

Citation for published version:

Cristina Lazcano, María Gómez-Brandón, Jorge Domínguez. Comparison of the effectiveness of composting and vermicomposting for the biological stabilization of cattle manure. *Chemosphere*, Volume 72, Issue 7, 2008, Pages 1013-1019,

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.04.016>

Accepted Manuscript

Link to published version: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.04.016>

General rights:

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-NoDerivatives (CC BY-NC-ND) licenses.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Title: Comparison of the effectiveness of composting and vermicomposting for the biological stabilization of cattle manure

Article Type: Original research paper

Keywords: Cattle manure; Biological stabilization; Microbial activity; Enzyme activities; Microbial groups

Corresponding Author: C. Lazcano

Corresponding Author's Institution: Universidad de Vigo

Order of Authors: C. Lazcano; M. Gómez-Brandón; J. Domínguez

Abstract: Cattle manure is produced in large quantities in industrial breeding facilities and the storage and/or spreading of this waste on land may cause contamination of the atmosphere, soil and water. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the active phases of composting, vermicomposting, and also a combination of composting and vermicomposting for reducing the polluting potential and for stabilizing cattle manure in the short-term. For this, the degree of decomposition as well as the microbial activity and microbial composition of the resulting products after the active phase of composting and vermicomposting were analysed. None of the treatments significantly reduced the dissolved organic carbon and dissolved organic nitrogen contents relative to the control, and therefore more time may be required for stabilization. Nevertheless, the lowest values of microbial biomass and activity corresponded to the earthworm-worked substrates, in which fungal growth was also promoted; the combined treatment (composting + vermicomposting) was the most effective in terms of stabilizing the cattle manure. Moreover, earthworms promoted the retention of nitrogen and gradual release of P, as well as a reduction in electrical conductivity, thereby producing improved substrates for agricultural use.

Date manuscript was received: 1/31/2008

Date manuscript was revised: 4/8/2008

Date manuscript was accepted: 4/8/2008

This article that has been peer-reviewed and approved for publication in *Chemosphere*, but has yet to undergo copy-editing and proof correction. Please cite this article as an "Accepted Article", doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.04.016

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

Comparison of the effectiveness of composting and vermicomposting for the biological stabilization of cattle manure

Cristina Lazcano*, María Gómez-Brandón· Jorge Domínguez

Departamento de Ecoloxía e Bioloxía Animal. Universidade de Vigo. Vigo E-36310.
Spain

*Corresponding author:
cristina@uvigo.es (C. Lazcano)

1 Introduction

2 Cattle manure is a valuable resource as a soil fertilizer, as it provides high
3 contents of macro- and micro-nutrients for crop growth and is a low-cost alternative to
4 mineral fertilizers. However, overproduction of this waste substance has led to
5 inappropriate disposal practices such as the indiscriminate and inappropriately-timed
6 application to agricultural fields. Such practices can cause serious environmental
7 problems, including an excessive input of potentially harmful trace metals, inorganic
8 salts and pathogens, increased nutrient loss from soils through leaching, erosion and
9 runoff – caused by lack of consideration of the nutrient requirements of crops – and the
10 emission of hydrogen sulphide, ammonia and other toxic gases (Hutchison et al., 2005).

11 Animal wastes pose health and environmental risks similar to those of human
12 wastes and should be treated accordingly. Stabilization involves the decomposition of a
13 waste substance to the extent where the hazards are eliminated, and is normally
14 reflected by decreases in microbial activity and concentrations of labile compounds
15 (Benito et al., 2003). Stabilization therefore reduces the environmental problems
16 associated with the management of manure by transforming it into a safer and more
17 stabilized material suitable for application to soil (Carr et al., 1995). Furthermore,
18 depending on the characteristics of the waste, high quality mulches can be obtained for
19 agricultural use, and with further maturation and elimination of phytotoxic compounds,
20 high quality organic fertilizers.

21 Composting and vermicomposting are two of the best-known processes for the
22 biological stabilization of solid organic wastes. Composting involves the accelerated
23 degradation of organic matter by microorganisms under controlled conditions, in which
24 the organic material undergoes a characteristic thermophilic stage that allows
25 sanitization of the waste by the elimination of pathogenic microorganisms (Lung et al.,

1 2001). Two phases can be distinguished in composting: (i) the thermophilic stage,
2 where decomposition takes place more intensively and which therefore constitutes the
3 active phase of composting; and (ii) a maturing stage which is marked by the decrease
4 of the temperature to the mesophilic range and where the remaining organic compounds
5 are degraded at a slower rate. The duration of the active phase depends on the
6 characteristics of the waste (amount of easily decomposable substances) and on the
7 management of the controlling parameters (aeration and watering). The extent of the
8 maturation phase is also variable and it is normally marked by the disappearance of the
9 phytotoxic compounds. Composting is well established at the industrial scale for solid
10 organic waste treatment, although the loss of nitrogen through volatilization of NH_3
11 during the thermophilic stage of the process is one of the major drawbacks of the
12 process (Eghball et al., 1997).

13 Vermicomposting involves the bio-oxidation and stabilization of organic
14 material by the joint action of earthworms and microorganisms. Although it is the
15 microorganisms that biochemically degrade the organic matter, earthworms are the
16 crucial drivers of the process, as they aerate, condition and fragment the substrate,
17 thereby drastically altering the microbial activity. Earthworms act as mechanical
18 blenders and by comminuting the organic matter they modify its physical and chemical
19 status by gradually reducing the ratio of C:N and increasing the surface area exposed to
20 microorganisms – thus making it much more favourable for microbial activity and
21 further decomposition (Domínguez et al., 1997). Therefore, two phases can also be
22 distinguished here, (i) an active phase where the earthworms process the waste
23 modifying its physical state and microbial composition (Lores et al., 2006), and (ii) a
24 maturation-like phase marked by the displacement of the earthworms towards fresher
25 layers of undigested waste, where the microbes take over in the decomposition of the

1 waste. Like in composting, the duration of the active phase is not fixed, and it will
2 depend on the species and density of earthworms, the main drivers of the process, and
3 their ability to ingest the waste (ingestion rate). Vermicomposting is not fully adapted to
4 the industrial scale (Domínguez et al., 1997) and since the temperature is always in the
5 mesophilic range, pathogen removal is not ensured, although some studies have
6 provided evidence of suppression of pathogens (Monroy et al., 2008). In some cases,
7 organic residues require pretreatment before being vermicomposted as they may contain
8 substances that are toxic for earthworms, such as acidic compounds (Nair et al., 2006).

9 The combination of composting and vermicomposting has recently been
10 considered as a way of achieving stabilized substrates (Tognetti et al., 2007).
11 Composting enables sanitization of the waste and elimination of toxic compounds, and
12 the subsequent vermicomposting reduces particle size and increases nutrient
13 availability; in addition, inoculation of the material resulting from the thermophilic
14 phase of composting with earthworms reduces
15 the expense and duration of the treatment process (Ndegwa and Thompson, 2001).

16 Although several studies have addressed the optimization of either composting,
17 vermicomposting or composting with subsequent vermicomposting (Domínguez et al.,
18 1997; Frederickson et al., 1997; Ndegwa and Thompson, 2001; Tognetti et al., 2005;
19 Tognetti et al., 2007), there are no studies concerning the efficiency of these three
20 processes together to stabilize a specific organic waste.

21 To obtain high quality organic fertilizers, it is necessary to understand the
22 changes that the material undergoes during the biological stabilization process. The
23 stability of the final products is essential for its successful application to crops.
24 Thermophilic stage in composting largely conditions microbial communities (Klamer
25 and Bååth, 1998), and so do the earthworms by ingesting the waste (Lores et al., 2006).

1 Since microorganisms are responsible for chemical degradation in the last term, the
2 changes occurred on microbial communities in each process might also condition the
3 further decomposition of the waste, as well as the establishment and survival of
4 beneficial (plant growth promoting bacteria) or deleterious (fecal coliforms)
5 microorganisms for land application. In the present study we evaluated the effectiveness
6 of the active phases of composting, vermicomposting, and a combination of composting
7 and vermicomposting, for the short-term stabilization of cattle manure, by analysing the
8 physicochemical, biochemical and microbiological characteristics of the final products.

9

10 Material and Methods

11 Source materials and biostabilization processes

12 Cattle manure, consisting of a mixture of faeces, urine and straw was obtained from the
13 agricultural cattle complex “Energía Viva, S.A.” in León, Spain; the main
14 physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of the manure are summarized in
15 Table 1. Composting was carried out in five trenches of 42 m long, 4.5 m wide and 1.8
16 m deep, each of which contained approximately 300 m³ of material. Throughout the
17 process, the trenches were aerated from the bottom with forced air (through a blower) in
18 order to induce movement of air into the material and deliver oxygen to
19 microorganisms. The functioning of the air blower varied depending on the temperature:
20 (a) continuous aeration when the temperature of the composting mass exceeded 60 °C;
21 (b) intermittent aeration according to a preset cycle of 5 min aeration and 5 min pause
22 when the temperature was between 55 and 60 °C; and (c) intermittent aeration according
23 to a preset cycle of 5 min aeration followed by a pause of 10 min when the temperature
24 was below 55 °C. In addition to the forced ventilation, the compost was turned

1 daily in order to homogenize the mass, and to avoid compaction of the substrate and
2 subsequent low porosity and poor air distribution. The composting material was watered
3 and the moisture content was monitored daily and maintained within 55–65%. At the
4 end of the active phase (15d), ten sub-samples were randomly collected within each
5 trench and composited into single samples, each of 10 l (Gómez-Brandón et al., 2008).

6 Vermicomposting was carried out in a 1 m³ vermireactor containing a stable and
7 very active population of the earthworm *Eisenia andrei*. The reactor was fed with
8 different animal manures and mixed agricultural wastes, and supported a population
9 density of 250 g of earthworms kg⁻¹ in the top layers. The upper surface of the
10 vermireactor was divided into four independent compartments and 15 kg of cattle
11 manure were placed in three successive layers (5 kg each) added to each compartment
12 as the waste was processed by the earthworms. The moisture content of the cattle
13 manure in the vermireactor was maintained at 75–80% and the samples were collected
14 from the last layer (40d of earthworm processing) of the reactor once the manure was
15 processed by the earthworms.

16 Composting plus subsequent vermicomposting was carried out by first
17 composting the manure for 15d, as described above, and then vermicomposting in the 1
18 m³ vermireactor for 40d with the earthworm *E. andrei*, as described for the
19 vermicomposting treatment. Samples were collected from the vermireactor 40d after
20 the addition of the third layer of composted manure. As controls (no treatment), five
21 manure heaps (15 kg each) were maintained under field conditions and moistened twice
22 a week for 15d. All samples were placed in sealed plastic containers and stored at 5 °C
23 until analysis.

24 The aim of this study was to compare stabilization treatments and the duration of
25 the treatments differed as it depended on the time necessary for the completion of the

1 active phase in each process: 15d for the active or thermophilic phase of composting,
2 40d for processing of the manure by the earthworms and 55d for the combined
3 treatment. The duration of these processes could not be modified without altering the
4 processes themselves.

5

6 Chemical analyses

7 The moisture and organic matter contents of the samples were determined after drying
8 at 105 °C for 24 h and ashing at 550 °C for 4 h, respectively. The pH and electrical
9 conductivity were determined in water extracts (1:20, w/v). Total C and N were
10 measured in oven-dried (60 °C) and ball-milled sub-samples, with a Carlo Erba NA
11 1500 C/N analyzer. Inorganic nitrogen (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) was determined in 0.5 M
12 K_2SO_4 extracts (1:10 w/v) by the modified indophenol blue technique (Sims et al.,
13 1995), with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Model 550). Total extractable N was
14 determined after oxidation with $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ as described by Cabrera and Beare (1993) and
15 the dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) content was calculated as (total extractable N)–
16 ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N} + \text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the cattle manure and the final
17 products were determined colorimetrically at 590 nm after moist digestion ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$
18 and H_2SO_4) of aliquots of 0.5 M K_2SO_4 extracts (1:10 w/ v) of the samples. Available
19 P was analyzed in ammonium bicarbonate–diethylene triaminepentaacetic acid extracts
20 of oven-dried and ballmilled samples (1:6, w/v) by induced coupled plasma optical
21 emission spectrometry (Soltanpour and Schwab, 1977).

22

23

24

25

1 Microbiological and biochemical analyses
2 Microbial activity and biomass were assessed by measurement of the rate of CO₂
3 evolution from the samples during 6 and 12-h incubation, for basal and substrate-
4 induced respiration (SIR), respectively. Prior to incubation, 0.75 ml of glucose solution
5 (equivalent to 100 mg glucose g⁻¹ dw sample) was added to samples for the SIR assay.
6 The evolved CO₂ was trapped in 0.02 and 0.04 M NaOH (basal and SIR, respectively)
7 and then measured by titration with HCl to a phenolphthalein endpoint, after addition
8 of excess BaCl₂ (Anderson, 1982). Incubation times, NaOH and glucose concentrations
9 were adjusted in order to obtain the most accurate response for this type of organic
10 samples as shown in Aira et al. (2006, 2007a).

11 Fungal biomass was determined by quantification of ergosterol, a membrane-
12 bound molecule commonly used as a fungal biomarker. The ergosterol content of the
13 samples was extracted by the microwave assisted extraction method and determined by
14 HPLC analysis. Briefly, samples (500 mg fresh weight) were digested with 2 ml of
15 methanol and 0.5 ml of 2 M NaOH in a scientific microwave oven (CEM Corporation
16 MDS-2000), processed at 2450 MHz and 630W maximum output and irradiated at
17 mediumpower (60% of maximum power output, manufacturer's setting) for 20 s three
18 times, with 1 min of cooling between each time. The contents were extracted with
19 pentane (3 x ca. 2 ml), the pentane extracts were then evaporated to dryness under a
20 stream of N₂ gas and then redissolved with 1 ml of methanol and filtered through a 0.2
21 μm syringe filter (MFS) prior to HPLC analysis (Young, 1995). Dehydrogenase enzyme
22 activity was measured by estimation of the rate of reduction of triphenyltetrazolium
23 chloride (TTC) (1.5%) to triphenylformazan (TPF), after incubation at 30°C for 24 h, in
24 a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Model 550), at 545 nm (Casida et al., 1964). Protease
25 activity was measured by determination of the amino acids released, after incubation of

1 the samples (1 g fresh weight) with sodium caseinate (2%) for 2 h at 50 °C and with
2 Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Model 550), at 700 nm (Ladd
3 and Butler, 1972). β -Glucosidase activity was assessed by determination of the p-
4 nitrophenol (PNP) released, after incubation of the samples (1 g fresh weight) with b-D-
5 glucopyranoside (0.025 M) for 1 h at 37 °C, in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Model
6 550), at 400 nm (Eivazi and Tabatabai, 1988). Alkaline phosphomonoesterase activity
7 was estimated by determinatio of the PNP released, after incubation of the samples (1 g
8 fresh weight) with p-nitrophenyl phosphate (0.025 M) for 1 h at 37°C, with a microplate
9 reader (Bio-Rad Model 550), at 400 nm (Eivazi and Tabatabai, 1972).

10 For quantification of actinomycetes, samples were homogenized and added to a tris-
11 buffered saline solution, and then serially diluted and incubated at room temperature for
12 2 h. Dilutions were plated on actinomycetes agar and incubated at 30 °C. The number
13 of colony forming units (CFU) was counted after 72 h.

14

15 Statistical analyses

16 Results are means of either five replicates, for the composting and control treatments, or
17 of four replicates, for the vermicomposting and composting plus vermicomposting
18 treatments. One-way analysis of variance and comparison of means based on the Tukey
19 honestly significance difference test (HSD, $P < 0.05$) were used to determine significant
20 differences between treatments. Differences between the raw manure and the substrates
21 after the treatments were analysed by t-test. All statistical tests were evaluated at the
22 95% confidence level. The relationships between variables were defined by regression
23 analysis. Statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS 11.0 for Windows.

24

25

1 Results and Discussion

2 Although several physical, chemical, and biological parameters have been suggested as
3 indicators of compost stability, and some of them, such as respiration rates, constitute
4 widely-used, rapid and reliable measurements, it is not easy to establish the stability of
5 an organic amendment based on just one parameter, and the threshold values may not be
6 applicable to all composts, given the variety of parent wastes and feedstock as well as
7 the composting processes (more or less controlled) from which they are originated. In
8 this sense, an integrated approach is recommended for a more accurate determination.

9

10 Evaluation of the chemical changes

11 The main chemical properties of the cattle manure processed by composting,
12 vermicomposting, composting plus vermicomposting and the control treatment are
13 summarized in Table 1. The control treatment and the active phase of the composting
14 process did not significantly change the pH of the initial raw waste (t-test: $P = 0.262$, P
15 $= 0.859$, respectively), whereas vermicomposting and composting plus
16 vermicomposting significantly decreased the pH (t-test: $P = 0.009$, $P = 0.017$,
17 respectively). Other authors have found similar results in vermicomposting experiments,
18 and have suggested that the mineralization of N and P compounds, the release of CO_2
19 and organic acids from microbial metabolism, and the production of humic and fulvic
20 acids, as possible causes of the decrease in pH during vermicomposting (Ndegwa and
21 Thompson, 2001; Kaushik and Garg, 2004).

22 The electrical conductivity (EC) reflects the salinity of an organic amendment.
23 High salt concentration may cause phytotoxicity problems and therefore EC is a good
24 indicator of the suitability and safety of a compost or vermicompost for agricultural
25 purposes. EC was affected in different ways by the application of the different

1 treatments. The value of this parameter increased after the active phase of composting,
2 relative to the control, whereas it decreased after vermicomposting and the combined
3 treatment of composting and vermicomposting (Table 1). A sharp increase due to the
4 release of soluble salts like ammonium and phosphate after the degradation of the most
5 labile compounds in the thermophilic stage of composting has also been reported by
6 several authors (Villar et al., 1993). During vermicomposting the minor production of
7 soluble metabolites such as ammonium (NH_4^+), as well as precipitation of the dissolved
8 salts may lead to lower EC values (Mitchell, 1997). The EC of the cattle manure after
9 the different treatments did not exceed the threshold value of 3 dS m^{-1} that indicates a
10 material that can be safely applied to soil (Soumaré et al., 2002).

11 The C to N ratio indicates the degree of decomposition of a waste, as carbon is lost as
12 CO_2 during biooxidation, whereas N is lost at a lower rate, and therefore the more
13 decomposed a waste, the lower the C to N ratio. In the present study, total C was
14 significantly reduced after the vermicomposting and combined treatment, whereas it
15 remained high after the active phase of composting. In contrast, total N content was
16 higher after vermicomposting and the combined treatment than after the active phase of
17 composting. Consequently, the C to N ratio was significantly lower in the treatments
18 involving vermicomposting, which indicates that they underwent more intense
19 decomposition (Table 1).

20 The concentration of DON was still high after stabilization, with no differences
21 between the treatments, indicating that in this respect, stabilization was not sufficient, as
22 established by Hue and Liu (1995). The concentration of mineral N (NH_4^+ and
23 especially NO_3^-) was significantly higher following the three treatments than in the raw
24 cattle manure, indicating an important degree of mineralization. The concentration of
25 NH_4^+ only increased after the active phase of the composting process, with significantly

1 higher values than in the other treatments (Table 1). There were no significant
2 differences in the DOC contents corresponding to the different treatments. The content
3 of labile carbon was higher following the active phase of composting, vermicomposting
4 and the control treatment, than in the initial manure. An organic amendment with a high
5 DOC can cause serious damage to crops, since it will continue to degrade in the soil
6 consuming oxygen, hampering root respiration and leading to the production of
7 phytotoxic compounds such as SH₂ (Mathur et al, 1993). Although DOC consistently
8 decreases during a complete composting process, the initial degradation of solid
9 polymeric material after the thermophilic stage in the composting substrate may lead to
10 the formation of soluble organic matter, which would increase the DOC concentration.
11 Gómez-Brandón et al. (2008) observed that the DOC content decreased sharply within
12 the first two weeks of composting, to values of less than 4000 mg kg⁻¹ dw by the end of
13 the process, which would guarantee safe plant growth. This pattern was not observed in
14 the present study, in which the active phase of composting increased the DOC relative
15 to that in the raw manure. The increase was minor following the vermicomposting and
16 the combined treatments, but nevertheless shows an incomplete and active degradation
17 that mobilises the insoluble carbon from the organic matter to the soluble phase. We
18 expect that if the processing time was longer, the DOC would decrease as shown by, for
19 example, Gómez-Brandón et al. (2008) for composting, and Aira et al. (2007a) for
20 vermicomposting.

21

22 Evaluation of the microbiological and biochemical changes

23 From the stabilization treatments assayed, only vermicomposting and composting with
24 subsequent vermicomposting reduced significantly the microbial biomass of the raw
25 manure (t-test, P = 0.029, P = 0.007 respectively). These treatments were also

1 significantly different from the control (Fig. 1a). On the contrary, the active phase of
2 composting did not reduce the microbial biomass of the manure and was not
3 significantly different from the control (Fig. 1a). Similar results were reported by
4 Tognetti et al. (2005) for comparison between composting and vermicomposting. It is
5 known from incubation experiments that the passage of microorganisms through the
6 earthworm gut has a negative effect and leads to a short-term decrease in microbial
7 biomass through feeding and digestion (Aira et al., 2006).

8 The stabilization treatments resulted in substrates with similar (t-test, control and
9 combined treatments, $P = 0.614$, $P = 0.322$, respectively) or even higher microbial
10 activity (t-test, active phase of composting, vermicomposting, $P = 0.018$, $P = 0.010$,
11 respectively) than in the raw manure (Fig. 1b); after the stabilization treatments none of
12 the substrates differed significantly from the control, which indicates that
13 decomposition was incomplete and total stabilization was not achieved. The high
14 activity registered after the composting treatment may be due to the short duration of the
15 process. Gómez-Brandón et al. (2008) reported high metabolic rates within the first
16 weeks in composting of cattle manure, which then tended to decrease during the
17 maturation phase. Despite the above-mentioned reduction in microbial biomass by the
18 earthworms, microbial activity was not affected, and it is possible that the passage
19 through the earthworms' gut favoured the appearance of a reduced but more
20 catabolically active microflora (Aira et al., 2007a). Nevertheless, this pattern was not
21 observed after the earthworms had digested the compost from the active phase, when a
22 significant reduction in microbial activity was produced.

23 Fungal biomass was not affected by the active phase of composting in
24 comparison with the control (Fig. 2) and the ergosterol content remained at
25 approximately the same levels as in the raw manure (t-test, $P = 0.652$). This was not true

1 for vermicomposting and the combined treatment, as these had a marked effect on
2 fungal growth, and the ergosterol content of the raw manure was increased by 28 and 41
3 times respectively following these treatments (t-test, $P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.001$
4 respectively); this indicates significantly higher fungal contents than both the control
5 and the compost. Enhanced fungal growth in degrading organic matter has been
6 attributed to the depletion of easily degradable organic compounds and the subsequent
7 decrease in bacteria. Nevertheless, this was not the cause of the increase in fungal
8 abundance in both vermicomposts since DOC and DON contents were still high in these
9 substrates. The higher ergosterol content in both kind of vermicompost shows that
10 earthworms enhanced fungal growth in the short-term, either indirectly through the
11 modification of the substrate, or directly through their feeding activity. Enhanced fungal
12 biomass after gut transit was also found by Aira et al. (2006) after vermicomposting of
13 pig slurry with *Eisenia fetida*, and Pizl and Nováková, 2004, who found that the density
14 of microfungi was higher in the earthworm gut and vermicompost than in fresh
15 substrate in vermicomposting facilities with the earthworm *E. andrei*.

16 The number of actinomycetes was also significantly affected by the treatment
17 applied (Fig. 3); it was very high in the raw manure and the active phase of composting
18 increased the abundance slightly. The most remarkable result was that both
19 vermicomposting treatments showed abundances of these microorganisms 100 times
20 lower than the active phase of composting. Actinomycetes compete rather ineffectively
21 when nutrient levels are high, develop far more slowly than most bacteria and fungi,
22 and are typical during the final stages of decomposition (Alexander, 1980). Thus their
23 abundance in the fresh waste and in the control treatment is difficult to explain.
24 Actinomycetes are tolerant to high temperatures, some of them being facultative
25 thermophilic microorganisms, and thus they may be expected to survive the

1 thermophilic stage of the composting treatment. Nakasaki et al. (1985) studied the
2 changes in the different microbial groups in composting of sewage sludge and reported
3 that the increase in actinomycetes was typical of the final stage of the thermophilic
4 phase. The significantly lower numbers of these microorganisms in both vermicompost
5 treatments showed that the earthworms may have some effect. Earthworms have been
6 found to cause changes in the microbial communities in several organic wastes during
7 vermicomposting (Lores et al., 2006), moreover, these microbial communities have
8 been found to be more efficient metabolically (Aira et al., 2007a). Development of
9 actinomycetes was probably not favoured during vermicomposting of the cattle manure
10 because their ineffective competition with the more active microbial communities
11 promoted by the earthworms.

12 The changes in dehydrogenase, protease, glucosidase, and phosphatase (key enzymes
13 involved in aerobic metabolism, as well as degradation of polypeptides, polysaccharides
14 and phosphate esters, respectively) are summarized in Fig. 4. Dehydrogenase activity
15 was significantly lower after both vermicomposting treatments than after the active
16 phase of composting (Fig. 4a). However, the activity was not significantly lower in any
17 of the substrates than in the control probably due to the high DOC content which
18 correlated positively with this parameter ($R^2 = 0.235$; $P = 0.019$). This indicates that the
19 substrates were not sufficiently stabilized. Dehydrogenase activity is used as a measure
20 of overall microbial activity; and the levels therefore indicated that both
21 vermicomposting treatments produced more stabilized substrates than the active phase
22 of composting, in accordance with the basal respiration levels obtained. Nevertheless, it
23 should be noted that this analysis only accounts for a limited percentage of respiration
24 since oxygen is a better electron acceptor than the TTC used in our assay (Nannipieri et
25 al., 1990). The active phase of the composting process exhibited the highest degree of

1 protease activity, and differed significantly from the control; this high activity explains
2 the high N-NH_4^+ concentration found after both treatments. On the contrary, treatments
3 with earthworms resulted in significantly lower protease activity than in the control
4 (three times lower following vermicomposting, and 4.4 times lower following the
5 combined treatment: Fig. 4c). Significant reductions in the activity of this enzyme were
6 also observed by Aira et al. (2007b) in vermicomposting experiments with pig slurry.
7 Protease activity is highly dependent on substrate availability (Aira et al., 2007b), and
8 therefore it is a very good indicator of the level of decomposition of a substrate.
9 According to this criterion, the vermicomposted materials were significantly more
10 stabilized than the compost. β -Glucosidase activity of the manure was significantly
11 affected by the treatments applied. The active phase of composting resulted in the
12 lowest values, which were not significantly different from the control values, whilst
13 both vermicomposting treatments resulted in significantly higher activity, the highest
14 corresponding to the vermicomposting treatment (21 times higher than the control). β -
15 Glucosidase catalyzes the breakdown of glucosides to glucose, one of the last steps in
16 the degradation of cellulose, and it is assumed that in soils this enzyme is mainly
17 produced by fungi (Hayano and Tubaki, 1985). In the present study, a significant
18 correlation between the ergosterol content of the substrates and their β -glucosidase
19 activity ($R^2 = 0.406$, $P = 0.004$) was observed, which is consistent with the results of
20 previous studies (Aira et al 2006). The higher activity detected after both
21 vermicomposting treatments therefore corresponded to the higher fungal abundance
22 than observed after composting. None of the assayed treatments showed significant
23 differences in alkaline phosphatase activity in comparison with the control;
24 nevertheless, the substrate produced after the active phase of composting showed
25 significantly lower activity than both kinds of vermicompost (22 and 13 times lower)

1 (Fig. 4d). Phosphatase catalyzes hydrolysis of the phosphoric esters to inorganic P,
2 which inhibits the activity of the enzyme when present at high quantities in the
3 substrate. In the present study we found a significant negative correlation between
4 phosphatase activity and available P ($R^2 = 0.532$; $P < 0.0001$). This explains the low
5 activity after the active phase of composting, as a higher concentration of soluble P than
6 in the rest of substrates was detected (Table 1). The high activity following
7 vermicomposting and the combined treatment shows that there were still sufficient
8 amounts of phosphate esters available and that the release of P was not sufficient to
9 produce enzyme inhibition. Benítez et al. (2005) observed that phosphatase activity
10 increased gradually throughout the vermicomposting process, first reaching stability and
11 then decreasing slightly. The recovery of the phosphatase activity after
12 vermicomposting the compost from the active phase was remarkable and was probably
13 due to a more gradual decomposition by the earthworms.

14

15 Conclusions

16 The treatments considered here (active phase of composting, vermicomposting and
17 composting with subsequent vermicomposting) exhibited important differences in
18 efficiency in terms of the short-term stabilization of cattle manure. Although the
19 reduction of easily metabolizable compounds was not sufficient for complete
20 stabilization in any of the treatments and longer processing times appear to be
21 necessary, there were clear differences in the microbial composition – and consequently
22 the degrading metabolism of the different substrates. While the cattle manure subjected
23 to the active phase of composting did not differ significantly from the control, both
24 vermicomposts exhibited lower levels of actinomycetes, enhanced fungal growth and
25 had low concentrations of total microbial biomass. In addition, earthworms appeared to

1 modify the degrading activity of the manure to a much greater extent than the active
2 phase of composting. This was reflected by the lower EC, C to N ratio and pH, as well
3 as by a more gradual release of P, which made the vermicomposts more suitable
4 substrates for agronomic purposes.

5

6 Acknowledgements

7 The authors wish to thank Energía Viva, S.A. for providing the manure and the
8 composts used in the experiment. This research was financially supported by the Xunta
9 de Galicia (Project No. 07MRU023383PR). Cristina Lazcano is financially supported
10 by a fellowship from Fundación la Caixa and María Gómez-Brandón by a FPU
11 fellowship from the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia.

12

13 References

- 14 Aira, M., Monroy, F., Domínguez, J., 2006. Changes in microbial biomass and
15 microbial activity of pig slurry after the transit through the gut of the earthworm
16 *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Kinberg, 1867). *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 42, 371–376.
- 17 Aira, M., Monroy, F., Domínguez, J., 2007a. *Eisenia fetida* (Oligochaeta: Lumbricidae)
18 modifies the structure and physiological capabilities of microbial communities
19 improving carbon mineralization during vermicomposting of pig manure.
20 *Microbial Ecol.* 54, 662–671.
- 21 Aira, M., Monroy, F., Domínguez, J., 2007b. Earthworms strongly modify microbial
22 biomass and activity triggering enzymatic activities during vermicomposting
23 independently of the application rates of pig slurry. *Sci. Total Environ.* 385,
24 252– 261.

- 1 Alexander, M., 1980. Introducción a la microbiología del suelo. AGT EDITOR Libros y
2 Editoriales, S.A., México D.F.
- 3 Anderson, J.P.E., 1982. Soil respiration. In: Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. (Eds.), Methods of
4 Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, second ed.
5 Agronomy Monograph No. 9, ASA-SSSA, Madison, pp. 331–871.
- 6 Benítez, E., Sainz, H., Nogales, R., 2005. Hydrolytic enzyme activities of extracted
7 humic substances during the vermicomposting of a lignocellulosic olive waste.
8 Bioresource Technol. 96, 785–790.
- 9 Benito, M., Masaguer, A., Moliner, A., Arrigo, N., Palma, R.M., 2003. Chemical and
10 microbiological parameters for the characterisation of the stability and maturity
11 of pruning waste compost. Biol. Fert. Soils 37, 184–189.
- 12 Cabrera, M.L., Beare, M.H., 1993. Alkaline persulfate oxidation for determining total
13 nitrogen in microbial biomass extracts. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57, 1007–1012.
- 14 Carr, L., Grover, R., Smith, B., Richard, T., Halbach, T., 1995. Commercial and on-
15 farm production and marketing of animal waste compost products. In: Steele, K.
16 (Ed.), Animal Waste and the Land–Water Interface. Lewis Publishers, Boca
17 Raton, pp. 485–492.
- 18 Casida Jr., L.E., Klevin, D.A., Santoro, T., 1964. Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Sci.
19 93, 371–376.
- 20 Domínguez, J., Edwards, C.A., Subler, S., 1997. A comparison of composting and
21 vermicomposting. Biocycle 4, 57–59.
- 22 Eghball, B., Power, J.F., Gilley, J.E., Doran, J.W., 1997. Nutrient, carbon, and mass loss
23 during composting of beef cattle feedlot manure. J. Environ. Qual. 26, 189–193.
- 24 Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1972. Phosphatases in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9, 167–
25 172.

- 1 Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. *Soil Biol.*
2 *Biochem.* 20, 601–606.
- 3 Frederickson, J., Butt, K., Morris, R.M., Daniel, C., 1997. Combining vermiculture with
4 traditional green waste composting systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29, 725–730.
- 5 Gómez-Brandón, M., Lazcano, C., Domínguez, J., 2008. The evaluation of stability and
6 maturity during the composting of cattle manure. *Chemosphere* 70, 436–444.
- 7 Hayano, K., Tubaki, K., 1985. Origin and properties of b-glucosidase activity of
8 tomato-field soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 17, 553–557.
- 9 Hue, N.V., Liu, J., 1995. Predicting compost stability. *Compost Sci. Util.* 3, 8–15.
- 10 Hutchison, M.L., Walters, L.D., Avery, S.M., Munro, F., Moore, A., 2005. Analyses of
11 livestock production, waste storage, and pathogen levels and prevalences in farm
12 manures. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 71, 1231–1236.
- 13 Kaushik, P., Garg, V.K., 2004. Dynamics of biological and chemical parameters during
14 vermicomposting of solid textile mill sludge mixed with cow dung agricultural
15 residues. *Bioresource Technol.* 94, 203–209.
- 16 Klamer, M., Bååth, E., 1998. Microbial community dynamics during composting of
17 straw material studied using phospholipid fatty acid analysis. *FEMS Microbiol.*
18 *Ecol.* 27, 9–20.
- 19 Ladd, J.N., Butler, J.H.A., 1972. Short-term assays of soil proteolytic enzyme activities
20 using proteins and dipeptide derivatives as substrates. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 4, 19–
21 30.
- 22 Lores, M., Gómez-Brandón, M., Pérez, D., Domínguez, J., 2006. Using FAME profiles
23 for the characterization of animal wastes and vermicomposts. *Soil Biol.*
24 *Biochem.* 38, 2993–2996.

- 1 Lung, A.J., Lin, C.-M., Kim, J.M., Marshall, M.R., Nordstedt, R., Thompson, N.P.,
2 Wei, C.I., 2001. Destruction of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella*
3 *enteritidis* in cow manure composting. *J. Food Protect.* 64, 1309–1314.
- 4 Mathur, S.P., Owen, G., Dinel, H., Schnitzer, M., 1993. Determination of compost
5 biomaturity. *Biol. Agric. Hortic.* 10, 65–85.
- 6 Mitchell, A., 1997. Production of *Eisenia fetida* and vermicomposting from feed-lot
7 cattle manure. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29, 763–766.
- 8 Monroy, F., Aira, M., Domínguez, J., 2008. Changes in density of nematodes, protozoa
9 and total coliforms after transit through the gut of four epigeic earthworms
10 (*Oligochaeta*). *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 39, 127–132.
- 11 Nair, J., Sekiozoic, V., Anda, M., 2006. Effect of pre-composting on vermicomposting
12 of kitchen waste. *Bioresource Technol.* 97, 2091–2095.
- 13 Nannipieri, P., Ceccanti, B., Grego, S., 1990. Ecological significance of the biological
14 activity in soil. In: Bollag, J.-M., Stotzky, G. (Eds.), *Soil Biochemistry*, vol. 6.
15 Dekker, New York, pp. 293–355.
- 16 Nakasaki, K., Sasaki, M., Shoda, M., Kubota, H., 1985. Change in microbial numbers
17 during thermophilic composting of sewage sludge with reference to CO₂
18 evolution rate. *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 37–41.
- 19 Ndegwa, P.M., Thompson, S.A., 2001. Integrating composting and vermicomposting in
20 the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. *Bioresource Technol.* 76, 107–
21 112.
- 22 Pizl, V., Nováková, A., 2004. Interactions between microfungi and *Eisenia andrei*
23 (*Oligochaeta*) during cattle manure vermicomposting. *Pedobiologia* 47, 895–
24 899.

- 1 Sims, G.K., Ellsworth, T.R., Mulvaney, R.L., 1995. Microscale determination of
2 inorganic nitrogen in water and soil extracts. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan.* 26, 303–
3 316.
- 4 Soltanpour, P.N., Schwab, A.P., 1977. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of 23
5 macro- and micro-nutrients in alkaline soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan.* 8, 195–
6 207.
- 7 Soumaré, M., Demeyer, A., Tack, F.M.G., Verloo, M.G., 2002. Chemical
8 characteristics of Malian and Belgian solid waste composts. *Bioresource*
9 *Technol.* 81, 97–101.
- 10 Tognetti, C., Laos, F., Mazzarino, M.J., Hernández, M.T., 2005. Composting vs.
11 vermicomposting: a comparison of end product quality. *Compost Sci. Util.* 13,
12 6–13.
- 13 Tognetti, C., Mazzarino, M.J., Laos, F., 2007. Cocomposting biosolids and municipal
14 organic waste: effects of process management on stabilization and quality. *Biol.*
15 *Fert. Soils* 43, 387–397.
- 16 Villar, M.C., Beloso, M.C., Acea, M.J., Cabaneiro, A., González-Prieto, S.J., Díaz-
17 Raviña, M., Carballas, T., 1993. Physical and chemical characterization of four
18 composted urban refuses. *Bioresource Technol.* 45, 105–113.
- 19 Young, J.C., 1995. Microwave-assisted extraction of the fungal metabolite ergosterol
20 and total fatty acids. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 43, 2904–2910.

21
22
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 Table 1. Mean values \pm standard error of the physicochemical and biochemical
5 properties in the initial raw cattle manure and the substrates produced by the different
6 treatments: incubation under field conditions for 15d (control); active phase of
7 composting (composting); vermicomposting, and composting with subsequent
8 vermicomposting (Composting + vermicomposting)

9

10

11 Figure legends

12 Figure 1 Microbial biomass (a) and microbial activity (b) in the raw cattle manure and
13 the substrates produced by the different treatments: incubation under field conditions for
14 15d (control); active phase of composting (composting); vermicomposting, and
15 composting until the end of the active phase plus subsequent vermicomposting
16 (composting + vermicomposting). Values are means \pm standard error (control and
17 composting: n = 5; vermicomposting and composting plus vermicomposting: n = 4).
18 Results of the Tukey HSD test for the different treatments are shown; the data
19 corresponding to the raw manure were not included in the statistical comparisons.
20 Different letters indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

21

22 Figure 2. Ergosterol content of the raw cattle manure and the substrates produced by the
23 different treatments: incubation under field conditions for 15d (control); active phase of
24 composting (composting); vermicomposting, and composting until the active phase plus
25 subsequent vermicomposting (composting + vermicomposting). Values are means \pm

1 standard error (control and composting: n = 5; vermicomposting and composting plus
2 vermicomposting: n = 4). Results of the Tukey HSD test for the different treatments are
3 shown; the data corresponding to the raw manure were not included in the statistical
4 comparisons. Different letters indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$.


5

6 Figure 3. Abundance of actinomycetes in the raw cattle manure and the substrates
7 produced by the different treatments: incubation under field conditions for 15d
8 (control); active phase of composting (composting); vermicomposting, and composting
9 until the end of the active phase plus subsequent vermicomposting (composting +
10 vermicomposting). Values are means \pm standard error (control and composting: n = 5;
11 vermicomposting and composting plus vermicomposting: n = 4). Results of the Tukey
12 HSD test for the different treatments are shown; the data corresponding to the raw
13 manure were not included in the statistical comparisons. Different letters indicate
14 significant differences at $P < 0.05$.

15

16 Figure 4. Dehydrogenase (a), protease (b), b-glucosidase (c), and phosphatase (d)
17 activities in the raw cattle manure and the substrates produced by the different
18 treatments: incubation under field conditions for 15d (control); active phase of
19 composting (composting); vermicomposting, and composting until the end of the active
20 phase plus subsequent vermicomposting (composting + vermicomposting). Values are
21 means \pm standard error (control and composting: n = 5; vermicomposting and
22 composting plus vermicomposting: n = 4). Results of the Tukey HSD test for the
23 different treatments are shown; the data corresponding to the raw manure were not
24 included in the statistical comparisons. Different letters indicate significant differences
25 at $P < 0.05$.

1
2




3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Figure 1

1

2



3

4

5


6

7

8

9

Figure 2



10


11

12

Figure 3

1

2



3

4

5

Figure 4