# Investigo Universida<sub>de</sub>Vigo

Biblioteca Universitaria Área de Repositorio Institucional

#### **Citation for published version:**

María Gómez-Brandón, Cristina Lazcano, Marta Lores, Jorge Domínguez. Short-term stabilization of grape marc through earthworms. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Volume 187, Issues 1–3, 2011, Pages 291-295, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.011>

#### **Accepted Manuscript**

Link to published version[: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.011)

#### **General rights:**

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivatives (CC BY-NC-ND) license[s https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

#### Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Hazardous Materials Manuscript Draft

Title: Short-term stabilization of grape marc through earthworms

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: Eisenia andrei; vermicomposting; PLFA profiles; enzyme activity; microbial activity

Corresponding Author: Dr. María Gómez Brandón,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Vigo

First Author: María Gómez Brandón

Order of Authors: María Gómez Brandón; Cristina Lazcano; Marta Lores; Jorge Domínguez

Abstract: The winery industry generates vast amounts of organic waste during the various stages of wine production. Among the possible methodological alternatives available for its treatment, vermicomposting is one of the best-known processes for the biological stabilization of solid organic wastes by transforming them into safer and more stabilized materials suitable for application to soil. In this study we carried out a mesocosm experiment to evaluate the effectiveness of the active phase of vermicomposting for the stabilization of grape marc, an enriched lignocellulosic by-product obtained after the grape crushing and pressing stages in wine production. For this we analysed the chemical, biochemical and microbiological properties of the product resulting from this phase, in comparison with those in a control treatment. Earthworm activity reduced the abundance of both bacterial and fungal PLFA biomarkers. Decreases in microbial activity and in protease and cellulase activities were also attributed to the presence of earthworms. The differences in microbial communities were accompanied by a reduction in the labile C pool and the cellulose content. These results indicate that earthworms played a key role in the stabilization of the grape marc in the short-term, via its effects on organic matter decomposition and microbial biomass and activity.

Date manuscript was received: 11/8/2010

Date manuscript was revised: 12/29/2010

Date manuscript was accepted: 1/5/2011

This is an Accepted article that has been peer-reviewed and approved for publication in Journal of Hazardous Materials, but has yet to undergo copy-editiong and proof correction. Please cite this article as an"Accepted Article", doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.011



#### 26 ABSTRACT

27 The winery industry generates vast amounts of organic waste during the various stages 28 of wine production. Among the possible methodological alternatives available for its 29 treatment, vermicomposting is one of the best-known processes for the biological 30 stabilization of solid organic wastes by transforming them into safer and more stabilized 31 materials suitable for application to soil. In this study we carried out a mesocosm 32 experiment to evaluate the effectiveness of the active phase of vermicomposting for the 33 stabilization of grape marc, an enriched lignocellulosic by-product obtained after the 34 grape crushing and pressing stages in wine production. For this we analysed the 35 chemical, biochemical and microbiological properties of the product resulting from this 36 phase, in comparison with those in a control treatment. Earthworm activity reduced the 37 abundance of both bacterial and fungal PLFA biomarkers. Decreases in microbial 38 activity and in protease and cellulase activities were also attributed to the presence of 39 earthworms. The differences in microbial communities were accompanied by a 40 reduction in the labile C pool and the cellulose content. These results indicate that 41 earthworms played a key role in the stabilization of the grape marc in the short-term, via 42 its effects on organic matter decomposition and microbial biomass and activity.

*Keywords: Eisenia andrei*; vermicomposting; PLFA profiles; enzyme activity; 45 microbial activity

52 Grape marc is a lignocellulosic enriched residue that consists of the stalks, skin, pulp 53 and seeds remaining after the grape crushing and pressing stages in wine production [1]. 54 This by-product is a valuable resource as a soil fertilizer with high contents of macro-55 and micro-nutrients, primarily nitrogen and potassium for crop growth [2]. However, 56 the overproduction of grape marc - more than 750,000 tons per year in Spain [3] - has 57 led to inappropriate disposal practices such as the indiscriminate and inappropriately-58 timed application to agricultural fields. Such practices can cause serious environmental 59 problems, including the release of excessive amounts of tannins and phenols in soils, 60 which could inhibit root growth [4].

61 The environmental problems associated with the management of winery wastes 62 could be significantly reduced by stabilizing them before their use or disposal. 63 Stabilization involves the decomposition of an organic waste to the extent of 64 eliminating the hazards and is normally reflected by decreases in microbial activity and 65 concentrations of labile compounds [5]. Stabilization therefore reduces the 66 environmental problems associated with the management of organic wastes by 67 transforming them into safer and more stabilized materials suitable for application to soil. 68

69 Composting and vermicomposting are two of the best-known processes for the 70 biological stabilization of solid organic wastes. Whilst composting has been widely 71 used for the treatment of winery wastes [1,2,4,6-11], there are very few studies on the 72 application of vermicomposting as a methodological alternative to recycling such 73 wastes [3,12-14]. Vermicomposting involves the biooxidation and stabilization of 74 organic material but, in contrast to composting, it depends on the joint action of 75 earthworms and microorganisms and does not involve a thermophilic stage [15].

76 Microorganisms produce the enzymes that cause the biochemical decomposition of 77 organic matter, but earthworms are crucial drivers of the process as they are involved in 78 stimulation of microbial populations through ingestion and fragmentation of fresh 79 organic matter, which results in a greater surface area available for microbial 80 colonization and drastically alters biological activity [16]. Earthworms also modify 81 microbial biomass and activity through stimulation, digestion and dispersion in casts, 82 thereby affecting the structure and function of microbial communities [17,18]. 83 Therefore, it is necessary to establish the effects of earthworms on the microorganisms 84 because if the earthworms were to stimulate or depress microbiota or modify the 85 structure and function of microbial communities, they would have different effects on 86 the decomposition of organic matter and thus, in turn, on the stabilization of the waste.

87 The vermicomposting process includes two different phases with regard to the 88 activity of earthworms: (i) an active phase during which earthworms process the waste, 89 thereby modifying its physical state and microbial composition [17], and (ii) a 90 maturation-like phase marked by the displacement of the earthworms towards fresher 91 layers of undigested waste, during which the microbes take over decomposition of the 92 waste processed by the earthworms [19]. As in composting, the duration of the active 93 phase is not fixed and depends on the species and density of earthworms, and the rates 94 at which they ingest and process the waste [16]. In the present study we evaluated the 95 effectiveness of the active phase of vermicomposting for the short-term stabilization of 96 grape marc by analysing the chemical, biochemical and microbiological properties of 97 the product resulting from this phase.

98 There is some experimental evidence in the literature indicating that earthworm 99 activity accelerates the rate of decomposition of organic matter during vermicomposting 100 [16]. We hypothesized that this might result in a reduced microbial biomass and its

101 activity, and in lower enzyme activities in comparison with the control (no earthworms). 102 We also hypothesized that these changes in microbial biomass and activity will result in

103 a more stabilized substrate after the active phase of vermicomposting.

#### **2. Material and methods**

#### *2.1. Substrate and experimental design*

106 The grape marc was obtained from a vineyard in Pontevedra (Galicia, NW Spain), 107 homogenized, stored at 5 °C until use, and turned (for aeration) and moistened with 108 water during the two days prior to the experiment. It is a substrate rich in 109 polysaccharides and, as such, we expected a rapid response by the earthworms and 110 microorganisms because high amounts of easily degradable carbon compounds are 111 available. Some chemical characteristics of the grape marc are summarized in Table 1.

112 The vermicomposting of grape marc was carried out in mesocosms that consisted of 113 plastic containers (2 L), which were filled to three quarters of the capacity with 114 moistened (80% moisture content) and mature vermicompost in order to ensure the 115 survival of the earthworms. Five hundred juvenile and adult specimens of the epigeic 116 earthworm species *Eisenia andrei* (220 ± 14 g fresh weight per container) were placed 117 on the surface of the vermicompost. Specimens of *E. andrei* were collected from a stock 118 maintained in the laboratory for one month, during which grape marc was provided as a 119 food source. One kilogram (fresh weight) of grape marc was placed on a mesh (5 mm 120 pore size) on the surface of the vermicompost and was rewetted by spraying it with 20 121 mL of tap water. The use of plastic mesh avoids mixing the grape marc and the 122 vermicompost bedding and also facilitates the removal of grape marc after being 123 processed by the earthworms. The mesocosms were covered with perforated lids, and 124 placed in an incubation chamber at 20 °C and 90% relative humidity. We also included 125 a control treatment that consisted of the grape marc incubated without earthworms.

126 Each treatment was replicated five times. The high density of earthworms used and the 127 relatively rapid gut transit time of the epigeic earthworm species *E. andrei*, around 2.5– 128 7 h, resulted in the grape marc being completely processed by the earthworms in fifteen 129 days. After this time the samples were collected for analysis, and the biomass of 130 earthworms was determined  $(233 \pm 12 \text{ g}$  fw per mesocosm).

131 Samples were sieved (<5 mm) in order to remove the stalks and seeds, and several 132 parameters were determined, as detailed below.

*2.2. Chemical analyses* 

134 Electrical conductivity (EC) and pH were measured in aqueous extracts (1:10, w/v). 135 Total C and N contents were analysed in dried samples, in a Carlo Erba 1500 C/N 136 analyser. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined colorimetrically in 137 microplates after moist digestion  $(K_2Cr_2O_7$  and  $H_2SO_4$ ) of aliquots of 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 138 extracts. Inorganic nitrogen ( $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ ) was determined in 2N KCl extracts by 139 acid–base titration with 0.01N HCl, in a Büchi distillation unit. Cellulose, hemicellulose 140 and lignin contents were determined by the use of the FibreBag System (Gerhardt, 141 Königswinter, Germany) according to the method of Goering and Van Soest [20].

#### *2.3. Microbiological and biochemical analyses*

143 Bacterial and fungal biomass was assessed by the phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) 144 analysis. The sum of Gram-positive (i15:0, a15:0, i16:0, a17:0); and Gram-negative bacteria (16:1ω7c, 17:1ω7c, cy17:0 and cy19:0) plus the marker of actinomycetes 146 10Me18:0 were chosen to represent the bacterial biomass; and the sum of PLFAs 18:1ω9c and 18:2ω6c was taken to indicate the fungal biomass [21]. Briefly, the total 148 lipidic extract was obtained from 200 mg of each freeze-dried sample with 60 mL of 149 chloroform:methanol (2:1, *v/v*), following the method described by Folch et al. [22] and 150 modified for highly organic samples by Gómez-Brandón et al. [23]. The lipid extract 151 was then fractionated into neutral lipids, glycolipids and phospholipids with chloroform 152 (5 mL), acetone (10 mL) and methanol (5 mL), on silicic acid columns (Strata SI-1 153 Silica (55 mm, 70A˚), 500 mg/6 mL). The fraction containing phospholipids was 154 subjected to alkaline methanolysis [24] to obtain the fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs), 155 and analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The detailed GC-156 MS experimental conditions have been described by the authors elsewhere [23]. To 157 identify and quantify the fatty acid methyl esters, retention times and mass spectra were 158 compared with those obtained for known standard mixtures or pure PLFAs [23].

159 The total microbial activity was assessed as basal respiration, by measuring the rate 160 of evolution of CO2, as modified by Aira et al. [18] for solid organic samples. Protease 161 activity was measured by determining the amino acids released, after incubating the 162 samples (1 g fresh weight) with sodium caseinate (2%) for 2 h at 50 °C, with Folin-163 Ciocalteu reagent, in a Microplate Reader at 700 nm [25]. Cellulase activity was 164 estimated by determining the reducing sugars released after incubating the samples (5 g 165 fresh weight) with carboxymethyl cellulose sodium salt (0.7%) for 24 h at 50 °C, in a 166 Microplate Reader at 690 nm [26].

*2.4. Statistical analysis* 

A Student's t-test was used to determine the differences between the control and the 169 earthworm treatment. All statistical tests were evaluated at the 95% confidence level. 170 Statistical analysis of the data was carried out with the SPSS 14.0 software programme.

**3. Results and discussion** 

172 The epigeic earthworm species *E. andrei* played a key role in the stabilization of the 173 grape marc in the short-term, via its effects on organic matter decomposition and 174 microbial biomass and activity. The presence of this earthworm species led to a 175 decrease in the labile C pool (DOC) of grape marc, to a greater extent than in the control

176 treatment (Table 2; *t-*test: P<0.05). Dissolved organic carbon generally contains organic 177 compounds that have different susceptibilities to microbial degradation and different 178 phytotoxic properties. For this reason the DOC composition may have an important role 179 in determining the stabilization process [27]. As found for DOC concentration, a 180 reduction was also observed in the content of cellulose, relative to the control, as a 181 result of the earthworm activity (Table 2; *t-*test: P<0.05). These findings are consistent 182 with the general hypothesis that earthworms accelerate the rate of decomposition of 183 organic matter during vermicomposting [16,18,19,28,29]. However, there were no 184 significant differences between samples with regard to the concentration of 185 hemicellulose (Table 2; *t-*test: P=0.50) and lignin (Table 2; *t-*test: P=0.87) and the C to 186 N ratio (Table 2, *t-*test: P=0.40). Namkoong et al. [30] established that this ratio could 187 not be considered as a reliable stability index, as it changed irregularly with time. 188 Moreover, when wastes rich in nitrogen are used as source material for 189 vermicomposting, like sewage sludges or manures, the C to N ratio can be within the 190 values of a stable vermicompost even though it may still be unstable.

191 Vermicompost stability can be also determined in terms of nitrification. Nitrogen 192 mineralization is regulated by the availability of dissolved organic nitrogen and 193 ammonium, the activity of the microorganisms and their relative requirements for 194 carbon and nitrogen [31]. In our study, earthworm activity increased the concentration 195 of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> relative to the control (Table 2; *t*-test: P<0.01), probably because NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is one 196 of the excretion products of earthworms [32]; but no changes were detected in  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> 197 content (Table 2; *t-*test: P=0.60). Most of the nitrification occurs during the maturation 198 stage, as shown by Atiyeh et al. [29] in a vermicomposting experiment with the 199 earthworm species *E. andrei*.

200 Considering the key role of microorganisms in the vermicomposting process, the use 201 of microbiological properties as stability indicators is not surprising. There is recent 202 evidence in the literature suggesting that digestion of the organic material by these 203 earthworm species has negative effects on microbial biomass. Indeed, Aira et al. [28] 204 detected a decrease in microbial biomass C in casts of *Eudrilus eugeniae* fed with pig 205 slurry. Epigeic earthworms may also affect the microbial biomass by depletion of the 206 resources for the microbes [15]. In the present study, the activity of earthworms 207 reduced, relative to the control, the abundance of both bacterial and fungal PLFA 208 biomarkers after fifteen days of vermicomposting (Fig. 1; *t-*test: P<0.0001 and P<0.05, 209 respectively). The active phase of vermicomposting also led to a reduction in the total 210 microbial activity of grape marc, to a greater extent than in the control mesocosm (Fig. 211 2A; *t*-test: P <0.0001). This suggests that the presence of earthworms favoured the 212 stabilization of the residue, as shown by Lazcano et al. [33]. These authors evaluated the 213 effectiveness of the active phases of composting, vermicomposting, and a combination 214 of composting and vermicomposting for reducing the polluting potential of cattle 215 manure in the short-term. They found that both vermicomposting treatments produced 216 more stabilised substrates than the active phase of composting in terms of microbial 217 activity. Similar decreases in microbial activity were reported in short-term experiments 218 with epigeic earthworm species [28,34]. Indeed, Aira et al. [28] observed a reduction in 219 microbial activity in casts of *Eudrilus eugeniae* fed with pig manure, whereas in a later 220 study, Aira and Domínguez [34] did not detect any changes in this parameter in the 221 presence of *Eisenia fetida*. However, in the latter study, the authors observed a 222 reduction in microbial activity when *E. fetida* was fed on cow manure rather than pig 223 manure.

224 The study of enzyme activities has been shown to be a reliable tool for characterizing 225 the state and evolution of the organic matter during vermicomposting [19,35], as they 226 are implicated in the biological and biochemical processes that transform organic wastes 227 into stabilised products. In addition, the measurement of enzyme activities is easy, quick 228 and inexpensive [36], but it is difficult to establish general threshold values to apply 229 enzyme activities as stability indexes due to the widely different organic substrates 230 involved in the vermicomposting process. In the present study, earthworm activity 231 greatly reduced the activities of the protease (Fig. 2B; *t-*test: P<0.05) and cellulase 232 enzymes (Fig. 2C; *t-*test: P<0.01) in comparison with the control. These findings 233 coincide with microbial activity data, which reinforces that a higher degree of stability 234 was reached after the active phase of vermicomposting. Similarly, Lazcano et al. [33] 235 reported lower values of protease activity, relative to the control, after vermicomposting 236 and composting with subsequent vermicomposting (3 and 4.4 times lower, 237 respectively). However, they did not find any differences in relation to this enzyme 238 activity after the active phase of composting, indicating that the vermicomposted 239 materials were significantly more stabilised than the compost. Aira et al. [28] also 240 reported a reduction in the activity of protease enzyme in a short-term experiment with 241 epigeic earthworms, but did not find any differences in cellulase activity. Aira et al. [19] 242 observed high correlations between the microbial biomass and protease and cellulase 243 activities, which indicate that microorganisms play an important role in shaping the 244 patterns of these two enzymes during vermicomposting. Thus, the reduction in both 245 enzyme activities relative to the control may be due to the lower microbial biomass as a 246 result of earthworm activity, which probably affected enzyme production. Earthworms 247 may also affect the activity of these enzymes by modifying the availability of C and N 248 pools. Indeed, as stated previously, the labile C pool and the cellulose concentration

## 249 were significantly lower than in the control treatment. However, as the increase in  $NH_4^+$ 250 was attributed to the presence of earthworms, the reduction in protease activity may be 251 related to the decrease in microbial biomass.

**4. Conclusions** 

253 The activity of the epigeic earthworm species *E. andrei* favoured the stabilization of 254 the grape marc after fifteen days of vermicomposting. This was reflected by the lower 255 values of labile C pool and microbial biomass and activity in comparison with those in 256 the control. The speed at which these transformations occurred made the active phase of 257 vermicomposting a suitable stage for studying the relationships between earthworms 258 and microorganisms and permitted us to understand the chemical and biological 259 consequences of earthworm activities; which may have important implications for the 260 development of vermicomposting as a methodological alternative for the disposal of 261 winery wastes.

#### **Acknowledgements**

263 This research was financially supported by the Xunta de Galicia (09TAL012209PR) and 264 by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (CTM2009-08477). María Gómez 265 Brandón is financially supported by a postdoctoral grant from Fundación Alfonso 266 Martín Escudero. Cristina Lazcano is in receipt of an *Ángeles Alvariño* research 267 fellowship from the *Xunta de Galicia*. The authors also acknowledge Christine Francis 268 and Paul Fraiz for their highly valuable help in language editing.

**References** 

270 [1] T.C. Flavel, D.V. Murphy, B.M. Lalor, I.R.P. Fillery, Gross N mineralization rates 271 after application of composted grape marc of soil, Soil Biol. Biochem. 37 (2005) 272 1397–1400.

273 [2] E. Bertran, X. Sort, M. Soliva, I. Trillas, Composting of winery waste: sledges and 274 grape stalks, Bioresour. Technol. 95 (2004) 203-208.

- 275 [3] J.D. Fernández-Bayo, R. Nogales, E. Romero, Improved retention of imidacloprid 276 (Confidor®) in soils by adding vermicompost from spent grape marc. Sci. Total 277 Environ. 378 (2007) 95-100.
- 278 [4] Y. Inbar, Y. Chen, Y. Hadar, Carbon-13 CPMAS NMR and FTIR spectroscopic 279 analysis of organic matter transformations during composting of solid wastes from 280 wineries, Soil Sci. 152 (1991) 272–282.
- 281 [5] M. Benito, A. Masaguer, A. Moliner, N. Arrigo, R.M. Palma, Chemical and 282 microbiological parameters for the characterization of the stability and maturity of 283 pruning waste compost, Biol. Fertil. Soils 37 (2003) 184-189.
- 284 [6] M.A. Bustamante, C. Paredes, J. Morales, A.M. Mayoral, R. Moral, Study of the 285 composting process of winery and distillery wastes using multivariate techniques, 286 Bioresour. Technol. 100 (2009) 4766-4772.
- 287 [7] M.J. Díaz, E. Madejón, F. López, R. López, F. Cabrera, Optimization of the rate 288 vinasse/grape marc for co-composting process, Process Biochem. 37 (2002) 1143- 289 1150.
- 290 [8] F.J. Fernández, V. Sánchez-Arias, J. Villaseñor, L. Rodríguez, Evaluation of 291 carbon degradation during co-composting of exhausted grape marc with different 292 biowastes, Chemosphere 73 (2008) 670-677.
- 293 [9] E. Madejón, M.J. Díaz, R. López, F. Cabrera, Co-composting of sugarbeet vinasse: 294 Influence of the organic matter nature of the bulking agents used, Bioresour. 295 Technol. 76 (2001) 275–278.
- 296 [10] F.C. Marhuenda-Egea, E. Martínez-Sabater, J. Jordá, R. Moral, M.A. Bustamante, 297 C. Paredes, M.D. Pérez-Murcia, Dissolved organic matter fractions formed during

298 composting of winery and distillery residues: Evaluation of the process by 299 fluorescence excitation–emission matrix, Chemosphere 68 (2007) 301-309.

- 300 [11] R. Paradelo, A. Moldes, M. Barral, Utilization of a factorial design to study the 301 composting of hydrolyzed grape marc and vinification lees, J. Agric. Food Chem. 302 58 (2010), 3085-3092.
- 303 [12] R. Nogales, C. Cifuentes, E. Benítez, Vermicomposting of winery wastes: a 304 laboratory study, J. Environ, Sci. Health Part B 40 (2005) 659-673.
- 305 [13] E. Romero, C. Plaza, N. Senesi, R. Nogales, A. Polo, Humic acid-like fractions in 306 raw and vermicomposted winery and distillery wastes, Geoderma 139 (2007) 397- 307 406.
- 308 [14] E. Romero, J. Fernández-Bayo, J.M.C. Díaz, R. Nogales, Enzyme activities and 309 diuron persistence in soil amended with vermicompost derived from spent grape 310 marc and treated with urea, Appl. Soil Ecol. 44 (2010) 198-204.

### 311 [15] J. Domínguez, State of the art and new perspectives on vermicomposting research, 312 in: C.A. Edwards (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology, St. Lucie Press, Boca Raton, 2004, 313 pp. 401-424.

- 314 [16] J. Domínguez, M. Aira, M. Gómez-Brandón, Vermicomposting: earthworms 315 enhance the work of microbes, in: H. Insam, I. Franke-Whittle, M. Goberna (Eds), 316 Microbes at Work: from Wastes to Resources, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 317 Heildelberg, 2010, pp. 93-114.
- 318 [17] M. Lores, M. Gómez-Brandón, D. Pérez-Díaz, J. Domínguez, Using FAME 319 profiles for the characterization of animal wastes and vermicomposts, Soil Biol. 320 Biochem. 38 (2006) 2993-2996.
- 321 [18] M. Aira, F. Monroy, J. Domínguez, *Eisenia fetida* (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae) 322 modifies the structure and physiological capabilities of microbial communities

323 improving carbon mineralization during vermicomposting of pig manure, 324 Microbial Ecol. 54 (2007) 662-671.

### 325 [19] M. Aira, F. Monroy, J. Domínguez, Microbial biomass governs enzyme activity 326 decay during aging of worm-worked substrates through vermicomposting, J. 327 Environ. Qual. 36 (2007) 448-452.

- 328 [20] H.K. Goering, P.J. Van Soest, Forage Fiber Analysis, Agricultural Handbook No. 329 379, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, Washington, DC., 1970.
- 330 [21] L. Zelles, Fatty acid patterns of phospholipids and lipopolysaccharides in the 331 characterization of microbial communities: a review, Biol. Fertil. Soils 29 332 (1999)111-129.
- 333 [22] J. Folch, M. Lees, G.H.S. Stanley, A simple method for the isolation and 334 purification of total lipids from animal tissues, J. Biol. Chem. 226 (1957) 497-509.
- 335 [23] M. Gómez-Brandón, M. Lores, J. Domínguez, A new combination of extraction 336 and derivatization methods that reduces the complexity and preparation time in 337 determining phospholipid fatty acids in solid environmental samples, Bioresour. 338 Technol. 101 (2010) 1348-1354.
- 339 [24] D.C. White, D.B. Ringelberg, Signature lipid biomarker analysis, in: R.S. Burlage, 340 R. Atlas, D. Stahl, G. Geesey, G. Sayler (Eds.), Techniques in Microbial Ecology, 341 Oxford University Press, New York, 1998, pp. 255-237.
	- 342 [25] J.N. Ladd, J.H.A. Butler, Short-term assays of soil proteolytic enzyme activities 343 using proteins and dipeptide derivatives as substrates, Soil Biol. Biochem. 4 (1972) 344 19-30.
		- 345 [26] F. Schinner, W. von Mersi, Xylanase-CM-cellulase-and invertase activity in soil: 346 an improved method, Soil Biol. Biochem. 22 (1990) 511-515.

347 [27] M. Gómez-Brandón, C. Lazcano, J. Domínguez, The evaluation of stability and 348 maturity during the composting of cattle manure, Chemosphere 70 (2008) 436-444. 349 [28] M. Aira, F. Monroy, J. Domínguez, Changes in microbial biomass and microbial

- 350 activity of pig slurry after the transit through the gut of the earthworm *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Kinberg, 1867), Biol. Fertil. Soils 42 (2006) 371–376.
- 352 [29] R. Atiyeh, J. Domínguez, S. Subler, C.A. Edwards, Changes in biochemical 353 properties of cow manure during processing by earthworms and the effects on 354 seedling growth, Pedobiologia 44 (2000) 709-724.
- 355 [30] W. Namkoong, E.Y. Hwang, J.G. Cheon, J.Y. Choi, A comparative evaluation of 356 maturity parameters of food waste composting, Compost Sci. Util. 7 (1999) 55-62.
- 357 [31] R.D. Bardgett, The Biology of Soil: a Community and Ecosystem Approach, 358 Oxford University Press, Oxford UK, 2005.
- 359 [32] K.E. Lee, Earthworms. Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land Use, 360 Academic Press, Sidney, 1985.
- 361 [33] C. Lazcano, M. Gómez-Brandón, J. Domínguez, Comparison of the effectiveness 362 of composting and vermicomposting for the biological stabilization of cattle 363 manure, Chemosphere72 (2008) 1013-1019.
- 364 [34] M. Aira, J. Domínguez, Microbial and nutrient stabilization of two animal manures 365 after the transit through the gut of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826), J. 366 Hazard. Mater. 161 (2009) 1234-1238.

367 [35] E. Benítez, H. Sanz, R. Nogales, Hydrolytic enzyme activities of extracted humic 368 substances during the vermicomposting of a lignocellulosic olive waste, Bioresour. 369 Technol. 96 (2005) 785–90.



#### **Figure legends**

396 Figure 1. Relative abundance (% of total) of specific PLFAs used as biomarkers of 397 bacteria (A) and fungi (B) from the substrates obtained after incubation for fifteen days 398 without earthworms (control), and in the presence of the epigeic earthworm species *Eisenia andrei*. Values are means ± standard error. The asterisk indicates significant 400 differences between samples (Student's t-test).

> 402 Figure 2. Microbial activity of the substrates obtained after incubation for fifteen days 403 without earthworms (control), and in the presence of the epigeic earthworm species *Eisenia andrei*: (A) Total microbial activity measured as basal respiration; (B) protease 405 activity; (C) cellulase activity. Values are means  $\pm$  standard error. The asterisk indicates significant differences between samples (Student's t-test).

### **Table 1**

Chemical properties of the initial grape marc used for the experiment



#### **Table 2**

Chemical properties of the substrates obtained after incubation of grape marc for fifteen days without earthworms (control), and in the presence of the epigeic earthworm species *Eisenia andrei*



Values are means ± standard error.

Superscript lower case letters indicate significant differences between samples (Student's t test).



Figure 1

