). In all of WRA (38.6%) and among pregnant women (54%) in SSA, it is a moderate and severe public health problem, respectively (15).

Factors like age, education, reproductive and obstetric factors, and maternal health service usage and residency have been found to be associated with anemia in WRA (16-19).

Given that anemia affects billions of people globally and puts them at risk for a range of social, psychological, and economic crises, governmental and non-governmental organizations are closely monitoring the issue as a public health concern (5, 20, 21). Aiming to cut the prevalence of anemia in women of reproductive age by 50% by 2025 was approved by the World Health Assembly in 2012 (22). Additionally, by 2030, the global maternal mortality ratio is expected to drop to less than 70 per 100,000 live births, according to the Sustainable Development Goal. At the regional level, trustworthy and efficient data and monitoring systems would be essential to meet these goals (5). In order to develop timely interventions in the prevention and treatment of anemia, it is imperative that sufficient evidence regarding the individual and community-level factors contributing to anemia be generated. However, there are only limited studies estimating the up-to-date pooled prevalence and associated factors of the severity levels of anemia in WRA in SSA. In order to narrow this gap, the current study used the most recent data that were available from the DHS of the 21 SSA countries. Nevertheless, the present study presents the most recent estimates on the prevalence and severity levels of anemia and its associated factors, which will be useful for public health policymakers. This study used multilevel (three-level) ordinal logistic regression to identify factors associated with severity levels of anemia because the DHS data are hierarchical, in which individuals are nested within households, and households are nested within clusters (communities).

Addressing what factors contribute to anemia in SSA women of reproductive age will assist in ensuring mothers and babies have healthier pregnancy outcomes, which will benefit future generations' health, wellbeing, and potential for economic growth and community development. It is necessary to generate adequate evidence on individual and community-level factors of anemia, which is highly crucial for the development of timely interventions in anemia prevention and treatment (5).

## 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Data source and sampling procedure

This study used the most recent Demographic Health Survey (DHS) datasets, which were collected in 21 sub-Saharan African countries between 2015 and 2022. The primary goal of the nationally representative DHS survey is to enhance the gathering, processing, and sharing of population, health, and nutrition data while streamlining their application to planning, policy-making, and program administration (3). We were granted the permission from the Inner City Fund (ICF) International to access the datasets. Then individual data set was downloaded from the DHS website.<sup>1</sup> Details about the DHS are available in the DHS report of each country.

We have appended the datasets together to estimate the pooled prevalence and identify factors associated with the severity levels of anemia among WRA in sub-Saharan Africa.

Two-stage stratified sampling technique was applied. First, each region of the country was stratified into urban and rural areas. Then,

<sup>1</sup> http://dhsprogram.com

random samples of Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected from urban and rural area independently. In the second stage of selection, a fixed number of 20 to 28 households per EA were selected with an equal probability systematic selection. All WRA in the selected HH were eligible for anemia testing. Then, hemoglobin testing was carried out among voluntarily consented WRA in the selected households using HemoCue rapid testing methodology. For the test, a drop of capillary blood was taken from a women's fingertip and was drawn into the micro cuvette which was then analyzed using the photometer that displays the hemoglobin concentration. Then, anemic status was determined based on the hemoglobin level. Hb levels were adjusted for pregnancy because during pregnancy the increase in maternal blood volume and the iron needs of the fetus decrease the blood Hb level. It was also adjusted for smoking and altitude (Figure 1).

The World Health Organization (WHO) Hb cut off points for diagnosis of anemia are given in Table 1.

The individual record dataset was used in this study. The study included all WRA with anemia status data. A total of 171,348 WRA from 21 SSA countries who were tested for anemia were included in the analysis. Using the STATA software, we extracted the independent and dependent variables for every nation and then appended the data. Data are gathered for DHS surveys using four main questionnaires. Data regarding the characteristics of the household are gathered using the Household Questionnaire. The Biomarker Questionnaire gathers data on hemoglobin levels and anthropometric measurements. Women and men are interviewed using the Woman's Questionnaire and the Man's Questionnaire, respectively. The data from a DHS survey forms a hierarchy of households within a cluster and household members within each household (3).



#### 2.2 Dependent variable

Anemia is an ordinal dependent variable and is categorized as none, mild, moderate, or severe anemia based on Hb level.

#### 2.2.1 Independent variables

Independent variables were considered based on their availability in the dataset, in the literature, and their theoretical and practical significance. Due to the hierarchical nature of the DHS dataset, the independent variables were classified as individual, household, and community-level variables.

Individual-level variables were characteristics of the women, which were specific to each woman. These are age, educational status, marital status, mass media exposure, smoking cigarettes or tobacco, age at first birth, number of births in the last 3 years, and pregnancy. Household-level variables are those variables whose values are the same for all women living in the same household. These are the number of household members, wealth index, toilet facility, water source, time to water source, distance to health facility, and type of cooking fuel. Community-level variables are those variables whose values are the same for all women living in that community. These are the place of residence and region of residence.

## 2.3 Statistical analysis

The data were cleaned and analyzed using STATA version 14. The data were summarized using proportions, means, and medians. Tables and graphs were used to organize the data. Multilevel (three-level) ordinal logistic regression was done to identify factors associated with severity levels of anemia. This is because the DHS data are hierarchical, in which individuals are nested within households, and households are nested within clusters (communities).

#### 2.4 Model specification

The multilevel (three-level) ordinal logistic regression model is given as follows:

$$\log\left[\frac{Pijkc}{1-Pijkc}\right] = c - \left(xijk\beta + uij + ui\right)$$

Where:

 $P_{ijkc}$ —is accumulative probability of being at "c" category of anemia for kth individual in jth household and ith cluster.

C—is a model threshold or intercept for C-1 level of anemia, and it is a fixed parameter.

#### TABLE 1 World Health Organization (WHO) Hb cut off points for diagnosis of anemia (1).

| Hemoglobin cutoff point | Anemia category |           |          |        |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|--------|--|
|                         | No anemia       | Mild      | Moderate | Severe |  |
| For pregnant women      | >11             | 10.0-10.9 | 7.0-9.9  | <7.0   |  |
| For non-pregnant women  | >12             | 10.0-11.9 | 7.0-9.9  | <7.0   |  |

C = number of categories of anemia.

B-is a coefficient (fixed effect of explanatory variable).

 $X_{ijk}\mbox{--}is$  a covariate vector for kth individual in jth household and ith cluster.

uij—is level-2 (household) random effect.

ui —is level-3 (cluster) random effect.

Five different models were fitted.

The first model was the null model, which is a model with no independent variables. It showed the variance in anemia among women of reproductive age attributed to the household and cluster differences in the absence of the explanatory variables.

The second model was a model with individual-level variables. The third and fourth models were models with household and community-level variables, respectively. The fifth model was a model containing significant variables from the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th models.

The variance partition coefficient (VPC) and proportional change in variance (PCV) were used to quantify the random effects of households and clusters. The VPC measures the percentage of variation in the outcome (anemia) that cannot be explained by the predictor variables at each level of the model hierarchy (23). It measures the relative significance of clusters, households and individual (women) as sources of variation on anemia status. PCV measures the explained variances by the model's variables at the cluster and household levels (24).

The STATA command "meologit" was used to fit these models. Considering the complex sampling design of the survey, a sample weight was applied. The adjusted odds ratio was estimated with a 95% confidence interval. Variables with a p < 0.05 in each model were considered for the full model. The proportional odds assumption was tested using the likelihood ratio test, and the assumption was satisfied (p = 0.67).

Models were compared using Akaike information criteria (AIC), and the model with the smallest AIC was considered the best fit.

#### 2.5 Ethics approval

Permission to use the DHS data was obtained from Inner City Fund (ICF) International after registering and stating the goal of the study. During the DHS data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Committee of ORC Macro Inc.

### **3** Results

#### 3.1 Individual level characteristics of the participants

The median age of the respondents was 28.60 years (SD = 9.55 years). More than one-fifth (21.52%) were in the age group of 15–19 years, and around one-third (33.53%) have attended secondary school. The average age at first birth was 19.40 years (SD = 3.85). Majority of them (91.94%) were not pregnant, 63.24% were living with partner and 33.23% had one birth in last 3 years (Table 2).

# 3.2 Household level characteristics of the participants

More than half (54.60%) of the women were living in households with improved toilet facility and more than three-fourth of them (76.10%) were living in households with improved water source. Around one-thirds (32.74%) of the women had drinking water on premises. More than three-fourth (87.68%) of the women were living in households which uses clean cooking fuel and nearly one-fifth (20.25%) of the women were living in poorest households. The average number of household member the women were living with was 6.57 (SD = 4.23) (Table 3).

# 3.3 Community level characteristics of the participants

More than half (52.18%) of the women were from East Africa and 38.61% were from West Africa. More than half of them (62.47%) were rural residents (Table 4).

#### 3.4 Prevalence of anemia in WRA in SSA

The mean hemoglobin was 12.09 g/dL (SD = 1.731 g/dL). The pooled prevalence of anemia was 41.74%. More than one in 10 (1.24%) and 17.02% of the women were severely and moderately anemic, respectively (Figure 2).

#### 3.5 Random effects

The null model showed that more than a quarter [VPC (2+3)=27.51%] of the total variation in anemia was due to unobserved household and community level factors, and 25.20% [VPC (2)=25.20%] of the total variation in anemia was due to unobserved household level factors. The PCV<sub>3</sub> indicated that 35.236% of the variation in anemia between communities was explained by individual, household and community-level characteristics (Table 5).

# 3.6 Factors associated with anemia among WRA in SSA

Model 5 (the model adjusted for individual, household, and community-level variables) was considered a best-fit model because of the smallest AIC (321557.4) (Table 6).

As the full model showed, age, education, number of births in the last 3 years, pregnancy, toilet, distance to a health facility, cooking fuel, wealth index, place, and region of residence were significantly associated with anemia.

Those women who were in the age groups of 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, and 45-49 had 19% (AOR=0.81), 22% (AOR=0.78), 21% (AOR=0.79), 12% (AOR=0.88), and 11% (AOR=0.89) lesser odds of being at higher levels of anemia as compared to those women in the age group of 15-19 years, respectively.

| Variables                    | Category                | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age in 5-year groups         | 15-19                   | 37,055    | 21.63   |
|                              | 20-24                   | 31,222    | 18.22   |
|                              | 25-29                   | 28,558    | 16.67   |
|                              | 30-34                   | 24,384    | 14.23   |
|                              | 35-39                   | 21,193    | 12.37   |
|                              | 40-44                   | 15,997    | 9.34    |
|                              | 45-49                   | 12,939    | 7.55    |
| Women educational status     | No education            | 50,949    | 29.73   |
|                              | Primary                 | 55,034    | 32.12   |
|                              | Secondary               | 57,163    | 33.36   |
|                              | Higher                  | 8,202     | 4.79    |
| Pregnancy                    | No                      | 157,250   | 91.77   |
|                              | Yes                     | 14,098    | 8.23    |
|                              | One                     | 27,578    | 16.09   |
|                              | More than one           | 584       | 0.34    |
| Births in                    | No 103,549              | 60.43     | 60.43   |
| Last three                   | One                     | 59,619    | 34.79   |
| Years                        | More than one           | 8,180     | 4.77    |
| Age at first birth           | <18                     | 42,471    | 34.09   |
|                              | ≥18                     | 82,126    | 65.91   |
| Marital status               | Living with partner     | 107,181   | 62.55   |
|                              | Not living with partner | 64,167    | 37.45   |
| Mass media exposure          | Not at all              | 53,705    | 31.34   |
|                              | Less than once/week     | 30,934    | 18.05   |
|                              | At least once/week      | 67,540    | 39.42   |
|                              | Everyday                | 19,169    | 11.19   |
| Smoking cigarette or tobacco | No                      | 169,647   | 99.01   |
|                              | Yes                     | 1,701     | 0.99    |

TABLE 2 Frequency and percentage distribution of individual level characteristics of WRA in SSA, 2023.

Source: DHS report of each country.

Women who have attended primary school, secondary and higher education were 50% (AOR=0.50) 43% (AOR=0.57) and 24%(AOR=0.76) less likely to be at higher levels of anemia, respectively, as compared to women who have not attended education. Pregnant women were 1.72 (AOR=1.72) times more likely to be at higher levels of anemia as compared to non-pregnant women. The odds of being at higher levels of anemia were 1.12 (AOR=1.12) and 1.43 (AOR=1.43), respectively, for women who gave birth to one child and more than one child, respectively, as compared with women who gave no birth. The odds of being at higher levels of anemia were 1.07 times greater for women living in households with unimproved toilets.

Women who were living in households that were using solid cooking fuel had 10% (AOR=1.10) greater odds of being a higher level of anemia as compared to women living in households that were using clean cooking fuel. The odds of worse anemia were 49% (AOR=1.49), 31% (AOR=1.31), 25% (AOR=1.25), and 12% (AOR=1.12) higher for women who were living in the poorest, poorer, middle, and rich households, respectively, as compared to women who were living in the richest households. The odds

of being at higher levels of anemia were 1.07 (AOR=1.07) times greater for women for whom the distance to a health facility is a big problem as compared to women for whom the distance to a health facility is not a big problem. Women who were living in urban areas had 1.07 (AOR=1.07) times greater odds of being at higher levels of anemia as compared to rural women. Women who were living in West and Central Africa had 3.45 (AOR=3.45) and 3.31 (AOR=3.31) times greater odds of being at higher levels of anemia as compared to women living in East Africa (Table 6).

## 4 Discussion

The pooled prevalence of Anemia in SSA (41.74%.) is higher than the global average of 29.9%. The likelihood that a woman would have greater levels of anemia was lower in the age categories of 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39, and 45–49 than in the 15–19 age group. The results from Ethiopia (25), Nepal (26), and China (27) are consistent with this. The increased iron requirement brought on by adolescent growth may be the cause of this. Women require more iron and other

| Variables       | Category          | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| Type of toilet  | Unimproved        | 78,53     | 45.83   |
|                 | Improved          | 92,814    | 54.17   |
| Water source    | Unimproved        | 41,593    | 23.90   |
|                 | Improved          | 132,379   | 76.10   |
| Type of cooking | Clean             | 150,212   | 87.66   |
| fuel            | Solid             | 21,136    | 12.34   |
| Number of       | ≤2                | 11,710    | 6.83    |
| household       | 3 or 4            | 41,765    | 24.37   |
| members         | ≥5                | 117,873   | 68.79   |
| Wealth index    | Poorest           | 34,230    | 19.98   |
|                 | Poorer            | 31,495    | 18.38   |
|                 | Middle            | 32,864    | 19.18   |
|                 | Richer            | 34,100    | 19.90   |
|                 | Richest           | 38,659    | 22.56   |
| Time to water   | ≤30 min           | 84,509    | 49.32   |
| source          | More than 30 min  | 34,032    | 19.86   |
|                 | On premises       | 52,807    | 30.82   |
| Distance to     | Big problem       | 63,001    | 36.77   |
| health facility | Not a big problem | 108,347   | 63.23   |

TABLE 3 Frequency and percentage distribution of household level characteristics of WRA in SSA, 2023.

minerals to maintain their rapid growth during their adolescent years. Their vulnerability to anemia is a result of these (28, 29).

Compared to women who have not attended school, those who have are less likely to have greater levels of anemia. Research conducted in sub-Saharan Africa (25), Nepal (26), and Mali (30), supports this conclusion. This could be because they learned about nutrition during their school years. Women with higher levels of education are better able to access household resources that are crucial to their nutritional status, are more knowledgeable of how to use the resources at their disposal to enhance their nutritional status, and are capable of making independent decisions about nutrition. Additionally, there is proof that dietary interventions in schools might enhance students' knowledge and perspectives on anemia and how to prevent it (31). A Gambia study found no correlation between anemia and education level. The disparities in the variables taken into consideration may be the cause of this disagreement. Variables pertaining to service consumption, such as the use of iron and prenatal care, were taken into account in the Gambia study. Compared to educational status, these variables are more proximal and can explain anemia (32).

Compared to non-pregnant women, pregnant women were more likely to have greater levels of anemia. Studies conducted in the Gambia (32), Mali (30), and China (27) revealed similar results. Pregnant women may be more susceptible to anemia due to the increased iron requirements for both the mother and the fetus. Their body uses iron during pregnancy to produce extra blood, which gives their unborn child oxygen. Additionally, the volume of blood increases during pregnancy. Because more iron and vitamins are required to produce more red blood cells, this lowers hemoglobin (Hb) levels physiologically (33, 34).

Women who gave birth to one child or more within 3 years were more likely than those who gave birth to none at all to have higher

| TABLE 4 Frequency and percentage distribution of community level |
|--|
| characteristics of WRA in SSA, 2023.                             |

| Variable  | Category                 | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Region of | West Africa              | 66,152    | 38.61      |
| residence | Burkina Faso             | 8,765     | 5.12       |
|           | Benin                    | 8,011     | 4.68       |
|           | Gambia                   | 5,914     | 3.45       |
|           | Mali                     | 5,090     | 2.97       |
|           | Nigeria                  | 14,750    | 8.61       |
|           | Liberia                  | 4,065     | 2.37       |
|           | Côte d'Ivoire            | 7,085     | 4.13       |
|           | Guianea                  | 5,262     | 3.07       |
|           | Central Africa           | 12,814    | 7.48       |
|           | Cameroon                 | 6,809     | 3.97       |
|           | Gabon                    | 6,005     | 3.50       |
|           | East Africa              | 89,408    | 52.18      |
|           | Ethiopia                 | 14,489    | 8.46       |
|           | Burundi                  | 8,539     | 4.98       |
|           | Madagaskar               | 9,487     | 5.54       |
|           | Malawi                   | 7,970     | 4.65       |
|           | Ruwanda                  | 7,299     | 4.26       |
|           | Sera Leone               | 7,210     | 4.21       |
|           | Tanzania                 | 13,102    | 7.65       |
|           | Uganda                   | 6,031     | 3.52       |
|           | Zambia                   | 13,226    | 7.72       |
|           | Zimbabwe                 | 9,265     | 5.41       |
|           | South Africa             |           |            |
|           | Republic of South Africa | 2,974     | 1.74       |
| Place of  | Urban                    | 64,303    | 37.53      |
| residence | Rural                    | 107,045   | 62.47      |

The bold values show the region of the countries listed below them.

levels of anemia. Studies conducted in Ethiopia (35) and China (27) found consistent results. This could be as a result of the higher risk of blood loss associated with childbirth during every pregnancy. Additionally, there's a higher chance of prenatal and postpartum hemorrhage for them. Short birth interval women may also find it difficult to replenish iron and other micronutrients lost after delivery (36). A Gambia study found no association between anemia and the number of births within 3 years (32). Considering the number of childbirth as continues variables in study done in Gambia could explain the disparity between studies.

Women who felt that distance to a health facility was a big problem were more likely to have higher levels of anemia than women who did not think that distance was a big problem. This aligns with research results from the Gambia East Africa (37) and Gambia (32). The observed outcome may be explained by the inaccessibility of treatments for disorders that cause anemia due to distance from health facilities, as well as the inaccessibility of maternal health services including prenatal and postnatal care. It may be difficult for women who live far from the health facility to get preventive and therapeutic care.



TABLE 5 Random intercept variances of three-level mixed effects models, 2023.

| Random<br>effects        | Model<br>1 | Model<br>2 | Model<br>3 | Model<br>4 | Full<br>model |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| $\sigma^{2}(\nu 3)$      | 0.105      | 0.133      | 0.131      | 0.065      | 0.068         |
| $\sigma^{2}(\nu 2)$      | 1.144      | 1.138      | 1.160      | 1.139      | 1.585         |
| VPC <sub>(2+3)</sub> (%) | 27.51      | 26.46      | 28.18      | 26.78      | 33.44         |
| VPC <sub>(2)</sub> (%)   | 25.20      | 23.69      | 25.32      | 25.34      | 32.06         |
| VPC <sub>(3)</sub> (%)   | 2.31       | 2.76       | 2.85       | 1.44       | 1.37          |
| PCV <sub>3</sub>         | Reference  |            |            | 23.52      | 35.23         |

NB:  $\sigma_2$  ( $\nu_3$ ) and  $\sigma_2$  ( $\nu_2$ ) are community and household random intercept variances, respectively. VPC (3), variance partition coefficient of cluster, VPC (2+3), variance partition coefficient of household and cluster, VPC (2), variance partition coefficient of household, PCV<sub>3</sub>, proportional change in variance.

In comparison to women living in households with improved toilet facilities, those living in households with unimproved toilet facilities had greater odds of being at higher levels of anemia. Results from East Africa from East Africa (37), Lao (38), and SSA (25) are in line with this. This might be because women living in an unhygienic environment are at increased risk of food and waterborne diseases like hookworm and diarrheal diseases, which cause anemia. Contaminated water sources are more common in families with unimproved toilets. Gastrointestinal infections can occur as a result of drinking water tainted with bacteria, parasites, or other illnesses. These infections, especially the chronic ones, can lead to nutrient malabsorption, including iron, which is necessary to avoid anemia (39).

Compared to women living in the richest households, the odds of having worse anemia were higher for women living in the poorest, middle, richest, and poorest households, respectively. These results were also seen in studies conducted in Mali (30), East Africa (37), and SSA (25). This might be because women from households with lower wealth index cannot afford diversified and iron-rich food items, and preventive and curative health services. When they fail to afford the treatment for diseases, they might develop anemia as a complication (40, 41). Also women living in households with lower wealth index are at risk of malnutrition and infectious diseases which cause anemia (42, 43). This might be because women from households with a lower wealth index cannot afford diversified and iron-rich food items and preventive and curative health services. When they fail to afford the treatment for diseases, they might develop anemia as a complication (35, 36). Also, women living in households with a lower wealth index are at risk of malnutrition and infectious diseases that cause anemia (37, 38).

Compared to women living in households utilizing clean cooking fuel, those using solid cooking fuel had an increased chance of having a higher level of anemia. Research conducted in Ethiopia (44), Sri Lanka (45), and China (46) corroborate this. This might be the result of the pollutants in solid fuel causing systemic inflammation. Systemic inflammation reduces red blood cell formation and iron balance, which results in low serum iron levels and anemia. Also, indoor air pollution from solid fuel combustion can lead to higher levels of carbon monoxide which can inhibit the absorption of iron in the gastrointestinal tract. The inhibited iron absorption can contribute to iron-deficiency anemia (47, 48).

Rural women were less likely than urban women to have greater levels of anemia, which is in line with research from East Africa (37) and SSA (25, 49). The lower odds of anemia among rural women may be explained by their greater access to foods high in iron, such as dairy products, eggs, and leafy green vegetables, as a result of their involvement in agriculture. Rural women may have more access to fresh and locally grown products, which can contribute to a more diverse and nutritious diet, reducing the risk of anemia (50).

#### **5** Limitations

The observed finding may have been influenced by lack of data on some proximal contributors to anemia, such as dietary diversity, HIV, malaria, and parasite infection. Determining temporal relation is further challenging due to the survey's cross-sectional design.

#### 5.1 Areas for further research

Further researches considering variables like dietary diversity and infections like malaria, HIV, parasite infection, other chronic diseases is warranted.

## 6 Conclusion

Anemia is a sever public health problem among WRA in SSA with a pooled prevalence of 41.74%. The pooled prevalence of mild, moderate and severe anemia was 23.45, 17.05, and 1.24, respectively. Factors affecting anemia evolve at individual, household and community levels.

35.236% of the variation in anemia between communities was explained by individual, household and community-level characteristics. Age, education, number of births in the last 3 years, pregnancy, toilet, and distance to a health facility, cooking fuel, wealth index, place, and region of residence were significantly associated with anemia.

Considering individual, household and community contexts is necessary while formulating and implementing anemia prevention and control policies. Adolescent women, and women who did not attend education and at a distance to a health facility should get especial attention

### TABLE 6 $\,$ Factors associated with severity levels of anemia among WRA in SSA, 2023.

| Variables                    | Model 2 AOR (95%<br>CI) | Mode 3 AOR (95% CI) | Model 4 AOR (95%<br>Cl) | Model 5 AOR (95%<br>CI) (final model) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Age                          |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| 15–19                        | 1                       |                     |                         | 1                                     |
| 20-24                        | 0.83 (0.80, 0.86) *     |                     |                         | 0.81 (0.76, 0.87)*                    |
| 25-29                        | 0.76 (0.73, 0.79) *     |                     |                         | 0.78 (0.73, 0.84)*                    |
| 30-34                        | 0.76 (0.73, 0.79) *     |                     |                         | 0.79 (0.73, 0.85)*                    |
| 35-39                        | 0.84 (0.80, 0.86) *     |                     |                         | 0.88 (0.81, 0.95)*                    |
| 40-44                        | 0.90 (0.84, 0.94) *     |                     |                         | 0.96 (0.89, 1.04)                     |
| 45-49                        | 0.86 (0.84, 0.96) *     |                     |                         | 0.89 (0.81, 0.97)*                    |
| Educational status           | ·                       |                     |                         |                                       |
| No education                 | 1                       |                     |                         | 1                                     |
| Primary                      | 0.58 (0.50, 0.78)*      |                     |                         | 0.50 (0.48, 0.51)*                    |
| Secondary                    | 0.55 (0.81, 0.96)*      |                     |                         | 0.57 (0.57, 0.60)*                    |
| Higher                       | 0.56 (0.51, 0.98)*      |                     |                         | 0.76 (0.71, 0.81)*                    |
| Pregnancy                    |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| No                           |                         |                     |                         | 1                                     |
| Yes                          | 1.75 (1.62, 1.82)*      |                     |                         | 1.72 (1.63, 1.81)*                    |
| Births in last 3years        |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| No                           | 1                       |                     |                         | 1                                     |
| One                          | 1.12 (1.09, 1.15)*      |                     |                         | 1.04 (0.99, 1.09)                     |
| More than one                | 1.43 (1.35, 1.51)*      |                     |                         | 1.16 (1.07, 1.26)*                    |
| Marital status               |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| Living with partner          |                         |                     |                         | 1                                     |
| Not living with partner      | 1.04 (1.01, 1.07)*      |                     |                         | 1.19 (0.15, 1.24)                     |
| Mass media exposure          | ·                       |                     |                         |                                       |
| Not at all                   | 1.01 (0.86, 1.06)       |                     |                         |                                       |
| Less than once/week          | 1.01 (0.97, 1.07)       |                     |                         |                                       |
| At least once/week           | 1.00 (0.96, 1.05)       |                     |                         |                                       |
| Everyday                     | 1                       |                     |                         |                                       |
| Smoking cigarette or tobacco | D                       |                     |                         |                                       |
| No                           |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| Yes                          | 1.00 (0.89, 1.13)       |                     |                         |                                       |
| Type of toilet               |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| Unimproved                   |                         | 1.23 (1.02, 1.56)*  |                         | 1.07 (1.04, 1.11)*                    |
| Improved                     |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |
| Water source                 |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| Unimproved                   |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |
| Improved                     |                         | 1.03 (1.00, 1.06)*  |                         | 0.97 (0.94, 1.00)                     |
| Type of cooking fuel         |                         |                     |                         |                                       |
| Clean                        |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |
| Solid                        |                         | 1.66 (1.60, 1.72)*  |                         | 1.10 (1.05, 1.16)*                    |
| Number of household memb     | ers                     |                     |                         |                                       |
| ≤2                           |                         | 1                   |                         |                                       |
| 3-4                          |                         | 0.92 (0.87, 0.96)*  |                         | 0.90 (0.84, 1.97)                     |
| ≥5                           |                         | 1.09 (0.04, 1.14)*  |                         | 0.92 (0.86, 1.99)                     |

(Continued)

#### TABLE 6 (Continued)

| Variables                   | Model 2 AOR (95%<br>CI) | Mode 3 AOR (95% CI) | Model 4 AOR (95%<br>CI) | Model 5 AOR (95%<br>CI) (final model) |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Wealth index                |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| Poorest                     |                         | 1.94 (1.85, 2.04)*  |                         | 1.49 (1.49, 1.57)*                    |  |
| Poorer                      |                         | 1.64 (1.57, 1.72)*  |                         | 1.31 (1.25, 1.38)*                    |  |
| Middle                      |                         | 1.50 (1.44, 1.56)*  |                         | 1.25 (1.19, 1.31)*                    |  |
| Richer                      |                         | 1.28 (1.23, 1.33)*  |                         | 1.12 (1.08, 1.17)*                    |  |
| Richest                     |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |  |
| Time to water source        |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| ≤30 min                     |                         | 0.74 (0.21, 0.85)*  |                         | 0.91 (0.88, 1.95)                     |  |
| >30 min                     |                         | 0.52 (0.41, 0.79)*  |                         | 0.84 (0.80, 1.88)                     |  |
| On promises                 |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |  |
| Distance to health facility |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| Big problem                 |                         | 1.05 (1.03,1.08)*   |                         | 1.07 (1.04, 1.09)*                    |  |
| Not a big problem           |                         | 1                   |                         | 1                                     |  |
| Place of residence          |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| Urban                       |                         |                     | 1.18 (1.15, 1.21)*      | 1.07 (1.03, 1.12)*                    |  |
| Rural                       |                         |                     | 1                       | 1                                     |  |
| Region of residence         |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| West Africa                 |                         |                     | 3.89 (3.78, 3.99)*      | 3.45 (3.34, 3.56)*                    |  |
| Central Africa              |                         |                     | 3.71 (3.53,3.90)*       | 3.31 (3.14, 3.48)*                    |  |
| South Africa                |                         |                     | 1.09 (0.99, 1.21)       | 1.03 (0.93, 1.14)                     |  |
| East Africa                 |                         |                     | 1                       | 1                                     |  |
| Model fit statistics        |                         |                     |                         |                                       |  |
| AIC                         | 330918.9                | 332028.1            | 323089.7                | 321557.4                              |  |

\*p < 0.05.

while implementing anemia prevention and control programs. Women empowerment in education and economically should be integrated in anemia prevention and control programs. Improving access to health facility and clean cooking fuel should be considered as anemia prevention strategy. Environmental hygiene and Improving sanitation facilities can help reduce the prevalence of anemia among women living in households with unimproved toilets. Promoting cleaner cooking technologies and raising awareness about the health risks associated with the use of solid cooking fuels should be considered. Sustainable solutions that consider cultural practices, economic realities, and access to alternative technologies are crucial for addressing the complex factors contributing to anemia in these contexts.

# Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: http://dhsprogram.com.

# **Author contributions**

LT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AA: Conceptualization,

Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AH: Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. MD: Software, Writing – review & editing. AK: Software, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Inner City Fund (ICF) International for allowing the access to the datasets.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. World Health Organization. *Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and assessment of severity.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2011).

2. Stevens G, Finucane M, De-Regil L, Paciorek C, Flaxman S, Branca F, et al. Nutrition impact model study G: global, regional, and national trends in haemoglobin concentration and prevalence of total and severe anaemia in children and pregnant and non-pregnant women for 1995-2011: a systematic analysis of population-representative data. *Lancet Glob Health.* (2013) 1:e16–25. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70001-9

3. Clark SF. Iron deficiency anemia. Nutr Clin Pract. (2008) 23:128-41. doi: 10.1177/0884533608314536

4. Vitamin W. *Mineral nutrition information system*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2011).

5. World Health Organization. *Global nutrition targets 2025: anaemia policy brief.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2014).

6. Balarajan Y, Ramakrishnan U, Özaltin E, Shankar AH, Subramanian S. Anaemia in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet*. (2011) 378:2123–35. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62304-5

7. Banhidy F, Acs N, Puho EH, Czeizel AE. Iron deficiency anemia: pregnancy outcomes with or without iron supplementation. *Nutrition*. (2011) 27:65–72. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2009.12.005

8. Ershler WB, Chen K, Reyes EB, Dubois R. Economic burden of patients with anemia in selected diseases. *Value Health.* (2005) 8:629–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2005.00058.x

 Safiri S, Kolahi A-A, Noori M, Nejadghaderi SA, Karamzad N, Bragazzi NL, et al. Burden of anemia and its underlying causes in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: results from the global burden of disease study 2019. *J Hematol Oncol*. (2021) 14:1–16. doi: 10.1186/s13045-021-01202-2

10. Hakizimana D, Nisingizwe MP, Logan J, Wong R. Identifying risk factors of anemia among women of reproductive age in Rwanda–a cross-sectional study using secondary data from the Rwanda demographic and health survey 2014/2015. *BMC Public Health.* (2019) 19:1662. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-8019-z

11. WHO. The global prevalence of anaemia in 2011. (2015).

12. Beghé C, Wilson A, Ershler WB. Prevalence and outcomes of anemia in geriatrics: a systematic review of the literature. *Am J Med.* (2004) 116:3–10. doi: 10.1016/j. amjmed.2003.12.009

13. McLean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D, De Benoist B. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia, WHO vitamin and mineral nutrition information system, 1993–2005. *Public Health Nutr.* (2009) 12:444–54. doi: 10.1017/S1368980008002401

14. Kassebaum NJ, Collaborators GA. The global burden of anemia. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* (2016) 30:247–308. doi: 10.1016/j.hoc.2015.11.002

15. World Bank. World development report 2019: the changing nature of work. Washington D.C., United States: The World Bank (2018).

16. Ali SA, Khan US, Feroz AS. Prevalence and determinants of anemia among women of reproductive age in developing countries. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.* (2020) 30:177–86. doi: 10.29271/jcpsp.2020.02.177

17. Arinda IK, Sserwanja Q, Kamara K, Mukunya D, Agnes N, Edirisa Juniour N, et al. Anemia and associated factors among lactating women in Sierra Leone: an analysis of the Sierra Leone demographic and health survey 2019. *Nutr Metab Insights*. (2022) 15:117863882211057. doi: 10.1177/11786388221105732

18. Siddiqui MZ, Goli S, Reja T, Doshi R, Chakravorty S, Tiwari C, et al. Prevalence of anemia and its determinants among pregnant, lactating, and nonpregnant nonlactating women in India. SAGE Open. (2017) 7:215824401772555. doi: 10.1177/2158244017725555

19. Gautam S, Min H, Kim H, Jeong H-S. Determining factors for the prevalence of anemia in women of reproductive age in Nepal: evidence from recent national survey data. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14:e0218288. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218288

20. Assembly G. Resolution adopted by the general assembly. *Agenda*. (2002) 21:11. Available at: https://research.un.org/en/docs/ga/quick/regular/56

21. Kinyoki D, Osgood-Zimmerman AE, Bhattacharjee NV, Kassebaum NJ, Hay SI. Anemia prevalence in women of reproductive age in low-and middle-income countries between 2000 and 2018. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:1761–82. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01498-0

22. World Health Organization. *Comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and young child nutrition.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2014).

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

23. Leckie G. Module 11: three-level multilevel models Stata practical. University of Bristol, UK: Centre for Multilevel Modelling (2013).

24. Merlo J, Chaix B, Ohlsson H, Beckman A, Johnell K, Hjerpe P, et al. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: using measures of clustering in multilevel logistic regression to investigate contextual phenomena. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* (2006) 60:290–7. doi: 10.1136/jech.2004.029454

25. Worku MG, Alamneh TS, Teshale AB, Yeshaw Y, Alem AZ, Ayalew HG, et al. Multilevel analysis of determinants of anemia among young women (15-24) in sub-Sahara Africa. *PLoS One*. (2022) 17:e0268129. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0268129

26. Sunuwar DR, Singh DR, Adhikari B, Shrestha S, Pradhan PMS. Factors affecting anaemia among women of reproductive age in Nepal: a multilevel and spatial analysis. *BMJ Open*. (2021) 11:e041982. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041982

27. Wu Y, Ye H, Liu J, Ma Q, Yuan Y, Pang Q, et al. Prevalence of anemia and sociodemographic characteristics among pregnant and non-pregnant women in Southwest China: a longitudinal observational study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2020) 20:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12884-020-03222-1

28. Initiative MInternational Nutrition Foundation (MI/INF). *Preventing Iron deficiency in women and children: technical consensus on key issues.* Boston: MI/INF (1999).

29. De Benoist B, Cogswell M, Egli I, McLean E. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia 1993–2005; WHO global database of anaemia. (2008). Available at: https://stacks.cdc. gov/view/cdc/5351

30. Armah-Ansah EK. Determinants of anemia among women of childbearing age: analysis of the 2018 Mali demographic and health survey. *Arch Public Health.* (2023) 81:10. doi: 10.1186/s13690-023-01023-4

31. Salam SS, Ramadurg U, Charantimath U, Katageri G, Gillespie B, Mhetri J, et al. Impact of a school-based nutrition educational intervention on knowledge related to iron deficiency anaemia in rural Karnataka, India: a mixed methods pre–post interventional study. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol.* (2023) 130:113–23. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17619

32. Shitu K, Terefe B. Anaemia and its determinants among reproductive age women (15–49 years) in the Gambia: a multi-level analysis of 2019–20 Gambian demographic and health survey data. *Arch Public Health.* (2022) 80:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13690-022-00985-1

33. James AH. Iron deficiency anemia in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. (2021) 138:663–74. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000004559

34. Anemia in Pregnancy. ACOG practice bulletin, number 233. Obstet Gynecol. (2021) 138:e55-64. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000004477

35. Deriba BS, Bala ET, Bulto GA, Geleta TA, Ayalew AF, Gebru AA, et al. Determinants of Anemia among pregnant women at public hospitals in west Shewa, Central Ethiopia: a case-control study. *Anemia*. (2020) 2020:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2020/2865734

36. Al-Farsi YM, Brooks DR, Werler MM, Cabral HJ, Al-Shafei MA, Wallenburg HC. Effect of high parity on occurrence of anemia in pregnancy: a cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2011) 11:1–7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-11-7

37. Teshale AB, Tesema GA, Worku MG, Yeshaw Y, Tessema ZT. Anemia and its associated factors among women of reproductive age in eastern Africa: a multilevel mixed-effects generalized linear model. *PLoS One*. (2020) 15:e0238957. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238957

38. Keokenchanh S, Kounnavong S, Tokinobu A, Midorikawa K, Ikeda W, Morita A, et al. Prevalence of anemia and its associate factors among women of reproductive age in Lao PDR: evidence from a nationally representative survey. *Anemia*. (2021) 2021:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2021/8823030

39. Yesuf DA, Abdissa LT, Gerbi EA, Tola EK. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at public health facilities in Lalo Kile district, Oromia, Western Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. (2019) 12:1–6. doi: 10.1186/s13104-019-4781-3

40. Owais A, Merritt C, Lee C, Bhutta ZA. Anemia among women of reproductive age: an overview of global burden, trends, determinants, and drivers of progress in low-and middle-income countries. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:2745. doi: 10.3390/nu13082745

41. Mankelkl G, Kinfe B. Sociodemographic factors associated with anemia among reproductive age women in Mali; evidenced by Mali malaria indicator survey 2021: spatial and multilevel mixed effect model analysis. *BMC Womens Health.* (2023) 23:291. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02351-x

42. Ord J, Monks A. Food poverty and youth work-a community response. Crit Soc Policy. (2022) 42:64–84. doi: 10.1177/0261018321996534

43. Jnawali K, Tyshenko MG, Oraby T. Mitigating the externality of diseases of poverty through health aid. *R Soc Open Sci.* (2021) 8:211450. doi: 10.1098/rsos.211450

44. Kanno GG, Geremew T, Diro T, Musarapasi SV, Wyk RV, Seboka BT, et al. The link between indoor air pollution from cooking fuels and anemia status among non-pregnant women of reproductive age in Ethiopia. *SAGE Open Med.* (2022) 10:205031212211074. doi: 10.1177/20503121221107466

45. He Y, Liu X, Zheng Y, Zhai Z, Wu X, Kang N, et al. Lower socioeconomic status strengthens the effect of cooking fuel use on anemia risk and anemia-related parameters: findings from the Henan rural cohort. *Sci Total Environ*. (2022) 831:154958. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154958

46. Pathirathna ML, Samarasekara BPP, Mendis C, Dematawewa CMB, Sekijima K, Sadakata M, et al. Is biomass fuel smoke exposure associated with anemia in non-

pregnant reproductive-aged women? PLoS One. (2022) 17:e0272641. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0272641

47. Zou Y-Y, Yuan Y, Kan EM, Lu J, Ling E-A. Combustion smoke-induced inflammation in the olfactory bulb of adult rats. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2014) 11:1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12974-014-0176-5

48. Blumenthal I. Carbon monoxide poisoning. J R Soc Med. (2001) 94:270–2. doi: 10.1177/014107680109400604

49. Moschovis PP, Wiens MO, Arlington L, Antsygina O, Hayden D, Dzik W, et al. Individual, maternal and household risk factors for anaemia among young children in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8:e019654. doi: 10.1136/ bmjopen-2017-019654

50. Pandey VL, Dev SM, Jayachandran U. Impact of agricultural interventions on the nutritional status in South Asia: a review. *Food Policy*. (2016) 62:28–40. doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2016.05.002