CLIMATE CHANGE AND HEALTH (C GOLDEN, SECTION EDITOR)



Nutrition Transition and Climate Risks in Nigeria: Moving Towards Food Systems Policy Coherence

Alexandra E. Morgan¹ · Jessica Fanzo²

Accepted: 16 September 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Purpose of Review The purpose of this review is to describe the combined impacts of the nutrition transition and climate change in Nigeria and analyze the country's national food-related policy options that could support human and planetary health outcomes.

Recent Findings This paper uses a food systems framework to analyze how the nutrition transition and climate change interact in Nigeria affecting both diets and the double burden of malnutrition, resulting in what has been termed the syndemic. Interactions between climate change and the nutrition transition in Nigeria are exacerbating diet-related inequities and will continue to do so if food systems continue on their current trajectory and without significant transformation. Siloed policy actions that attempt to mitigate one aspect of food system risk can create a negative feedback loop in another aspect of the food system. Our analysis finds that Nigeria has five national policies that include actionable steps to address food system insufficiencies; however, each of these policies is constrained by the boundaries of singular nutrition, climate change, and agricultural objectives. The country should consider a coherent policy environment that explicitly identifies and links underlying systemic and institutional drivers between climate change and malnutrition that simultaneously and comprehensively address both human and planetary health outcomes of food systems.

Summary The systemic and institutional outcomes of this emerging syndemic—undernutrition, obesity, and climate change are inexorably linked. Nigeria lacks a coherent policy environment taking on this challenging syndemic landscape. The analysis in this paper highlights the need for Nigeria to prioritize their national nutrition and agricultural and climate policies that uncouple feedback loops within food systems to address climate change and malnutrition in all its forms.

Keywords Nigeria · Food systems · Policy coherence · Nutrition transition · Climate change · Planetary health

Introduction

The past two decades have produced a dynamic shift in world diets for low and lower middle-income countries [1•, 2]. Increased income and some significant interventions such as the promotion of exclusive breastfeeding, fortification of

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Climate Change and Health*

☑ Jessica Fanzo jfanzo1@jhu.edu staple grains and salt, and sanitation and hygiene improvements are partially responsible for reductions in the worldwide burden of undernourishment from 14.8 to 10.8% [3]. However, urbanization, income shifts, and work and lifestyle patterns have simultaneously produced an increase in overweight and obesity across all regions [3].

As populations become more affluent, dietary consumption patterns shift. While diets become more diverse, moving away from meals composed predominantly with staple grains and/ or tubers, there is also higher intake of refined carbohydrates and highly processed foods (and drinks) with higher amounts of added sugars, unhealthy fats, and sodium, and animal sourced foods. This typical dietary shift is known as the nutrition transition [4, 5]. This pattern is associated with globalization and urbanization factors but also an increasingly sedentary lifestyle [6], and the combination of these trends leads to shifts away from infectious diseases towards diet-related

¹ The Nitze School of Advanced International Studies, Johns Hopkins University, Washington, DC, USA

² The Nitze School of Advanced International Studies, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Berman Institute of Bioethics, Johns Hopkins University, Washington, DC, USA

non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and stroke [4, 5].

What results from this transition is a population dealing with both undernutrition and overweight and obesity—what has been termed the double burden of malnutrition (also termed the multiple burdens of malnutrition) [1•]. The interaction between the nutrition transition and the epigenetic effects of undernutrition has fueled this trend. Early nutritional deficits put certain populations at risk for overweight and obesity later into adulthood [1, 7]. Both of these trends affect morbidity and mortality, and poor diets remain a high-risk factor for childhood death as well as adult noncommunicable disease.

Food systems connect and contribute to these dietary shifts, poor health outcomes, and environmental degradation [8•, 9••]. Unsustainable food production systems allocate calories and nutrients in inefficient ways, while also contributing to climate change [8•, 9••] and natural resource degradation [9••, 10••, 11]. Because of this circularity, climate change will continue to compound the health effects of malnutrition in all its forms [8•, 12–14]. The food systems framework (Fig. 1) highlights the interactions between environment, food, and health.

Climate change, undernutrition, and obesity share underlying societal causes, and feedback loops between them magnify negative health and nutrition outcomes. This "syndemic" is a synergy of epidemics that occur at the same time and place, interact, and share common drivers [15••]. These emerging syndemic risks exist in most countries but are particularly acute for the rural poor in the global South [16••, 17]. An increasing number of countries are experiencing a double burden of overweight and obesity alongside continued undernutrition, and unsustainable food systems are associated with both burdens [8•, 15••, 18]. If no action is taken to address climate change and its impacts on food systems, the historic progress made to reduce undernutrition could be lost, and overweight and obesity trends will continue to increase [1•, 8•, 15••]. Human and planetary health outcomes cannot be decoupled, and effective policies must target the feedback loops linking the health of both the planet and people [9••, 15••].

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa, and its population of 196 million people [19] is expected to double by 2050 [20]. Within the Economic Community of West African States, Nigeria makes up more than two thirds of GDP [21]. Because of its economic growth and political status in the region, continent, and globally, guiding Nigeria towards a trajectory towards sustainable development is critical [21–23].

Nigeria is already experiencing the earlier described "syndemic." Average maximum temperatures and average number of hot days have increased, while precipitation has decreased in the country [24]. Temperature change has led to increasing desertification in the north, erratic and changing rainfall across the country, and increased flood risk in coastal regions and along the major river systems [25–28]. These changes make food production, distribution, and utilization more vulnerable, which in turn affect dietary and nutrition outcomes [16••, 29]. At the same time, Nigeria is experiencing the double burden of malnutrition [30–32] with malnutrition ranked as the number one risk factor for death and disability, and sub-optimal diets have risen to become the 7th highest risk in the past decade [33]. Both climate change and the nutrition transition interact with the demographic shifts



Fig. 1 Food systems framework. Source: 35

occurring in Nigeria, exacerbating dietary inequalities and affecting access to high quality, nutrient-dense, and safe food on a reliable basis.

This paper uses an adapted food systems framework (Fig. 1) from the High-Level Panel of Experts Report on Nutrition and Food Systems [34] to analyze the combined effects of climate change and the nutrition transition on Nigerian diets, examining multiple ways climate change will affect food supply chains and environments and its dietary and nutritional impacts on consumers. It also reviews the literature on twoway relationship of role climate change in accelerating the nutrition transition and vice versa in Nigeria and assesses to what degree current national food-related policies in Nigeria address the syndemic. Siloed actions that attempt to mitigate the effects of one issue can create potential negative feedback loops and impacts in another area [15..]. In order to address climate change and malnutrition, Nigeria must prioritize policies that address the syndemic-by uncoupling feedback loops between climate, undernutrition, and obesity by strengthening food system actions.

Food Systems Framing

Food systems are composed of the people and activities that play a part in growing, transporting, supplying, and, ultimately, eating food. These processes also involve elements that often go unseen, such as food preferences and resource investments. Food systems influence diets by determining what kinds of foods are produced and available in markets. They also influence what foods people want to eat and are able to access. As shown in Fig. 1, the different parts of the food system include food supply chains, food environments, and consumer behavior (not shown in this framework for simplification purposes). Food supply chains consist of the activities and actors that take food from production, storage and distribution, process and packaging, retail, and waste [34, 35]. Food environments are the physical, economic, political and socio-cultural surroundings, opportunities, and conditions that create everyday prompts, shaping people's dietary preferences and choices as well as nutritional status [34, 36]. They can be markets, restaurants, or cafeterias. These different parts shape food systems and can lead to both positive and negative outcomes across a range of outcomes [34].

Food systems are not static. They are in constant transition and are shaped and shifted by different internal and external drivers that push or pull the system in different directions [37]. While Fig. 1 does not show all the myriad drivers that shape food systems, climate change, urbanization, and globalization are significant drivers that instigate feedback loops of food system outcomes—diets, nutrition and health, environment, and livelihoods—on food supply chains and food environments. For the purposes of this paper, we are focusing on the gray-shaded elements and relationships of Fig. 1.

Climate change and natural resources shape food system functionality, efficiency, and resiliency [38]. In turn, food systems are a cause of climate change and environmental degradation [39]. Food systems contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, depletion of freshwater resources, deforestation, and nutrient pollution on landscapes and waterways [12]. The diversity, safety, and quality of diets very much depend on thriving food systems. However, evidence suggests that suboptimal diets dominate across the world, contributing to both environmental and climate degradation and detrimental health outcomes [9••].

Nutrition Transition of Nigeria

Malnutrition in all its forms is the number one risk factor for death and disease in Nigeria [33]. The combined effects of rising obesity and stagnating stunting in children indicate that Nigeria is going through a nutrition transition. Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data have shown slight declines in stunting for children and adult female thinness as well as increasing adult female overweight and obesity over the last 20 years [40–44]. Independent studies have found that urban obesity increased by 20% in Nigeria between 2002 and 2010 [45]. While some populations have access to sufficient calories, stunting and thinness have decreased slowly, and undernourishment overall has risen since 2000, indicating that addressing malnutrition will require multiple strategies that span health, food, care, and the environment [46–48].

Both rural-urban and north-south divisions are characterized by different nutritional challenges in Nigeria. While the population is evenly split between rural and urban residents [49], currently, urban populations are more likely to experience obesity and overweight while rural populations are more likely to experience undernutrition [45]. However, evidence suggests that rural places are beginning to catch up with urban obesity trends [50]. At the same time, DHS data show that in the last 20 years underweight and vitamin deficiencies have been higher in the northern arid zones of the country, while overweight and obesity have been higher in the southern zones. Although northern zones produce more food for the country, residents in the north are the most likely to be food insecure [23]. Childhood stunting and undernutrition are particularly acute in northern Nigeria. Almost half of all children in the northeast and northwest are stunted [51].

Currently, Nigeria cannot meet food requirements through domestic production [52]. The major food crops produced in the country include cassava, cowpea, and sorghum [31, 53]. The Government of Nigeria (GON) reports that demand exceeds supply by more than 50% for rice, wheat, fish, milk, and tomatoes. Nigeria also underproduces maize, chicken, yams, and oil palm to a lesser extent [52] and has significant losses of fruits and vegetables as these perishable foods move along the supply chain [54]. Because it cannot meet its population's demand with its own production, Nigeria imports between \$3 and \$5 billion worth of food annually [55].

Food imports both reduce Nigeria's foreign exchange reserves and make consumers vulnerable to international prices. Over half of the population lives on less than \$2 per day, including the majority of rural residents [4]. While some smallholder farmers produce enough food for family consumption, the average rural family only produces a quarter of food they consume [18, 56]. Across the country, the average household spends 65% of its income on food expenditure [57]. The unaffordability of nutritious food can be a significant barrier to consuming a healthy diet. The cost of a nutritionally adequate diet (CoNA) measures the minimum daily cost of meeting nutrient and energy requirements for a reference healthy adult woman, as a percent of daily per capita household spending on food and non-alcoholic beverages. In Nigeria, the CoNA is 92%, whereas in South Africa it is 42% and in the USA it is 32% [53].

While GON analysis focuses mainly on staple crops, low consumption of fruits and vegetables are also associated with negative health outcomes, and the combination of both domestically produced and imported fruits and vegetables in Nigeria do not meet the dietary requirements for daily vegetable consumption [58].

Figure 2 shows how diets have changed in Nigeria comparing data from 1990 to 2010 (the most recent available) from the Global Dietary Database. There are interesting trends. Whole grains and legumes have decreased from 1990 to 2010, and sugar-sweetened beverages have increased. There are increases, although small, in the consumption of milk and fruits. This data source does not capture fish and seafood consumption, but these food sources are important components of the Nigerian diet. Overall, the health of the diet has not changed much over the last 15 years. Across the entire country, only 56% of women meet the minimum criteria for dietary diversity, a marker for dietary quality, in the previous day [40].

Climate Vulnerability for Nigeria and Nigeria's Food System

Temperatures in Nigeria are estimated to rise between 1.1 and 2.6 °C by 2060 [60] leading to losses of up to 30% of GDP [61]. Sea level rise is expected to reach 1 m by 2050 [27] affecting coastal regions and river systems. Temperature increases will affect agriculture mainly through changes in rainfall. Climate change is projected to make the northern zones drier and the southern zones more wet [27, 28, 60] Increasing desertification will harm the northern part of the country, while sea level rise, saltwater intrusion, and flooding will affect the southern part of the country [62–64].

Climate change will impact Nigeria's agro-ecological zones in different ways. Nigeria has two main types of vegetation—tropical rainforest in the south and savannah in the north. Erratic rainfall and unpredictable severe weather events may affect agricultural production across both areas. While specific climate risks will differ depending on the agroecological zone, most key challenges will be related to water



Fig. 2 Changes in the Nigerian diets, 1990–2010. Source: [59]

resources. In the north, drvness and drought will affect both agricultural production and livestock, while in the south, soil porosity issues will heighten the effects of sudden increased rain and flooding [26]. Climate change will impact each zone differently but will also shift the boundaries between the zones [27], challenging traditional agricultural cultivation patterns. The Nigerian government predicts that the major impacts for agricultural production will include lower yields and poor livestock performance in the Sahel and savanna agroecological zones, rapid farm wastage, decreased soil fertility, and leaching. Seawater incursion and desertification will reduce arable land [29]. Flooding will also affect coastal and freshwater fisheries production negatively through siltation and contamination [61]. Some climate models suggest that rainfed agriculture may decline up to 50% by 2080, while overall agricultural productivity could decline by 10-25% [65].

Increasing temperature [63], shifts in rainfall, [64, 66] and atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration [60] will drive shifts in agricultural yield. Across West Africa, a hotter dry season will cause rice yields to decline, even with increased irrigation [67]. In Nigeria, an increase in the number of days with extreme temperature will reduce the output of cassava, cocoyam, sweet potatoes, cowpeas, and maize [63] By 2050, hotter temperatures are expected to reduce output of rice, sorghum, millet, maize, yam, and cassava [68]. Increased rainfall will also compromise sweet potato and rice production [64]. In the short term, warmer temperatures are associated with increased production of millet, onions, tomatoes, and melon in northern Nigeria [63]; however, erratic rainfall patterns may reduce any positive effects of temperature.

Nutrient content will also shift in major food crops and consumers' access to nutrients will be negatively affected by changes to food storage. Increased concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide will reduce zinc, iron, and protein in rice [69] and cassava will have increased concentrations of cyanide [60]. Heat will also make storage of vegetables more difficult [29]. Consumers will also have elevated exposure to mycotoxins, such as aflatoxin, and mold increases because only 10% of maize traders across the country fumigate and dry their wares [64].

Increased surface water temperature, sea level rise, and ocean acidification will negatively affect aquaculture and fisheries [70, 71]. This will affect nutrient access for much of Nigeria since fish make up about ½ of protein intake [70]. Human control may make aquaculture more resilient to climate change [70]; however, farmed fish may hold less nutritional value than wild caught fish [72]. Nigeria is one of the several countries identified by Golden et al. as most reliant on fish, which also has estimated marine catch reductions of over 20% by 2050 [72].

Rainfall change will have particularly negative effects on agriculture because of Nigeria's dependence on rainfed

irrigation. Only 1% of agricultural land currently uses modern irrigation [26, 28, 73]. The north central region may have lower sensitivity to rainfall changes in the short run, if lake water in the region is harnessed to provide irrigation [26]. However, irrigation is not a panacea given uncertain changes in rainfall. Extreme weather may also cause flooding and a widespread lack of flood control will make erratic rain particularly damaging [29, 62].

The agricultural impact of severe flooding caused by heavy rains in 2012 provides an example of the multiple pathways in which climate change may affect household nutrition. In 2012, heavy rainfall strained dam infrastructure and flooded settlements along the Niger, Benue, and Gongola rivers, causing \$16.9 billion dollars worth of damage [62]. The disaster destroyed 30% of the year's rice production. The majority of families affected by floods that relied on agricultural production to meet their family's nutritional needs were forced to turn to markets to buy the majority of their food [62]. Increased demand created a subsequent negative feedback loop elevating the price index for food and making market consumption more inaccessible. Scarcity coping strategies used by families included borrowing food, reducing or skipping meals, and relying on less preferred foods [62]. Countrywide surveys show that even absent crisis level shocks, many families resort to food coping strategies. In 2010 and 2011, countrywide surveys showed that between 7 and 9% of those in the lowest income quintile used severe coping strategies such as going to bed hungry or borrowing food from the community or local NGOs as food assistance in the past 7 days [56].

Pricing feedback loops highlight the economic effects of climate change. Lowered or shifted yields will impact livelihoods for 60% of Nigeria's labor force involved in agricultural production, 90% of which are smallholder farmers [27]. The price effects of lowered yields will also affect non-farming and urban populations reducing their access to high quality and nutritious diets. Additional challenges affecting the food system include certain push factors such as increasing movement from rural to urban areas, putting more stress on food supply chains to urban areas and encroachment of urbanization into adjacent rural land (hinterlands) that are near major urban centers [26]. Figure 3 summarizes some effects of climate change on food system elements (focusing on food supply chains and food environments), intermediary diet outcomes, and nutrition and health outcomes in Nigeria using the food systems framework in Fig. 1.

Assessment of Relevant Nigerian Foodand Climate-Related Policies

Using the food systems framework as a guide, we assessed five national policies in Nigeria, the Agriculture Promotion Policy (APP), the National Adaptation Strategy (NASPA-



Fig. 3 Impacts of climate change on food systems for Nigeria. Sources: [26, 27, 29, 60, 61, 63, 64, 67]

CCN), the National Policy on Food and Nutrition (NPFN), the Agriculture Sector Food Security and Nutrition Policy (ASFS), and the National Strategic Plan of Action on Nutrition (NSPAN), to determine whether they used a food systems framing to develop interventions targeting the syndemic—malnutrition, nutrition-sensitive agriculture, and climate change. Nigeria committed to the creation of a health and environment strategic alliance in the Libreville declaration, acknowledging the important link between environmental risks and health outcomes [74]. Overall, Nigeria has developed strong policy guiding documents and national strategies on climate change, nutrition, and agriculture. However, policy implementation has been limited due to budgetary constraints and stakeholder fragmentation [23, 75] with state budgets and policies not matching federal planning [23, 76].

The Nigerian government has made inroads to combine agriculture and nutrition policies using a systems perspective. However, the explicit integration of climate change adaptation and mitigation actions into nutrition policy has been limited. Three of 5 policies recognize the double burden of undernutrition and overweight/obesity, while two policies focus on undernutrition exclusively. The three nutrition polices have a weak focus on climate change, while the climate change policy has little focus on nutrition. All five policies make target interventions at various points along the supply chain, but agriculture production focused interventions are the most prevalent in the climate change and agriculture policies, while demand focused interventions to improve diets are stronger in the nutrition policies. Food environment interventions overall were limited with very little focus on how to change the affordability of diets or the choice architecture of these built places to facilitate healthier eating. More significantly, only 3 of the policies contain a cost analysis of implementing the goals and actions set out in those policies. All of the policies contained a monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plan. The most comprehensive set of interventions along the supply chain comes from the Agriculture Sector Food Security and Nutrition Policy; however, this plan does not explicitly integrate the effects of climate change on the supply chain. Table 1 below summarizes the results of the analysis.

Challenges to Implementation

While Nigeria's policy documents highlight actionable items to create nutrition-sensitive and climate-smart interventions, significant challenges remain. The NASPA-CCN was developed in 2011, but fragmented implementation of the strategy has made farm-level adaptation to climate change difficult to achieve [78]. The agricultural section of the National Climate Policy focuses on the diversification of livestock, increased access to drought resistant crops, better soil management practices, national early warning systems, and increased use of irrigation and crop cover [61]. The government also suggests the increased provision of crop insurance at subsidized rates for smallholder farmers, provided by non-profits, or through public-private partnerships. However, adaptation results have been limited. While the climate policy has ostensibly been in effect for 9 years, a 2019 study in two northern communities found that while farmers were using adaptation strategies, they were not utilizing the strategies best suited for their dryland

Table 1 National policy env	vironment in N	Vigeria				
National strategy document	Years active	Does the policy address multiple burdens of malnutrition?	Does the policy address the ag-environment-nutrition nexus?	Does the policy include C interventions along food systems? at	Cost malysis	vf&E plan
National Adaptation Strategy and Plan of Action (NASPA-CCN)	2011–current	No Undernutrition only	No •Focus on climate impacts on agricultural production •Focus on food access •No mention of nutritional quality of food	No Food supply chains •Improved agriculture systems for crops and livestock •Increase irrigation Food environment/markets •Facilitate credit to smallholder	0 V	Yes* Focused on building out framework, not interventions
Agriculture Promotion Policy (APP)	2016–2020	Yes Recognizes double burden of underweight, overweight, and obesity	No •Focus on agribusiness supply chains •Integrating climate-smart agriculture* •Integrating nutrition-sensitive programming* *Mentioned as a goal to integrate throughout all objectives, but many objectives lack integration of nutrition and climate	Yes Food supply chains Food supply chains •Fertilizer, increased soil nutrients •Irrigation •Pest and disease control services •National grain storage centers Food environment/markets •Infrastructure improvement Diets	0	ýces
National Policy on Food and Nutrition (NPFN)	2016-Curren	t Yes Recognizes burden of malnutrition in all its forms	No •Links agriculture and food security •Does not mention climate change	 encets or exchange rate poincy on consumers encode supply chains Formote priority value chain Fromote priority value chain from on-farm storage Myco-toxin prevention Improve processing and preservation Food environments/markets 	<u>0</u>	Ýcs
	2016–2025	Yes	QZ	 Effective food distribution systems Improved infrastructure Improved infrastructure Diets Biofortification of staple foods, vitamin provision Pood quality standards National buffer stock to reduce price volatility School feeding programs Y 	Yes	Ýcs

Table 1 (continued)				
National strategy document	Years active	Does the policy address multiple burdens of malnutrition?	Does the policy address the ag-environment-nutrition nexus?	Does the policy include Cost M&E plan interventions along food systems? analysis
Agriculture Sector Food Security and Nutrition Policy (ASFS)		Recognizes burden of malnutrition in all its forms	•Strong focus on agriculture and nutrition •Climate change mentioned once	 Food supply chains Expand production of bio-fortified foods bio-fortified foods Scale up fruit and vegetable production Reduce post-harvest loss through increased cold storage and processing National aflatoxin control initiative Food environments/markets Food environments/markets Food environments/markets For smallholder farmers Improved nutrition labeling Diets Diets
Health Sector Component of . National Food and Nutrition Policy (NSPAN)	2014-2019	No Focus on undernutrition, specifically for mothers and children Does not address overweight and obesity	No •No mention of agriculture or climate change	No Yes Yes Diets Yes •Vitamin supplementation •Feeding centers for severe acute malnutrition •Breastfeeding promotion

Sources: [30, 31, 52, 61, 77]

environment [79]. Irrigation policies are also critical for climate adaptation, but efforts to scale up irrigation have been fragmented and duplicative [73, 80].

Numerous surveys of Nigerian farmers have highlighted the continued challenges to increase the use of adaptation strategies. Studies of farmers' perceptions of climate change have shown that farmers accurately perceive changes in temperature and rainfall [81], but climate adaptation strategies depend on farmer income, perception of risk, and environment [82-84]. Other surveys have found that adaptation strategies for farmers differ between older and younger farmers [82] and that in some communities, challenges remain regarding farmers' perception of the causes of climate change. Farmers with larger farms, higher incomes, and more schooling are more likely to practice adaptation strategies [82] while those that link climate change to religious beliefs are less likely to proactively use adaptive strategies [81]. A significant information gap exists between farmers [83]. Without increasing expenditure on agriculture and other related industries such as aquaculture and agroforestry, smallholder farmers are likely to be left out of mitigation and adaptation strategies.

Nutrition policies also lack budget allocations. The Scaling up Nutrition (SUN) Movement reports that Nigeria has met 70% of the criteria for an enabling policy environment for nutrition as of 2019 [85]. However, budget allocations have not matched the goals outlined in the costed strategies. Surveys of government and NGO stakeholders in 2015 and 2016 found concerns about appropriate resource allocation at the federal and state level [86]. In 2019, Nigeria only spent 0.2% of its budget on nutrition specific interventions [85]. Budget delays lead to limited implementation. A review of federal stakeholders with responsibility for the NSPAN (2014–2019) found that only 1/3 had begun implementation of the plan by 2018 [87]. Limited domestic resource mobilization means that nutrition programs rely heavily on international donors for funding [85] and those resources can vary widely depending on donor motivations.

Strong policy guidance with a lack of implementation funding has been a continued problem for the agriculture, climate, and nutrition sectors in Nigeria. Increased government spending on agriculture has been linked to economic growth [88]. However, Nigerian budgets are heavily dependent on world oil prices [23, 26], and current price slumps jeopardize programming for agriculture and nutrition (Table 1).

Conclusion and Recommendations

While budgetary dependence on oil revenues and the fragmentation of the federal system will challenge Nigeria's ability to implement policies that focus on the common systemic drivers of malnutrition and climate change, Nigeria has made large strides to improve its policy environment and coherence. Its 2017 agricultural and nutrition policy highlights a systemic understanding of the drivers of malnutrition. Integrating climate change into a more coherent food systems framework would provide nuance to future assessments of malnutrition and will allow Nigeria to address and mitigate climate risks for nutrition. Doing so would require some major revisions to the policy. Ecker et al. (2020) also recommended that Nigeria's policymakers consider adopting a food systems framework to reformulate national food and nutrition policies in order to improve household diets and reduce the multiple burdens of malnutrition [89].

One revision would be to fulfill the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Program (CAADP) pledge to invest in agriculture, and, within agriculture, nutrition-climatesensitive agriculture. Nigeria is a signatory to CAADP, which has set a goal of 10% of government budget going towards agriculture. However, Nigeria has consistently underperformed on this metric. Average yearly agricultural spending between 2008 and 2012 only totaled 4.6% of the national budget. [90]. The most recent CAADP performance assessment gave Nigeria 0% progress on its three goals related to modernized agriculture, well-nourished citizens, and environmentally sustainable and climate resilient economies [91] Nigeria must increase domestic funding for climate, agriculture, and nutrition in order to address malnutrition.

A second revision would be to consider double- or tripleduty actions. Double- and triple-duty actions simultaneously act on two or three of the epidemics (undernutrition, overweight, and/or climate). One example could be reducing red meat consumption to prevent cancer/obesity (obesity/NCDs), increase land efficiency to grow food for human consumption (undernutrition), and lower greenhouse gas emissions (climate change). These actions are carefully calibrated so that a focus on undernutrition does not lead to an increase in overweight and obesity for certain populations [92..]. Swinburn and colleagues articulated areas in which double- or even triple-duty actions could mitigate the sydemic, many with direct actions with food environments, the place where consumers engage with food systems [15••]. However, if Nigeria's national policies that touch on food systems do not address the impacts of climate change, today's investments may have little impact in the next three decades. An integrated, holistic food systems framing linking climate-smart and nutrition-sensitive agriculture on both land and water could identify priority areas for triple-duty actions to make a more significant impact on the syndemic in Nigeria [9...]. Some examples include scaling up nutrition-sensitive agriculture programs with climate adapted seeds and irrigation technologies, adapting food-based dietary guidelines to promote nutrient-dense and climate-conscious diets, taxing highly processed foods and devoting revenue streams to climate-smart agricultural policies, and developing more urban agriculture. While some of these elements are already present in Nigeria's national policies, budgetary guidelines do not explicitly promote double- or triple-duty actions. Given Nigeria's budget constraints and oil revenue volatility, double- or triple-duty actions should become priority strategies.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- Popkin BM, Corvalan C, Grummer-Strawn LM. Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. Lancet. 2020;395(10217):65–74 This study describes the changing nutrition dynamics across the world as malnutrition stagnates and overweight/obesity rises. They argue the double burden of malnutrition is rising in low- and middle-income countries.
- 2. Dietz WH. Double-duty solutions for the double burden of malnutrition. Lancet. 2017;390(10113):2607–8.
- FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019. In: Safeguarding against economic slowdowns and downturns. Rome: FAO; 2019.
- Drewnowski A, Popkin BM. The nutrition transition: new trends in the global diet. Nutr Rev. 1997;55(2):31–43.
- Popkin BM. Relationship between shifts in food system dynamics and acceleration of the global nutrition transition. Nutr Rev. 2017;75(2):73–82.
- 6. Steyn NP, Mchiza ZJ. Obesity and the nutrition transition in sub-Saharan Africa. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2014;1311(1):88–101.
- Wells JC, Sawaya AL, Wibaek R, Mwangome M, Poullas MS, Yajnik CS, et al. The double burden of malnutrition: aetiological pathways and consequences for health. Lancet. 2019;395:75–88.
- 8.• Whitmee S, Haines A, Beyrer C, Boltz F, Capon AG, Ferreira de Souza Dias B, et al. Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocence epoch: report of the Rockefeller Foundation-Lancet Commission on planetary health. Lancet. 2015;386:1973–2028 This report comprehensively describes the interactions between climate and human health.
- 9.•• Willet W, Rockström J, Loken B, Vermeulen S, Garnett T, Timan D, et al. Food in the anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet. 2019;393: 447–92 This commission report highlights the transformations needed across food systems to improve global diets, protect the

environment, and reduce climate change for a growing population.

- 10.•• IPCC. Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [Shukla PR, Skea J, Calvo E, Buendia V, Masson-Delmotte HO, Pörtner DC et al (eds.)]. In Press. 2019. This report provides a detailed analysis of climate change interactions with agriculture and food security and weighs the evidence of high to low confidence for adaptation and mitigation across food systems.
- Ripple WJ, Wolf C, Newsome TM, Barnard P, Moomaw WR. 'World scientists' warning of a climate emergency. BioScience. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biz088.
- Searchinger T, Waite R, Hanson C, Ranganathan J, Dumas P, Matthews E. Creating a sustainable food future. World Resources Report. World Resources Institute. 2019. https://wrr-food.wri.org/ sites/default/files/2019-07/WRR_Food_Full_Report_0.pdf. Accessed 20 Mar 2020.
- Springmann M, Clark M, Mason-D'Croz D, Wiebe K, Bodirsky BL, Lassaletta L, et al. Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. Nature. 2018;562:519–25. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41586-018-0594-0.
- Springmann M, Mason-D'Croz D, Robinson S, Garnett T, Godfray HCJ, Douglas G, et al. Global and regional health. Lancet. 2016;387:1937–46.
- 15.•• Swinburn BA, Atkins VJ, Baker PI, Bogard JR, Brinsden H, Calvillo A. The global syndemic of obesity, undernutrition and climate change: The Lancet Commission report. Lancet. 2019;393:791–846 This report highlights the feedback loops connecting climate change, undernutrition, and overweight/ obesity. It develops a new framework to understand these relationships as a syndemic as well as the drivers and underlying causes that cause these outcomes.
- 16.•• Fanzo J, Mclaren R, Davis C, Choufani J. Climate change and variability: What are the risks for nutrition, diets and food systems? IFPRI Discussion Paper 01645. 2017. This report provides a thorough analysis of the multiple ways climate change will impact nutrition along the supply chain. The report stresses the need to create solutions for vulnerable populations that will be particularly affected by climate-related food insecurity.
- 17. IPCC. Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pörtner HO, Roberts D, Skea J, Shukla JR et al]. In Press. 2018.
- FAO. Small family farms country factsheet: Nigeria. Food and Agriculture Organization. 2018. http://www.fao.org/3/i9930en/ 19930EN.pdf. Accessed 15 Mar 2020.
- World Bank Group. Population total- Nigeria. https://data. worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=NG. Accessed 15 Mar 2020.
- United Nations department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights. 2019. (ST/ESA/SER.A/423). Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- African Development Bank Group. West Africa economic outlook 2019. 2019 https://www.afdb.org/en/documents/document/ regional-economic-outlook-2019-west-africa-108624. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- African Development Bank Group. West Africa economic outlook 2018. 2018. https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/ Documents/Publications/2018AEO/African_Economic_Outlook_ 2018_West-Africa.pdf. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- 23. Howard J, Simmons E. Risk and resilience; advancing food and nutrition security in Nigeria through feed the future. Center for

Strategic and International Studies. 2019. https://csis-prod.s3. amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/publication/190212_ NigeriaResiliance WEB.pdf. Accessed 20 Feb 2020.

- World Bank Group. Climate change portal-Historical Data Nigeria. https://climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/country/nigeria/ climate-data-historical. Accessed 20 Feb 2020.
- Abdulkadir A, Maryam Lawal A, Muhammad TI. Climate change and its implications on human existence in Nigeria: a review. Bayero J Pure Appl Sci. 2017;10(2):152–8.
- Federal Ministry of Environment. Nigeria's second national communication under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Federal Republic of Nigeria. 2014.
- Federal Ministry of Environment. Nigeria's first national communication under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Federal Republic of Nigeria. 2003.
- Haider H. Climate change in Nigeria: impacts and responses. K4D Helpdesk Report 675. Institute of Development Studies: Brighton; 2019.
- 29. Ebele NE, Emodi NV. Climate change and its impact in Nigerian economy. J Sci Res Rep. 2016;10(6):1–13.
- Federal Ministry of Budget and National Planning. National policy on food and nutrition in Nigeria. 2016.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria. Agriculture sector food security and nutrition strategy 2016-2025. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. 2017.
- USAID. Fragility and climate risks in Nigeria. United States Agency for International Development. 2018. https://pdf.usaid. gov/pdf_docs/PA00TBFK.pdf. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- IHME. Nigeria. http://www.healthdata.org/nigeria. Accessed 12 Feb 2020.
- HLPE. Nutrition and food systems. A report by the High Level Panel of Experts on food security and nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security. 2017.
- Hawkes C, Ruel MT. Value chains for nutrition. In: Fan S, Pandya-Lorch R, editors. Reshaping agriculture for nutrition and health. IFPRI: Washington, DC; 2012. p. 73–82.
- Swinburn B, Kraak V, Rutter H, Vandevijvere S, Lobstein T, Sacks G, et al. Strengthening of accountability systems to create healthy food environments and reduce global obesity. Lancet. 2015;385: 2534–45.
- Béné C, Prager SD, Achicanoy H, Alvarez Toro P, Lamotte L, Bonilla Cedrez C, et al. Understanding food systems drivers: a critical review of the literature. Glob Food Secur. 2019;23:149–59.
- Vermeulen SJ, Campbell BM, Ingram J. Climate change and food systems. Annu Rev Environ Resour. 2012;37:195–222.
- Fanzo J, Davis C, McLaren R, Choufani J. The effect of climate change across food systems: implications for nutrition outcomes. Glob Food Secur. 2018;18:12–9.
- National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF. 2019. https:// dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR359/FR359.pdf. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.
- National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF International. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2013. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF International. 2014. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR293/ FR293.pdf. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.
- 42. National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF Macro. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2008. Abuja, Nigeria: National Population Commission and ICF Macro. 2009. https:// dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR222/FR222.pdf. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.
- 43. National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ORC Macro. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2003. Calverton, Maryland: National Population Commission and ORC Macro 2004

https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR148/FR148.pdf. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.

- National Population Commission [Nigeria]. Nigeria Demographic andHealth Survey 1999. Calverton, Maryland: National Population Commission and ORC/Macro 2000. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/ pdf/FR115/FR115.pdf. Accessed 16 Feb 2020.
- Akarolo-Anthony SN, Willett WC, Speigelman D, Adebamowo CA. Obesity epidemic has emerged among Nigerians. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:455.
- 46. Aguayo VM, Menon P. Stop stunting: improving child feeding, women's nutrition and household sanitation in South Asia. Matern Child Nutr. 2016;12:3–11.
- 47. Baye K, Laillou A, Chitweke S. Socio-economic inequalities in child stunting reduction in sub-Saharan Africa. Nutrients. 2020;12(1):253.
- Ndukwu CI, Egbuonu I, Ulasi, TO, Ebenebe JC. Determinants of undernutrition among primary school children residing in slum areas of a Nigerian city. Niger J Clin Pract. 2013;16(2):178–83.
- FAOstat. Nigeria. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#country/159. Accessed 10 Feb 2020.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in adults. Nature. 2019;569(7755):260–4.
- Amare M, Benson T, Olusegun F, Oyeyemi M. Study of the determinants of chronic malnutrition in northern Nigeria: quantitative evidence from the Nigeria Demographic and health surveys. Research Paper 83 Michigan State University. 2017.
- 52. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. The Agriculture Promotion Policy 2016-2020. 2016.
- 53. Posthumus H, Dengerink J, Dhamankar M, Plaisier C, Baltissen G. Enhancing food systems in Nigeria: scope and perspective for Dutch policy interventions. Wageningen: Wageningen University & Research; 2018.
- The Food Systems Dashboard. Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and Johns Hopkins University. 2020. Geneva, Switzerland. https://www.foodsystemsdashboard.org.
- USAID. Global food security strategy Nigeria country plan. U.S. Agency for International Development. 2018. https://www.usaid. gov/sites/default/files/documents/1867/Nigeria_GFSS_Country_ Plan_-_Final_WS_Edits_2.pdf. Accessed 12 Feb 2020.
- Kuku-Shittu O, Mathiassen A, Wadhwa A, Myles L, Ajibola A. Comprehensive food security and vulnerability analysis Nigeria. IFPRI Discussion Paper 01275. 2013.
- Schram A, Labonté R, Sanders D. Urbanization and international trade and investment policies as determinants of noncommunicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2013;56:281–301.
- Mason-D'Croz D, Bogard JR, Sulser T, Cenacchi N, Dunston S, Herrero M, et al. Gaps between fruit and vegetable production, demand and consumption at global and national levels: an integrated modeling study. Lancet Planet Health. 2019;3:e318–29.
- Global Dietary Database. Nigeria national diets in grams per day. https://www.globaldietarydatabase.org/our-data/data-visualizations/country-comparisons. Accessed 7 Sept 2020.
- USAID. Climate risk profile: Nigeria. 2019. https://reliefweb.int/ sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/2019_USAID-ATLAS-Nigeria-Climate-Risk-Profile.pdf. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- Federal Ministry of Environment Special Climate Change Unit. National adaptation strategy and plan of action on climate change for Nigeria (NASPA-CCN). Building Nigeria's Response to Climate Change (BNRCC) Project. 2011.
- Federal Government of Nigeria. Nigeria post disaster needs assessment 2012 floods. 2013.
- Ajetomobi J, Ajakaiye O, Gbadegsin A. The potential impact of climate change on Nigerian agriculture. Agrodep working paper 0016. 2015.

- 64. Liverpool-Tasie S, Reardon T, Sanou A, Ogunleye W, Ogunbayo I, Omonona B. The transformation of value chains in Africa: evidence from the first large survey of maize traders in Nigeria. IFPRI Research Paper 91. Michigan State University 2017.
- 65. Oladipo E. Towards enhancing the adaptive capacity of Nigeria: a review of the country's preparedness for climate change adaptation. Heinrich Böll Foundation Nigeria 2010. https://ng.boell.org/sites/ default/files/uploads/2013/10/nigeria_prof_oladipo_final_cga_ study.pdf. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- 66. Schmitt-Olabisi L, Liverpool-Tasie S, Onyeneke R, Choko Osuntade B, Sanou A, Singa U, et al. Climate chain adaptation in the Nigerian agricultural sector. Department of Agricultural: Food and resource Economics Michigan State University; 2019.
- Van Oort PAJ, Zwart SJ. Impacts of climate change on rice production in Africa and causes of simulated yield changes. Glob Chang Biol. 2018;24:1029–45.
- Cervigni R, Valentini R, Santini M. Toward climate-resilient development in Nigeria. Directions in development. Washington, DC: World Bank. 2013.
- Myers S, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey A, Bloom A, et al. Increasing C0₂ threatens human nutrition. Nature. 2014;510: 139–42.
- Ahmed OO, Solomon OO. Climate smart aquaculture: a sustainable approach to increasing fish production in the face of climate change in Nigeria. Int J Aquac Fish Sci. 2016;2(1):12–7.
- 71. Freeman OE. Impact of climate change on aquaculture and fisheries in Nigeria; a review. Int J Multidiscip Res Dev. 2017;4(1):53–9.
- Golden C, Allison EH, Cheugn WWL, Dey MM, Halpern BS, McCauley DJ, et al. Fall in fish catch threatens human health. Nature. 2016;534:317–20.
- Adelodun B, Choi K. A review of the evaluation of irrigation practice in Nigeria: past, present and future prospects. Afr J Agric Res. 2018;13:2087–97.
- 74. WHO Africa. Libreville Declaration. 2008. https://www.afro.who. int/publications/libreville-declaration. Accessed 30 Aug 2020.
- Downie R, Eigege J. Sound policy uneven performance: assessing Nigeria's agricultural strategy. Center for Strategic and International Studies 2016. https://csis-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/ s3fs-public/publication/160602_Downie_SoundPolicyNigeria_ Web.pdf. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- Civil Society Scaling up Nutrition in Nigeria. Report of the analysis on the adoption implementation of the 2014-2019 National Strategic Plan of action for nutrition.2016. https://cs-sunn.org/ knowledge-materials/. Accessed 10 Mar 2020.
- 77. Federal Ministry of Health. Health sector component of national food and nutrition policy (2014-2019). 2014.
- Federal Ministry of Environment, Nigeria. Nigeria's national adaptation plan framework. 2020. http://napglobalnetwork.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/06/napgn-en-2020-Nigeria-National-Adaptation-Plan-Framework.pdf. Accessed 13 Sept 2020.
- Jellason NP, Baines RN, Conway JS, Ogbaga CC. Climate change perception and attitudes to smallholder adaptation in northwestern Nigerian drylands. Sociol Sci. 2019;8(31).

- Ugalahi UB, Adeoye SO, Agbonlahor MU. Irrigation potential and rice self-sufficieny in Nigeria: a review. Afr J Agric Res. 2016;11(5):298–309.
- 81. Fatuase AI. Climate change adaptation: a panacea for food security in Ondo state, Nigeria. Theor Appl Climatol. 2017;129:939–47.
- Adesoji SA. Eating today and tomorrow: exploring indigenous farming systems of smallholder arable crop farmers in the age of climate change in Nigeria. Agric For. 2016;62(1):349–58.
- Oluwatayo IB, Ojo AO. Awareness and adaptation to climate change among yam-based farmers in rural Oyo state, Nigeria. J Dev Areas. 2016;50(2):98–108.
- Thompson OA, Atumuru OA. Socio-economic analysis of factors that influence the adaptive capacity of maize farmers to climate change in southwest Nigeria. Sci Pap Ser Manag Econ Eng Agric Rural Dev. 2018;18(4):383–94.
- Scaling up Nutrition. Country Dashboard 2019 Nigeria. https:// scalingupnutrition.org/sun-countries/nigeria/. Accessed 15 Mar 2020.
- Maredia K, Mazunda J, Tasie O. The quality of agriculture and food security policy processes at the federal and state level in Nigeria: results of a stakeholder survey. IFPRI Research Paper 74. Michigan State University 2017.
- Civil Society Scaling up Nutrition in Nigeria. Annual Report. Civil Society Scaling up Nutrition in Nigeria. 2018; https://cs-sunn.org/ knowledge-materials/. Accessed 15 Mar 2020.
- Tijani AA, Oluwasola O, Baruwa OI. Public sector expenditure in agriculture and economic growth in Nigeria: an empirical investigation. Agrekon. 2015;54(2):76–92.
- Ecker O, Comstock A, Babatunde R, Andam K. Poor dietary quality is Nigeria's key nutrition problem. East Lansing: Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, Michigan State University; 2020. https://www.canr.msu.edu/fsp/publications/ PB%20119.pdf
- Olomola A, Mogues T, Olofinbiyi T, Nwoko C, Udoh E, Alabi R et al. Analysis of agricultural public expenditures in Nigeria. IFPRI Discussion Paper 01395. 2014.
- CAADP. First continental report on implementation status of Agenda 2063: Nigeria. https://www.nepad.org/sites/default/files/ 2020-02/Nigeria.pdf.
- 92.•• Hawkes C, Ruel MT, Salm L, Sinclair B, Branca F. Double duty actions: seizing programme and policy opportunities to address malnutrition in all its forms. Lancet. 2020;(395):142–55 This report highlights how governments can combine actions (termed double duty) to reduce malnutrition and overweight/obesity. It also provides a comprehensive assessment of potential harms of siloed policy action.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.