

Document downloaded from the institutional repository of the University of Alcala: <u>https://ebuah.uah.es/dspace/</u>

This is a postprint version of the following published document:

Martínez-de la Puente, J. et al. (2019) 'Filarial worm circulation by mosquitoes along an urbanization gradient in southern Spain', Transboundary and emerging diseases, 66(4), pp. 1752–1757.

Available at https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13176





This work is licensed under a

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Filarial worm circulation by mosquitoes along an urbanization gradient in southern Spain

Josué Martínez-de la Puente^{1,2} Martina Ferraguti¹ Jéssica Jiménez-Peñuela¹ Santiago Ruiz^{3,2} Javier Martínez⁴ David Roiz⁵ Ramón Soriguer^{1,2} Jordi Figuerola^{1,2}

Abstract

Mosquitoes are the main vectors of pathogens affecting wild animals, livestock and humans. Here, we used molecular tools to assess the local circulation of filarial parasites in mosquitoes collected during 2013 from natural, rural and urban habitats from southern Spain. We screened parasites in 22,791 female mosquitoes of the genera Aedes, Culex and Culiseta. Filarial worms were only detected in two mosquito pools. An Ae. caspius pool was positive for Setaria equina and an unidentified worm related to Onchocerca was detected in a Cx. pipiens pool. None of the mosquito pools were positive for Dirofilaria. These results underlay the role of Ae. caspius in the transmission of Setaria parasites among livestock and/or wildlife to humans in southern Spain.

KEYWORDS: Aedes, Culex, Onchocerca, Setaria equina, vector-borne pathogen, zoonotic diseases

1 Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Seville, Spain

2 Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

3 Diputación de Huelva, Área de Medio Ambiente, Servicio de Control de Mosquitos, Huelva, Spain

4 Departamento de Biomedicina y Biotecnología (área Parasitología), Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain

5 Infectious Diseases and Vectors: Ecology, Genetics, Evolution and Control. IRD (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement), Montpellier, France

Correspondence: Josué Martínez de la Puente, Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Sevilla, Spain.

Email: jmp@ebd.csic.es

Funding information: Tatiana Perez Guzmán el Bueno, Grant/ Award Number: Becas de Medio Ambiente 2017; Agencia de Innovación y Desarrollo de Andalucía, Grant/Award Number: P11-RNM-7038 ; Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grant/Award Number: CGL2015-65055-P ; Fundación BBVA, Grant/Award Number: 2017 Leonardo Grant for Researchers and Cultural Creators; Junta de Andalucía; BBVA Foundation

INTRODUCTION

Helminths causing lymphatic filariasis affects over 120 million people worldwide (Taylor, Hoerauf, & Bockarie, 2010). Approximately 95% of the filarial species affecting humans have a zoonotic origin (Taylor, Latham, & Mark, 2001). In Spain, *Dirofilaria* parasites are considered endemic, reaching high prevalence in domestic animals (i.e. cats and dogs) (Morchón, Carretón, González Miguel, & Mellado Hernández, 2012) and occasionally affecting humans (Laynez-Roldán et al., 2018). The incidence of *Dirofilaria* has been largely studied in vertebrate hosts (Simón et al., 2017), recording the highest prevalence of *Dirofilaria immitis* in dogs from the province of Huelva (36.7%; Ortega-Mora, Gomez-Bautista, Rojo-Vazquez, Rodenas, & Guerrero, 1991). In spite of their importance for pathogen transmission, information on the potential role of mosquitoes in the local circulation of most filarial parasites is currently unknown. Molecular tools allow the screening of a high number of potential vectors identifying the presence of the parasites (Ionică et al., 2017; Latrofa, Dantas-Torres, et al., 2012; Latrofa, Montarsi, et al., 2012). Using molecular approaches, Morchón et al., (2007) and Bravo-Barriga et al., (2016) identified the presence of D. immitis and different strains of Filarioidea in Culex pipiens mosquitoes from Spain.

Human-related changes in landscape are considered a key factor modelling the epidemiology of human and zoonotic pathogens (Morse, 1995). Deforestation, agricultural intensification and urbanization, among others, affect the transmission rate of pathogens between animals and from animals to humans (Lindahl & Grace, 2015). In vector-borne pathogens, urbanization affects the availability of mosquitoes and hosts, including people, potentially determining the circulation of pathogens and the risk of outbreaks (Ferraguti et al., 2016; Martínez-de la Puente et al., 2018). Here, we obtained a general overview of the filarial parasites harboured by mosquitoes of the genera Aedes, Culex and Culiseta in an urbanization gradient from southern Spain.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mosquitoes were collected from April to December 2013 in 49 natural, rural and urban areas from southern Spain (Figure 1) using BG-sentinel traps and CDC incandescent light-traps both supplemented with dry ice. Additionally, resting female mosquitoes were captured with a CDC backpack aspirator, model 2846. Adult mosquitoes were preserved in dry ice and stored frozen until identification. Mosquitoes were separated over a filter paper on a chilled plate under a stereomicroscope and morphologically identified to species level (see Ferraguti et al., 2016 for further details). Female mosquitoes of the same species, locality and date of capture were grouped in pools containing between 1 and 53 individuals. Female mosquitoes showing the presence of blood in their abdomen were not included in this study to avoid the potential amplification of parasites contained in a recent blood meal.

The genomic DNA of mosquito pools was extracted using a QIAamp Viral RNA kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The species included in this study were: Ae. (Oc.) caspius, Ae. (Oc). detritus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. perexiguus, Cx. modestus, Cx. theileri and Cs. annulata (Table 1). Initially, samples were screened for the presence of parasite DNA following Bataille et al. (2012). Those samples providing positive amplifications, including unspecific ones, were reanalysed using the PCR primers COIIntF (5'-TGATTGGTGGTTTTGGTAA-3') and COIIntR (5'- ATAAGTACGAGTATCAATATC-3') designed by Casiraghi, Anderson, Bandi, Bazzocchi, and Genchi (2001) to amplify an approximately 650 bp fragment of the cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene. Reactions were conducted in 48- or 96-well plates including, at least, one negative control of the reaction and one positive control (i.e., DNA of Dirofilaria). Sequencing reactions were performed according to Big Dye 1.1 technology (Applied Biosystems) and labelled DNA fragments were resolved with an ABI 3,130 × I automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were edited using the software SequencherTM v4.9 (Gene Codes Corp., © 1991–2009, Ann Arbor, MI 48,108) and deposited in GenBank (MK541847-48).

To assess the parasite identity, the two DNA sequences of the COI gene obtained in this study were compared with those deposited in GenBank and the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD). Due to the low similitude value obtained for one of the sequences isolated here (see results), we conducted further phylogenetic analyses. The two DNA sequences were aligned together with other 76 sequences obtained from GenBank belonging to the superfamilies Filarioidea and Spiruroidea. The alignment was performed using ClustalW algorithm implement in BioEdit 7.0.5.3 (Hall, 1999). Flank position was manually established. The final alignment contained 600 positions and 78 sequences. The substitution model GTR + I+G was selected using Mega 7.0.26 software (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016) to perform the Bayesian analysis with MrBayes 3.2.6 software (http://nbisweden.github.io/MrBayes/download.html). This analysis consisted of two runs of four chains each with 4,000,000 generations per run, a burn-in of 1,000,000 generations and a sampling interval of 100 generations. A consensus tree was built from 60,000 trees. The final standard deviation of the split frequencies was lower than 0.01. The alignment was also analysed using a Maximum Likelihood inference (PhyML program) (Guindon et al., 2010), using the same substitution model mentioned above. The subtree pruning and regrafting (SPR) tree rearrangement option was selected and a Bayesian-like transformation of aLRT (aBayes) was used to obtain the clade support (Anisimova, Gil, Dufayard, Dessimoz, & Gascuel, 2011). Both trees were rooted with the superfamily Spiruroidea, closely related to the superfamily Filarioidea.

RESULTS

Overall, 22,791 mosquitoes were collected and grouped in pools. Of them, two out of 1,282 mosquito pools were positive for the presence of filarial DNA (Figure 1; Table 1). The sequence recorded in an *Ae. caspius* pool from *Los Alamos*, Huelva, was 99% similar to Setaria equina sequences deposited in GenBank and BOLD system. In addition, worm isolated from a pool of Cx. pipiens collected in the Doñana National Park was \leq 92% similar to sequences corresponding to Onchocerca.

The phylogenetic analyses support the identification of both parasites amplified from mosquitoes (Figure 2). The sequence from Ae. caspius clustered together with other sequences of S. equina and the sequence from Cx. pipiens clustered with those from Onchocerca parasites, supporting that these sequences corresponded to an Onchocerca species non-previously characterized molecularly.

DISCUSSION

We provide strong evidence of the local circulation of *S. equina* and an unidentified worm likely belonging to the genus *Onchocerca* in southern Spain. By contrast, we did not find any evidence of the presence of *Dirofilaria* in mosquitoes. *Dirofilaria immitis* was previously recorded in *Cx. pipiens* mosquitoes from the Iberian Peninsula (Bravo-Barriga et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2015; Morchón et al., 2007). Although, the recorded prevalence was low, with only 0.16% (Bravo-Barriga et al., 2016) and 0.27% (Morchón et al., 2007) of the mosquitoes tested providing positive results. As other vector-borne pathogens, *Dirofilaria* distribution may have a heterogeneous spatial pattern, being detected in several studies, but not being detected in some cases in other European areas despite being tested in a large number of mosquitoes (Czajka et al., 2012). Additionally, other mosquito species present in the area that were underrepresented in this study (i.e. *Cx. theileri*) could be more relevant for *Dirofilaria* transmission than the three main species analysed here (*Cx. pipiens, Cx. perexiguus* and *Cx. modestus*).

We identified the presence of *S. equina* in *Ae. caspius*. Although the molecular detection of parasite DNA does not fully demonstrate that this species is the biological vector, the results target *Ae. caspius* as a potential vector for *S. equina*. Previous studies supported the role of *Ae. caspius* as vectors of *Setaria* parasites (Pietrobelli, Cancrini, Frangipane di Regalbono, Galuppi, & Tampieri, 1998) with parasite DNA molecularly identified in wild *Aedes* mosquitoes (Cancrini, Pietrobelli, Fangipane Di Regalbono, & Tampieri, 1997; Ionică et al., 2017; Kemenesi et al., 2015). *Setaria equina* is considered a widespread mosquito-borne parasite commonly found infecting equidae (Hornok, Genchi, Bazzocchi, Fok, & Farkas, 2007; Marzok & Desouky, 2009). This parasite courses apparently benign infections, although *S. equina* infections were associated to ocular disease and adnexa (Marzok & Desouky, 2009; van der Kolk & Kroeze, 2013). Furthermore, *S. equina* is considered a zoonotic parasite occasionally affecting humans (Nabie, Spotin, & Rouhani, 2017). The positive pool found in this study was collected in an industrialized peri-urban area of Huelva with human populations and a hospital in the surroundings. All these data, together with the mammophilic feeding pattern of *Ae. caspius* (Martínez-de la Puente, Ruiz, Soriguer, & Figuerola, 2013), support the role of this mosquito species in the transmission of *S. equina* between equids and potentially to humans.

Furthermore, an unidentified worm was detected in a *Cx. pipiens* pool. Phylogenetic analyses clustered this sequence isolated from mosquitoes with those previously recorded from *Onchocerca* parasites. Similarly, the presence of DNA from unidentified filarial worms was recorded in *Culex* mosquitoes, including *Cx. pipiens* (Czajka et al., 2012; Kemenesi et al., 2015). *Onchocerca* parasites are usually found infecting ungulates (Lefoulon et al., 2017) and they are transmitted by both blackflies (Diptera: Simuliidae) and *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) (Muller, 1979). Here, the *Onchocerca* was isolated from mosquitoes collected in the Doñana National Park, a conserved area hosting a high diversity of wild animals, including birds and mammals such as deer, cattle and horses. These animals are common hosts of *Cx. pipiens*, suggesting the possibility that the parasite found here could infect a mammal species present in the area. However, *Cx. pipiens* show an ornithophilic feeding behaviour (Martínez-de la Puente et al., 2016) suggesting the possibility that this parasite could also infect birds (see Kemenesi et al., 2015; Czajka et al., 2012).

In summary, we provide support for the local circulation of *S. equina* and likely, a non-previously molecularly characterized *Onchocerca* species in southern Spain, together with the apparent absence of *Dirofilari*a at least in the three species of mosquitoes with a higher number of samples (*Cx. pipiens, Cx. perexiguus* and *Cx. modestus*). Further studies should confirm the vector competence of these mosquitoes for the transmission of the parasites isolated here and link the morphological identifications of parasites to genetic sequences.

ACKNOWLEDG EMENTS

This study was funded by a 2017 Leonardo Grant for Researchers and Cultural Creators, BBVA Foundation to JMP and projects P11-RNM-7038 from the Junta de Andalucía and CGL2015-65055-P from the Spanish Ministry of Science Innovation to JF. The BBVA Foundation accepts no responsibility for the opinions, statements and contents included in the project and/or the results thereof, which are entirely the responsibility of the authors. JJP was funded by a grant from the Tatiana Perez Guzmán el Bueno Foundation. Cristina Pérez, Esmeralda Pérez, Juana Moreno Fernandez and Antonio Magallanes Martín de Oliva helped with mosquito capture and identification and Isabel Martín and Laura Gómez with the molecular analyses. Pepa Ruiz from the Zoo of Córdoba kindly provided positive samples of *Dirofilaria*.

REFERENCES

Anisimova, M., Gil, M., Dufayard, J. F., Dessimoz, C., & Gascuel, O. (2011). Survey of branch support methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based approximation schemes. *Systematic Biology*, *60*, 685–699. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syr041

Bataille, A., Guillaume, F., Cruz, M., Cedeño, V., Parker, P. G., Cunningham, A. A., & Goodman, S. J. (2012). Host selection and parasite infection in *Aedes taeniorhynchus*, endemic disease vector in the Galápagos Islands. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *12*, 1831–1841. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.meegid.2012.07.019

Bravo-Barriga, D., Parreira, R., Almeida, A. P., Calado, M., Blanco-Ciudad, J., Serrano-Aguilera, F. J., ... Frontera, E. (2016). *Culex pipiens* as a potential vector for transmission of *Dirofilaria immitis* and other un- classified Filarioidea in Southwest Spain. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 223, 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.04.030

Cancrini, G., Pietrobelli, M., Fangipane Di Regalbono, A., & Tampieri, M. P. (1997). Mosquitoes as vectors of Setaria labiatopapillosa. International Journal for Parasitology, 27, 1061–1064. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0020-7519(97)00081-7

Casiraghi, M., Anderson, T. J. C., Bandi, C., Bazzocchi, C., & Genchi, C. (2001). A phylogenetic analysis of filarial nematodes comparison with the phylogeny of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts. *Parasitology*, *122*, 93–103. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000007149

Czajka, C., Becker, N., Poppert, S., Jöst, H., Schmidt-Chanasit, J., & Krüger, A. (2012). Molecular detection of *Setaria tundra* (Nematoda: Filarioidea) and an unidentified filarial species in mosquitoes in Germany. *Parasites & Vectors*, *5*, 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-14

Ferraguti, M., Martínez-de La Puente, J., Roiz, D., Ruiz, S., Soriguer, R., & Figuerola, J. (2016). Effects of landscape anthropization on mosquito community composition and abundance. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 29002. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29002

Ferreira, C. A. C., de Pinho Mixão, V., Novo, M. T. L. M., Calado, M. M. P., Gonçalves, L. A. P., Belo, S. M. D., & de Almeida, A. P. G. (2015). First molecular identification of mosquito vectors of *Dirofilaria im- mitis* in continental Portugal. *Parasites & Vectors, 8*, 139. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13071-015-0760-2

Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology*, *59*, 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/syq010

Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series, 41, 95–98.

Hornok, S., Genchi, C., Bazzocchi, C., Fok, E., & Farkas, R. (2007). Prevalence of Setaria equina microfilaraemia in horses in Hungary. Veterinary Record, 161, 814–816. https://doi.org/10.1136/ vr.161.24.814

Ionică, A. M., Zittra, C., Wimmer, V., Leitner, N., Votýpka, J., Modrý, D., ... Fuehrer, H.-P. (2017). Mosquitoes in the Danube Delta: Searching for vectors of filarioid helminths and avian malaria. *Parasites & Vectors*, *10*, 324. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2264-8

Kemenesi, G., Kurucz, K., Kepner, A., Dallos, B., Oldal, M., Herczeg, R., ... Jakab, F. (2015). Circulation of *Dirofilaria repens, Setaria tundra*, and *Onchocercidae* species in Hungary during the period 2011–2013. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 214, 108–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vet-par.2015. 09.010

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular evolu- tionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msw054

Latrofa, M. S., Dantas-Torres, F., Annoscia, G., Genchi, M., Traversa, D., & Otranto, D. (2012). Duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of and differentiation between *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens* in dogs and mosquitoes. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *185*(2–4), 181–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.10.038

Latrofa, M. S., Montarsi, F., Ciocchetta, S., Annoscia, G., Dantas-Torres, F., Ravagnan, S., ... Otranto, D. (2012). Molecular xenomonitoring of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens* in mosquitoes from north- eastern Italy by real-time PCR coupled with melting curve analysis. *Parasites & Vectors*, *5*, 76. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-76

Laynez-Roldán, P., Martínez-de la Puente, J., Montalvo, T., Mas, J., Muñoz, J., Figuerola, J., & Rodriguez-Valero, N. (2018). Two cases of subcutaneous dirofilariasis in Barcelona, Spain. *Parasitology Research*, *117*, 3679–3681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-018-6098-x

Lefoulon, E., Giannelli, A., Makepeace, B. L., Mutafchiev, Y., Townson, S., Uni, S., ... Martin, C. (2017). Whence river blindness? The domesti- cation of mammals and host-parasite co-evolution in the nematode genus Onchocerca. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *47*(8), 457–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.12.009

Lindahl, J. F., & Grace, D. (2015). The consequences of human ac- tions on risks for infectious diseases: A review. *Infection Ecology & Epidemiology*, 5(1), 30048. https://doi.org/10.3402/iee.v5.30048

Martínez-de la Puente, J., Ferraguti, M., Ruiz, S., Roiz, D., Llorente, F., Pérez-Ramírez, E., ... Figuerola, J. (2018). Mosquito community influ- ences West Nile virus seroprevalence in wild birds: Implications for the risk of spillover into human populations. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1), 2599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20825-z

Martínez-de la Puente, J., Ferraguti, M., Ruiz, S., Roiz, D., Soriguer, R. C., & Figuerola, J. (2016). *Culex pipiens* forms and urbanization: Effects on blood feeding sources and transmission of avian *Plasmodium*. *Malaria Journal*, *15*(1), 589. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1643-5

Martínez-de la Puente, J., Ruiz, S., Soriguer, R., & Figuerola, J. (2013). Effect of blood meal digestion and DNA extraction protocol on the success of blood meal source determination in the malaria vector *Anopheles atropar- vus. Malaria Journal, 12,* 109. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-109

Marzok, M. A., & Desouky, A. R. Y. (2009). Ocular infection of donkeys (*Equusasinus*) with Setariaequina. Tropical Animal Healthand Production, 41(6), 859–863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-008-9263-x

Morchón, R., Bargues, M. D., Latorre, J. M., Melero-Alcíbar, R., Pou-Barreto, C., Mas-Coma, S., & Simón, F. (2007). Haplotype H1 of *Culex pipiens* implicated as natural vector of *Dirofilaria immitis* in an endemic area of Western Spain. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Diseases*, 7, 653–658. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2007.0124

Morchón, R., Carretón, E., González Miguel, J., & Mellado Hernández, I. (2012). Heartworm disease (*Dirofilaria immitis*) and their vectors in Europenew distribution trends. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *3*, 196. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys. 2012.00196

Morse, S. S. (1995). Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 1, 7–15. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid0101.950102

Muller, R. (1979). Problems in the Identification of Parasites and their Vectors. In A. E. R. Taylor & R. Muller, Symposia of the British Society for

Parasitology (vol. 17, pp. 175-206). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Scientific Publications .

Nabie, R., Spotin, A., & Rouhani, S. (2017). Subconjunctival setariasis due to Setaria equina infection; a case report and a literature review. Parasitology International, 66, 930–932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. parint.2016.10.017

Ortega-Mora, L. M., Gomez-Bautista, M., Rojo-Vazquez, F., Rodenas, A., & Guerrero, J. (1991). A survey of the prevalence of canine fila- riasis in Spain. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *11*, 63–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877(05)80045-5

Pietrobelli, M., Cancrini, G., Frangipane di Regalbono, A., Galuppi, R., & Tampieri, M. P. (1998). Development of Setaria labiatopapillosa in Aedes caspius. Medical and Veterinary Entomology, 12, 106–108. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2915.1998.00079.x

Simón, F., González-Miguel, J., Diosdado, A., Gómez, P. J., Morchón, R., & Kartashev, V. (2017). The complexity of zoonotic filariasis episys- tem and its consequences: a multidisciplinary view. *BioMed Research International*, 2017, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6436130

Taylor, L. H., Latham, S. M., & Mark, E. J. (2001). Risk factors for human disease emergence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* B: Biological Sciences, 356(1411), 983–989. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2001.0888

Taylor, M. J., Hoerauf, A., & Bockarie, M. (2010). Lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. *The Lancet*, 376(9747), 1175–1185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60586-7

Van der Kolk, J. H., & Kroeze, E. V. (2013). Infectious diseases of the horse: Diagnosis, pathology, management, and public health. London, UK Manson Publishing.



FIGURE 1 Sampling localities of mosquitoes in southern Spain, 2013. Stars and open circles represent sampling localities with positive and negative presence of parasite DNA respectively. Urbanized areas are shown in grey [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



FIGURE 2 Phylogenetic tree derived from Bayesian inference using the GTR + I + G substitution model. The tree was rooted with Spiruroidea species. Nodal support values of Bayesian (before the slash) and maximum likelihood (after the slash) inference are given. Only support values higher than 75% are indicated. Sequences obtained in the present study are shown in bold

TABLE 1 Number of mosquito females grouped in pools tested for the presence of parasite DNA in this study. The parasites identified are shown in brackets

Mosquito species	Pools tested	Mosquito tested	Positive pools
Aedes (Oc.) detritus	1	1	0
Aedes (Oc.) caspius	2	52	1 (Setaria equina)
Culex pipiens	1,025	19,754	1 (Onchocerca sp.)
Culex perexiguus	200	2,490	0
Culex modestus	52	473	0
Culex theileri	1	19	0
Culiseta annulata	1	2	0
Total	1,282	22,791	2