

Document downloaded from the institutional repository of the University of Alcala: <u>https://ebuah.uah.es/dspace/</u>

This is a postprint version of the following published document:

De la Cueva-Alique, I. et al. (2019) 'Study of the anticancer properties of optically active titanocene oximato compounds', Journal of organometallic chemistry, 881, pp. 150–158.

Available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2018.12.011





This work is licensed under a

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Study of the Anticancer Properties of Optically Active Titanocene Oximato Compounds

- 3 Isabel de la Cueva-Alique,[‡] Sara Sierra,[‡] Adrián Pérez-Redondo,[‡] Isabel Marzo,[⊥]
- 4 Lourdes Gude,[‡] Tomás Cuenca,[‡] Eva Royo^{‡*}
- 5
- 6 Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Pascual Royo, who loved aquo titanium chemistry
- 7

8 * Departamento de Química Orgánica y Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Investigación
9 en Química Andrés del Río (IQAR), Universidad de Alcalá, 28805 Alcalá de Henares,
10 Madrid, Spain

11 ^L Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular y Celular, Universidad de Zaragoza,

12 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

13 Keywords

14 titanium, chiral, enantiomer, DNA, cytotoxic

15 Corresponding Author

- 16 *E-mail: <u>eva.royo@uah.es</u>. Phone Nr. (+34) 918854629; Fax Nr. (+34) 918854683
- 17 ABSTRACT

New water soluble and optically active cyclopentadienyl titanium derivatives $[(\eta^5 -$ 1 2 C_5H_5 ₂Ti{(1*R*,4*S*)- κ ON,(R)NH}Cl] (R = Bn (Benzyl) 1a', 2-pic (2-picolylamine) 1b') 3 have been synthesized. The novel compounds along with those previously described $[(\eta^5 -$ 4 $C_5H_5_2Ti\{(1S,4R)-\kappa ON,(R)NH\}CI\}(R = Bn 1a, 2-pic 1b)$ were evaluated by polarimetry, 5 ultra-violet and circular dichroism spectroscopy. The structure of 1b was determined by 6 single crystal X-ray crystallography and showed a unique terminal monohapto Ti-O 7 disposition of the oximato ligand. All enantiomers have been tested against several cancer 8 cell lines in vitro: prostate PC-3 and DU-145, lung A-549, pancreas MiaPaca-2, colorectal 9 HCT-116, leukemia Jurkat and cervical HeLa. In addition, 1a, 1b and 1b' were tested 10 against non-tumorigenic prostate RWPE-1 cell line. After 24 h of incubation, 1b and 1b' 11 were moderately active against Jurkat and A-549 cells. The anti-proliferative effect of 12 titanium compounds on prostate PC-3, DU-145 and RWPE-1 cell lines was also assessed 13 after 72 h of drug exposure. The cytotoxic profile of the enantiomers was similar, 14 exception made for the PC-3 cells, with S, R-isomers exhibiting cytotoxicities 2 to 3 times 15 higher than R,S-compounds. Under these conditions, derivative 1b showed calculated 16 Tacke's IC50 values better than those of Titanocene-Y (bis-[(*p*-17 methoxybenzyl)cyclopentadienyl]titanium(IV) dichloride) on both the prostate PC-3 and 18 DU-145 cells. 1a and 1b cytotoxic behaviour shows certain selectiveness, with activities 19 2-4 times lower on normal prostate RWPE-1 than on cancer PC-3 cells. Furthermore, 1b 20 produces higher cytotoxicity on prostate PC-3, DU-145 and RWPE-1 cells than the 21 additive dose of titanocene dichloride and pro-ligand b·HCl. Additionally, compound-22 DNA interactions have been investigated by equilibrium dialysis, Fluorescence 23 Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) melting assays and viscometric titrations, which 24 suggest that these metal complexes and/or their hydrolysis products bind DNA either in 25 the minor groove or externally.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Since the successful introduction of cisplatin (cis-[PtCl₂(NH₃)₂]) as an anticancer drug, 3 much effort has been devoted to investigation of the anticancer activity of other 4 coordination and/or organometallic transition metal compounds [1-7]. The titanium derivatives titanocene dichloride ($[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2]$, TDC) [8,9] and budotitane ([*cis*-5 6 diethoxybis(1-phenylbutane-1,3-dionato)titanium(IV)]) [10,11] were the first metal 7 compounds to enter clinical trials after platinum complexes. Although these derivatives 8 showed promising properties in preliminary studies, they failed advanced clinical trials 9 due to low antitumor efficacy in vivo, rapid hydrolysis and limited solubility in biological 10 media [12-19]. Since then, a plethora of modified titanium based compounds have been 11 synthesized and studied as potential antitumor agents [17-29].

12 The effect of stereochemistry on biological activity is of great importance in medicinal 13 chemistry, as many of the biological targets are chiral [30,31]. The anticancer properties 14 of chiral metal derivatives have been largely studied [32-46], but the role of the 15 stereochemistry in the biological activity of non-platinum based compounds has been less 16 investigated [22,47-61]. Effect of the absolute configuration on the anticancer efficiency 17 of titanium compounds was firstly explored by Tshuva in 2010 [50]. The enantiomers of 18 C₂-symmetrical Ti(IV) compounds with chiral diamine bis(phenolato) ligands showed 19 different antitumor activities by factors of 2-4 on human colorectal (HT-29) and ovarian 20 (OVCAR-1) carcinoma cells [50,51,56,60]. According to these results, the authors 21 proposed that stereochemistry should be considered in the design, modification, and 22 improvement of active compounds [60]. The same year, Baird published a family of 23 enantiomerically pure titanocene derivatives bearing chiral alkylammonium groups, but 24 a relationship between the anticancer activity and chirality could not be established due 25 to the low cytotoxicity showed on the cancer cell lines evaluated [62]. Enantiomerdependent activity was found in chiral substituted titanocene compounds by Cini et al [22,58], with the (*S*,*S*) enantiomer of $Cp^{R_2}TiCl_2$ ($Cp^{R} = \eta^5 - C_5H_4CH(CH_2CH_3)C_6H_5OMe$) being twice as active as the (*R*,*R*) isomer towards pancreatic, breast and colon cancer cell lines, after 24 h of treatment. Interestingly, lack of enantiomer recognition was observed at 72 h when screening the compounds in MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assays.

7 Within this context, enantiomerically pure, naturally occurring terpenes are useful 8 building blocks for asymmetric synthesis [63,64]. They are inexpensive and 9 commercially available reagents in optically pure form, and easily tailored by 10 stereoselective functionalization [65]. On the other hand, oxime groups are presented as 11 excellent chemical modifiers, with a wide versatility of coordination modes going from 12 mono κ NO, κ ON, to dihapto κ^2 N,O; either with *side on* or bridging coordination, which 13 could offer an increased stability of the final compounds when bonded to Ti(IV) acid 14 centres [66-68].

15 We have recently reported a new family of enantiopure cyclopentadienyl titanium(IV) compounds with amino-oximato ligands derived from R-limonene, of formula $[(\eta^5 -$ 16 17 $C_5H_5_2Ti\{(1S,4R)-\kappa ON,(R)NH\}Cl\}$ (R = Bn 1a, 2-pic 1b) (Fig. 1), with relevant antitumor properties. Our compounds show significant effects on cytotoxicity, cell 18 19 adhesion to collagen and migration of androgen-independent prostate cancer cells while 20 they do not seem to exhibit strong interactions with plasmid DNA by electrophoretic 21 mobility shift assays. Compounds 1a or 1b suffered hydrolysis in water or phosphate 22 buffered saline (PBS) solutions. However, the additive doses of TDC and a·HCl or b·HCl 23 produced lower antiproliferative effects on prostate cancer PC3 cells than those observed 24 after treatment with oximato titanocenes 1a or 1b, respectively. This fact led us to the

- 1 conclusion that the active operating titanium species was positively influenced by the
- 2 presence of the oximato ligand [69].



3

4 Fig. 1 Optically active titanocene compounds containing ligands derived from *R*5 limonene

Encouraged by these previous results, we decided to explore the reactions of TDC with
the already described amino-oxime chiral compounds (1*R*,4*S*)-{NH(R),NOH} (R = Bn
a', 2-pic b', see Fig. 2) [65,70,71], derived from *S*-limonene.



10

9

11 Fig. 2 Synthesis of optically active titanocene oximato compounds

We report here on the synthesis and characterization of corresponding cyclopentadienyl Ti(IV) enantiomers $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1R,4S)-\kappa ON,(R)NH\}Cl]$ (R = Bn 1a', 2-pic 1b').

Their hydrolytic behaviour has been studied by ¹H NMR, Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) 1 2 spectroscopy and circular dichroism (DC). These novel compounds along with those 3 previously described have been evaluated against several cancer cell lines in vitro: 4 prostate PC-3 and DU-145, lung A-549, pancreas MIA PaCa-2, colorectal HCT-116, 5 leukemia Jurkat and cervical HeLa. In addition, the compounds were tested against the 6 non-tumorigenic human prostate RWPE-1 cell line. DNA interactions of the metal 7 derivatives and/or their hydrolysis products have been further investigated by FRET 8 melting assays, equilibrium dialysis and viscometric titrations experiments.

- 9
- 10

2. Experimental Section

11 2.1. Chemicals and synthesis

12 Manipulations involving the synthesis of titanium compounds 1a, 1a', 1b and 1b' and 13 Titanocene-Y (bis-[(p-methoxybenzyl)cyclopentadienyl]titanium(IV) dichloride) were 14 performed at an argon/vacuum manifold using standard Schlenk techniques or in a 15 MBraun MOD System glove-box. Solvents were dried by known procedures and used 16 freshly distilled. Titanocene-Y [72], (1S,4R)-{NH(R),NOH}, (R = Bn **a** [70], 2-pic **b**); 17 (1R,4S)-{NH(R),NOH} (R = Bn a'; 2-pic b'); corresponding adducts (1S,4R)-18 {NH(R)·HCl,NOH}, (R = Bn \mathbf{a} ·HCl, 2-pic \mathbf{b} ·HCl); (1R,4S)-{NH(R)·HCl,NOH} (R = Bn a'·HCl, 2-pic b'·HCl) [63,73] and metal compounds $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1S,4R)-$ 19 20 $\kappa ON_{R}(R)NHC1]$ (R = Bn 1a, 2-pic 1b) [69] were prepared according to previous reports. 21 R- or S-limonene and isopentyl nitrite were reacted following the standard method 22 described by Carman et al in 1977 [73]. R-limonene, S-limonene, TDC and cisplatin were 23 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Commercially available reagents were used without 24 further purification. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 Ultrashield. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported relative to 25

tetramethylsilane. ¹⁵N chemical shifts are reported relative to liquid ammonia (25 °C). 1 2 Coupling constants J are given in Hertz. Elemental analysis was performed on a LECO 3 CHNS 932 Analyzer at the Universidad de Alcalá or, alternatively, at the Universidad 4 Autónoma de Madrid. Fourier Transform Infrared (FT IR) spectra were recorded on IR 5 FT Perkin Elmer (Spectrum 2000) spectrophotometer on KBr pellets. The pH was 6 measured in a HANNA HI208 pHmeter in distilled water solutions. Circular Dichroism 7 (CD) spectra were recorded on a J-715 CD spectropolarimeter (Jasco, UK) at ambient 8 temperature (297 K). The spectra were determined at a concentration of 0.5 mM in water using a quartz cuvette of 0.5 cm path length, scan speed of 20 nm·min⁻¹, 0.1 nm band 9 10 width, 0.5 nm data pitch and 0.5 s of response time. Optical rotations of all the compounds 11 solutions were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter, using the sodium D line (589 12 nm) at ambient temperature (297 K) in a quartz cell of 1 dm path length. Specific optical 13 rotation values were calculated according to the equation $\left[\alpha\right]^{24} = 100 \cdot \alpha_{obs}/1 \cdot c$ [74]. 14 Analytical balance and volumetric pipettes (2.0 mL) were used to prepare CHCl₃ 15 solutions of the compounds at concentrations within a range of 7.50-7.80 $g \cdot dL^{-1}$. UV-Vis 16 spectra were measured at room temperature on water solutions of the compounds with a 17 Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 spectrophotometer.

18 2.1.1. (IR,4S)-{NH(2-pic),NOH} (b'). An analogous procedure to that described before for the synthesis of **b** [63] was used, starting from S-limonene [70,71,73]. $[\alpha]^{23}$ (deg·dm⁻ 19 1 cm³·g⁻¹) -126 ± 1.3 (**b**' at c = 0.7839 g·dL⁻¹, α_{obs} = -0.957 deg); +127 ± 1.3 (**b** at c = 20 0.7604 g·dL⁻¹, $\alpha_{obs} = +0.954$ deg). All analytical and spectroscopic data are identical to 21 22 those observed for **b**. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₆H₂₃N₃O: C, 70.30; H, 8.48; N, 15.37; Found: C, 70.13; H, 8.07; N, 15.20. FT IR (KBr, λmax/cm⁻¹): 3086-3314 (br, vOH/NH), 1650, 23 1598 (vC=N). UV-Vis (0.1 mM in H₂O:DMSO 99:1): λmax (ε): 261 (316), 340 (10). ¹H 24 25 NMR (plus two dimensional correlation spectroscopy (COSY), 400.1 MHz, 293 K,

1 chloroform-d₁): δ 9.80 (=NOH), 8.49, 7.60, 7.28, 7.11 (m, each 1H, NC₅H₄), 4.75 (br, 2H, =CH₂), 3.87, 3.61 (both d, each 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6, -CH₂-C₅H₄N), 3.28 (d, 1H, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 12, -2 $CH_{2^{3}}$), 2.60 (br, 1H, NH), 2.09 (m, 1H, -CH⁴), 2.03 (dd, 1H, ²J_{HH} = 12, ³J_{HH} = 3, -CH₂³), 3 2,00, 1.69 (m, each 1H, $-CH_2^6 + -CH_2^5$), 1.85 (m, 1H, CH_2^6), 1.75 (s, 3H, CH_3 -C=), 1.65 4 (m, 1H, CH₂⁵), 1.32 (s, 3H, - CH₃-Cq-N). ¹³C NMR (plus Attached Proton Test (APT), 5 6 plus gradient Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence (gHSQC), plus Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation (HMBC), 100.6 MHz, 293 K, chloroform-d_l): δ 162.4 7 8 (Cq=NOH, Cq is quaternary carbon), 161.1 (Cipso-C5H4N), 148.9 (C=CH2), 149.2, 136.8, 9 122.7, 122.1 (C₅H₄N), 109.6 (=CH₂), 56.9 (Cq-NH), 48.1 (CH₂-C₅H₄N), 45.0 (CH⁴), 40.5 (-CH₂⁶), 26.4 (-CH₂⁵), 25.6 (-CH₂³), 23.5 (-CH₃-CNH), 21.0 (CH₃-C=). ¹⁵N NMR 10 11 (gHMBC, 40.5 MHz, 293 K, chloroform-d₁): δ 346.7 (C=N-), 305.3 (C₅H₄N), 51.8 (-12 NHpic).

13 2.1.2. $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1R,4S)-\kappa ON,(Bn)NH\}Cl]$ (1a'). An analogous procedure to that 14 described for $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1S,4R)\kappa ON,(Bn)NH\}Cl]$ [69] was followed, starting from 15 TDC (0.20 g, 0.80 mmol), (1R,4S)-{NH(Bn),NOH} (0.22 g, 0.80 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.11 16 mL, 0.80 mmol). Compound 1a' was obtained as a yellow-orange solid. Yield: 0.32 g (88%). $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ (deg·dm⁻¹·cm³·g⁻¹) -88.9 ± 1.2 (1a' at c = 0.7602 g·dL⁻¹, α_{obs} = -0.676 deg), 17 +89.2 ± 1.2 (1a at c = 0.7497 g·dL⁻¹, α_{obs} = +0.681 deg). Analytical and spectroscopic 18 19 data of the compound are identical to those already reported [69]. Solubility in H₂O at 24 20 °C (mM): 6.6 \pm 0.2. Value of pH ([2.0 mM]) in H₂O at 24 °C: 5.54. Anal. Calcd for 21 C₂₇H₃₃ClN₂OTi: C, 66.88; H, 6.86; N, 5.78; Found: C, 66.80; H, 6.90; N, 5.76. FT IR 22 (KBr, λmax/cm⁻¹): 3370 (m, NH), 1646, 1601 (both m, C=N). ¹H NMR (plus HSQC, plus HMBC, plus COSY, 400.1 MHz, 293 K, chloroform- d_1): δ 7.32 (m, 5H, -C₆H₅), 23 24 6.39, 6.39 (both s, each 5H, C₅H₅), 4.76, 4.74 (both s, each 1H, =CH₂), 3.76, 3.55 (both

m, each 1H, -CH₂Ph), 2.92 (m, 1H, -CH₂³), 2.05 (m, 1H, -CH-C=), 1.90 (m, 1H, -CH₂⁶), 1 1.72 (m, 1H, -CH₂³), 1.68 (m, 1H, -CH₂⁵), 1.59 (m, 1H, -CH₂⁶), 1.56 (m, 1H, -CH₂⁵), 1.25 2 3 (br, 1H, NH), 1.47, 1.25 (both s, each 3H, NC-CH₃ + CH₃C=). ¹³C NMR (plus APT, plus gHSQC, plus HMBC, 100.6 MHz, 293 K, chloroform-*d*₁): δ 159.2 (Cq=N), 149.3 (=Cq-4 5 Me), 141.6 (C_{ipso}Ph), 128.7, 128.7, 127.2 (C₆H₅), 117.1, 117.1 (C₅H₅), 109.4 (=CH₂), 57.1 (Cq-NH), 47.3 (-CH₂Ph), 45.6 (-CH⁴), 41.2 (-CH₂⁶), 27.8 (-CH₂³), 26.2 (-CH₂⁵), 23.9, 6 21.3 (CH₃-CNH + CH₃-C=). ¹⁵N NMR (gHMBC, 40.5 MHz, 293 K, chloroform-d_l): δ 7 8 398.9 (C=N), 60.0 (NHBn).

9 2.1.3. $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1R,4S)-\kappa ON,(2-pic)NH\}C]$ (1b'). An analogous procedure to that described for $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti\{(1S,4R)-\kappa ON,(2-pic)NH\}Cl][69]$ was followed, starting 10 11 from TDC (0.30 g, 1.20 mmol), (1R,4S)-{NH(2-pic),NOH} (0.33 g, 1.20 mmol) and NEt₃ 12 (0.11 mL, 1.20 mmol). Compound 1b' was obtained as a yellow-orange solid. Yield: 0.35 g (60%). $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ (deg·dm⁻¹·cm³·g⁻¹) -75.7 ± 1.2 (1b' at c = 0.7534 g·dL⁻¹, α_{obs} = -0.570 13 deg), +74.2 ± 1.2 (**1b** at c = 0.7772 g·dL⁻¹, α_{obs} = +0.570 deg). Solubility in H₂O at 24 °C 14 15 (mM): 15.7 ± 1.7 . Value of pH ([2.0 mM]) in H₂O at 24 °C: 5.22. Anal. Calcd for 16 C₂₆H₃₂ClN₃OTi: C, 64.27; H, 6.64; N, 8.65; Found: C, 64.62; H, 7.25; N, 8.54. FT IR (KBr, λmax/cm⁻¹): \bar{v} 3304 (m, NH), 1640, 1591, 1569 (all s, C=N). ¹H NMR (plus HSQC, 17 18 plus HMBC, plus COSY, 400.1 MHz, 293 K, chloroform-d₁): 8 8.50, 7.60, 7.30, 7.12 (all 19 m, each 1H, -NC₅H₄), 6.38, 6.38 (both s, each 5H, C₅H₅), 4.77, 4.74 (both s, each 1H, 20 =CH₂), 3.91, 3.70 (both m, each 1H, CH₂-C₅H₄N), 2.84 (m, 1H, -CH₂³), 2.07 (m, 1H, -CH-C=), 1.98 (m, 2H, overlapped -CH2⁶⁺³), 1.78 (s, 3H, CH3C=), 1.64 (m, 1H, -CH2⁶), 21 22 1.62 (m, 1H, $-CH_2^5$), 1.60 (m, 1H, $-CH_2^5$), 1.48 (br, 4H, NC-CH₃ + NH). ¹³C NMR (plus APT, plus gHSQC, plus HMBC, 100.6 MHz, 293 K, chloroform- d_1): δ 157.6 (Cq=N), 23 24 148.1 (=Cq-Me), 160.2 (C_{ipso}C₅H₄N), 149.3, 136.7, 122.9, 122.9 (C₅H₄N), 117.1, 117.1

(C₅H₅), 109.6 (=CH₂), 48.5 (-*C*H₂-C₅H₄N), 45.3 (-CH⁴), 41.1 (-CH₂⁶), 27.7 (-CH₂³), 26.2
 (-CH₂⁵), 23.9, 21.3 (*C*H₃-CNH + *C*H₃-C=). ¹⁵N NMR (gHMBC, 40.5 MHz, 293 K,
 chloroform-*d*₁): δ 402.1 (C=N), 312.5 (C₅H₄N), 52.6 (NHpic).

4 2.1.5. ¹H NMR experiments at physiological pH. Phosphate buffered saline solution 5 prepared according to Cold Harbor (PBS) was Spring Protocols 6 (http://cshprotocols.cshlp.org/content/2006/1/pdb.rec8247) using NaCl, KCl, Na₂HPO₄ 7 and K₂HPO₄ in D₂O. Adjustment of pD (pD = pH* + 0.4, where pH* = pHmeter reading 8 in D₂O) was carried out using a solution of DCl (0.01M) or NaOD (0.01M) in D₂O, with 9 the help of a HANNA HI208 pHmeter. Titanium compounds were then dissolved in 2000 10 μ L of the freshly prepared PBS, final pD measured (7.30-7.38) and time-dependent ¹H 11 NMR spectra of 500 µL aliquots of final solutions were carried out at 25 °C.

12 2.2. Single-crystal X-ray structure determination

13 Yellow crystals of pure enantiomer 1b were grown from a hexane-toluene solution. The 14 crystals were removed from the vial and covered with a layer of a viscous 15 perfluoropolyether. A suitable crystal was selected with the aid of a microscope, 16 mounted on a cryo-loop, and placed in the low-temperature nitrogen stream of the 17 diffractometer. The intensity data sets were collected at 200 K on a Bruker-Nonius 18 Kappa CCD diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryostream 700 unit. The 19 molybdenum radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$) was used in both cases, graphite monochromated. 20 and enhanced with an MIRACOL collimator.

The structure was solved, using WINGX package [75], by intrinsic phasing methods (SHELXT) [76], and refined by least-squares against F² (SHELXL-2014/7) [77]. Crystals of **1b** were refined as a two-component inversion twin, and also had two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit with no significant differences. All non-hydrogen atoms

1 were anisotropically refined. Positions of the amine hydrogen atoms, H(2) and H(21), were located in the difference Fourier map. H(2) was refined isotropically, while U_{iso} for 2 3 H(21) was fixed with a value of 0.05. The rest of the hydrogen atoms were positioned and 4 refined by using a riding model. Crystal data for 1b: $(C_{26}H_{32}ClN_3OTi)$, FW = 485.89, 5 Monoclinic, space group $P2_1$, crystal dimensions (mm³) 0.30 x 0.27 x 0.27, a = 10.470(1), $b = 11.631(1), \beta = 91.53(1), c = 19.856(3)$ Å, V = 2417.2(5) Å³, $Z = 4, \rho_{calcd} = 1.335$ g 6 7 cm^{-3} , $\mu = 0.488 mm^{-1}$, F(000) = 1024, θ range = 3.08 to 27.50 deg, no. of rflns collected 8 = 42638, no. of indep rflns / R_{int} = 10939 / 0.074, no. of data / restraints / params = 10939 9 /1/589, R1/wR2 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) = 0.068 / 0.141, R1/wR2 (all data) = 0.089 / 0.151, GOF (on F^2) = 1.167, Absolute structure parameter = 0.04(5). Final difference Fourier maps 10 did not show peaks higher than 0.695 nor deeper than -0.329 eÅ⁻³. CCDC-1572920 11 12 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be 13 obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via 14 www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

15 2.3. Cell culture, cytotoxicity assays and cell death analysis

16 2.3.1. Cell culture

17 The prostate androgen-unresponsive cancer cell line PC-3 was obtained from the 18 American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and may be related to recurrent 19 prostate cancers that have achieved androgen independence. All culture media were 20 supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin/amphoterycin B (Life Technologies, 21 Barcelona, Spain). The culture was performed in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment at 22 37 °C. After the cells reached 70–80% confluence, they were washed with PBS, detached 23 with 0.25% trypsin/0.2% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and seeded at 30,000-24 40,000 cells cm⁻². The culture medium was changed every 3 days. A549 (lung carcinoma) 25 cells were maintained in high glucose DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium)

1 and RWPE-1 (non-tumorigenic prostate) cells in DMEM/F12 (Dulbecco's Modified 2 Eagle Medium: Nutrient Mixture F-12), supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 200 U·mL⁻¹ penicillin, 100 µg·mL-1 streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine. DU-145 3 4 (prostate carcinoma), MIA PaCa-2 (pancreas carcinoma), HCT-116 (colorectal 5 carcinoma), HeLa (cervical cancer) and Jurkat (leukemic cancer) cells were maintained 6 in RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) 1640 medium supplemented with 5% FBS, 200 U·mL⁻¹ penicillin, 100 µg·mL⁻¹ streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cultures were 7 8 maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air:5% CO₂ at 37 °C. Adherent cells were 9 allowed to attach for 24 h prior to addition of compounds.

10

2.3.2. MTT Toxicity Assays

For toxicity assays, cells (5 \times 10⁴ for Jurkat cells and 10⁴ for adherent cell lines) were 11 seeded in flat-bottom 96-well plates (100 µL/well) in complete medium. Adherent cells 12 13 were allowed to attach for 24 h prior to addition of cisplatin or tested compounds. Stock 14 solutions of Titanocene-Y, TDC and ammonium-oxime pro-ligands were freshly 15 prepared in 1% of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in water, while cisplatin and oximato 16 titanium compounds were dissolved in culture medium. The stock solutions were then 17 diluted in complete medium and used for sequential dilutions to desired concentrations. 18 The final concentration of DMSO in the cell culture medium did not exceed 0.1%. Control 19 groups with and without DMSO (0.1%) were included in the assays. Compounds were 20 then added at different concentrations in quadruplicate. Cells were incubated with 21 compounds for 24 h or 72 h, and then cell proliferation was determined by a modification 22 of the MTT-reduction method. Briefly, 10 µL/well of [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide] (MTT) (5 mg·mL⁻¹ in PBS) was added, and plates were 23 24 incubated for 1–3 h at 37 °C. Finally, formazan crystals were dissolved by adding 100 25 µL/well *i*PrOH (0.05 M HCl) and gently shaking. The optical density was measured at

550 nm using a 96-well multi-scanner auto-reader Enzyme-Linked Inmuno Sorbent
 Assay (ELISA).

3 2.4. DNA interaction studies

4 2.4.1. Equilibrium Dialysis

5 Duplex DNA from calf thymus (CT DNA), (Deoxyribonucleic acid, Activated, Type 6 XV) was directly purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as provided. Duplex-forming 7 oligonucleotides ds17-1 (5'-CCA GTT CGT AGT AAC CC-3') and ds17-2 (5'-GGG TTA 8 CTA CGA ACT GG-3') were acquired High Performance Liquid Chromatography 9 (HPLC) -purified and desalted from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). Dialysis 10 membranes (Spectra/Por® molecular porous membrane tubing MWCO: 3.5-5.0 kDa; 6.4 11 mm diameter) were purchased from Spectrum Laboratories Inc. Aqueous solutions of 12 surfactant sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) (10%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 13 The buffer employed in this experiment was 10 mM phosphate buffer 14 NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2, with either 10 mM or 100 mM NaCl. The solutions of 15 DNA were prepared in the working phosphate buffer at 75 µM monomeric unit (mum.) 16 concentrations, in base pairs. For the preparation of the short oligonucleotide solution, an 17 annealing step was needed, with heating at 90 °C for 10 min and then gradually cooling 18 to 25 °C during 3 h. The solutions were left at 4 °C overnight.

19 Dialysis bags, previously washed with milli-Q water, were filled with 75 μ M (m.u.) of 20 DNA duplex (200 μ L each bag) and placed in a beaker containing 225 mL of ca. 2 μ M 21 solution of the tested compound. The beaker was covered with parafilm and aluminium 22 foil and allowed to equilibrate during 24 h at room temperature. Experiments were run, 23 at least, in triplicate. Once the dialysis process had been completed, the solutions from 24 each dialysis bag were transferred to Eppendorf tubes. The content of each bag was then 25 mixed with an aqueous detergent solution (10%) to reach a 1% concentration (v/v) of 1 SDS. The concentrations of free compound in the dialysate solution and compound in the 2 dialysis bags were determined by absorbance measurements using the extinction 3 coefficients of the metal complexes (determined in the presence and absence of the 4 detergent) and apparent association constants were calculated [78].

5 2.4.2. DNA FRET melting assay

6 The DNA melting assay was performed on a quantitative PCR kit ABI PRISM® 7000 7 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) in a 96-well plate format (96-Well 8 Optical MicroAmp[®] Reaction Plate, Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies 9 Corporation). The oligonucleotide sequence employed in this experiment, F10T (5'-10 FAM-AGC TAT TA /sp18/ TA TA GCT ATA-TAMRA-3') was produced, HPLC-11 purified and desalted by IDT. FAM is 6-carboxyfluorescein and TAMRA is 12 carboxytetramethylrhodamine. The buffer system used in this experiment was: 10 mM 13 sodium cacodylate, 100 mM LiCl, (pH = 7.3). First, the duplex-forming oligonucleotide 14 was dissolved in water (grade BPC) and a 50 μ M stock solution was prepared, which was 15 then diluted to 0.5 µM. Then, the diluted DNA solution was mixed with the working 16 buffer (2x) and water Biotechnology Performance Certified (BPC) grade. The DNA 17 solution was heated at 90 °C for 10 min, cooled down slowly for 3 h and left at 4 °C 18 overnight. Compounds to be tested were dissolved in water and approximately 1 mM 19 stock solutions were prepared. The exact concentrations were checked by UV-Vis. Stock 20 solutions were then diluted with buffer to obtain 50 µM solutions of each compound. In 21 a 96-well microplate, DNA solutions were mixed with solutions of tested compound and 22 buffer to reach a total volume of 50 μ L with a F10T concentration of 0.2 μ M and a 23 compound concentration ranging between 1 and 10 μ M.

The experimental protocol consisted of an incubation for 5 min at 24 °C, followed by a temperature ramp with heating rate 1 °C/min. Fluorescence values corresponding to the fluorophore FAM at wavelength of 516 nm (after excitation at 492 nm) were collected at each degree of temperature. Afterwards, the fluorescence data were normalized, plotted against temperature (°C) at each compound concentration, and T_m values were determined.

5 2.4.3. Viscometric titrations

6 Duplex DNA from CT (Deoxyribonucleic acid, Activated, Type XV) was purchased 7 from Sigma Aldrich and used as provided. The buffer employed in this experiment was 8 10 mM phosphate buffer NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2. The viscosity measurements 9 were performed in a Visco System AVS 470 at 25.00 ± 0.01 °C, using a microUbbelohde 10 (K = 0.01) capillary viscometer. 6 mL of DNA solution (0.4 mM in nucleotides) in 11 phosphate buffer were equilibrated for 20 min at 25.00 °C and then 20 flow times were 12 registered. Small aliquots (30-50 µL) of solutions of metal complexes (1.6-2.3 mM) were 13 added to the same DNA solution. Before each flow time registration, the solutions were 14 equilibrated for 20 min to 25.00 °C and then 20 flow times were measured. With the 15 averaged time of the different flow time measurements and the viscometer constant, the viscosities (µ) for each point were calculated. The viscosity results were plotted as 16 $(\mu/\mu_0)^{1/3}$, where μ_0 represents the DNA solution viscosity in the absence of the ligand, 17 18 versus (r), representing the ratio [ligand]/[DNA].

19 2.5. Data analysis

20 Results were subjected to computer-assisted statistical analysis using One-Way 21 Analysis of Variance ANOVA, Bonferroni's post-test, and Student's t-test. Data are 22 shown as the means of individual experiments and presented as the mean \pm SD (Standard 23 deviation). Differences of P < 0.05 were considered to be significantly different from the 24 controls.

1 **3.** Results and Discussion

2 3.1. Synthesis and characterization of metal compounds

Synthesis of the novel Ti(IV) compounds was carried out analogously to that of previously described enantiomers **1a** and **1b** [69]. Treatment of TDC and amino-oxime derivatives **a'** or **b'** in the presence of NEt₃ allows isolation of novel chiral-at-ligand titanium compounds **1a'** or **1b'**, respectively (Fig. 2), which are formed together with Et₃N·HCl.

8 Analytical and spectroscopic data of the novel compounds 1a' and 1b' are identical to
9 those reported before for 1a and 1b, respectively (see ref [69], Experimental Section and
10 Online Resource, Fig. S3-S9).

Calculated data of specific optical rotation in chloroform solution for the ligands and novel metal derivatives ($[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ (deg·dm⁻¹·dL·g⁻¹) = -127 ± 1.3 a', +130 ± 1.3 a, -126 ± 1.3 b', +127 ± 1.3 b, -88.9 ± 1.2 1a', +89.2 ± 1.2 1a, -75.7 ± 1.2 1b', +74.2 ± 1.2 1b) evidence the enantiomeric relationship of the stereoisomers. Furthermore, absolute configuration of the compound 1b has been confirmed through X-ray structure determination (Fig. 3, and Online Resource Table S1, S2 and Fig. S16).

17

18



Fig. 3 ORTEP drawing of compound 1b with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen
bonded to carbon atoms have been omitted for clarity. Representative bond lengths (Å)
and angles (deg): Ti(1)-Ct(1) 2.073; Ti(1)-Ct(2) 2.065; Ti(1)-Cl(1) 2.380(2); Ti(1)-O(1)
1.899(4); Ti(1)····N(1) 2.866(5); N(1)-O(1) 1.403(6); N(1)-C(21) 1.273(8); Cl(1)-Ti(1)O(1) 92.4(2); Ti(1)-O(1)-N(1) 119.6(3); O(1)-N(1)-C(21) 114.1(5); Ct(1)-Ti(1)-Ct(2)
130.3; (Ct(1) is the centroid of the C(11)-C(15) ring, Ct(2) is the centroid of the C(16)C(20) ring)

9

1

The X-ray crystal structure determination of **1b** shows the presence of two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, with the same absolute configuration of the two chiral centers; an ORTEP diagram of one of them is presented in Fig. 3. The crystallographic study confirms a monohapto coordination of the oximato unit to the titanium atom. The compound shows a pseudotetrahedral environment around the metal centre, with Ti-O bond distances and O-N-C angles slightly shorter and closer (Online Resource Fig. S16), respectively, than those found in analogous biscyclopentadienyl oximato titanium(IV)

1 derivatives [66,68] or alcoxo oximato titanium(IV) compounds [79-82] with a dihapto 2 κ^2 NO coordination of the oximato unit to the titanium centre.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example found of an oximato titanium derivative with a terminal monohapto Ti-ON= coordination, where this coordination mode is probably caused by the large steric requirements of the functionalized cyclohexane residue. This terminal coordination may account for the hydrolysis suffered for the compounds in aqueous media. In contrast, dihapto titanocene oximato compounds $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Ti(H_2O)(\kappa^2O=NR)]^+$ (R = CMe₂; C₆H₁₀), reported by Thewalt et al [66], were described as surprisingly stable against air and water.

The reactions in water or PBS solutions of **1a** or **1b** were elucidated in a previous report and afford soluble ammonium-oxime pro-ligands (1S,4R)-{NH(R)·HCl,NOH} (R = Bn **a·HCl** or 2-pic **b·HCl**, respectively), together with aqua-oxo or –hydroxo biscyclopentadienyltitanium(IV) species [69,83,84] which are detected at least during the first three hours after dilution. The same behavior as that described before has now been observed for novel compounds **1a'** and **1b'** when their solutions in water-*d*₂ or PBS were studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (see Online Resource, Fig. S10).

17 We decided to further investigate the existence of an amino-oxime ligand containing 18 Ti(IV) species, which could account for the observed stereoisomer-dependent cytotoxic 19 behaviour of the compounds on the prostate cancer PC-3 cell line. Since UV-Vis 20 spectroscopy is considered a more sensitive technique than NMR, we recorded time-21 dependent UV-Vis spectra for compounds 1a' and 1b' in PBS solution. Right after 22 dilution, UV-Vis spectrum of 1a' (Online Resource Fig. S13) and 1b' (Fig. 4) shows two 23 very broad absorption bands centered at 240 and 325, and 246 and 322 nm, respectively, 24 ascribed to overlapping of LMCT bands due to cyclopentadienyltitanium aquo cations and the absorption bands corresponding to proligands a'·HCl and b'·HCl. After 24 h, 25

only the absorption bands assigned to a'·HCl or b'·HCl, at 250 and 332, and 260 and
 332 nm, respectively, are detected. Similar UV-Vis spectra are obtained after 72 h.
 Analogous results were obtained when the compounds are diluted in pure water.



4

5 Fig. 4 Comparison of time-dependent UV-Vis spectra of 1b' with b'·HCl and TDC
6 spectra in PBS solution

7 CD spectra were also recorded for each pair of enantiomers. However, the spectra of 8 derivatives **1a**, **1b** and **1a'**, **1b'** are identical to those obtained for ammonium-oxime 9 compounds **a**·**HCl**, **b**·**HCl**, **a'**·**HCl**, **b'**·**HCl** (see Online Resource Fig. S14, S15), 15 min 10 after dilution or after 72 h, leading to the assumption that those are the only detectable 11 optically active, soluble in water products of the hydrolysis of titanium oximato 12 compounds.



1

3.2.1. Anti-proliferative studies

Chiral compounds 1a and 1b have already shown their promising anticancer properties
on the human prostate and renal cancer cell lines PC-3 and Caki-1. Both titanocenes,
especially 1b, are considerable less toxic to the non-tumorigenic human embryonic
kidney cell line HEK-293T than to Caki-1 renal cells (7-15-fold less toxic) [69].

In order to compare and evaluate the versatility of the different enantiomers, the cytotoxic activity of pro-ligands **a**·**HCl**, **a**'·**HCl**, **b**·**HCl**, **b**'·**HCl** and metal compounds TDC, Tacke's Titanocene-Y [72,85,86], **1a**, **1a**', **1b** and **1b**' was now assessed after 24 h of incubation time on a wide variety of human cancer cell lines, i.e. prostate PC-3 and DU-145, lung A-549, pancreas MIA PaCa-2, colorectal HCT-116, leukemia Jurkat and cervical HeLa. The *in vitro* effect of the compounds on cytotoxicity was firstly evaluated by monitoring their ability to inhibit cell growth using the MTT assay.

13 Under these conditions, pro-ligands a·HCl, a'·HCl, b·HCl, b'·HCl and metal 14 compounds 1a and 1a', TDC, and Titanocene-Y are poorly cytotoxic in all tested cell 15 lines (IC₅₀ > 150 μ M under these experimental conditions). Enantiomers **1b** and **1b**' are also not effective, after 24 h of exposure, in prostate PC-3, pancreatic MIA PaCa-2 or 16 17 colon HCT-116 human carcinoma cell lines, but show inhibitory activities of 40-50% and 18 20-25% at concentrations of 50 µM against human lung carcinoma A-549 (Online 19 Resource Fig. S17) and leukemia Jurkat-T cell lines respectively. Cell morphology 20 evaluation of A-549 cells indicated that titanium derivatives 1b and 1b' did not induced 21 apoptotic cell death, since no apoptotic cells, characterized by condensed nuclei and 22 membrane blebbing, were detected. Cisplatin was included in the experiment as a positive 23 control of apoptosis.

Since compounds **1a** and **1b** had shown to be efficiently cytotoxic on the PC-3 cell line after 72 h of incubation with the cells [69], we decided to assess the anti-proliferative

- 1 effect of titanium compounds on prostate PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines as the IC₅₀ value
- 2 after 72 h of drug exposure. The results are summarized in Table 1.
- 3 Table 1. IC₅₀ values (μ M) of cisplatin, Titanocene-Y and enantiomers 1a, 1a', 1b and
- 4 **1b**' in prostate cancer PC-3, DU-145 and non-tumorigenic RWPE-1 cell lines,^a (n.m. not
- 5 measured)

Compound	PC-3	DU-145	RWPE-1				
1a	>150 (24 h)						
	48.7 ± 3.2 (72 h)	> 150 (72 h)	> 200 (72 h)				
1a'	>150 (24 h)		n m				
	>150 (72 h)	> 150 (72 h)	11.111.				
1b	>150 (24 h)						
	$14.5 \pm 3.1 (72 \text{ h})$	27.1 ± 1.1 (72 h)	$30.8 \pm 0.57 \ (72 \ h)$				
1b'	>150 (24 h)						
	$49.9 \pm 7.0 \ (72 \text{ h})$	23.9 ± 8.6 (72 h)	43.8 ± 7.2 (72 h)				
1b + 1b'							
	37.5 ± 5.1 (72 h)	n.m.	n.m.				
Titanocene-Y	> 200 (24 h)						
	58.1 ± 11.2 (72 h)	> 150 (72 h)	42.9 ± 0.73 (72 h)				
cisplatin	$104.2 \pm 8.1 (24 \text{ h})$						
-	$14.5 \pm 2.5 (72 \text{ h})$	$3.7 \pm 0.6 (72 \text{ h})$	$19.9 \pm 1.1 \ (72 \text{ h})$				

^{6 &}lt;sup>a</sup> Each value represents the mean \pm S.D. (n = 3)

7

8 Under these conditions, the enantiomer 1b shows IC₅₀ values on the prostate PC-3 and 9 DU-145 cell lines 2-5 times lower than Tacke's compound, Titanocene-Y. The 10 cytotoxicity on PC-3 cells of the titanium enantiomers 1a and 1b, with the absolute 11 configuration S, R-, is higher than that of the R, S-stereoisomers by a factor of ca. 2-3, 12 while the racemic mixture of 1b and 1b' afford IC₅₀ values average between the two 13 enantiomers. In contrast, no enantiomer recognition is observed on the prostate DU-145 14 cells for derivatives 1b, 1b', while 1a, 1a' resulted not to be efficient in this non-hormone 15 dependent cancer cell line.

16 Titanocene-Y has already shown an encouraging activity in PC-3 tumour-bearing mice
17 [85]. Other titanium compounds which have proved their *in vitro* antitumor activity in

1 prostate cancer cell lines under similar time exposure conditions are Schiff-base titanium 2 (IV) derivatives [87] (IC₅₀ values within the range 5-18 μ M, in PC-3) or heterometallic 3 titanocene-gold compounds (IC₅₀ values ranged from 27-40 μ M in PC-3 [88,89], and 4 11.8-27.6 μ M in DU-145 [24,90].

In order to analyse the cytotoxic selectiveness to healthy cells, the isomers **1a**, **1b** and **1b'** were also tested in the non-tumorigenic human prostate (RWPE-1) cells. Regarding selectivity, **1a** and **1b** are less toxic to the non-tumorigenic RWPE-1 than to the cancer PC-3 cells (from 2 to 4 times less toxic), while **1b'** shows a similar behaviour relative to the cancer DU-145 cells.

10 Titanium compound 1b was selected for a further study in vitro. We evaluated a 11 combination of TDC and pro-ligand **b**·**HCl** on the cellular viability after 72 h of exposure 12 to the drug. As already described in the PC-3 cell line, [69] the additive dose of both 13 starting materials also produced lower anti-proliferative effects than those observed after 14 treatment with only 1b (Table 2) in the prostate DU-145 and RWPE-1 cell lines. These 15 results are consistent with the involvement of metal oxime containing species in the 16 cytotoxicity mechanism. While water soluble hydrolysis species detected in our studies 17 are the same as those formed from a mixture of TDC and amino-oxime proligand, the 18 existence of polinuclear, ligand influenced species formed in a colloidal phase of 19 hydrolysis cannot be ruled out.

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

Table 2. Comparison of IC₅₀ values (µM) of 1b, b·HCl, TDC and TDC+b·HCl in
prostate cancer PC-3, DU-145 and non-tumorigenic RWPE-1 cell lines^a (after 72 h of
exposure to the drug).

22

Compound	PC-3	DU-145	RWPE-1		
1b	14.5 ± 3.1	27.1 ± 1.1	30.8 ± 0.57		
b∙HCl	> 100	106.1 ± 10.4	140.5 ± 23.0		
TDC+b·HCl	39.5 ± 2.1	54.9 ± 13.5	> 150		
TDC	> 150	> 150	> 150		

1

^a Each value represents the mean \pm S.D. (n = 3)

2

3

3.3. DNA binding

4 To date, various distinct mechanisms have been proposed for titanium-based 5 therapeutics. DNA binding is still thought to be one important potential mode of action 6 for titanocene compounds, although interactions with DNA have been found to be 7 generally very weak at physiological pH conditions [16,17]. The study of DNA 8 interactions for these particular metal complexes does often represent an experimental 9 challenge, since the compounds can easily hydrolyse in water solutions. That said, 10 investigation in this area may be used to shed some light about the nature of the 11 interactions that may partially account for the biological activity observed in 12 physiologically relevant aqueous environments, albeit the results obtained should be 13 interpreted cautiously. Our previous results showed that titanocenes 1a or 1b did not 14 exhibit strong interactions with plasmid DNA by electrophoretic mobility shift assays, 15 but the absence of a shift in the electrophoretic bands did not allow us to rule out DNA 16 binding. Having established the interesting antitumor properties of metal compounds 1a, 17 1a', 1b and 1b', our aim with the study presented now was to further analyse and compare 18 the kind of potential interactions of the enantiomers with DNA, by using other techniques 19 to complement previous studies.

Dialysis experiments, based on the fundamental thermodynamic principle of equilibrium dialysis [78,91], were performed to determine apparent binding constants between DNA and the metal compounds, following the protocol described by Chaires [78] with some modifications. As the DNA targets, we selected CT DNA and a short oligonucleotide duplex of known sequence (ds17, 17 bp).

6 Unfortunately, under the conditions employed, large dispersion data sets were obtained, 7 which prevented the precise determination of association constants between the 8 titanium(IV) compounds and DNA. This is likely to be a consequence of the hydrolysis 9 of these complexes in aqueous media. However, even if the results should be interpreted 10 with caution, a significant increase in compound concentration was invariably observed 11 in the dialysis bags of replicate experiments, suggesting effective DNA binding by metal 12 complexes **1a**, **1a'**, **1b**, **1b'** and/or their hydrolysis products.

13 With the purpose of determining the effect that these compounds may exert on the DNA 14 denaturing temperature, Tm, we used a variable-temperature (FRET-melting) assay. This 15 experiment requires little DNA consumption, allows the assessment of a wide range of 16 compound concentrations, can be adapted to a high-throughput fashion, and it has been 17 extensively used to determine the degree of thermal stabilization of different DNA 18 structures in the presence of potential ligands [92]. Thus, FRET experiments were used 19 to establish whether metal complexes 1a, 1a', 1b and 1b' were able to thermally stabilize 20 duplex DNA structures.

In these experiments, a 10-bp oligonucleotide (F10T) labelled with two fluorophores, FAM at its 5' end and TAMRA at the 3' end, was selected [93]. If the metal complex binds to DNA affecting the stability of the helix, changes in the value of DNA Tm should be expected. Stabilization of duplex DNA usually results in increased values of Tm. Compounds 1a, 1a', 1b and 1b' were analysed for their ability to affect duplex DNA melting within the 1-10 μM concentration range. However, under these conditions, the titanium(IV) derivatives were not able to produce a significant change in the DNA melting temperature. Furthermore, none of the enantiomers of the precursor ligand, **a·HCl, a'·HCl**, showed DNA stabilization (see Online Resource Fig. S18). These results suggest that the compounds may interact with DNA in an external, mainly electrostatic fashion or through partial recognition of the DNA grooves.

8 Finally, DNA viscometric titrations were carried out because viscosity measurements 9 can provide a simple way to discriminate between the different binding modes of potential 10 DNA ligands (such as intercalation versus groove or external binding) [94]. According to 11 the theory of Cohen and Eisenberg [95], from gradual titration of DNA solutions with the 12 compounds of interest, linear plots of the cubed root of the relative DNA viscosity $(\eta/\eta_o)^{1/3}$ versus the molar ratio of bound ligand to DNA nucleotide (r) can be obtained. 13 14 The slope values in these plots correlate well with the DNA-ligand binding modes. 15 Groove binding compounds normally display a slope close to 0.0, whereas classical 16 mono-intercalants result in a slope close to 1.0 [94,95].

17 The tested compounds showed a linear $(\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}$ versus r correlation in the typical r 18 range used in these experiments (Fig. 5). Complexes **1a**, **1a'**, **1b** and **1b'**, irrespective of 19 the amino-bound ligand and the stereochemistry of the metal complex, gave rise to slope 20 values practically equal to zero.

21





Fig. 5 Viscometric titrations of CT DNA and metal complexes 1a, 1a', 1b and 1b' at 25
°C (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2)

4

5 These results are in good agreement with the FRET DNA melting assays and point 6 towards an external or groove interaction of the titanium metal complexes and/or their 7 hydrolysis products that does not result in overall changes of contour length or thermal 8 stabilization of the DNA double helix structure.

9

10 4. Conclusions

Optically active amino-oxime ligands derived from natural products are useful and inexpensive starting materials for the design of enantiopure titanocene compounds. In contrast with the resistance to hydrolysis of other κ^2 N,O oximato-Ti biscyclopentadiene compounds described before, our systems suffer hydrolysis in water at physiological conditions, most likely due to the monohapto κ ON coordination mode of the highly

1 sterically demanding limonene residue of the oximato ligand. Regarding their cytotoxic 2 behaviour, the oxime-containing Ti(IV) compound 1b has shown potent anticancer 3 activities against both prostate cancer PC-3 and DU-145 cell lines after 72 h of incubation 4 time. The cytotoxicity of the enantiomers 1a, 1a' and 1b', 1b' towards all the cancer cell 5 lines tested showed no significant differences, exception made for the PC-3 cells. In 6 addition, isomers 1a and 1b showed certain selectivity in their toxicity against prostate 7 cancer PC-3 versus non-tumorigenic RWPE-1 cells. Furthermore, compound 1b shows 8 higher activity than the additive dose of TDC and proligand **b**·**HCl** on the prostate PC-3, 9 DU-145 and RWPE-1 cell lines. These results point towards the existence of an influence 10 of the oximato-Ti unit on the hydrolysis process and/or the cytotoxicity mechanism. 11 Compound-DNA interactions have been investigated by equilibrium dialysis, FRET 12 melting assays and viscometric titrations. The experimental results suggest that these 13 metal complexes and/or their hydrolysis products can bind DNA either in the minor 14 groove or externally, irrespective of the ligand stereochemistry.

1

2 Acknowledgments

3	Financial support from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MICINN CTQ2014-
4	58270-R), Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (CAM, I3 Program) and the Universidad de
5	Alcalá (UAH, Projects CCG2016/EXP-044, CCG2016/EXP-028 and UAH-AE-2017-2)
6	is acknowledged. I.C.A. and S.S. are grateful to UAH for their FPI-UAH fellowships.
7	Online Resource. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the
7 8	Online Resource. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://. These data include: Representative NMR, UV-Vis and CD
7 8 9	Online Resource. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://. These data include: Representative NMR, UV-Vis and CD spectra of compounds a, a', b, b', 1a, 1a', 1b, 1b'. Selected biological data. Selected

11

1	[1] S. Medici, M. Peana, V. M. Nurchi, J. I. Lachowicz, G. Crisponi and M. A.
2	Zoroddu, Coord. Chem. Rev. 284 (2015) 329-350. DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.08.002.
3	[2] G. Palermo, A. Magistrato, T. Riedel, T. von Erlach, C. A. Davey, P. J. Dyson and
4	U. Rothlisberger, ChemMedChem 11 (2016) 1199-1210. DOI:10.1002/cmdc.201500478.
5	[3] B. S. Murray, M. V. Babak, C. G. Hartinger and P. J. Dyson, <i>Coord. Chem. Rev.</i>
6	306 (2016) 86-114. DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2015.06.014.
7	[4] T. C. Johnstone, K. Suntharalingam and S. J. Lippard, Chem. Rev. 116 (2016)
8	3436-3486. DOI:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00597.
9	[5] A. Casini and M. Contel, J. Inorg. Biochem. 165 (2016) 54-55.
10	DOI:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2016.11.029.
11	[6] P. Y. Zhang and P. J. Sadler, J. Organomet. Chem. 839 (2017) 5-14.
12	DOI:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2017.03.038.
13	[7] E. Alessio, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2017) 1549-1560. DOI:10.1002/ejic.201600986.
14	[8] H. Kopf and P. Kopfmaier, Angew. ChemInt. Edit. Engl. 18 (1979) 477-478.
15	DOI:10.1002/anie.197904771.
16	[9] P. Kopfmaier, S. Grabowski and H. Kopf, <i>Eur. J. Med. Chem. 19</i> (1984) 347-352.
17	[10] H. J. Keller, B. Keppler and D. Schmahl, Arzneimittelforschung 32-2 (1982) 806-
18	807.
19	[11] B. K. Keppler and D. Schmahl, Arzneimittelforschung 36-2 (1986) 1822-1828.
20	[12] P. Kopfmaier, B. Hesse, R. Voigtlander and H. Kopf, J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.
21	97 (1980) 31-39. DOI:10.1007/bf00411276.

- [13] B. K. Keppler, C. Friesen, H. G. Moritz, H. Vongerichten and E. Vogel, *Struct*.
 Bond. 78 (1991) 97-127.
- 3 [14] B. K. Keppler, *Metal Complexes in Cancer Chemotherapy*, Wiley VCH,
 4 Weinheim, (1993).
- 5 [15] E. Y. Tshuva and D. Peri, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 253 (2009) 2098-2115.
 6 DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2008.11.015.
- [16] K. M. Buettner and A. M. Valentine, *Chem. Rev. 112* (2012) 1863-1881.
 DOI:10.1021/cr1002886.
- 9 [17] S. A. Loza-Rosas, M. Saxena, Y. Delgado, K. Gaur, M. Pandrala and A. D.
 10 Tinoco, *Metallomics* 9 (2017) 346-356. DOI:10.1039/c6mt00223d.
- [18] H. Skoupilova, R. Hrstka and M. Bartosik, *Med. Chem. 13* (2017) 334-344.
 DOI:10.2174/1573406412666161228113650.
- [19] M. Cini, T. D. Bradshaw and S. Woodward, *Chem. Soc. Rev. 46* (2017) 10401051. DOI:10.1039/c6cs00860g.
- 15 [20] J. Schur, C. M. Manna, A. Deally, R. W. Koster, M. Tacke, E. Y. Tshuva and I.
- 16 Ott, Chem. Commun. 49 (2013) 4785-4787. DOI:10.1039/c3cc38604j.
- 17 [21] M. Grutzke, T. K. Zhao, T. A. Immel and T. Huhn, Inorg. Chem. 54 (2015) 6697-
- 18 6706. DOI:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00690.
- 19 [22] M. Cini, H. Williams, M. W. Fay, M. S. Searle, S. Woodward and T. D. Bradshaw,
- 20 *Metallomics* 8 (2016) 286-297. DOI:10.1039/c5mt00297d.

1	[23] R. M. Lord, J. J. Mannion, B. D. Crossley, A. J. Hebden, M. W. McMullon, J.
2	Fisher, R. M. Phillips and P. C. McGowan, ChemistrySelect 1 (2016) 6598-6605.
3	DOI:10.1002/slct.201601290.

[24] Y. F. Mui, J. Fernandez-Gallardo, B. T. Elie, A. Gubran, I. Maluenda, M. Sanau,
O. Navarro and M. Contel, *Organometallics* 35 (2016) 1218-1227.
DOI:10.1021/acs.organomet.6b00051.

- [25] S. Meker, O. Braitbard, M. D. Hall, J. Hochman and E. Y. Tshuva, *Chem.-Eur. J. 22* (2016) 9986-9995. DOI:10.1002/chem.201601389.
- 9 [26] Y. Ellahioui, S. Prashar and S. Gomez-Ruiz, *Inorganics 5* (2017) 4-27.
 10 DOI:10.3390/inorganics5010004.
- [27] K. E. Jones, K. L. Batchler, C. Zalouk and A. M. Valentine, *Inorg. Chem. 56*(2017) 1264-1272. DOI:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b02399.

13 [28] S. A. Loza-Rosas, A. M. Vazquez-Salgado, K. I. Rivero, L. J. Negron, Y. 14 Delgado, J. A. Benjamin-Rivera, A. L. Vazquez-Maldonado, T. B. Parks, C. Munet-15 Colon and A. D. Tinoco, Inorg. Chem. 56 (2017)7788-7802. 16 DOI:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b00542.

- 17 [29] N. Ganot and E. Y. Tshuva, *RSC Adv. 8* (2018) 5822-5827.
 18 DOI:10.1039/c8ra00229k.
- [30] E. Francotte and W. Lindner, *Chirality in Drug Research*, Wiley VCH, Weinheim,
 (2006). DOI:10.1002/cmdc.200700060.

[31] M. J. Romero and P. J. Sadler in *Chirality in Organometallic Anticancer Complexes*, Bioorganometallic Chemistry Applications in Drug Discovery, Biocatalsis

31

- 1 and Imaging, Eds.: G. Jaouen and M. Salmain, Wiley CH-VCH Verlag GmbH, (2015)
- 2 pp. 85-115. DOI:10.1002/9783527673438.ch03.
- [32] F. Arnesano, A. Pannunzio, M. Coluccia and G. Natile, *Coord. Chem. Rev. 284*(2015) 286-297. DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.07.016.
- 5 [33] S. Y. Bi, A. D. Wang, C. F. Bi, Y. H. Fan, Y. Xiao, S. B. Liu and Q. Wang, *Inorg*.
- 6 Chem. Commun. 15 (2012) 167-171. DOI:10.1016/j.inoche.2011.10.016.
- [34] S. Blanck, Y. Geisselbrecht, K. Kraling, S. Middel, T. Mietke, K. Harms, L. O.
 Essen and E. Meggers, *Dalton Trans.* 41 (2012) 9337-9348. DOI:10.1039/c2dt30940h.
- 9 [35] D. Csokas, B. I. Karolyi, S. Bosze, I. Szabo, G. Bati, L. Drahos and A. Csampai,

10 J. Organomet. Chem. 750 (2014) 41-48. DOI:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2013.10.057.

- 11 [36] A. Dobrova, S. Platzer, F. Bacher, M. N. M. Milunovic, A. Dobrov, G. Spengler,
- 12 E. A. Enyedy, G. Novitchi and V. B. Arion, *Dalton Trans.* 45 (2016) 13427-13439.
 13 DOI:10.1039/c6dt02784a.
- 14 [37] M. Frik, J. Fernandez-Gallardo, O. Gonzalo, V. Mangas-Sanjuan, M. Gonzalez-
- 15 Alvarez, A. S. del Valle, C. H. Hu, I. Gonzalez-Alvarez, M. Bermejo, I. Marzo and M.
- 16 Contel, J. Med. Chem. 58 (2015) 5825-5841. DOI:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00427.
- [38] Y. Fu, A. Habtemariam, A. Basri, D. Braddick, G. J. Clarkson and P. J. Sadler, *Dalton Trans.* 40 (2011) 10553-10562. DOI:10.1039/c1dt10937e.
- 19 [39] Y. Fu, M. J. Romero, A. Habtemariam, M. E. Snowden, L. J. Song, G. J. Clarkson,
- 20 B. Qamar, A. M. Pizarro, P. R. Unwin and P. J. Sadler, Chem. Sci. 3 (2012) 2485-2494.
- 21 DOI:10.1039/c2sc20220d.

1	[40] W. Ginzinger, G. Muhlgassner, V. B. Arion, M. A. Jakupec, A. Roller, M.
2	Galanski, M. Reithofer, W. Berger and B. K. Keppler, J. Med. Chem. 55 (2012) 3398-
3	3413. DOI:10.1021/jm3000906.

4 [41] H. Glasner and E. Y. Tshuva, *Inorg. Chem.* 53 (2014) 3170-3176.
5 DOI:10.1021/ic500001j.

- [42] A. Kurzwernhart, W. Kandioller, C. Bartel, S. Bachler, R. Trondl, G.
 Muhlgassner, M. A. Jakupec, V. B. Arion, D. Marko, B. K. Keppler and C. G. Hartinger, *Chem. Commun.* 48 (2012) 4839-4841. DOI:10.1039/c2cc31040f.
- 9 [43] M. G. Mendoza-Ferri, C. G. Hartinger, R. E. Eichinger, N. Stolyarova, K. Severin,

M. A. Jakupec, A. A. Nazarov and B. K. Keppler, *Organometallics 27* (2008) 2405-2407.
DOI:10.1021/om800207t.

[44] S. Newcombe, M. Bobin, A. Shrikhande, C. Gallop, Y. Pace, H. Yong, R. Gates,
S. Chaudhuri, M. Roe, E. Hoffmann and E. M. E. Viseux, *Org. Biomol. Chem. 11* (2013)

14 3255-3260. DOI:10.1039/c3ob27460h.

- [45] S. Tabassum, A. Asim, R. A. Khan, F. Arjmand, D. Rajakumar, P. Balaji and M.
 A. Akbarsha, *RSC Adv. 5* (2015) 47439-47450. DOI:10.1039/c5ra07333b.
- [46] S. F. Xi, L. Y. Bao, J. G. Lin, Q. Z. Liu, L. Qiu, F. L. Zhang, Y. X. Wang, Z. D.
 Ding, K. Li and Z. G. Gu, *Chem. Commun.* 52 (2016) 10261-10264.
 DOI:10.1039/c6cc05743h.
- 20 [47] K. S. M. Smalley, R. Contractor, N. K. Haass, A. N. Kulp, G. E. Atilla-Gokcumen,

21 D. S. Williams, H. Bregman, K. T. Flaherty, M. S. Soengas, E. Meggers and M. Herlyn,

22 Cancer Res. 67 (2007) 209-217. DOI:10.1158/0008-5472.can-06-1538.

1	[48] J. Maksimoska, L. Feng, K. Harms, C. L. Yi, J. Kissil, R. Marmorstein and E.
2	Meggers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 15764-15765. DOI:10.1021/ja805555a.
3	[49] S. A. Abramkin, U. Jungwirth, S. M. Valiahdi, C. Dworak, L. Habala, K. Meelich,
4	W. Berger, M. A. Jakupec, C. G. Hartinger, A. A. Nazarov, M. Galanski and B. K.
5	Keppler, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 7356-7364. DOI:10.1021/jm100953c.
6	[50] C. M. Manna and E. Y. Tshuva, Dalton Trans. 39 (2010) 1182-1184.
7	DOI:10.1039/b920786b.
8	[51] C. M. Manna, G. Armony and E. Y. Tshuva, ChemEur. J. 17 (2011) 14094-
9	14103. DOI:10.1002/chem.201102017.
10	[52] S. Blanck, J. Maksimoska, J. Baumeister, K. Harms, R. Marmorstein and E.
11	Meggers, Angew. ChemInt. Edit. 51 (2012) 5244-5246. DOI:10.1002/anie.201108865.
12	[53] Y. Fu, R. Soni, M. J. Romero, A. M. Pizarro, L. Salassa, G. J. Clarkson, J. M.
13	Hearn, A. Habtemariam, M. Wills and P. J. Sadler, <i>ChemEur. J.</i> 19 (2013) 15199-15209.
14	DOI:10.1002/chem.201302183.
15	[54] K. J. Kilpin, S. M. Cammack, C. M. Clavel and P. J. Dyson, Dalton Trans. 42
16	(2013) 2008-2014. DOI:10.1039/c2dt32333h.
17	[55] E. Menendez-Pedregal, J. Diez, A. Manteca, J. Sanchez, A. C. Bento, R. Garcia-
18	Navas, F. Mollinedo, M. P. Gamasa and E. Lastra, <i>Dalton Trans.</i> 42 (2013) 13955-13967.
19	DOI:10.1039/c3dt51160j.
20	[56] M. Miller and E. Y. Tshuva, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2014 (2014) 1485-1491.
21	DOI:10.1002/ejic.201301463.

1	[57]	Z. F.	Chen.	O. P.	Oin.	J.L.	Oin.	J. Zhou.	Y. L.	Li. N	J. Li.	Y . C	Liu.	and H.	Liang.
-	1			×· - ·	×, .		×,	,							,

2 J. Med. Chem. 58 (2015) 4771-4789. DOI:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00444.

- [58] M. Cini, T. D. Bradshaw, S. Woodward and W. Lewis, *Angew. Chem.-Int. Ed. 54*(2015) 14179-14182. DOI:DOI: 10.1002/anie.201508034.
- [59] X. Q. Zhou, Q. Sun, L. Jiang, S. T. Li, W. Gu, J. L. Tian, X. Liu and S. P. Yan, *Dalton Trans.* 44 (2015) 9516-9527. DOI:10.1039/c5dt00931f.
- [60] C. M. Manna, G. Armony and E. Y. Tshuva, *Inorg. Chem. 50* (2011) 1028410291. DOI:10.1021/ic201340m.
- 9 [61] I. de la Cueva-Alique, S. Sierra, L. Munoz-Moreno, A. Perez-Redondo, A. M.

10 Bajo, I. Marzo, L. Gude, T. Cuenca and E. Royo, J. Inorg. Biochem. 183 (2018) 32-42.

11 DOI:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2018.02.018.

- 12 [62] G. D. Potter, M. C. Baird and S. P. C. Cole, *Inorg. Chim. Acta 364* (2010) 16-22.
- 13 DOI:10.1016/j.ica.2010.05.020.
- 14 [63] M. S. Ibn El Alami, M. A. El Amrani, A. Dahdouh, P. Roussel, I. Suisse and A.
- 15 Mortreux, Chirality 24 (2012) 675-682. DOI:10.1002/chir.22073.
- [64] S. V. Larionov, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 38* (2012) 1-23; and references therein.
 DOI:10.1134/s1070328412010058.
- [65] D. J. Brecknell, R. M. Carman, B. Singaram and J. Verghese, *Aust. J. Chem. 30*(1977) 195-203. DOI:10.1071/ch9770195.
- 20 [66] U. Thewalt and R. Friedrich, *Z.Naturforsch.(B)* 46 (1991) 475-482.
 21 DOI:10.1515/znb-1991-0409.

1	[67] O. P. Pandey, S. K. Sengupta and C. M. Tripathi, <i>Molecules 10</i> (2005) 653-658.
2	DOI:10.3390/10060653.

3	[68] M. Carvalho, A. M. Galvao, J. Kredatusova, J. Merna, P. F. Pinheiro and M. M.												
4	Salema, Inorg. Chim. Acta 383 (2012) 244-249. DOI:10.1016/j.ica.2011.11.019.												
5	[69] I. de la Cueva-Alique, L. Munoz-Moreno, Y. Benabdelouahab, B. T. Elie, M. A.												
6	El Amrani, M. E. G. Mosquera, M. Contel, A. M. Bajo, T. Cuenca and E. Royo, J. Inorg.												
7	Biochem. 156 (2016) 22-34. DOI:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2015.12.002.												
8	[70] A. V. Tkachev, A. V. Rukavishnikov, A. M. Chibiryaev, A. Y. Denisov, Y. V.												
9	Gatilov and I. Y. Bagryanskaya, Aust. J. Chem. 45 (1992) 1077-1086.												
10	DOI:10.1071/CH9921077.												
11	[71] M. Fernandez-Millan, M. Temprado, J. Cano, T. Cuenca and M. E. G. Mosquera,												
12	Dalton Trans. 45 (2016) 10514-10518. DOI:10.1039/c6dt02116f.												
13	[72] N. J. Sweeney, O. Mendoza, H. Muller-Bunz, C. Pampillon, F. J. K. Rehmann, K.												
14	Strohfeldt and M. Tacke, J. Organomet. Chem. 690 (2005) 4537-4544.												

15 DOI:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2005.06.039.

[73] R. M. Carman, P. C. Mathew, G. N. Saraswathi, B. Singaram and J. Verghese, *Aust. J. Chem.* 30 (1977) 1323-1335. DOI:10.1071/CH9771323.

18 [74] G. E. Tranter in Spectroscopic Analysis: Polarimetry and Optical Rotatory

19 Dispersion, Vol. 8, Comprehensive Chirality, Eds.: E. M. Carreira and H. Yamamoto,

20 Elsevier, (2012) pp. 411-421. DOI:10.1016/B978-0-08-095167-6.00843-0

21 [75] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 45 (2012) 849-854.
22 DOI:10.1107/s0021889812029111.

[76] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 71 (2015) 3-8.
 DOI:10.1107/s2053273314026370.

3 [77] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Struct. Chem. 71 (2015) 3-8.
4 DOI:10.1107/s2053229614024218.

[78] J. B. Chaires in *Structural selectivity of drug-nucleic acid interactions probed by competition dialysis, Vol. 253,* DNA Binders and Related Subjects, Eds.: M. J. Waring
and J. B. Chaires, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Berlin, (2005) pp. 33-53.
DOI:10.1007/b100441.

- 9 [79] M. G. Davidson, A. L. Johnson, M. D. Jones, M. D. Lunn and M. F. Mahon,
 10 Polyhedron 26 (2007) 975-980. DOI:10.1016/j.poly.2006.09.055.
- [80] S. O. Baumann, M. Bendova, H. Fric, M. Puchberger, C. Visinescu and U.
 Schubert, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* (2009) 3333-3340. DOI:10.1002/ejic.200900381.
- [81] S. O. Baumann, M. Bendova, M. Puchberger and U. Schubert, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* (2011) 573-580. DOI:10.1002/ejic.201000881.
- [82] A. Chaudhary, V. Dhayal, M. Nagar, R. Bohra, S. M. Mobin and P. Mathur, *Polyhedron 30* (2011) 821-831. DOI:10.1016/j.poly.2010.12.025.
- 17 [83] J. H. Toney and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 947-953.
 18 DOI:10.1021/ja00290a033.
- 19 [84] A. Erxleben, J. Claffey and M. Tacke, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 104 (2010) 390-396.
 20 DOI:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2009.11.010.

1	[85] C. M. Dowling, J. Claffey, S. Cuffe, I. Fichtner, C. Pampillon, N. J. Sweeney, K.
2	Strohfeldt, R. W. G. Watson and M. Tacke, Lett. Drug Des. Discov. 5 (2008) 141-144.
3	DOI:10.2174/157018008783928463.

[86] R. A. Hilger, D. Alex, A. Deally, B. Gleeson and M. Tacke, *Lett. Drug Des. Discov.* 8 (2011) 904-910.

[87] A. Obeid, A. El-Shekeil, S. Al-Aghbari and J. Al-Shabi, J. Coord. Chem. 65
(2012) 2762-2770. DOI:10.1080/00958972.2012.703780.

[88] J. Fernandez-Gallardo, B. T. Elie, T. Sadhukha, S. Prabha, M. Sanau, S. A.
Rotenberg, J. W. Ramos and M. Contel, *Chem. Sci.* 6 (2015) 5269-5283.
DOI:10.1039/c5sc01753j.

[89] J. Fernandez-Gallardo, B. T. Elie, F. J. Sulzmaier, M. Sanau, J. W. Ramos and M.
 Contel, *Organometallics 33* (2014) 6669-6681. DOI:10.1021/om500965k.

[90] J. F. Gonzalez-Pantoja, M. Stern, A. A. Jarzecki, E. Royo, E. Robles-Escajeda, A.
Varela-Ramirez, R. J. Aguilera and M. Contel, *50* (2011) 11099-11110.
DOI:10.1021/ic201647h.

[91] W. Muller and D. M. Crothers, *Eur. J. Biochem.* 54 (1975) 267-277.
DOI:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1975.tb04137.x.

18 [92] D. Renciuk, J. Zhou, L. Beaurepaire, A. Guedin, A. Bourdoncle and J. L. Mergny,

19 *Methods* 57 (2012) 122-128. DOI:10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.03.020.

20 [93] R. Kieltyka, P. Englebienne, J. Fakhoury, C. Autexier, N. Moitessier and H. F.

21 Sleiman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 10040-10041. DOI:10.1021/ja8014023.

1	[94] D.	Suh	and	J.	В.	Chaire	s, <i>Bioorg</i> .	Med.	Chem.	3	(1995)	723-728.
2	DOI:10.10	16/090	68-08	96(9	95)00	0053-j.						
3	[95] G.	Coł	nen	and	d	H. Ei	isenberg,	Biopol	ymers	8	(1969)	45-55.

- 4 DOI:10.1002/bip.1969.360080105.