

Citation for published version:

Fernando Cobos, Luz M. Fernández-Cabrera, Antón Martínez. On the interpolation of the measure of non-compactness of bilinear operators with weak assumptions on the boundedness of the operator. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Volume 504, Issue 1, 2021, 125376

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125376>

Accepted Manuscript

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125376>

General rights:

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-NoDerivatives (CC BY-NC-ND) licenses.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

On the interpolation of the measure of non-compactness of bilinear operators with weak assumptions on the boundedness of the operator

Fernando Cobos *

Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid. Spain.

Luz M. Fernández-Cabrera

Sección Departamental del Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Estudios Estadísticos, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

Antón Martínez

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada I, Escuela de Ingeniería Industrial, Universidad de Vigo, 36200 Vigo, Spain.

Abstract

We complete the range of the parameters in the interpolation formula established by Mastyo and Silva for the measure of non-compactness of a bilinear operator interpolated by the real method.

Keywords: Bilinear operators; measure of non-compactness; duality for bilinear operators; real interpolation.

2010 MSC: Primary 46B70, 46M35, 47H60.

Dedicated to Professor Hans Triebel on the occasion of his 85th birthday.

1. Introduction

One of the questions considered by Calderón [4] in his seminal paper on the complex method was the interpolation of compact bilinear operators. The counterpart for the real method of Lions and Peetre [18] has been done recently, starting with the papers by Fernandez and Silva [11] and Fernández-Cabrera and Martínez [12, 13]. A motivation for the research has been the fact that compact bilinear operators arise rather naturally in harmonic analysis. Namely, commutators of bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators and multiplication by functions in the subspace CMO of BMO are compact (see the papers by Bényi and Torres [1], Cobos, Fernández-Cabrera and Martínez [6] and Torres, Xue and Yan [26]). Other results on interpolation of compact bilinear operators can be

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: `cobos@mat.ucm.es` (Fernando Cobos), `luz_fernandez-c@mat.ucm.es` (Luz M. Fernández-Cabrera), `antonmar@uvigo.es` (Antón Martínez)

found in the papers by Mastyo and Silva [22] and Cobos, Fernández-Cabrera and Martínez [7, 8].

Quantitative versions in terms of the measure of non-compactness of some of these qualitative results have been established by Mastyo and Silva [21] and Besoy and Cobos [3]. Both papers refer to the real method but they work with different assumptions on the operator. Mastyo and Silva assume that T is defined from $(A_0 \cap A_1) \times (B_0 \cap B_1)$ into $E_0 \cap E_1$ with

$$\|T(a, b)\|_{E_j} \leq M_j \|a\|_{A_j} \|b\|_{B_j}, \quad a \in A_0 \cap A_1, \quad b \in B_0 \cap B_1, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

and establish the following log-convexity inequality for the measure of non-compactness

$$(1.1) \quad \beta(T : \bar{A}_{\theta,p} \times \bar{B}_{\theta,q} \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta,r}) \\ \leq C \beta(T : A_0^\circ \times B_0^\circ \longrightarrow E_0^\circ)^{1-\theta} \beta(T : A_1^\circ \times B_1^\circ \longrightarrow E_1^\circ)^\theta,$$

where $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r$ (see [21, Theorem 3.2]). Besoy and Cobos ask a stronger assumption on T . Namely, they suppose that T is bounded from $(A_0 + A_1) \times (B_0 + B_1)$ into $E_0 + E_1$ and that the restrictions $T : A_j \times B_j \longrightarrow E_j$ are also bounded for $j = 0, 1$. In this case, they show that the log-convexity inequality holds for operators acting among couples of quasi-Banach spaces and for the whole range of the parameters p, q, r (see [3, Theorem 3.5]). More precisely, if (E_0, E_1) is a couple of s -Banach spaces, then the conditions on the parameters are

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < p, q, r \leq \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 1/r = \begin{cases} 1/p + 1/q - 1/s & \text{if } p, q \geq s, \\ 1/\max(p, q) & \text{if } p < s \text{ or } q < s. \end{cases}$$

Approaches followed in [21] and [3] are completely different.

In applications, the weaker assumption on T is more handy. For this reason, it is important to extend inequality (1.1) to the remaining range of parameters. For couples of Banach spaces, this means the values of (1.2) with $s = 1$. Accordingly, we prove in this paper such a result.

Our techniques are a refinement of those used by Mastyo and Silva [21] based on duality results for bilinear operators introduced by Ramanujan and Schock [24] and the corresponding results established by Cobos, Fernández-Martínez and Martínez [9] and Fernández-Martínez [14] for the measure of non-compactness in the linear case (see also the paper by Edmunds and Teixeira [25]). Duality is the reason why we work with Banach couples.

We start by reviewing in Section 2 the basic results on the real interpolation method. We also establish there the variant of the bilinear interpolation theorem that we will need later. In Section 3 we study the connections between the measure of non-compactness of a bilinear operator and the measure of non-compactness of its adjoint operator, which is a linear operator. Finally, in Section 4, we establish the log-convexity inequality.

2. Preliminaries

By a *Banach couple* $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ we mean two Banach spaces A_0, A_1 which are continuously embedded in some Hausdorff topological vector space.

We endow $A_0 + A_1 = \Sigma(\bar{A})$ and $A_0 \cap A_1 = \Delta(\bar{A})$ with the norms $K(1, \cdot)$ and $J(1, \cdot)$, respectively, where for $t > 0$

$$K(t, a) = \inf\{\|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1} : a = a_0 + a_1, a_j \in A_j\}, a \in \Sigma(\bar{A}),$$

and

$$J(t, a) = \max\{\|a\|_{A_0}, t\|a\|_{A_1}\}, a \in \Delta(\bar{A}),$$

are the Peetre's functionals.

Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. The *real interpolation space* $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} = \bar{A}_{\theta, q}$ is formed by all $a \in A_0 + A_1$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty [t^{-\theta} K(t, a)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

(the integral should be replaced by the supremum when $q = \infty$). The space $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ is a Banach space if $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and a quasi-Banach space if $0 < q < 1$ (see [2, 27]).

The real interpolation method has the interpolation property for linear operators: Let $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be another Banach couple and let R be a linear operator from $A_0 + A_1$ into $B_0 + B_1$ whose restrictions $R : A_j \rightarrow B_j$ are bounded for $j = 0, 1$. Then $R : \bar{A}_{\theta, q} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\theta, q}$ is bounded with

$$\|R\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, q}, \bar{B}_{\theta, q}} \leq \|R\|_{A_0, B_0}^{1-\theta} \|R\|_{A_1, B_1}^\theta.$$

The space $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ can also be introduced using series instead of integrals because the functional

$$\|a\|_{\theta, q} = \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty [2^{-\theta m} K(2^m, a)]^q \right)^{1/q},$$

(the sum should be replaced by the supremum if $q = \infty$) is an equivalent norm (respectively, quasi-norm) to $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, q}}$ if $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ (respectively, if $0 < q < 1$).

The real interpolation space can be equivalently described by means of the J -functional. Indeed, $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ consists of all $a \in A_0 + A_1$ for which there is $(u_m) \subseteq A_0 \cap A_1$ such that

$$a = \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty u_m \quad (\text{convergence in } A_0 + A_1)$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty [2^{-\theta m} J(2^m, u_m)]^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the functional

$$\|a\|_{\theta, q}^J = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty [2^{-\theta m} J(2^m, u_m)]^q \right)^{1/q} : a = \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty u_m, (u_m) \subseteq A_0 \cap A_1 \right\}$$

is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, q}}$.

Subsequently, if A is a quasi-Banach space, we put A^* for its dual space. If $A_0 \cap A_1 \subseteq A$, we write A° for the closure of $A_0 \cap A_1$ in A . Since $A_0 \cap A_1 =$

$A_0^\circ \cap A_1^\circ$, it follows from the J -description of the real interpolation space that $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} = (A_0^\circ, A_1^\circ)_{\theta, q}$. The J -description also gives that $A_0 \cap A_1$ is dense in $\bar{A}_{\theta, q}$ if $q < \infty$. Therefore, $\bar{A}_{\theta, q}^\circ = \bar{A}_{\theta, q}$ if $0 < q < \infty$.

We say that the Banach couple \bar{A} is *regular* if $A_0 \cap A_1$ is dense in A_0 and in A_1 . If this is the case, then $\bar{A}^* = (A_0^*, A_1^*)$ is also a Banach couple and the following duality formulae hold with equivalence of norms:

(2.1) If $1 \leq q < \infty$, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, then

$$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}^* = (A_0^*, A_1^*)_{\theta, q'}.$$

(2.2) If $q = \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, then

$$((A_0, A_1)_{\theta, \infty}^\circ)^* = (A_0^*, A_1^*)_{\theta, 1}.$$

(2.3) If $0 < q < 1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, then

$$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}^* = (A_0^*, A_1^*)_{\theta, \infty}.$$

See [2, Theorem 3.7.1 and Remark in p. 55] and [23, (0.5) in p. 124].

Let A, B, E be Banach spaces and let $T : A \times B \rightarrow E$ be a bilinear operator. We say that T is *bounded* if

$$\|T\|_{A \times B, E} = \sup \{ \|T(a, b)\|_E : \|a\|_A \leq 1, \|b\|_B \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

We write $\mathcal{L}(A \times B, E)$ for the space of all bounded bilinear operators from $A \times B$ into E .

Let $\bar{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ be another Banach couple. By $T \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{A} \times \bar{B}, \bar{E})$ we mean that T is a bilinear operator defined on $(A_0 \cap A_1) \times (B_0 \cap B_1)$ with values in $E_0 \cap E_1$ such that there are constants $M_j > 0$ with

$$(2.4) \quad \|T(a, b)\|_{E_j} \leq M_j \|a\|_{A_j} \|b\|_{B_j}, \quad a \in \Delta(\bar{A}), \quad b \in \Delta(\bar{B}), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Using (2.4), it is not hard to check that T may be uniquely extended to a bilinear operator $T : A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ \rightarrow E_j^\circ$ with $\|T\|_{A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ, E_j^\circ} \leq M_j$.

The behaviour of bounded linear operators under real interpolation was already considered by Lions and Peetre [18] in their foundational paper on the real method. Their result was extended by Karadzhov [16] to the full range for the parameters (see also the paper by König [17] for a proof). In our later considerations we are going to need the following variant of the bilinear interpolation theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$, $\bar{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ be Banach couples and let T be a bilinear operator from $(A_0 \cap A_1) \times (B_0 \cap B_1)$ into $E_0 \cap E_1$ such that there are positive constants M_j with*

$$\|T(a, b)\|_{E_j} \leq M_j \|a\|_{A_j} \|b\|_{B_j}, \quad a \in A_0 \cap A_1, \quad b \in B_j, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ be positive numbers satisfying

$$\frac{1}{r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 & \text{if } p, q \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\max(p, q)} & \text{if } p < 1 \text{ or } q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\|T(a, b)\|_{\bar{E}_{\theta, r}} \leq C M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|a\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, p}} \|b\|_{\bar{B}_{\theta, q}}, \quad a \in \Delta(\bar{A}), b \in \bar{B}_{\theta, q}.$$

Moreover, T may be uniquely extended to a bounded bilinear operator

$$T : \bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q} \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta, r}.$$

Proof. Take $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^n \leq M_1/M_0 < 2^{n+1}$. Given any $a \in A_0 \cap A_1$, $u \in B_0 \cap B_1$ and $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a = a_0 + a_1$ with $a_j \in A_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(2^m, T(a, u)) &\leq \|T(a_0, u)\|_{E_0} + 2^m \|T(a_1, u)\|_{E_1} \\ &\leq M_0 \|a_0\|_{A_0} \|u\|_{B_0} + 2^m M_1 \|a_1\|_{A_1} \|u\|_{B_1} \\ &\leq \max(M_0, 2^{-n} M_1) (\|a_0\|_{A_0} + 2^{m-k} \|a_1\|_{A_1}) J(2^{k+n}, u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.5) \quad K(2^m, T(a, u)) \leq 2M_0 K(2^{m-k}, a) J(2^{k+n}, u).$$

Now take any $b \in \bar{B}_{\theta, q}$ and let $b = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} u_k$ be any J -representation of b . Since

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|u_k\|_{B_0+B_1} < \infty, \text{ we also have that } b = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{k+n} \text{ in } B_0 + B_1.$$

Suppose that $p < 1$ and $p \leq q$, so $r = q$. We have

$$K(2^m, T(a, b)) \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} K(2^m, T(a, u_{k+n})) \leq \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} K(2^m, T(a, u_{k+n}))^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Combining this inequality with (2.5) and using Young's inequality with parameters $p/q = 1 + p/q - 1$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(a, b)\|_{\bar{E}_{\theta, q}} &\leq 2M_0 \left\| \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-\theta(m-k)p} K(2^{m-k}, a)^p 2^{-\theta kp} J(2^{k+n}, u_{k+n})^p \right) \right\|_{\ell_{q/p}}^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2M_0 \|(2^{-\theta m} K(2^m, a))^p\|_{\ell_1}^{1/p} \|(2^{-\theta k} J(2^{k+n}, u_{k+n}))\|_{\ell_q} \\ &\leq 2M_0 2^{\theta n} \|a\|_{\theta, p} \|(2^{-\theta k} J(2^k, u_k))\|_{\ell_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over all J -representations of b , we derive that

$$\|T(a, b)\|_{\bar{E}_{\theta, q}} \leq C M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|a\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, p}} \|b\|_{\bar{B}_{\theta, q}}, \quad a \in \Delta(\bar{A}), b \in \bar{B}_{\theta, q}.$$

Finally, since $A_0 \cap A_1$ is dense in $\bar{A}_{\theta, p}$, the operator T may be uniquely extended to a bounded bilinear operator $T : \bar{A}_{\theta, p} \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q} \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta, q}$.

If $q < p \leq 1$, then $r = p$ and we can proceed as before but using now that

$$K(2^m, T(a, b)) \leq \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} K(2^m, T(a, u_{k+n}))^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and Young's inequality with parameters $q/p = q/p + 1 - 1$. The cases $q < 1$ and $q \leq p$, and $p < q \leq 1$ are similar. Finally, if $1 \leq p, q$ then $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1$ and we can proceed directly with Young's inequality. \square

Others results on interpolation of bilinear operators can be found, for example, in the papers by Janson [15], Mastysłó [19, 20] and Cobos, Fernández-Cabrera and Martínez [6].

3. Measure of non-compactness

Let A, B be Banach spaces. We write U_A for the closed unit ball of A and define U_B similarly. Given any bounded linear operator $R \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$, the (ball) measure of non-compactness $\beta(R) = \beta(R : A \rightarrow B)$ is defined to be the infimum of the set of all $\sigma > 0$ for which there is a finite subset $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\} \subseteq B$ such that

$$R(U_A) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^s \{b_k + \sigma U_B\},$$

(see [5, 10]).

Clearly, the operator R is compact if and only if $\beta(R) = 0$.

Let $R^* \in \mathcal{L}(B^*, A^*)$ be the adjoint operator of R . A well-known result of Schauder says that R is compact if and only if R^* is compact. If the operator R is not compact, then the following inequalities hold for the measure of non-compactness

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \beta(R : A \rightarrow B) \leq \beta(R^* : B^* \rightarrow A^*) \leq 2 \beta(R : A \rightarrow B),$$

(see [10, Corollary 2.10, p. 12]).

Let E be another Banach spaces and let $T : A \times B \rightarrow E$ be a bounded bilinear operator. The (ball) *measure of non-compactness* $\beta(T) = \beta(T : A \times B \rightarrow E)$ of T is the infimum of all $\sigma > 0$ for which there exists a finite subset $\{z_1, \dots, z_s\} \subseteq E$ such that

$$T(U_A, U_B) = \{T(a, b) : a \in U_A, b \in U_B\} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^s \{z_k + \sigma U_E\}.$$

The operator T is said to be *compact* if for any bounded sets $V \subseteq A, W \subseteq B$ we have that the closure of $T(V, W)$ is compact in E . Again, the operator T is compact if and only if its measure of non-compactness is 0.

Following Ramanujan and Schock [24], the *adjoint operator* T^\times of T is the linear map

$$T^\times : E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})$$

defined by $(T^\times f)(a, b) = f[T(a, b)]$. Here \mathbb{K} is the scalar field.

It turns out that $\|T\|_{A \times B, E} = \|T^\times\|_{E^*, \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})}$. Moreover, T is compact if and only if T^\times is compact (see [24, Theorem 2.6]).

Next we study inequalities of the type (3.1) in the bilinear setting. For operators acting among Banach couples, this question has been studied in [21, Lemma 3.1],

Theorem 3.1. *Let A, B, E be Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A \times B, E)$. Then we have*

$$\beta(T^\times : E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})) \leq 4 \beta(T : A \times B \rightarrow E).$$

Proof. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find finite subsets $\{a_1, \dots, a_s\} \subseteq U_A$ and $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\} \subseteq U_B$ such that for any $(a, b) \in U_A \times U_B$ there exists $1 \leq k \leq s$ with

$$(3.2) \quad \|T(a, b) - T(a_k, b_k)\| \leq 2 \beta(T) + \varepsilon.$$

Let $S : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^s$ be the linear operator assigning to each $f \in E^*$ the s -tuple $Sf = (f[T(a_1, b_1)], \dots, f[T(a_s, b_s)])$. Since S has finite rank, S is compact.

Therefore, there exists a finite subset of functionals $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subseteq U_{E^*}$ such that for any $f \in U_{E^*}$ there is $1 \leq n \leq m$ with $\|Sf - Sf_n\|_{\mathbb{K}^*} \leq \varepsilon$. Whence,

$$(3.3) \quad |f[T(a_k, b_k)] - f_n[T(a_k, b_k)]| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for any } 1 \leq k \leq s.$$

Then, given any $f \in U_{E^*}$ if we take n satisfying (3.3), and for any $(a, b) \in U_A \times U_B$ we choose k satisfying (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(T^\times f - T^\times f_n)(a, b)| &= |f[T(a, b)] - f_n[T(a, b)]| \\ &\leq |f[T(a, b)] - f[T(a_k, b_k)]| + |f[T(a_k, b_k)] - f_n[T(a_k, b_k)]| \\ &\quad + |f_n[T(a_k, b_k)] - f_n[T(a, b)]| \\ &\leq \|T(a, b) - T(a_k, b_k)\|_E + \varepsilon + \|T(a_k, b_k) - T(a, b)\|_E \leq 4\beta(T) + 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\|T^\times f - T^\times f_n\|_{A \times B, \mathbb{K}} \leq 4\beta(T) + 3\varepsilon$. Consequently,

$$\beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})) \leq 4\beta(T : A \times B \longrightarrow E).$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let A, B, E be Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A \times B, E)$. Then we have*

$$\beta(T : A \times B \longrightarrow E) \leq 8\beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})).$$

Proof. Put $W = \overline{T^\times(E^*)}$ which is a Banach space with the induced norm from $\mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\{R_1, \dots, R_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})$ and $\sigma > 0$ satisfy that

$$T^\times(U_{E^*}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^s \{R_k + \sigma U_{\mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})}\}.$$

We may assume that $W \cap \{R_k + \sigma U_{\mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})}\} \neq \emptyset$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$. Pick $S_k \in W \cap \{R_k + \sigma U_{\mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})}\}$. Then

$$T^\times(U_{E^*}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^s \{S_k + 2\sigma U_W\}.$$

This yields that

$$\beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow W) \leq 2\beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})).$$

Now consider the adjoint operator $(T^\times)^* : W^* \longrightarrow E^{**}$ and let $R : A \times B \longrightarrow W^*$ the operator defined by $R(a, b) = g_{(a,b)}$ where

$$g_{(a,b)}(T^\times f) = f[T(a, b)].$$

The operator R is bilinear and has norm less than or equal to 1 because

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(a, b)\|_{W^*} &= \sup\{|g_{(a,b)}(T^\times f)| : \|T^\times f\|_{A \times B, \mathbb{K}} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|f[T(a, b)]| : \|T^\times f\|_{A \times B, \mathbb{K}} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|(T^\times f)(a, b)| : \|T^\times f\|_{A \times B, \mathbb{K}} \leq 1\} \leq \|a\|_A \|b\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

The following diagram is useful

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{T} & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E^{**} \\
& \searrow R & & \nearrow (T^\times)^* & \\
& & W^* & &
\end{array}$$

where $\pi(w) = \widehat{w}$ is the natural embedding from E into its bidual E^{**} . Note that the diagram commutes because

$$\begin{aligned}
((T^\times)^* R(a, b))(f) &= R(a, b)(T^\times f) = g_{(a,b)}(T^\times f) \\
&= f[T(a, b)] = \widehat{T(a, b)}(f) = \pi(T(a, b))(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using the diagram and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(T : A \times B \longrightarrow E) &\leq 2 \beta(\pi T : A \times B \longrightarrow E^{**}) \\
&\leq 2 \|R\|_{A \times B, W^*} \beta((T^\times)^* : W^* \longrightarrow E^{**}) \\
&\leq 4 \beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow W) \\
&\leq 8 \beta(T^\times : E^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(A \times B, \mathbb{K})).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness

We start with an auxiliary result which complements an embedding of [7, p. 5] (see also [21, Theorem 2.1]).

Subsequently, for $0 < r \leq \infty$ we put

$$\tilde{r} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } 0 < r \leq 1, \\ r', 1/r + 1/r' = 1 & \text{if } 1 < r \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be regular Banach couples. For $j = 0, 1$, put $X_j = \mathcal{L}(A_j \times B_j, \mathbb{K})$ and let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 & \text{if } p, q \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\max(p, q)} & \text{if } p < 1 \text{ or } q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the following continuous embedding holds

$$(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, \tilde{r}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ, \mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. The pair $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ is a Banach couple because $X_j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\Delta(\bar{A}) \times \Delta(\bar{B}), \mathbb{K})$, $j = 0, 1$. Let

$$\Phi : \Delta(\bar{A}) \times \mathcal{L}(\Delta(\bar{A}) \times \Delta(\bar{B}), \mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow \Delta(\bar{B})^*$$

be the bilinear operator defined by $\Phi(a, R)(b) = R(a, b)$. Since

$$|R(a, b)| \leq \|R\|_{\Delta(\bar{A}) \times \Delta(\bar{B}), \mathbb{K}} \|a\|_{\Delta(\bar{A})} \|b\|_{\Delta(\bar{B})},$$

the operator Φ has norm less than or equal to 1. Moreover, if $a \in \Delta(\bar{A})$ and $R \in X_j$, we get

$$|R(a, b)| \leq \|R\|_{X_j} \|a\|_{A_j} \|b\|_{B_j}.$$

So

$$\Phi : (\Delta(\bar{A}), \|\cdot\|_{A_j}) \times X_j \longrightarrow B_j^*, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

is also bounded, with norm less than or equal to 1.

By the duality formulae (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), we know that

$$((B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}^\circ)^* = (B_0^*, B_1^*)_{\theta, \tilde{q}} = \overline{B^*}_{\theta, \tilde{q}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} - 1 & \text{if } p \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} & \text{if } p < 1. \end{cases}$$

Whence, applying Theorem 2.1, we get that Φ may be uniquely extended to a bounded bilinear operator

$$\Phi : \bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{X}_{\theta, \tilde{r}} \longrightarrow \overline{B^*}_{\theta, \tilde{q}} = ((B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}^\circ)^*.$$

Consequently, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $R \in \bar{X}_{\theta, \tilde{r}}$ and any $a \in \Delta(\bar{A})$, $b \in \Delta(\bar{B})$, we have

$$|R(a, b)| = |\Phi(a, R)(b)| \leq \|\Phi(a, R)\|_{\overline{B^*}_{\theta, \tilde{q}}} \|b\|_{\bar{B}_{\theta, q}} \leq C \|R\|_{\bar{X}_{\theta, \tilde{r}}} \|a\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta, p}} \|b\|_{\bar{B}_{\theta, q}}.$$

This yields that $R \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ, \mathbb{K})$ and that the embedding

$$\bar{X}_{\theta, \tilde{r}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ, \mathbb{K})$$

is bounded with norm less than or equal to C . \square

Now we are ready to establish the announced result on interpolation of the measure of non-compactness of bilinear operators.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$, $\bar{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ be Banach couples and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{A} \times \bar{B}, \bar{E})$. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 & \text{if } p, q \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\max(p, q)} & \text{if } p < 1 \text{ or } q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(T : \bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta, r}^\circ) \\ \leq C \beta(T : A_0^\circ \times B_0^\circ \longrightarrow E_0^\circ)^{1-\theta} \beta(T : A_1^\circ \times B_1^\circ \longrightarrow E_1^\circ)^\theta, \end{aligned}$$

Here C is a constant independent of T .

Proof. According to the bilinear interpolation theorem (see, for example [13, Theorem 4.1]), we know that T may be uniquely extended to a bounded bilinear operator $T : \bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta, r}^\circ$. Hence, by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \beta(T : \bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ \longrightarrow \bar{E}_{\theta, r}^\circ) \leq 8 \beta(T^\times : (\bar{E}_{\theta, r}^\circ)^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ, \mathbb{K})).$$

Let $X_j = \mathcal{L}(A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ, \mathbb{K})$, $j = 0, 1$. Since $T : A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ \rightarrow E_j^\circ$, is bounded, the restrictions $T^\times : (E_j^\circ)^* \rightarrow X_j$ are also bounded and, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \beta(T^\times : (E_j^\circ)^* \rightarrow X_j) \leq 4\beta(T : A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ \rightarrow E_j^\circ), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Using the formula for the measure of non-compactness of a linear operator interpolated by the real method of Cobos, Fernández-Martínez and Martínez [9, Theorem 1.2] (Banach case) and Fernández-Martínez [14, Theorem 3.1] (quasi-Banach case) and (4.2) we derive that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(T^\times : ((E_0^\circ)^*, (E_1^\circ)^*)_{\theta, \tilde{r}} \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)_{\theta, \tilde{r}}) \\ \leq C_1 \beta(T^\times : (E_0^\circ)^* \rightarrow X_0)^{1-\theta} \beta(T^\times : (E_1^\circ)^* \rightarrow X_1)^\theta \\ \leq 4C_1 \beta(T : A_0^\circ \times B_0^\circ \rightarrow E_0^\circ)^{1-\theta} \beta(T : A_1^\circ \times B_1^\circ \rightarrow E_1^\circ)^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The duality formulae (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) imply that

$$((E_0^\circ)^*, (E_1^\circ)^*)_{\theta, \tilde{r}} = (E_0^\circ, E_1^\circ)_{\theta, \tilde{r}}^*.$$

Hence, it follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(T^\times : (E_0^\circ, E_1^\circ)_{\theta, r}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{\theta, p}^\circ \times \bar{B}_{\theta, q}^\circ, \mathbb{K})) \\ \leq C_2 \beta(T^\times : (E_0^\circ, E_1^\circ)_{\theta, r}^* \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)_{\theta, \tilde{r}}) \\ \leq C_3 \beta(T : A_0^\circ \times B_0^\circ \rightarrow E_0^\circ)^{1-\theta} \beta(T : A_1^\circ \times B_1^\circ \rightarrow E_1^\circ)^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate combined with (4.1) complete the proof. \square

As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.2 we derive the following compactness result:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$, $\bar{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ be Banach couples and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{A} \times \bar{B}, \bar{E})$ such that $T : A_j^\circ \times B_j^\circ \rightarrow E_j^\circ$ compactly for $j = 0$ or $j = 1$. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 & \text{if } p, q \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\max(p, q)} & \text{if } p < 1 \text{ or } q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Then T may be uniquely extended to a compact bilinear operator from $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p}^\circ \times (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}^\circ$ to $(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, r}^\circ$.

Acknowledgements

The authors have been supported in part by by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MTM2017-84058-P (AEI/FEDER, UE).

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her remarks.

References

- [1] Á. Bényi and R.H. Torres, *Compact bilinear operators and commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013) 3609–3621.

- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] B.F. Besoy and F. Cobos, *Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness of bilinear operators among quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Approx. Theory 243 (2019) 25–44.
- [4] A.P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. 24 (1964) 113–190.
- [5] B. Carl and I. Stephani, *Entropy, Compactness and the Approximation of Operators*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Interpolation of compact bilinear operators among quasi-Banach spaces and applications*, Math. Nachr. 291 (2018) 2168–2187.
- [7] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *On compactness results of Lions-Peetre type for bilinear operators*, Nonlinear Anal. 199 (2020) 111951.
- [8] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *A compactness results of Janson type for bilinear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 495 (2021) 124760.
- [9] F. Cobos, P. Fernández-Martínez and A. Martínez, *Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness by the real method*, Studia Math. 135 (1999) 25–38.
- [10] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [11] D.L. Fernandez and E.B. da Silva, *Interpolation of bilinear operators and compactness*, Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010) 526–537.
- [12] L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *On interpolation properties of compact bilinear operators*, Math. Nachr. 290 (2017) 1663–1677.
- [13] L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Real interpolation of compact bilinear operators*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 24 (2018) 1181–1203.
- [14] P. Fernández-Martínez, *Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness between quasi-Banach spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 19 (2006) 477–498.
- [15] S. Janson, *On interpolation of multilinear operators*, in: Function spaces and Applications, in: Springer Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1302, 1988, pp. 290–302.
- [16] G.E. Karadzhov, *The interpolation method of "means" for quasinormed spaces*, Doklady Acad. Nauk SSSR 209 (1973) 33–36.
- [17] H. König, *Interpolation of operator ideals with an application to eigenvalue distribution problems*, Math. Ann. 233 (1978) 35–48.
- [18] J.-L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 19 (1964) 5–68.

- [19] M. Mastyło, *On interpolation of bilinear operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 214 (2004) 260–283.
- [20] M. Mastyło, *Bilinear interpolation theorems and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013) 185–207.
- [21] M. Mastyło and E.B. Silva, *Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness of bilinear operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018) 8979–8997.
- [22] M. Mastyło and E.B. Silva, *Interpolation of compact bilinear operators*, Bull. Math. Sci. 10 (2020) 2050002.
- [23] J. Peetre, *Remark on the dual of an interpolation space*, Math. Scand. 34 (1974) 124–128.
- [24] M.S. Ramanujan and E. Schock, *Operator ideals and spaces of bilinear operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 18 (1985), 307–318.
- [25] M.F. Teixeira and D.E. Edmunds, *Interpolation theory and measure of non-compactness*, Math. Nachr. 104 (1981), 129–135.
- [26] R.H. Torres, Q.Y. Xue and J. Yan, *Compact bilinear commutators: the quasi-Banach space case*, J. Anal. 26 (2018) 227–234.
- [27] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.