Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for

Biotechnology Advances

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JBA-D-18-00468

Title: Metabolic engineering for the optimization of hydrogen production in Escherichia coli: A review

Article Type: Review Article

Keywords: hydrogen, Escherichia coli, glycerol, glucose, metabolic engineering, hydrogenases.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Antonio Valle, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Cadiz

First Author: Antonio Valle, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Antonio Valle, Ph.D.; Domingo Cantero, Professor; Jorge Bolívar, Asistant Professor

Abstract: Hydrogen is a potential sustainable energy source and it could become an alternative to fossil fuel combustion, thus helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The biological production of hydrogen, instead of its chemical synthesis, is a promising possibility since this process requires less energy and is more sustainable and eco-friendly. Several microorganisms have been used for this purpose, but Escherichia coli is the most widely used in this field. The literature in this area has increased exponentially in the last 10 years and several strategies have been reported in an effort to improve hydrogen production. These strategies include heterologous gene expression, adaptive evolution and metabolic and protein engineering. On the other hand, culture conditions, including the use of carbon sources such as glycerol, a waste product from the biodiesel industry, have also been considered. This review includes a discussion of the hydrogenase complexes responsible for the hydrogen synthesis in E. coli and the central carbon metabolism pathways connected to this process. The different strategies applied to obtain engineered strains in which the carbon and electron fluxes are redirected towards hydrogen synthesis are also discussed. Yields and productivities of the most relevant engineered strains reported using several carbon sources are also compared.

Suggested Reviewers: Karen Trchounian Ph. D. Postdoc Researcher, Biophysics, Yerevan State University K.Trchounian@ysu.am He is an expertise in physiological characterization of E. coli enzymesinvolve in the synthesis of hydrogen with glycerol and glucose

Collin Webb Ph. D. Professor, Chemical Engineering, University of Manchester colin.webb@manchester.ac.uk

He is an expertise in bioprocess using raw material from crops and byproductsfrom industry. He is an eminence in this field, hundreds ofpublished articles, ten of plenary lecturers, books, etc.. Ramon González Ph. D. Professor, Chemical and biomolecular engineering, University of Rice ramon.gonzalez@rice.edu He leads the Laboratory for Metabolic Engineering and Biomanufacturing with the goal of engineering biological platforms for the synthesis of organic molecules with applications in fuel, chemical and pharmaceutical production. Alfredo Martínez Ph. D. Professor, Cellular engineering and biocatalysis, Autonomous National University of México (UNAM) alfredo@ibt.unam.mx He leads studies in metabolic engineering en Escherichia coli for the production of ethanol as biofuel by using wastes from agro-industry. Antonio Villaverde Ph. D. Professor, Institute of Biotechnology and Biomedicine (IBB), Autonomous University of Barcelona antonio.villaverde@uab.cat He is an expertise in metabolic engineering and microbial biotechnology. He is the editor in chief of journal Microbial cell factories. Luis Manuel Rosales-Colunga Ph. D. Assistant Professor, Biotechnology and agrofood industry, Autonomous university of San Luis Potosi luis.rosales@uaslp.mx He has focused in the field of hydrogen production in Escherichia coli. Nicholas J.W. Rattray Ph. D. Associate research scientist, Environmental Health Sciences, Yale University nicholas.rattray@yale.edu He is a bioanalytical chemist and he has experience on metabolomics to inform and influce th emetabolic engineering of microbial/mould based scaffolds for new valuable chemicals. Thomas K. Wood Ph. D. Professor, Chemical Engineering, Pennsylvania State University tuw14@psu.edu He is an expertise in metabolic engineering in Escherichia coli to improve hydrogen production.

Department of Biomedicine, Biotechnology and Public Health **Biochemistry** Faculty of Science

Torre Sur, Planta 1ª Campus Univ. Rio San Pedro 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz) Tel. 956012791.

30th, October 2018

Dear Editor Biotechnology advances

Please, find enclosed the manuscript entitled "**Metabolic engineering for the optimization of hydrogen production in Escherichia coli: A review"**. The aim of this review is to study the mechanisms of hydrogen synthesis by *Escherichia coli* and the state of the art of the metabolic engineering strategies used to improve hydrogen yield and productivity in this microorganism. These strategies are summarized and illustrated in a metabolic diagram.

The authors of this work are: Antonio Valle, Domingo Cantero and Jorge Bolívar and all of them declare no financial or commercial conflict of interest and we proposed Jorge Bolívar Pérez and Antonio Valle Gallardo as corresponding authors for this paper. This is an original work and it has not been submitted previously to any journal.

Jorge Bolivar & Antonio Valle

Department of Biomedicine, Biotechnology and Public Health Biochemistry Faculty of Science

Torre Sur, Planta 1ª Campus Univ. Rio San Pedro 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz) Tel. 956012791.

30th, October 2018

Dear Editor Biotechnology advances

The authors of this work are: Antonio Valle, Domingo Cantero and Jorge Bolívar and all of them declare no conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or private/public organizations.

Jorge Bolivar & Antonio Valle

Metabolic engineering for the optimization of hydrogen production in

```
2 Escherichia coli: A review
```

```
Antonio Valle<sup>1a*</sup>, Domingo Cantero<sup>2a</sup> and Jorge Bolívar<sup>1b*</sup>
4
```

```
<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedicine, Biotechnology and Public Health-Biochemistry and
7 Molecular Biology.
```
- ²Department of Chemical Engineering and Food Technologies.
- ^a Instituto Universitario de Investigación Vitivinícola y Agroalimentario (IVAGRO),
- 10 ^bInstituto Universitario de Investigación de Biomoléculas (INBIO)
- Faculty of Sciences, University of Cádiz, 11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain.

- 13 Corresponding to: email: antonio.valle@uca.es and jorge.bolivar@uca.es
- Phone: +34 956 012820 // 2045
- Fax +34 956016411
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Abstract

 Hydrogen is a potential sustainable energy source and it could become an alternative to fossil fuel combustion, thus helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The biological production of hydrogen, instead of its chemical synthesis, is a promising possibility since this process requires less energy and is more sustainable and eco-friendly. Several microorganisms have been used for this purpose, but *Escherichia coli* is the most widely used in this field. The literature in this area has increased exponentially in the last 10 years and several strategies have been reported in an effort to improve hydrogen production. These strategies include heterologous gene expression, adaptive evolution and metabolic and protein engineering. On the other hand, culture conditions, including the use of carbon sources such as glycerol, a waste product from the biodiesel industry, have also been considered. This review includes a discussion of the hydrogenase complexes responsible for the hydrogen synthesis in *E. coli* and the central carbon metabolism pathways connected to this process. The different strategies applied to obtain engineered strains in which the carbon and electron fluxes are redirected towards hydrogen synthesis are also discussed. Yields and productivities of the most relevant engineered strains reported using several carbon sources are also compared.

 Keywords: hydrogen, *Escherichia coli*, glycerol, glucose, metabolic engineering, hydrogenases.

-
-
-
-

1. Introduction

 Global warming is caused by greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuel combustion (Montzka et al., 2011) and this has been the primary source to satisfy the world's energy demand (Davila-Vazquez et al., 2008). The scientific community agree that it is necessary to mitigate the depletion of fossil fuel resources and to protect the environment against their negative effects by exploring new renewable and environmentally friendly energy sources that could replace fossil fuels (Dharmadi et al., 2006).

 Of all of the renewable energy sources, which include ethanol or algal diesel, hydrogen (H₂) is an interesting alternative to be explored since it has several advantages when compared to fossil fuels. These advantages include the following: (i) molecular hydrogen has a higher energy bond by a factor of around 3 when compared to carbon fuels (Hallenbeck and Ghosh, 2009; Momirlan and Veziroglu, 2005; Mudhoo et al., 67 2011), (ii) nontoxic by-products are produced by breaking the H_2 covalent bond, (iii) hydrogen is a renewable, efficient and clean energy source (Hansel and Lindblad, 1998) and (iv) it is utilized for fuel cells in portable electronics, power plants and internal combustion engines (Dunn, 2002).

71 However, H_2 is currently considered as a clean energy carrier rather than an energy 72 source because it does not come from natural sources. Indeed, H_2 is produced mainly by the electrolysis of water (Armaroli and Balzani, 2011), by the water-gas shift reaction (Yi and Harrison, 2005), and as a by-product from petroleum refining or chemical 75 production (Das and Veziroglu, 2001; Westermann et al., 2007). These methods for H_2 production are neither ecological nor environmentally friendly because they require 77 high energy input to generate H_2 gas (Das and Veziroglu, 2001). The commercial 78 production of H_2 by electrolysis of water achieves an efficiency of 75%; however, the

 cost of this process is currently several times higher than that of hydrogen produced from fossil fuels (Ewan and Allen, 2005). Moreover, most of the production depends on fossil resources and this is clearly unsustainable (Edwards et al., 2007). It is therefore 82 necessary to improve this technology, not only by the development of H_2 storage and distribution, but also through an efficient and sustainable production method. In this respect, over the last few years the European Commission has developed several activities through the technological initiative 'Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking' (FCH JU) under Horizon 2020 ((FCH)). This program is a unique public- private partnership that supports research, technological development and 88 demonstration activities in fuel cell and H_2 energy technologies in Europe. Given this 89 background, biological H_2 or biohydrogen production is a feasible alternative when compared to the commonly used technologies outlined above. The biological approach has significant advantages over chemical methods since it could be performed at 92 relatively low temperatures $(25-37 \text{ °C})$, at atmospheric pressure and at relatively high rates (Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015). Efficient separation techniques from bioreactor headspaces have also been developed (Fan, L.S. et al., 2009). The biological 95 production of H_2 can be classified into three major categories: (1) biophotolysis of water using algae and cyanobacteria, (2) photofermentation of organic compounds by photosynthetic bacteria and (3) dark fermentative production by heterotrophic 98 microorganisms (Hallenbeck, 2005). The theoretical yields of H_2 from dark fermentations depend on the type of organism used in the fermentation (Mathews and Wang, 2009) but in general it is more efficient than photosynthetic processes (Horizonte 2020 Comisión Europea).

103 Of all the H₂-producing microorganisms described in the literature, the bacterium *Escherichia coli* is one of the most widely used for anaerobic fermentation due to its well-known metabolism and also because it is relatively easy to rewire its metabolic pathways through genetic engineering techniques (Atsumi and Liao, 2008; Blankschien et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2012; Clomburg and Gonzalez, 2010; Dharmadi et al., 2006; Ganesh et al., 2012; Joung et al., 2011; Maeda, Toshinari et al., 2008; Nakamura and Whited, 2003; Trinh and Srienc, 2009; Valle et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2010). The interest in this field is currently increasing, as evidenced by the exponential growth in publications since 2000. For instance, a search in SCOPUS using the terms 'hydrogen' (physics, chemistry, environmental engineering, biochemistry, technology, etc.), 'hydrogen and *Escherichia coli*' and 'hydrogen and *Escherichia coli* and engineering' indicates an exponential growth in the last 18 years (Fig. 1). It noteworthy that the growth rates of publications with the terms 'hydrogen' versus 'hydrogen and *Escherichia coli*' have been very similar in the last ten years, 117 although the number of publications related to engineering and *E. coli* to improve H₂ production has increased significantly since 2000, which reflects the growing number of researchers interested in this topic and probably indicates a change in the paradigm of biofuel production in the near future.

 In anaerobic fermentative hydrogen production (FHP), numerous carbon sources such as glycerol, glucose, formate, sucrose, lactose, galactose, arabinose, fructose, gluconate, maltose, mannitol, sorbitol, trehalose, and xylose can be used (Goyal et al., 2013; Rosales-Colunga and De León Rodríguez, 2015; Trchounian, 2015; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015). Nevertheless, in order to make H² production by *E. coli* economically viable on a large-scale, organic substrates from agricultural, chemical, food or by-product wastes should be used (Trchounian, 2015). In this regard, glycerol

 has become an abundant and inexpensive carbon source because it is generated as a by- product in the biodiesel fuel industry (Sarma et al., 2012). This compound has been widely used in the generation of H² by *E. coli* and other bacteria (Cofré et al., 2016; Dharmadi et al., 2006; Hu and Wood, 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Sarma et al., 2012; Tran et al., 2015; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015; Valle et al., 2015a). Several advances have been made in studies that address the possibility of improving yields and productivities by using glycerol (Akhtar and Jones, 2008; Cofré et al., 2016; Henstra et al., 2007; Hu and Wood, 2010; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015; Valle et al., 2015a; Valle et al., 2017), glucose (Maeda et al., 2007a; Seol et al., 2014; Seol et al., 2016; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014b; Yoshida et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2011) or even both together in the same culture medium (Trchounian et al., 2011; Trchounian et al., 2014; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2013) as the main carbon sources. Glycerol is a highly reduced carbon source compared to sugars and it therefore offers an effective 141 opportunity to obtain H_2 and other reduced products by bacteria (Ganesh et al., 2012; Khanna et al., 2012). The energy content of pure glycerol is 19.0 MJ/kg, although it is only 25.30 MJ/kg for crude glycerol, probably due to the presence of methanol and traces of biodiesel (Strathclyde). Such a high energy content in crude glycerol indicates 145 its great potential to be an effective substrate for H_2 production.

147 The margin for increasing the efficiency of H_2 production is potentially high since, from 148 a microbial evolutionary perspective, the low biological H_2 yields in the natural strains can be attributed to the fact that microorganisms capable of FHP have developed their 150 metabolic pathways preferentially for cell growth rather than for H_2 synthesis. Therefore, the yields and productivities can be improved significantly by metabolic engineering, which eventually will depend on the carbon source used in the

153 fermentation. For this reason, some efforts have been focused on obtaining H_2 from glycerol (Cai et al., 2011; Davila-Vazquez et al., 2008; Rosales-Colunga and De León Rodríguez, 2015). In this review, we describe the state of the art for the strategies used to enhance H2 production in *E. coli* by using glycerol as the main carbon source and the results are compared with those obtained on using glucose.

2. Biosynthesis of H² in *E. coli*

2.1 Fermentation of glycerol or glucose: from carbon source to formate

 162 H₂ is formed during the anaerobic mixed-acid fermentation in which pyruvate (PYR), the final product of glycolysis, is converted into formate and acetyl-CoA, the precursors 164 of $CO_2 + H_2$ and ethanol, respectively (Dharmadi et al., 2006). Fermentation of glycerol starts with its conversion to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) in a process that is mediated by a two-branch pathway: the oxidative branch by glycerol dehydrogenase (GldA) and dihydroxyacetone kinase enzymes (DhaKLM), and the reductive branch by glycerol kinase (GlpK) and glycerol 3-P dehydrogenase (GlpABC) enzymes. DHAP can be metabolized in the glycolysis pathway to PYR, although a unique characteristic of glycerol metabolism is that the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) into PYR is 171 coupled to DHA phosphorylation. In this process NAD⁺ is reduced, in the assimilation of glycerol and in the synthesis of 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG) (Cintolesi et al., 2012), to yield 1 mol PYR per mol glycerol (Fig. 2). In the case of glucose 174 fermentation, PYR is generated from glycolysis and NAD⁺ is also reduced in the same step (conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to 1,3-BPG), but the fermentation yield is 2 mol PYR per mol glucose, i.e., double that obtained with glycerol (Fig. 2). Independently of the substrate for FHP, the synthesis of formate from PYR is essential 178 for the production of H_2 , which is formed concomitantly with Acetyl-CoA by pyruvate

 formate lyase (PFL) in microaerobic and anaerobic conditions. Acetyl-CoA is then reduced to ethanol to allow the NADH oxidation for glycolysis maintenance. PEP and PYR are also the precursors for the synthesis of other fermentative end-products such as succinate, acetate, acetolactate, lactate (Sawers and Clark, 2004), 1,2-propanediol (PDO) (Murarka et al., 2008) and even butyric acid under certain conditions (Lugg et al., 2008) (Fig. 2).

2.2 From formate to H2: FHL systems and hydrogenases

 One of the key elements in the strategy for improving H² production in *E. coli* is to understand how the Formate Hydrogen Lyase (FHL) systems work. These multi-protein complexes operate strictly under anaerobic conditions and they are not only able to 191 synthesize H_2 and CO_2 in a 1:1 molar ratio by decomposition of formate, but they are 192 also involved in H₂ recycling $(H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + e^-)$. This is not the only way for the cell to 193 produce H⁺ as it can also be formed in the oxidation of NADH (NADH \rightarrow NAD⁺ H⁺), 194 which is produced during glycolysis (Das and Veziroğlu, 2001) and influences the way in which FHL works.

 H_2 synthesis is a process that is highly dependent on several factors such as pH_{out}, 198 carbon source, temperature, redox potential (E_h) , presence of exogenous electron 199 acceptors, ratios of end products and H_2 concentration (Trchounian, 2015; Trchounian, 2012). These factors probably determine which FHL is more active. FHL consists of two enzyme complexes – formate dehydrogenase H (Fdh-H) and [Ni-Fe] hydrogenase enzymes (Hyd) – in *E. coli* (Bagramyan and Trchounian, 2003; Sawers, 2005) and 203 other facultative anaerobe microorganisms (Sinha et al., 2015). The study of H_2 production has led to the discovery of multiple and reversible Hyd (Hyd-1, Hyd-2, Hyd-3 and Hyd-4) enzymes. FHL-1 is composed of Hyd-3 complex encoded by the

 hycABCDEFGHI operon, which is upregulated by an FhlA protein codified by the *fhl* gene (Self and Shanmugam, 2000). This complex is well characterized and is the main H2-producing system during glycerol and glucose fermentation at acidic pH and it has been widely described and reviewed by Trchounian's group (Trchounian, 2015; Trchounian et al., 2012; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015). The FHL-2 system is 211 composed of Hyd-4 and Fdh-H and it is associated with ATP_{ase}. This is the least known 212 of all the FHL and hydrogenase enzymes but it is also able to produce H_2 in both glucose- and glycerol-based media (Bagramyan et al., 2002; Mnatsakanyan et al., 2004; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014b), although there is some controversy in this regard (Noguchi et al., 2010; Self et al., 2003; Skibinski et al., 2002) and its physiological role is not completely clear (Poladyan and Trchounian, 2009; Trchounian et al., 2012) (Fig. 2).

219 The other two hydrogenases, Hyd-1 and Hyd-2, play a minor role in H_2 synthesis and 220 they are probably more related to the recycling of H_2 , which again depends on pH, 221 carbon source and H_2 concentration (Pinske et al., 2012), thus contributing to the reduced quinone pool (Trchounian et al., 2012). Hyd-1 is encoded by the *hya* operon and, despite the fact that it is not essential for anaerobic growth, it is needed to respond to the $[pH]_{out}$ shift from alkaline to acidic (Brøndsted and Atlung, 1994) and affects H^+ 225 translocation across the membrane. Since the proton motive force (Δp) changes during a 226 [pH]_{out} shift, it has been suggested that Hyd-1 is required to maintain Δp in an energy- conserving manner (Trchounian, 2015). Hyd-2 is encoded by the *hyb* operon, the expression is higher in alkaline media (Brøndsted and Atlung, 1994) and it is thought to 229 operate as an oxidizing H_2 enzyme under glycerol and glucose fermentation at acidic 230 pH. However, it is involved in H_2 production under glycerol fermentation at neutral and 231 slightly alkaline pH (Trchounian and Trchounian, 2009). Several pieces of evidence 232 suggest that either Hyd-1 or Hyd-2 operate preferentially under different conditions 233 (Trchounian, 2015).

234

235 The Hyd enzymes therefore appear to play important roles in increasing the fitness of 236 the bacteria to survive in different environments (Trchounian et al., 2012) (Fig. 2). The 237 physiological role of H_2 production mediated by Hyd enzymes seems to involve the 238 discharge of reducing power excess when other suitable electron acceptors, such as O_2 , 239 are absent and for this reason H_2 -producing Hyd enzymes are rapidly inhibited by O_2 240 (Laurinavichene et al., 2001; Lukey et al., 2010; Trchounian et al., 1998). It is 241 interesting to consider the relationship between H_2 and the H^+ cycle, since Hyd enzymes 242 have H^+ translocation activity and they interact with the F_0F_1 -ATP_{ase}, which is also 243 involved in the H⁺ cycle (Rossmann et al., 1991). FHL-2 function requires catalytically 244 competent F_0F_1 -ATP_{ase} and it is associated with K^+ uptake via the ATP-dependent TrkA 245 transporter. In this hydrogenase the uptake of K^+ is essential for H_2 synthesis, since the 246 mutation of the TrkA protein blocked the synthesis at $[pH]_{out}$ 7.5 on using glucose as the 247 carbon source (Trchounian, 2015). This is a key mechanism in the generation of Δp and 248 the regulation of [pH]_{in}. In summary, Hyd-2 could be considered as a reversible enzyme 249 that can contribute to H_2 evolution more significantly in the absence of Hyd-3 and Hyd-250 1. On the other hand, the oxidizing activity Hyd-1 and Hyd-2 depends on the presence 251 of an active F_0F_1 -ATP synthase during growth at pH 7.5 and 5.5 with glucose or 252 glycerol fermentation. There is an inverse correlation between hydrogenase-1 and -2 253 activity at pH (5.5 and 7.5) during fermentative growth on glucose and a direct 254 correlation between hydrogenase activity at pH (5.5 and 7.5) during glycerol 255 fermentation. Since the H⁺ gradient is essential to ATP and H_2 synthesis, it seems that both Hyd-1 and -2 play an important role in energy conservation in fermenting *E. coli* cells (Trchounian et al., 2011). Nonetheless, further studies are required to elucidate the physiological relationship between the different hydrogenases and their interaction with these factors (i.e., pH and carbon source) within the bacterial membrane. This research might help to provide a new perspective in the bioenergetics of fermentation.

3. Several environmental parameters that affect H² production in *E. coli*

 It can be deduced from the above discussion of FHL complexes that the environment 265 has a marked impact on H_2 production. The most influential factors are outlined below.

3.1 Formate transport and pH

 Formate or formic acid is a monovalent anionic substance at neutral and slightly alkaline pH and this is one of the major mixed-acid fermentation products in many obligate and facultative anaerobes. This molecule can be used for *de novo* nucleotide biosynthesis in *E. coli* (Jensen et al., 2008). Formate is produced by the action of PFL and can be exported from the cytoplasm or, in the presence of terminal electron acceptors, can be eliminated by the periplasmic formate dehydrogenase oxygen- dependent (FDH-O) or nitrate-dependent (FDH-N). However, formate is re-imported to 275 the cytoplasm and used as a substrate for H_2 production by the formate dehydrogenase H-dependent (FDH-H) as a component of FHL-1 when the cells enter the late exponential growth phase or when terminal electron acceptors are absent (Sawers, 278 2005). As a weak acid, formate may act as an uncoupling factor that dissipates Δp and therefore leads to a decrease of [pH]out potentiates. Formate transport in *E. coli* is carried out by the two formate channels named FocA (member of formate-nitrite transporter family FNT), which has an important role in both the export and import of formate (Beyer et al., 2013), and the putative formate transporter FocB, which is less well characterized than FocA (Wang et al., 2009) (Fig. 2). These channels appear to control formate translocation in a pH-dependent manner. However, it should be noted that during glucose fermentation at a pH value higher than 7 the FHL-2 complex is 286 probably responsible for H_2 production, whereas when the pH is below 7 FHL-1 it is more active (Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014a). Formate can be transported across the membrane under different conditions. The FocB transporter preferentially imports the 289 formate to produce H_2 at different $[pH]_{out}$ with any carbon source (glucose or glycerol). However, FocA exports formate during glucose fermentation at any pH and with glycerol at pH 7.5, but it imports formate at pH values below 7 (Fan, Z. et al., 2009; Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014a). From the results of these studies it can be deduced that H² production during growth on different carbon sources depends on the *focA* and *focB* genes. These channels seem to control formate translocation in a pH-dependent 295 manner and when both channels are absent it may lead to enhanced H_2 production (Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014a). However, formate channels do not function in a separate way and some interactions with other proteins have been identified. A study published by Falke et al. (Falke et al., 2016) highlighted the glycyl-radical enzyme (GRE) TdcE protein as a specific interaction partner of the formate-specific channel FocA, which would play a similar role to that of PflB. Falke et al. (Falke et al., 2016) ruled out the possibility that FocA-binding is a general property of GREs and only TdcE and PflB interact with FocA.

3.2 pH, hydrogenase activity and carbon source

 Trchounian's group has studied extensively the dependence of hydrogenase activities on pH and their crosstalk functions (Trchounian, 2015; Trchounian et al., 2012; 307 Trchounian and Trchounian, 2015). H_2 production depends on $[pH]_{out}$ and carbon

 source (glycerol or glucose) in the culture medium and FHL systems are involved in the regulation of $[PH]_{in}$ (Bock and Sawers, 2006); the results can be summarized as follows and in Table 1:

-
- (1) At [pH]out 5.5 hydrogenases Hyd-1 and Hyd-2 seem to have similar functions in oxidizing hydrogen but Hyd-3 is involved in H² production, which has the highest rate when H_2 uptake by Hyd-1 and Hyd-2 showed low activity.
- 315 (2) At pH 6.5 Hyd-3 is the main H_2 -producing enzyme during glycerol fermentation, although it might operate in a reverse direction and have 317 significant H_2 uptake activity with glucose. Hyd-2 and Hyd-1 are involved in H_2 production in glycerol-containing media but operate in oxidizing mode in culture media that contain both glucose and formate.
- 320 (3) At pH 7.5 Hyd-3 with glycerol shows H_2 uptake activity but Hyd-2 and Hyd-1 are involved in H² production in glycerol-containing media, as described for pH 6.5.
-

3.3 H² and CO² partial pressure

 H² is produced under strictly anaerobic conditions and studies carried out in *E. coli* have generally been performed in closed vials with headspace, although in several cases it has been reported that headspace pressure can have a negative effect on the synthesis of H₂, theoretically by the recycling operating mode of H₂ for Hyd-1 and/or Hyd-2 (Maeda, Toshinari et al., 2008). Therefore, low partial pressure fermentation is often 330 applied to enhance H_2 production, as described in several studies (Dharmadi et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Maeda, Toshinari et al., 2008; Mizuno et al., 2000). The 332 concentration of $CO₂$ also has a negative effect on the $H₂$ production rate and yield 333 because the synthesis of succinate consumes $CO₂$ and PYR via OAA synthesis. This

334 latter pathway competes with the synthesis of formate and therefore H_2 production 335 decreases – as a consequence, rapid gas removal and separation of H_2 are essential to increase the production.

4. Metabolic engineering strategies for improving the synthesis of H²

 Metabolically engineered microbial strains can give higher yields of target products for biotechnological applications. Different strategies for metabolic engineering have been carried out in the last 10 years with several microorganisms, although *E. coli* is the most commonly used for improving H² production. Metabolic pathways in *E. coli* have been widely studied (Blattner et al., 1997), but there are still some gaps in our knowledge about H₂ production. For instance, very few studies have focused on the influence of the carbon source in H₂ production. In this sense, several recent studies have shown how the manipulation of certain genes can be beneficial for H_2 production using glucose as the carbon source but they do not have the same effect on using glycerol and may even have the opposite effect. For example, it has been reported that Hyd-1 and Hyd-2 349 are H_2 -consuming enzymes during glucose metabolism, whereas they are H_2 -producing enzymes in the presence of glycerol at neutral and slightly alkaline pH (Trchounian et al., 2011). In addition, anaerobic growth with glycerol is somewhat lower than with glucose (Hu and Wood, 2010). Given the advances in the knowledge of metabolomics and genetic manipulation techniques, the strategies for improving H² in *E. coli* have evolved. In the past, genetic modifications were achieved through random processes such as exposure to ultraviolet light, chemical mutagenesis etc., but nowadays genetic engineering is widely used to obtain engineered strains with higher productivities (Maeda et al., 2012). With the help of these new techniques, and based on the wide availability of metabolic information on *E. coli*, several metabolic engineering strategies to improve H² production can be designed *a priori* (Manish et al., 2007). It should be noted, however, that genetic engineering is not always fruitful, as undesirable effects are often encountered in the engineered organisms (Colletti et al., 2011). There is a need for an extensive analysis and detailed understanding of metabolic fluxes and their 363 regulatory circuits that lead to H_2 formation. It is important to have a fundamental knowledge of how the metabolic pathway flux responds to varying genetic and environmental perturbations and whether the pathways can be deliberately redirected 366 towards H_2 synthesis rather than to the production of unwanted metabolites. The state of the art of metabolic engineering in *E. coli* for H² production on using glycerol or glucose as carbon sources is reviewed below and the most important strategies and results reported to date are described and summarized in Table 2.

4.1 Screening/High-throughput screening

 Screening and high-throughput screening methods can be useful to find new phenotypes of H2-producer knock out strains or potential genes to be overexpressed, as well as to engineer further strains to improve yields and productivities. Mohd et al. (Mohd Yusoff 376 et al., 2013) reported four pseudogenes involved in H_2 metabolism with important roles in cell physiology in a screening of 3985 *Escherichia coli* mutants from the Keio Collection (Baba et al., 2006) by using $H₂$ chemochromic membranes. In another screening of 150 single knock out mutants from the same collection, 12 novel strains 380 with enhanced yields for H_2 and/or ethanol production were detected in cells grown in a 381 glycerol-based medium by analyzing H_2 and ethanol production by gas chromatography (Table 2B) (Baba et al., 2006; Valle et al., 2015a). In the same work, the knock out genes that most significantly improved the parameters evaluated (including *gnd* and

 tdcE genes) were combined and the resultant quintuple mutant significantly increased the molar yields of H_2 production and glycerol consumption.

 Barahona et al. (Barahona et al., 2016) suggested that there is a limitation in the 388 discovery or synthesis of better H_2 -producing enzymes due to the absence of methods 389 for the high-throughput screening of H_2 production in biological systems. In an effort to overcome this drawback, they engineered a natural H2-sensing system of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* to direct the emission of LacZ-dependent fluorescence in response to the nitogrenase-produced H2. This system was used in combination with fluorescence- activated cell sorting flow cytometry to screen large libraries of nitrogenase Fe-protein variants generated by random mutagenesis. This method was used to select an H2- overproducing Fe-protein variants lacking 40% of the wild type amino acid sequence, 396 and subsequently microbial H_2 production was improved by using directed evolution of nitrogenases and hydrogenases in the selected protein variants (Barahona et al., 2016). 398 This strategy could be adapted to E . *coli* in order to improve H_2 production by combining mutagenesis and high throughput screening.

4.2 Mutagenesis of competitive pathways

 Several end-products synthesized in the mixed-acid fermentation, such as lactate, acetate, ethanol and succinate, must be exported out from the cell in order to maintain 404 the NADH/NAD⁺ balance and intracellular pH.

 Since these metabolites are reduced molecules, their syntheses are presumably 407 competitive pathways of H_2 production. Therefore, the removal of the synthesis of one or more of these end-products should theoretically result in an increased flux towards

409 H₂ synthesis. This strategy has been applied to several reported strains (Sawers and Clark, 2004).

 Lactate. Deletion of the lactate dehydrogenase gene (*ldh*) helps to drain PYR 412 flux towards H_2 (Table 2A and B), although this occurs only when the medium is acidic, since this condition stimulates the formation of lactate (Hallenbeck and Ghosh, 2012).

 Succinate is another end-product whose synthesis can be reduced by knocking out the fumarate reductase (FRD) operon (*frdABCD*) responsible for the conversion of fumarate to succinate. This would increase intracellular PYR and 418 therefore H_2 production. Several publications have concerned the use of single and double *frd* knock out genes that improve H² production in glucose- and glycerol-based media (Table 2A). However, the improvement surprisingly varies significantly between the single knock outs (Valle et al., 2015b) and the multiple mutant (Valle et al., 2017), thus indicating that each FRD subunit may have 423 different roles, with H_2 production being more favorable when FrdB and FrdC subunits are deleted.

 Ethanol. Blocking the synthesis of ethanol by inactivating alcohol 426 dehydrogenase (*adhE*) severely impaired H₂ formation and cell growth when glycerol was employed as the carbon source (Murarka et al., 2008; Tran et al., 2014). This finding indicates that the synthesis of ethanol is essential to maintain the redox balance and also to allow the synthesis of formate and Acetyl-CoA.

 Acetate production can be avoided by removing acetate-producing enzymes such as AckA-PtA (acetate kinase, phosphate acetyl-transferase) or PoxB (pyruvate oxidase) (Murarka et al., 2008), although deletion of the *poxB* gene

433 did not increase H_2 synthesis because this mutation reduced cell viability (Tran et al., 2014).

- **Butyrate is synthesized from acetyl-CoA via crotonyl-CoA. Despite the fact that** this metabolite has been detected in the culture under particular growth conditions (Rosales-Colunga and De León Rodríguez, 2015), strategies for removing butyrate have not been used to date, probably because its production is relatively low.
- 1,2-Propanediol is synthesized by methylglyoxal synthase (MgsA) from DHAP and it has been reported that the single knock out strain for the gene of this 442 enzyme increases slightly the production of H_2 in glycerol media (Tran et al., 2014) (Table 2A).
- **•** The conversion of PYR into acetyl-CoA is catalyzed by the PYR dehydrogenase complex (PDH) under aerobic conditions, but in anaerobic conditions PYR is transformed into acetyl-CoA and formate by PYR formate lyase (PFL), which is 447 essential for H_2 synthesis. However, under microaerobic conditions the two enzymes can be functional (Sawers and Clark, 2004). Despite PDH operon genes (*aceEF*, *lpd*) having low expression levels in anaerobic conditions, the activity of this enzyme could be a competitive pathway in formate synthesis and 451 therefore deletion of the *aceE* subunit resulted in an increased H₂ yield with a glucose-based medium (Maeda et al., 2007a). However, significant improvements were not observed on using glycerol (Tran et al., 2014).
- Conversion of PEP into OAA by the anaplerotic enzyme PEP carboxylase (Ppc) can be considered a competitive reaction since it diverts the C3 compound 456 toward succinate. For this reason, the knock out of this gene increases H_2 productivity significantly (Tran et al., 2014) (Table 2A).

 Hydrogenases (Hyd-1, -2, -3, -4) are the key controlling enzymes in the 459 synthesis or oxidation of H_2 and their function depends on pH and carbon 460 sources, as described previously. Thus, removal of the H_2 -consuming activity of Hyd-1 and/or Hyd-2 by knocking out the large subunit of both enzymes $(\Delta hyaB\Delta hybC)$ in an acidic culture medium is a strategy that has been successfully employed by several groups using both glycerol- (Maeda, T. et al., 2008) and glucose-based media (Ghosh and Hallenbeck, 2010; Maeda et al., 2007a; Maeda, Toshinari et al., 2008; Seol et al., 2014; Seol et al., 2016). In addition, the overexpression of Hyd-3, the most important enzyme that forms 467 FHL-1, in FHP when glycerol is used at pH 7.5 increases H_2 production. Hence, the FHL expression may be manipulated by overexpression of *fhlA* (Horizonte 2020 Comisión Europea) or deletion of the *hycA* gene that encoded a repressor of FhlA expression (Penfold et al., 2003; Yoshida et al., 2005). Interestingly, a combination of *fhlA* overexpression and disruption of *hycA* did lead to a 2.8-fold 472 increase in the H_2 formation rate (Yoshida et al., 2006) (Table 2A, B).

 There are two additional formate dehydrogenases encoded by *fdnG* (a subunit of nitrogen-dependent formate dehydrogenase-N) and *fdoG* genes (a subunit of oxygen-476 dependent formate dehydrogenase-O) that consume formate but do not produce H_2 . 477 However, the deletion of $f d \sigma G$ did not improve the H₂ yield in a glycerol-based medium 478 and the $fdnG$ mutant showed only a moderate improvement in H_2 production (Maeda et al., 2007a; Rossmann et al., 1991).

 Other enzymes or regulator proteins involved in formate consumption that may compete 482 with the synthesis of H_2 are outlined below:

484 (1) Nitrate reductase A (whose α -subunit is encoded by the *narG* gene) consumes formate in the conversion of nitrate into nitrite by using electrons produced from 486 formate by FDH-N. Deletion of the *narG* gene leads to a very modest H_2 productivity 487 but in combination with other mutations the H_2 productivity increases significantly (Maeda et al., 2007a; Tran et al., 2014).

 (2) FNR protein is a global DNA-binding transcriptional regulator that stimulates the transcription of several genes required for fermentation and anaerobic respiration and represses others like *hyf* operon, which encoded Hyd-4 (Salmon et al., 2003). As a consequence, deletion of the *fnr* gene leads to a three-fold increase in HyfR expression as the activator of *hyf* operon.

 (3) The NarL protein is a global transcription repressor for the genes of FhlA, PFL, and nickel transporter *nik* operon. Inactivation of the *narL* gene led to a two-fold 496 increase in the molar yield of H_2 , with similar growth to that of the wild-type strain (Fan, Z. et al., 2009).

 (4) Formate channels (FocA and FocB) appear to control formate translocation in a pH-dependent manner and the absence of both formate channels may lead to enhanced FHP (Trchounian and Trchounian, 2014a). Several strategies for metabolic engineering by manipulating these protein transporters with glycerol have been applied to the *focAfocB* double mutant strain (Table 2A). However, the deletion of *focA* in 503 multiple mutant strains did not increase H_2 formation and, indeed, it was even lower with respect to the wild type strain. These results indicate that the formate transporter is necessary for an increase in hydrogen production in these mutant strains (Tran et al., 2014) and this finding is consistent with those reported previously by Maeda et al. (Maeda et al., 2007a) for the conversion of glucose into hydrogen.

4.3 Adaptive evolution

 Adaptive evolution is a set of environmentally induced mutations that confer growth advantages to the cell (Foster, 2007). In this strategy, an organism is subjected to serial or continuous cultivation for many generations under non-optimal conditions in order to select fitter genetic variants (Cooper et al., 2003). The specific growth rate in glycerol medium of the wild type *E. coli* strain – and even in derivate mutant strains – is quite 516 low compared with that in glucose, which results in low H_2 productivity (Murarka et al., 2008). In an effort to overcome this limitation, Hu & Wood (Hu and Wood, 2010) obtained an efficient glycerol-utilizing strain by both adaptive evolution and chemical mutagenesis using a selection method based on increased growth on glycerol. The 520 improved strain produced twenty times more H_2 in glycerol medium than the wild type strain. It was also found that the activity of several enzymes related to glycerol consumption were increased 4-fold with respect to the wild type strain, and a whole- transcriptome study revealed that several competitive pathways were repressed in that mutant.

4.4 Homologous and heterologous expression

 Overexpression of *E. coli* native genes (homologous expression) or those from other species (heterologous expression) has been used to improve H² production in *E. coli*. The heterologous expression offers the advantages of obtaining more efficient enzyme activities based on kinetic parameters (Valle et al., 2017). The main strategies reported in the literature are described below:

 (1) Homologous and heterologous hydrogenase-encoding genes. Several heterologous hydrogenases and hydrogenase-related genes have been expressed in *E. coli*: **•** The expression of HydA from *Clostridium butyricum* improved H₂ productivity by around a factor of two (Subudhi and Lal, 2011). The expression of HydAEFG from *Clostridium* together with the expression of a putative PYR flavodoxin/ferrodoxin oxidorectuase (YdbK) from *E. coli* increased H² production by 1.5 times (Akhtar and Jones, 2009). The expression of the hydrogenase HoxEFUYH from the cyanobacteria *Synechocystis* in a Hyd-1 and -2 *E. coli* mutant gave rise to a 41-fold higher production (Maeda et al., 2007b). Expression of the NADPH-dependent hydrogenases from *Clostridium sp.* and *Bacillus subtilis* together with the increment of the NAD(P)H/NAD(P)⁺ ratio via overexpression of *glp*X and *zwf* genes. This combination of heterologous expression of NAPDH-dependent hydrogenases and autologous gluconeogenesis 548 and PPP enzymes led to an increase in the H₂ yield by 3.5-fold (Kim et al., 2011).

550 (2) Anaplerotic enzymes involved in carbon redirection toward H_2 production. The human mitochondrial GTP-dependent PEP carboxykinase (hPEPCK-M) that catalyzes more efficiently the conversion of OAA to PEP has been used due to its kinetic parameters, the use of an energy donor (GTP) and non-allosteric regulation. That 554 heterologous expression was found to enhance significantly the H_2 yield and glycerol consumption (Valle et al., 2017).

 (3) Overexpression of transcription factors. The FHL complex is composed of FDH- H and Hyd-3. The biosynthesis of FHL and PFL are up-regulated by the action of several transcriptional regulators, including the global transcriptional factors Fnr,

 amongst other proteins. The transcription of the *fhl* regulon is controlled by the primary and secondary transcriptional activators FhlA and ModE. The biosynthesis of FDH-H also requires the expression of the *selC* gene, which encodes tRNA for the incorporation of selenocysteine to FHD-H. Therefore, the homologous overexpression of these encoded protein genes, *fhl*A, *pfn*r, *psel*C and *pmod*E, has led to an improved H² production in a glucose-based medium (Fan, Z. et al., 2009).

4.5 Protein engineering

568 Protein engineering to improve H_2 production by increasing catalytic reactions is a feasible approach. For instance, the *E. coli* Hyd-3 large subunit (HycE) has been modified and this enhanced the FHL complex formation. The method used by Maeda et al. (Maeda, T. et al., 2008) was based on mutagenesis of the HycE protein by an error- prone polymerase chain reaction (epPCR) and employed a host that lacked hydrogenase activity due to *hyaB hybC hycE* mutations. Seven enhanced HycE variants were obtained and the best contained eight mutations and showed a 17-fold higher H2- producing activity than wild type HycE. Furthermore, shuffling of the DNA sequences 576 of the three most active HycE variants provided a variant that increased H_2 production 23-fold. This was the first engineered hydrogenase obtained by random mutation and it seems to strengthen the interaction between the large and small subunits, thus enhancing the flow of electrons for formate dehydrogenase-H (Maeda, T. et al., 2008). The same 580 method was also used to engineer the σ^{54} transcriptional factor FhlA, which activates transcription of *fdhF*, and the *hyc*, *hyp* and *hydN*-*hypF* operons. The FhlA133 variant isolated by Sanchez-Torres et al. (Sanchez-Torres et al., 2009) gave a four-fold increase in H2 production and the whole-transcriptome and promoter reporter analysis revealed 584 that FhlA133 improved H_2 production by increasing transcription of all of the genes

 activated by FhlA (FHL complex). Surprisingly, *fhlA133* also induced four oxidative- stress proteins and 12-stress related genes during anaerobic fermentation. This finding suggests that an increased FHL expression affects the cell physiology and that an 588 increased expression of anti-stress proteins could probably facilitate H_2 synthesis (Sanchez-Torres et al., 2009).

4.6 Optimizing environmental conditions by Design of Experiment (DOE)

593 The environmental conditions may be optimized for a given engendered strain. H_2 production has been used as a response variable in order to reduce the number of experiments required to optimize the conditions for maximum yields. For instance, a 3^K full factorial Box–Behnken design and response surface methodology (RSM) have been employed for experimental design. Ghosh et al. (Ghosh and Hallenbeck, 2010) applied 598 this methodology and obtained a maximum molar H_2 yield on using glucose under the 599 optimal conditions at pH 6.5 in the *AhyaBAhybCAldhAAfhlC* mutant strain (Rosales- Colunga et al., 2010). Rosales-Colunga et al. (Rosales-Colunga et al., 2010) optimized pH, temperature and substrate concentration of cheese, by using a strain deficient in a protein repressor of Fhl activator factor (HycA) and lactose transporter (LacI). The 603 maximum yield obtained was comparable to the yield achieved in other H_2 production processes with *Clostridium sp*. or mixed cultures (Table 2B). Another study in which this method was used focused on optimizing culture media to improve the growth rate, in order to obtain higher productivities of H_2 and ethanol and for scaling up the process (Cofré et al., 2012).

4.7 Metabolic Flux Analysis (MFA)

 The way in which anaerobic bacteria regulate flux distribution under different environmental conditions remains to be elucidated. In this regard, the characterization of metabolic fluxes is very important to design metabolic engineering strategies as the flux is a fundamental parameter of cell physiology (Cai et al., 2011). Flux balance analysis (FBA) was used to determine intracellular fluxes from substrate uptake to product formation rates by applying metabolite balancing, which is based on the stoichiometry of metabolic reactions (Varma and Palsson, 1994). The implementation of this approach with proteomic, metabolomics and transcriptomic data led to the concept of metabolic flux analysis (MFA). This approach has been applied to optimize 619 the production of lysine, acetate, and ethanol. However, MFA for H_2 production has only attracted attention in recent years (Cai et al., 2011) and only Manish et al. (Manish et al., 2007) have reported the theoretical capability of the microorganism to produce H₂. They used existing experimental data to calculate fluxes in a batch culture and they commented on the limitations in the yield of H2. MFA was also used to determine the 624 feasible operating space for H_2 production against the varying yields of other metabolites. This kind of study has helped to show that ethanol and acetate – but not 626 succinate or lactate – are necessary for H_2 production (Manish et al., 2007). It is interesting to note that this deduction was corroborated by experimental results (Murarka et al., 2008; Tran et al., 2014).

5. Effect of carbon source and redox balance in H2 synthesis

 Independently of the carbon source used, there are several genes that are involved in 632 fermentative pathways, H_2 synthesis, TCA-reductive and fermentative pathways whose deletions have been widely reported and constitute the main targets for the metabolic redirection in engineered strains. For instance, the deletions of the genes of hydrogenases-1 and -2 (subunits ∆*hyaB* and ∆*hybC*, respectively), the repressor protein of FhlA (∆*hycA*), the fumarate reductase (∆*frdABC*), the lactate dehydrogenase (∆*ldhA*),

 -formate dehydrogenase-N (∆*fdnG*), and the phosphate acetyltransferase (Pta) (underlined and bold in the gray square in Fig. 2), have been widely used to increase the H² production rate using glucose or glycerol. However, not all of these strategies have been tested using both carbon sources and there are some mutations that have only been assayed with glycerol or glucose. In this sense, there are many mutation strategies, such as deletion of acetate kinase (∆*ackA*), pyruvate oxidase (∆*pox*), pyruvate dehydrogenase (∆*aceEF*), formate dehydrogenase-O (∆*fdoG*), phosphofructokinase (∆*pfkA*), that have 644 been tested in glucose-containing media but not in a glycerol C source that improves H_2 synthesis (marked as regular font in the gray square in Fig. 2)

 On the other hand, some deletion strategies have worked in glycerol but not in glucose media, for instance ∆*rpiA*, ∆*gldA*, ∆*tdcB*, ∆*tdcE*, ∆*narG*, ∆*mgsA*, ∆*gatZ*. Nevertheless, it has been reported than some mutations do not have the same final effect and even give rise to an opposite result, as is the case of the gluconate dehydrogenase gene (∆*gnd*), which has a positive effect when glycerol is used but its overexpression enhanced the production of H_2 when glucose was used (marked in gray and also in the red square in Fig. 2).

 This differences in the engineering strategies on using glycerol or glucose are probably a consequence of the numerous up- and down-regulation metabolic pathways caused by the main regulator protein involved being a transcriptional dual regulator (CRP) (cAMP receptor protein) that regulates the expression of over 180 genes. Many of these genes are involved the central carbon pathways and are also regulated by the cra protein, which carries out a glycolytic flux-dependent process. This latter process is determined by the concentration of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate synthesized in glucose fermentation, which is the molecular effector of the *cra* gene (Kochanowski et al., 2013). The absence of a rapidly metabolizable carbon source, such as glucose, results in an elevated level of

 cAMP and subsequently cAMP-CRP increases, which in turn causes catabolite repression. This protein also regulates the catabolism of secondary carbon sources 664 (Soberón-Chávez et al., 2017) and could be related to the enhancement in H_2 synthesis reported for several mutant strains related to amino acid metabolism, antibiotic resistance (Table 2A) or pseudogenes (Table 2B).

 The strategies outlined above do not always work when either glucose or glycerol are used as the C source. This could be due to the redox balance 669 NAD(P)H/NAD(P)⁺, which must be maintained at certain levels for an efficient 670 synthesis of formate and thus H_2 . For instance, the deletion of alcohol dehydrogenase (∆*adhE*), because it is a competitive pathway that consumes 2 NADH to produce 672 ethanol, did not improve H_2 production. Furthermore, in the case of malate dehydrogenase (Mdh) and malic enzymes (MaeA, MaeB) that use NAD(P)H the 674 synthesis of H_2 was even impaired (Valle et al., 2015b). The function of these enzymes and the regulation of the hydrogenase operon's gene expression are involved in maintaining the redox and energy balances and are connected to the proton motive force (PMF) in the intermembrane space. On the other hand, there are heterologous NAD(P)H-dependent hydrogenases that have been overexpressed in *E. coli* (Table 2) 679 and the engineered strains required a higher NAD(P)H/NADP⁺ ratio to improve H_2 production. This has been achieved by overexpressing enzyme genes involved in NADPH production. The carbon source and redox cofactors are two key factors to take 682 into account in the bacterial physiology for improving H_2 synthesis.

6. Conclusions

 In this review, the *E. coli* metabolic pathways most commonly modified with the aim of 686 increasing carbon and electron flux towards H_2 biosynthesis are overviewed. The main

 metabolic engineering strategies used for this purpose are also reviewed. This 688 information may be useful to design new strategies for the scale-up of H_2 production with *E. coli*. The directed mutagenesis of ∆*hyaB*, ∆*hybC*, and ∆*hycA*, which involve hydrogenases-1, -2 and -3, together with ∆*fdnG*, ∆*ldhA*, ∆*frdBC*, and ∆*pta*, which are involved in competitive pathways of formate synthesis, are the most relevant deletions 692 for metabolic engineering to improve H_2 regardless of the use of glycerol or glucose as carbon source. On the other hand, the overexpression of enzymes involved in glycerol assimilation (GldA), transcriptional factor Hyd-3 operon (FhlA), heterologous enzyme of human PEPCK, or hydrogenases have also been reported as potential strategies 696 together with directed mutagenesis to enhance H_2 . There are numerous other strategies (for instance, high-throughput screening, protein engineering, adaptive evolution or random mutagenesis) that have been applied in order to design engineered strains. It is also very useful to implement this information in modeling (MFA) or *in silico* studies in order to predict the optimized pathway to enhance the production of the target product. Although MFA is a suitable method for modeling, there is still a great deal of information that can be obtained from multiomic platforms, which are very valuable tools to design engineered strains that will be used as a microbial cell factory for H_2 production.

Acknowledgements

 This work was supported by the Andalusian Government – Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa de la Junta de Andalucía (Spain) – through the Project for Excellence reference: P09- TEP-4830 (2009) co-funded by the FEDER Programme 2007–2013 and the research unit PAIDI 711 CTS-569 and TEP-105.

 Barahona, E., Jimenez-Vicente, E., Rubio, L.M., 2016. Hydrogen overproducing nitrogenases obtained by random mutagenesis and high-throughput screening. Sci. Rep. 6, 38291.

 Beyer, L., Doberenz, C., Falke, D., Hunger, D., Suppmann, B., Sawers, R.G., 2013. Coordination of FocA and pyruvate formate-lyase synthesis in *Escherichia coli* demonstrates preferential translocation of formate over other mixed-acid fermentation products. J. Bacteriol. 195(7), 1428-1435.

 Blankschien, M.D., Clomburg, J.M., Gonzalez, R., 2010. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the production of succinate from glycerol. Metab. Eng. 12(5), 409-419.

Blattner, F.R., Plunkett Iii, G., Bloch, C.A., Perna, N.T., Burland, V., Riley, M.,

Collado-Vides, J., Glasner, J.D., Rode, C.K., Mayhew, G.F., Gregor, J., Davis, N.W.,

Kirkpatrick, H.A., Goeden, M.A., Rose, D.J., Mau, B., Shao, Y., 1997. The Complete

Genome Sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science 277(5331), 1453-1462.

 Bock, A., Sawers, G., 2006. Fermentation. ASM Press, Washington DC. Brøndsted, L., Atlung, T., 1994. Anaerobic regulation of the hydrogenase 1 (hya) operon of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 176(17), 5423-5428.

- Cai, G., Jin, B., Monis, P., Saint, C., 2011. Metabolic flux network and analysis of fermentative hydrogen production. Biotechnol. Adv. 29(4), 375-387.
- Chen, X., Zhou, L., Tian, K., Kumar, A., Singh, S., Prior, B.A., Wang, Z., 2013. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli*: A sustainable industrial platform for bio-based chemical production. Biotechnol. Adv. 31(8), 1200-1223.

 Pinske, C., McDowall, J.S., Sargent, F., Gary Sawers, R., 2012. Analysis of hydrogenase 1 levels reveals an intimate link between carbon and hydrogen metabolism in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Microbiology 158(3), 856-868. Poladyan, A., Trchounian, A., 2009. Production of molecular hydrogen by mixed acid fermentation in bacteria and its energetics. 2009. In: Trchounian A, (ed). Bacterial Membranes. Utrastructure, Bioelectrochemistry, Bioenergetics and Biophysics. Trivandrum, Kerala, India: Research Signpost. pp. 197–231. Rosales-Colunga, L.M., De León Rodríguez, A., 2015. *Escherichia coli* and its application to biohydrogen production. Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio. 14(1), 123-135. Rosales-Colunga, L.M., Razo-Flores, E., Ordoñez, L.G., Alatriste-Mondragón, F., De León-Rodríguez, A., 2010. Hydrogen production by *Escherichia coli* ΔhycA ΔlacI using cheese whey as substrate. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 35(2), 491-499. Rossmann, R., Sawers, G., Böck, A., 1991. Mechanism of regulation of the formate-hydrogenlyase pathway by oxygen, nitrate, and pH: definition of the formate regulon. Mol. Microbiol. 5(11), 2807-2814. Salmon, K., Hung, S.P., Mekjian, K., Baldi, P., Hatfield, G.W., Gunsalus, R.P., 2003. Global gene expression profiling in *Escherichia coli* K12. The effects of oxygen availability and FNR. J. Biol. Chem. 278(32), 29837-29855. Sanchez-Torres, V., Maeda, T., Wood, T.K., 2009. Protein engineering of the transcriptional activator FhlA To enhance hydrogen production in *Escherichia coli*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75(17), 5639-5646. Sarma, S.J., Brar, S.K., Sydney, E.B., Le Bihan, Y., Buelna, G., Soccol, C.R., 2012. Microbial hydrogen production by bioconversion of crude glycerol: A review. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 37(8), 6473-6490.

- Sawers, R.G., 2005. Formate and its role in hydrogen production in *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 33(Pt 1), 42-46.
- Sawers, R.G., Clark, D.P., 2004. Fermentative pyruvate and acetyl-coenzyme A metabolism. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Self, W.T., Hasona, A., Shanmugam, K.T., 2003. Expression and Regulation of a Silent Operon, hyf, Coding for Hydrogenase 4 Isoenzyme in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 186(2), 580-587.
- Self, W.T., Shanmugam, K.T., 2000. Isolation and characterization of mutated FhlA proteins which activate transcription of the hyc operon (formate hydrogenlyase) of *Escherichia coli* in the absence of molybdate1. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 184(1), 47-52.
- Seol, E., Ainala, S.K., Sekar, B.S., Park, S., 2014. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* strains for co-production of hydrogen and ethanol from glucose. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 39(33), 19323-19330.
- Seol, E., Sekar, B.S., Raj, S.M., Park, S., 2016. Co-production of hydrogen and ethanol from glucose by modification of glycolytic pathways in *Escherichia coli* - from Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway to pentose phosphate pathway. Biotechnol. J. 11(2), 249-256.
- Sinha, P., Roy, S., Das, D., 2015. Role of formate hydrogen lyase complex in hydrogen production in facultative anaerobes. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 40(29), 8806- 8815.

 Skibinski, D.A.G., Golby, P., Chang, Y.S., Sargent, F., Hoffman, R., Harper, R., Guest, J.R., Attwood, M.M., Berks, B.C., Andrews, S.C., 2002. Regulation of the 957 Hydrogenase-4 Operon of *Escherichia coli* by the σ^{54} -Dependent Transcriptional Activators FhlA and HyfR. J. Bacteriol. 184(23), 6642-6653.

 Soberón-Chávez, G., Alcaraz, L.D., Morales, E., Ponce-Soto, G.Y., Servín- González, L., 2017. The Transcriptional Regulators of the CRP Family Regulate Different Essential Bacterial Functions and Can Be Inherited Vertically and Horizontally. Frontiers in Microbiology 8(959).

 Strathclyde, B.-U.o., http://www.esru.strath.ac.uk/EandE/Web_sites/06- 07/Biodiesel/biodiesel.htm.

 Subudhi, S., Lal, B., 2011. Fermentative hydrogen production in recombinant *Escherichia coli* harboring a [FeFe]-hydrogenase gene isolated from *Clostridium butyricum.* Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 36(21), 14024-14030.

 Tran, K.T., Maeda, T., Sanchez-Torres, V., Wood, T.K., 2015. Beneficial knockouts in *Escherichia coli* for producing hydrogen from glycerol. Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 99(6), 2573-2581.

 Tran, K.T., Maeda, T., Wood, T.K., 2014. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* to enhance hydrogen production from glycerol. Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 98(10), 4757-4770.

 Trchounian, A., 2015. Mechanisms for hydrogen production by different bacteria during mixed-acid and photo-fermentation and perspectives of hydrogen production biotechnology. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 35(1), 103-113.

 Trchounian, A., Ohanjanyan, Y., Bagramyan, K., Vardanian, V., Zakharyan, E., Vassilian, A., Davtian, M., 1998. Relationship of the *Escherichia coli* TrkA System of Potassium Ion Uptake with the F0F1-ATPase Under Growth Conditions Without Anaerobic or Aerobic Respiration. Bioscience Reports 18(3), 143-154.

 Trchounian, K., 2012. Transcriptional control of hydrogen production during mixed carbon fermentation by hydrogenases 4 (*hyf*) and 3 (*hyc*) in *Escherichia coli*. 983 Gene 506(1), 156-160.

 Trchounian, K., Pinske, C., Sawers, R.G., Trchounian, A., 2011. Dependence on 985 the F_0F_1 -ATP synthase for the activities of the hydrogen-oxidizing hydrogenases 1 and 2 during glucose and glycerol fermentation at high and low pH in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bioenerg. Biomembr. 43(6), 645-650. Trchounian, K., Poladyan, A., Vassilian, A., Trchounian, A., 2012. Multiple and reversible hydrogenases for hydrogen production by *Escherichia coli*: dependence on fermentation substrate, pH and the F(0)F(1)-ATPase. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 47(3), 236-249. Trchounian, K., Sargsyan, H., Trchounian, A., 2014. Hydrogen production by *Escherichia coli* depends on glucose concentration and its combination with glycerol at different pHs. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 39(12), 6419-6423. Trchounian, K., Trchounian, A., 2009. Hydrogenase 2 is most and hydrogenase 1 is less responsible for H2 production by *Escherichia coli* under glycerol fermentation at neutral and slightly alkaline pH. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 34(21), 8839-8845. Trchounian, K., Trchounian, A., 2013. *Escherichia coli* hydrogenase 4 (*hyf*) and 999 hydrogenase 2 (*hyb*) contribution in H₂ production during mixed carbon (glucose and glycerol) fermentation at pH 7.5 and pH 5.5. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 38(10), 3921- 3929. Trchounian, K., Trchounian, A., 2014a. Different role of focA and focB encoding formate channels for hydrogen production by *Escherichia coli* during glucose or glycerol fermentation. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 39(36), 20987-20991. Trchounian, K., Trchounian, A., 2014b. Hydrogen producing activity by *Escherichia coli* hydrogenase 4 (*hyf*) depends on glucose concentration. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 39(30), 16914-16918.

 Trchounian, K., Trchounian, A., 2015. Hydrogen production from glycerol by *Escherichia coli* and other bacteria: An overview and perspectives. Applied Energy 156, 174-184.

 Trinh, C.T., Srienc, F., 2009. Metabolic Engineering of *Escherichia coli* for Efficient Conversion of Glycerol to Ethanol. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75(21), 6696- 6705.

 Valle, A., Cabrera, G., Cantero, D., Bolivar, J., 2015a. Identification of enhanced hydrogen and ethanol *Escherichia coli* producer strains in a glycerol-based medium by screening in single-knock out mutant collections. Microbial Cell Factories 14(1).

 Valle, A., Cabrera, G., Cantero, D., Bolivar, J., 2017. Heterologous expression of the human Phosphoenol Pyruvate Carboxykinase (hPEPCK-M) improves hydrogen and ethanol synthesis in the *Escherichia coli dcuD* mutant when grown in a glycerol-based medium. N. Biotechnol. 35, 1-12.

 Valle, A., Cabrera, G., Muhamadali, H., Trivedi, D.K., Ratray, R., Goodacre, Bolivar, J., 2015b. A systematic analysis of TCA *Escherichia coli* mutants reveals suitable genetic backgrounds for enhanced hydrogen and ethanol production using glycerol as main carbon source. Biotechnol. J. 10, 1750-1761.

 Valle, A., Le Borgne, S., Bolivar, J., Cabrera, G., Cantero, D., 2012. Study of the role played by NfsA, NfsB nitroreductase and NemA flavin reductase from *Escherichia coli* in the conversion of ethyl 2-(2'-nitrophenoxy)acetate to 4-hydroxy- (2H)-1,4-benzoxazin-3(4H)-one (D-DIBOA), a benzohydroxamic acid with interesting biolo. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 94(1), 163-171.

 Wang, C., Yoon, S.-H., Jang, H.-J., Chung, Y.-R., Kim, J.-Y., Choi, E.-S., Kim, S.-W., 2011. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for α-farnesene production. Metab. Eng. 13(6), 648-655.

- Wang, Y., Huang, Y., Wang, J., Cheng, C., Huang, W., Lu, P., Xu, Y.-N., Wang, P., Yan, N., Shi, Y., 2009. Structure of the formate transporter FocA reveals a pentameric aquaporin-like channel. Nature 462, 467.
- Westermann, P., Jorgensen, B., Lange, L., Ahring, B., Christensen, C., 2007. Maximizing renewable hydrogen production from biomass in a bio/catalytic refinery. Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 32(17), 4135-4141.
- Yi, K.B., Harrison, D.P., 2005. Low-Pressure Sorption-Enhanced Hydrogen Production. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 44(6), 1665-1669.
- Yoshida, A., Nishimura, T., Kawaguchi, H., Inui, M., Yukawa, H., 2005. Enhanced Hydrogen Production from Formic Acid by Formate Hydrogen Lyase-Overexpressing *Escherichia coli* Strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71(11), 6762-6768.
- Yoshida, A., Nishimura, T., Kawaguchi, H., Inui, M., Yukawa, H., 2006. Enhanced hydrogen production from glucose using *ldh-* and *frd-*inactivated *Escherichia coli* strains. Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 73(1), 67-72.
- Yu, C., Cao, Y., Zou, H., Xian, M., 2010. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for biotechnological production of high-value organic acids and alcohols. Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 89(3), 573-583.

 Table 2. In an effort to facilitate the comprehension of the metabolic engineering strategies, the genotype of engineered mutant strains, the metabolic pathways redirected in every strain, strategies of metabolic engineering, environmental conditions such as pH, headspace, and parameters of hydrogen productivity, molar yields and the respective references, are described in for glycerol (A): *relativized molar yield respect 1085 to wild type strain values (mol H_2 / mol glycerol consumed). **Relativized productivity 1086 respect to wild type strain values (mol H_2/g DCW/h). ****Adding 10 mM formate to 1087 glycerol complex medium. [†]Complex-formate medium. [‡]Minimal medium with glycerol 1088 1%. [¥]Peptone glycerol medium 1%. For glucose fermentation (B): *Relativized molar 1089 yield respect to wild type strain values (mol H_2 / mol glucose consumed). **Relativized 1090 productivity respect to wild type strain values (mol H_2/g DCW/h //// mol H_2/mg protein 1091 /// mol H₂/ g DCW). *Cheese whey (20 g/L). **Lactose (5 g/L). ***Complex-formate medium. ****In vitro assay. *****Complex medium (contain fructose, galactose, maltose, lactose, glycerol citrate or succinate).

 Figure 1. Curves representing the number of documents published from 1960 to 2017 using different refined terms in the SCOPUS database: "hydrogen and *Escherichia coli* and engineering" (orange triangle); "hydrogen and *Escherichia coli*" (gray circles) and "hydrogen" (blue diamonds).

 Figure 2. General metabolic pathways under anaerobic conditions, the different routes are represented by different colors. PPP (red), glycolysis (black), anaerobic respiration (TCA-cycle) (green); glycerol assimilation (brown); Entner–Doudoroff (orange), carbohydrates by using glycerol (*genes* in bold font) and for glucose fermentation

 (*genes* in regular font). The deleted genes are marked with a gray square and genes overexpressed are marked with a red square. Genes reported in both glycerol based medium and glucose based medium are underlined.

Figure 1

SCOPUS

Copyright© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

Table 2A. Carbon source: Glycerol

Table 2B. Carbon source: Glucose

