University of Texas at Tyler Scholar Works at UT Tyler

MSN Capstone Projects

Nursing

Fall 12-4-2023

Improving Clinical Competence With Electronic Medical Record Training in Nursing Students: A Benchmark Study

Ashley Reddock University of Texas at Tyler, agreenlee@patriots.uttyler.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uttyler.edu/nursing_msn

Part of the Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

Reddock, Ashley, "Improving Clinical Competence With Electronic Medical Record Training in Nursing Students: A Benchmark Study" (2023). *MSN Capstone Projects.* Paper 294. http://hdl.handle.net/10950/4521

This MSN Capstone Project is brought to you for free and open access by the Nursing at Scholar Works at UT Tyler. It has been accepted for inclusion in MSN Capstone Projects by an authorized administrator of Scholar Works at UT Tyler. For more information, please contact tgullings@uttyler.edu.

Improving Clinical Competence With Electronic Medical Record Training in Nursing Students:

A Benchmark Study

A Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For NURS 5382: Capstone

In the School of Nursing

The University of Texas at Tyler

by

Ashley Reddock, BSN, RN

December 4, 2023

Contents

Acknowledgments

Executive Summary

Implementation and Benchmark Project

- 1. Rationale for the Project
- 2. Literature Synthesis
- 3. Project Stakeholders
- 4. Implementation Plan
- 5. Timetable/Flowchart
- 6. Data Collection Methods
- 7. Evaluation
- 8. Cost/Benefit Analysis
- 9. Discussion of Results

Conclusions/Recommendations

References

Appendices

Acknowledgments

Words cannot express my gratitude to all of my professors at The University of Texas at Tyler for their continued guidance and support throughout is program. Each professor had a role in shaping me into a future nursing educator. I would like to acknowledge Dr. Danice Greer who invested a great deal of time and thoughtfulness to me and this project. I am also grateful to my fellow classmates and their friendship I have made along this journey. Lastly, thank you to my husband who provided unconditional support. His encouragement has kept me motivated when I needed it most.

Executive Summary

Nursing students are required to do many clinical hours based on their program, the Board of Nursing and their accrediting body. During these clinicals, students are faced with challenges that cause safety concerns due to lack of exposure or knowledge regarding policies and procedures. What seems like a simple task, could be life altering because of inadequate competency.

Performing at an expected level of education and demonstrating integration of knowledge, skills, abilities, and judgement defines competency according to the American Nurses Association (ANA) (2018). Nursing programs are expected to produce competent students, and competent nurses upon graduation. Displaying competency in electronic medical record (EMR) systems is necessary for students to adequately participate in clinicals, and complete assignments. Barriers exist for students to have EMR access and training. However, the benefits of EMR training should be prioritized over barriers. Benefits are not limited to, but include accurate documentation, improved decision-making skills, and students report an increased confidence level (Forman et al., 2020). These skills can contribute to the students' active learning and participation.

Documentation of patient assessments, interventions, care plans, and medication administration all occur in the EMR. The implementation of EMR training for nursing students will focus on these skills so that accurate documentation can occur. Patient safety will also be a focus point. Students will be able to review pertinent medical records in order to make the best decision for patients.

The financial cost of the additional training is \$1,056 for the clinical facility for nursing staff payment and \$25 for the nursing professor for SurveyMonkey© subscription to assist with

EMR TRAINING FOR NURSING STUDENTS

survey disbursement and data analysis. Only time contributions made by clinical site information technology (IT) and educators, and nursing school professors are necessary. Since the training is on clinical site EMR, no new purchase of software is required. The increased patient safety and competency gained is why this training is recommended for nursing students.

Improving Clinical Competence With Electronic Medical Record Training in Nursing Students: A Benchmark Study

Texas is home to more than 200 nursing education programs and are preparing the next generation of nurses (Nursing Schools Almanac, 2023). Since 2009 and mandate by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, EMR systems were required for healthcare providers. Nursing programs and educators are responsible for preparing nursing students to be competent and ready for practice upon graduation. Part of that preparation needs to include EMR training for nursing students. This benchmark project is presented to show the benefits of EMR training in nursing students and why this should be a priority for nursing programs' curriculum.

Rationale for the Project

In 2021 there was over 34 million admissions to the hospital in the United States (American Hospital Association, 2023). Nurses are the ones providing care to these patients during a time of illness. During their shift, it is estimated that nurses spend 25-41% of their time spent on documentation (De Groot et al., 2022).

One of the many benefits of EMR implementation was increased patient safety. Receiving safe and best practice of medical treatment is expected when seeking help. Those that will soon be providing bedside care to patients need to be participating early in their education on all safety implications, which include EMR utilization.

Second to patient safety, is nursing student learning optimization and competency. A busy day at clinical with limited computer space, call lights, patient care and assignments does not provide the best opportunity to learn the EMR system. The question guiding this benchmark project is as follows: In nursing students (P), how does electronic medical record (EMR) training (I) compared to no training (C) affect clinical competency (O) within three months (T)? By attending an EMR training course prior to clinical participation provides students with an effective learning environment and will increase patient safety and competency.

Literature Synthesis

Guided by the PICOT question, a systematic literature search was conducted in PubMed, CINAHL, and Cochrane databases utilizing the following key words: *electronic medical record*, *nursing students*, and *clinical competency*. Selected articles to support this benchmark project and PICOT question are shown in Appendix A.

Inadequate training for EMR systems, and gaps between lecture and clinical settings make the nursing student more vulnerable to a documentation error, poor decision making, lack of confidence or unnecessary stress (Asensi-Vicente et al, 2018; Baillie et al, 2013; Chung & Cho, 2017; Craig et al., 2021; Ellis et al., 2020; Feldthouse et al., 2022; Forman et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2022; Ledlow et al., 2021; Mollart et al., 2020; Wilbanks et al., 2018). By providing EMR training that allows students a safe environment to practice documentation of medications better prepares them for the clinical setting and can contribute to patient safety by preventing medication and documentation errors (Asensi-Vicente et al, 2018; Craig et al., 2021; Wilbanks et al., 2018). In addition to the three studies that specified prevention of medication and documentation errors, five studies showed that EMR training contributes to patient safety or improving nursing student competency (Baillie et al., 2013; Chung & Cho, 2017; Feldthouse et al., 2022; Forman et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2022). Medication error prevention and ability to document are expectations of nursing students and demonstrate competency.

EMR systems are the primary source for documentation in the healthcare setting. Some nursing education programs have not completely transitioned to teaching EMR throughout the curriculum but incorporating EMR training throughout the program can contribute to patient safety (Asensi-Vicente et al, 2018; Chung & Cho, 2017; Hong et al., 2022; Ledlow et al., 2021; Mollart et al., 2020; Wilbanks et al., 2018). In some schools traditional paper charting methods are still being taught and this does not reflect current practice. If an EMR is being utilized, it often does not mimic hospital setting EMR. However, programs and studies that have implemented EMR training have seen an improvement in student confidence (Chung & Cho, 2017; Craig et al., 2021; Ellis et al., 2020; Forman et al., 2020; Mollart et al., 2020). Students who have provided feedback on implemented EMR training, stated that using an EMR system that mirrors the clinical site has better prepared them (Craig et al., 2021; Ellis et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2022; Wilbanks et al., 2018).

Furthermore, EMR training can assist the pre-licensure student into the Registered Nurse role upon graduation. The familiarity with the EMR has made the transition easier into workforce (Baillie et al, 2013; Chung & Cho, 2017; Forman et al., 2020; Mollart et al., 2020; Weinschreider et al., 2022). Three articles discussed the importance to implement EMR throughout the program (Hong et al., 2022; Ledlow et al., 2021; Mollart et al., 2020). It is crucial to provide nursing students with an EMR training opportunity at the clinical site prior to actual patient interaction. Collaborating with clinical site IT and educators, nursing professors can improve student confidence, competence, patient safety and better prepare nurses for the workforce by incorporating EMR training throughout the program curriculum.

Project Stakeholders

Nursing school clinical professors, clinical site educators, nursing students and patients are stakeholders affected by the benchmark project. Opportunities for inter-professional involvement include collaboration with IT, nursing informatics, and bedside nurses. Together this group of healthcare professionals will all play a valuable role in assisting in the training implementation.

Having IT support to provide students with access and logins to the EMR. Nursing informatics will be able to provide expert advice on optimization of EMR for students. Bedside nurses can provide daily charting requirements, and their day-to-day experience which can bring insight to the training environment. Bedside nurses will also be the ones precepting nursing students, and this will help them know expectations of students. Permission from the clinical site administration would be required to give the students personal logins to the EMR. An EMR super user can navigate the EMR best and can share helpful hints, tips, and techniques.

Patients are the priority and will benefit from the increased competence and safety from nursing students who are assisting with their care. The nursing students are eventually going to be licensed professionals and the patients will appreciate their confidence and ability to provide safe care. This can increase the trust in the patient and student nurse relationship. Beneficence is expressed in this training by doing what is in the best interest of the patient.

Implementation Plan

The implementation is dependent on thorough planning. Projects that are poorly organized, and do not have a strategic plan are often unsuccessful (Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt, 2019). For this benchmark project 40 level three pre-licensure BSN (Bachelor of Science in Nursing) students who have not had previous exposure to EMR training will be included. The major phases of implementation include pre-clinical EMR training, clinical participation where new skills and knowledge can be applied at the bedside, and an interview period. These phases of implementation will occur over a 12-week timeframe. Prior collaboration with the clinical site will ensure that each student has computer and EMR access. Pre-clinical training will be done with assistance from IT at the clinical site, bedside nurses who will later be preceptors, and the nursing professor. Training will take place at the clinical site. Students will attend training in small groups of ten. Students will attend clinicals on a medical-surgical floor for one-12-hour shift per week. Clinical participation will allow students to navigate through the medical record to review prescribed orders, nursing care plans, documentation, and medication administration records.

At the end of the clinical rotations, students will be interviewed by the project coordinator and complete a survey (see Appendix C). Immediately after the survey students will be invited to participate in a group discussion. The group discussion will focus on results of survey and evaluate the experience. This benchmark projects allows students to obtain practice within the same EMR system that is used in clinical. The familiarity with the EMR system prior to actual patient interaction is important for patient safety and clinical decision making by nursing students.

Timetable/Flowchart

The flowchart serves as a reference guide for implementation. This step-by-step guide can be used at any clinical facility the students attend. It sets expectations for the students and timeline. The timetable illustrates tentative dates for this benchmark project. See Appendix B for flowchart and timetable.

• Week 1-2 Pre-clinical training – Students attend a four-hour EMR training session each week to develop navigation skills, review charting expectations, medication administration and become familiar with the specific EMR software at their designated clinical site.

- Week 3-10 Clinical participation Students attend clinicals and participate in active EMR charting and chart review. Gradually increase the number of patients to match acuity and skill level for the student.
- Week 10-12 Interview period Students complete researcher-designed survey and group interview for feedback.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection for this project is utilized through a 5-question Likert survey and openended discussion questions in a group setting. The survey is expected to take participants less than two minutes to complete, which will increase likelihood of responses. The survey questionnaire (Appendix C) is completed anonymously. A group discussion with all participants will last 30 minutes and will provide thematic content. Scores on survey will range from 5 to 25, and a mean score of 18 or above will indicate positive outcomes. Utilizing SurveyMonkey© will assist in data analysis of score values. Themes reported by nursing students in group discussion that indicate successful training include ability to navigate and accurately document in the EMR, increased confidence at clinical, decreased stress, and training was thorough and productive.

Evaluation

Evaluation of EMR training will be done based on a 5-question Likert survey and openended questions during group discussion. The survey questions are as follows with a scoring of *1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, and 5-strongly agree*:

- 1. The EMR training helped better prepare me for clinical.
- 2. I am more confident giving medications safely in the EMR.
- 3. I was given adequate time to learn the EMR and expectations.
- 4. This training will make me more marketable upon graduation.

5. I am confident in finding information in the EMR and documenting patient findings appropriately.

Scores range from *5 to 25* with lower scores indicating negative results for the EMR training. Higher scores indicate positive results such as EMR is helpful, increases confidence in safe medication administration, allowed adequate time to learn EMR, and indicates students perceived confidence in finding and documenting patient care.

For a new survey Nunnally and Bernstein (1994) recommend a Cronbach alpha of .90, however with a small 5-item survey a .70 Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient (Cohen, 1988) is hoped for in this project.

Discussion questions for group are as follows:

- 1. What did you like most about the EMR training?
- 2. What would you change about the EMR training?
- 3. What barriers did you face and how were they addressed?
- 4. Do you think this would be helpful for level 1 and 2 of nursing school?

It is hoped that through qualitative data analysis themes will emerge that show EMR training was well liked and helpful. Additional information will be obtained that can help refine the training and decrease or eliminate barriers. Evaluation data will inform the project leader on needed improvements or refinements for the future students and whether to continue this type of EMR training.

Cost/Benefit Analysis

The overall cost to implement this project will be \$1,056 for the clinical site to pay bedside nurses to assist with training days. This is based off of an average pay of \$33 per hour for nurses in Tyler, Texas (Indeed, n.d.). This will provide payment for two nurses to assist for a combined 32 hours total. The only expense for the nursing professor will be a subscription to SurveyMonkey© to provide anonymous survey link to students. This subscription is 25 dollars a month and allows for 50,000 responses each year. EMR software and equipment that is already being utilized by the clinical site means that no new software or equipment will need to be purchased. Time commitments from IT, nursing informatics and nursing professor is built into salaries.

This training is expected to increase patient safety. Goodman (2011) estimates that preventable adverse events cost the United States healthcare system approximately \$17 billion each year. Even if this training prevents one adverse event from occurring, that justifies the minimal financial cost associated with implementation.

Discussion of Results

Due to limitations regarding time constraints, nursing student clinical group availability and connections with clinical sites, implementation of this project was unable to be fulfilled. Current evidence supports positive outcomes from EMR training for nursing students such as improved competency, decreased stress for students, ease of transition into registered nurse role, and increased patient safety. These results would be expected with any clinical group that implements this training throughout the curriculum.

Challenges to implementation of EMR training would include faculty resistance, clinical sites not allowing student logins, clinical sites not having enough equipment for training opportunities, and funds. Nursing school faculty need to be enthusiastic about this

implementation, however faculty themselves may not be familiar with the EMR at clinical sites. This lack of experience may hinder EMR training. Creating strong relationships with clinical site educators and IT departments can facilitate EMR training and student logins. Relationship building with these stakeholders is important. Limited amount of funds needed for this implementation project may create barrier. If this occurs, plan to speak to stakeholders of this importance for student competency and patient safety to gain support. A leader who anticipates these barriers, encourages this project, facilitates strengths in their team members and connects with stakeholders will ensure that EMR training for nursing students remains successful.

Conclusions/Recommendations

It is imperative that nursing school faculty plan far in advance. Providing a list of student names to clinical sites to allow for log in credentials to be made will be the most time-consuming part. Nursing school faculty should also review and complete the EMR training prior to student participation. Providing education to bedside nurses on student expectations should also be included in the planning phase. Creating a tips sheet for students that contains IT contact for troubleshooting will be helpful when login issues arise.

At the completion of every semester, a thorough evaluation from student feedback is necessary to make improvements to the training for the next group. It is also recommended that EMR training start in the beginning level of nursing school and is continued throughout the program. By the time the student graduates they will be proficient in EMR documentation, and this will ease the transition into the Registered Nurse role, but most importantly contribute to patient safety. By ensuring that EMR training and competency are an essential part of the nursing curriculum, a safer healthcare environment is created.

References

- American Hospital Association. (2023). Fast facts on U.S. hospitals, 2023 American Hospital Association. <u>https://www.aha.org/system/files/media/file/2023/05/Fast-Facts-on-US-Hospitals-2023.pdf</u>
- American Nurses Association. (2018). Competency model. ANA Enterprise / American Nurses Association. <u>https://www.nursingworld.org/~4a0a2e/globalassets/docs/ce/177626-ana-leadership-booklet-new-final.pdf</u>
- Asensi-Vicente, J., Jiménez-Ruiz, I., & Vizcaya-Moreno, M. F. (2018). Medication errors involving nursing students. *Nurse Educator*, 43(5). https://doi.org/10.1097/nne.00000000000481
- Baillie, L., Chadwick, S., Mann, R., & Brooke-Read, M. (2013). A survey of student nurses' and Midwives' experiences of learning to use electronic health record systems in practice.
 Nurse Education in Practice, *13*(5), 437–441. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2012.10.003</u>
- Chung, J., & Cho, I. (2017). The need for academic electronic health record systems in Nurse Education. *Nurse Education Today*, *54*, 83–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.04.018</u>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd Ed.)*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587
- Craig, S. J., Kastello, J. C., Cieslowski, B. J., & Rovnyak, V. (2021). Simulation strategies to increase nursing student clinical competence in safe medication administration practices: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Education Today*, 96, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104605

- De Groot, K., De Veer, A. J., Munster, A. M., Francke, A. L., & Paans, W. (2022). Nursing documentation and its relationship with perceived nursing workload: A mixed-methods study among community nurses. *BMC Nursing*, 21(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-00811-7</u>
- Ellis, B. S., Quayle, S., Bailey, I., Tishkovskaya, S., Spencer, J., & Richardson, R. (2020).
 Students' perceptions on their use of an EHR: pilot questionnaire study. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, 27(3), e100163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2020-100163</u>
- Feldthouse, D. M., Jacques, D. P., Fenelon, L., Robertiello, G., Pasklinsky, N., Fletcher, J., Groom, L.L., Doty, G.R., & Squires, A. P. (2022). Implementing an academic electronic health record in nursing education. *Journal of Informatics Nursing*, 7(2), 37-42. <u>https://go.openathens.net/redirector/uttyler.edu?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarlyjournals/implementing-academic-electronic-health-record/docview/2699768964/se-2</u>
- Forman, T. M., Flores, D., & Miller, A. S. (2020). An integrative literature review of the use of electronic health records for clinical nursing education. *Journal of Informatics Nursing*, *5*(1), 28-39,43-44.
 <u>https://go.openathens.net/redirector/uttyler.edu?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/integrative-literature-review-use-electronic/docview/2431218342/se-2</u>
- Goodman, J. C., Villarreal, P., & Jones, B. (2011). The social cost of adverse medical events, and what we can do about it. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)*, 30(4), 590–595. <u>https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2010.1256</u>

- Hong, S., Cho, I., Park, M., Lee, J. Y., Lee, J., & Choi, M. (2022). Simulation education incorporating academic electronic medical records for undergraduate nursing students: A pilot study. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 28(4), 376–386. https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2022.28.4.376
- Indeed. (n.d.). Registered nurse salary in Tyler, TX indeed. https://www.indeed.com/career/registered-nurse/salaries/Tyler--TX
- Ledlow, J. H., Judson, T., Watts, P., Vance, D. E., & Moss, J. (2022). Integrating a simulated electronic medical record system and barcode medication administration into a prelicensure nursing program. *Journal of Professional Nursing: Official Journal of the American Association of Colleges of Nursing*, 40, 38–41.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2022.02.008
- Mollart, L., Newell, R., Geale, S. K., Noble, D., Norton, C., & O'Brien, A. P. (2020).
 Introduction of patient electronic medical records (EMR) into undergraduate nursing education: An integrated literature review. *Nurse Education Today*, *94*, 104517.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104517
- Nunnally, J., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). Psychometric theory (3rd. ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Nursing Schools Almanac. (2023). *List of accredited nursing schools in Texas*. List of accredited nursing schools in Texas | NursingSchoolsAlmanac.com. <u>https://www.nursingschoolsalmanac.com/articles/list-accredited-nursing-schools-</u> <u>texas#:~:text=Texas%20is%20home%20to%20more,200%20approved%20nursing%20e</u> <u>ducation%20programs</u>.

- Weinschreider, J., Sisk, H., & Jungquist, C. (2022). Electronic health record knowledge, skills, and attitudes among newly graduated nurses: A scoping review. *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 53(11), 505–512. <u>https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20221006-08</u>
- Wilbanks, B. A., Watts, P. I., & Epps, C. A. (2018). Electronic health records in simulation education: Literature review and synthesis. *Simulation in Healthcare: Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, 13(4), 261–267.

https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.000000000000288

Appendix A

NURS 5382 Capstone Evidence Table

PICOT Question: In nursing students (P), how does electronic medical record (EMR) training (I) compared to no training(C) affect clinical competency and decision making(O) within three months (T)?PICOT Question Type (Circle: Intervention Etiology Diagnosis or Diagnostic Test Prognosis/Prediction Meaning

Caveats

- 1) The only studies you should put in these tables are the ones that you know answer your PICOT question
- 2) Include APA reference
- 3) Use abbreviations & create **a legend** for readers & yourself
- 4) Keep your descriptions brief there should be **NO complete sentences.**
- 5) This evidence table will be used to support your change project and will be inserted in your final paper as an appendix.

Place your APA References here in alphabetical order (Use correct 7th ed. APA reference format including the hanging indentation):

References

1. Asensi-Vicente, J., Jiménez-Ruiz, I., & Vizcaya-Moreno, M. F. (2018). Medication errors involving nursing students. Nurse

Educator, *43*(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/nne.00000000000481</u>

2. Baillie, L., Chadwick, S., Mann, R., & Brooke-Read, M. (2013). A survey of student nurses' and Midwives' experiences of

learning to use electronic health record systems in practice. Nurse Education in Practice, 13(5), 437–441.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2012.10.003

- 3. Chung, J., & Cho, I. (2017). The need for academic electronic health record systems in Nurse Education. *Nurse Education Today*, 54, 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.04.018
- 4. Craig, S. J., Kastello, J. C., Cieslowski, B. J., & Rovnyak, V. (2021). Simulation strategies to increase nursing student clinical competence in safe medication administration practices: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Education Today*, 96, 1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104605</u>
- 5. Ellis, B. S., Quayle, S., Bailey, I., Tishkovskaya, S., Spencer, J., & Richardson, R. (2020). Students' perceptions on their use of an EHR: pilot questionnaire study. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, 27(3), e100163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2020-100163</u>
- 6. Feldthouse, D. M., Jacques, D. P., Fenelon, L., Robertiello, G., Pasklinsky, N., Fletcher, J., . . . Squires, A. P. (2022). Implementing an academic electronic health record in nursing education. *Journal of Informatics Nursing*, 7(2), 37-42.
 <u>https://go.openathens.net/redirector/uttyler.edu?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/implementing-academic-electronic-health-record/docview/2699768964/se-2</u>

7. Forman, T. M., Flores, D., & Miller, A. S. (2020). An integrative literature review of the use of electronic health records for clinical nursing education. *Journal of Informatics Nursing*, *5*(1), 28-39,43-44.

https://go.openathens.net/redirector/uttyler.edu?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/integrative-literature-review-use-electronic/docview/2431218342/se-2

- Hong, S., Cho, I., Park, M., Lee, J. Y., Lee, J., & Choi, M. (2022). Simulation education incorporating academic electronic medical records for undergraduate nursing students: A pilot study. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 28(4), 376–386. <u>https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2022.28.4.376</u>
- 9. Ledlow, J. H., Judson, T., Watts, P., Vance, D. E., & Moss, J. (2022). Integrating a simulated electronic medical record system and barcode medication administration into a pre-licensure nursing program. *Journal of Professional Nursing: Official Journal of the American Association of Colleges of Nursing*, 40, 38–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2022.02.008
- 10. Mollart, L., Newell, R., Geale, S. K., Noble, D., Norton, C., & O'Brien, A. P. (2020). Introduction of patient electronic medical records (EMR) into undergraduate nursing education: An integrated literature review. *Nurse Education Today*, 94, 104517. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104517</u>
- 11. Weinschreider, J., Sisk, H., & Jungquist, C. (2022). Electronic health record knowledge, skills, and attitudes among newly graduated nurses: A scoping review. *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 53(11), 505–512.
 https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20221006-08

12. Wilbanks, B. A., Watts, P. I., & Epps, C. A. (2018). Electronic health records in simulation education: Literature review and synthesis. *Simulation in healthcare: journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, *13*(4), 261–267.

https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.00000000000288

Citation : (i.e., author(s), date of publicat ion, & title)	Concept ual Framew ork	Desig n/ Meth od	Sample/ Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definition S	Measureme nt of Major Variables	Data Analysi s	Study Findings	Strength of the Evidence (i.e., level of evidence + quality [study strengths and weaknesses])
Author, Year, Title	Theoreti cal basis for study Qualitati ve Traditio n		Number, Character istics, Attrition rate & why?	Independe nt variables (e.g., IV1 = IV2 =) Dependent variables (e.g., DV =) Do not need to put IV & DV in Legend	What scales were used to measure the outcome variables (e.g., name of scale, author, reliability info [e.g., Cronbach alphas])	What stats were used to answer the clinical questio n (i.e., all stats do not need to be put into the table)	Statistical findings or qualitative findings (i.e., for every statistical test you have in the data analysis column, you should have a finding)	 Strengths and limitations of the study Risk or harm if study intervention or findings implemented Feasibility of use in your practice Remember: level of evidence (See PICOT handout) + quality of evidence = strength of evidence & confidence to act Use the USPSTF grading schema http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/3rdu spstf/ratings.htm
1. (Asensi- Vicente et al., 2018) Medicati	None	SR; Medli ne & CINA HL	N=19. Originall y 113 articles	IV: 1. NS DV1: notificatio n of ME	Qualitative content analysis	Themat ic analysis	low reporting, large variety, mostly dosage error, negative experience, absent supervisor.	Strength of Evidence: High Level I Evidence

Appendix A Continued

on Errors Involvin g Nursing Students : A Systemat ic Review		searc hed. IC and EC used.	met criteria IC: 2005- 2017, English, original research, ME by nursing students EC: not English, literature reviews, not about ME/nursi ng	DV2: characteris tics of ME DV3: student reaction DV4. supervisio n DV5. SIM.			Most common contributing factor was lack of experience.	Strengths: Articles includes in review were from multiple levels of evidence, international study Weaknesses: wide variability in each study design Risk/Harm: None Feasibility: Likely Conclusion: gap between clinical and theory, integrate IT and EMR training into clinical to improve patient safety Grade: A
2. (Baillie et al., 2013) A survey of student nurses' and midwive	Patton's Framew ork	Quali tative study. NS and midw ife stude nts	N=210 Survey and focus groups for qualitativ e and quantitati ve results	IV1: NS IV2: Midwife students DV1: Preparatio n for	Pearson's Chi Square	Themat ic analysis	NS must develop competence in EMR. Opportunities are limited. Many barriers. 38% of NS reported no EMR training by end of 3 rd year.	Strength of Evidence: Moderate Level VI Evidence Strengths: shows that EMR training will better prepare for workforce Weaknesses: only done at one university in UK

s'				EMR/skill				Risk/Harm: None
experien				8				
ces of								Feasibility: likely, changes were
learning				DV2:				made based on this study.
to use				Access to				Conclusion: Need to train
electroni				EMR				students on EMP collab with
c health								clinical facility to optimize
record								chinear facinity to optimize
systems								Grade: B
in								
practice								
1								
3.	None	Quant	5 nursing	IV1:	5 point	Statistic	1/5 of NS	Strength of Evidence: Low
(Chung		itativ	schools=	Faculty	Likert scale	al and	reported never	I evel V evidence
& Cho,		e	62 NS	IV2. NS		Themat	having been	
2017)		desig	and 21	1 v 2. 185		ic	provided EMR	Strengths: schools invited from
The		n	faculty	DV1:		analysis	training. Lots of	across the US, looked at
need for		with		Demograp			barriers to EMR	quantitative and qualitative data
academi		suppo		hics			implementation in	
с		rtive					nursing education	Weaknesses: small sample size
electroni		qualit		DV2:				Risk/Harm: None
c health		ative		knowledg				
record		resear		e				Feasibility: likely
systems		ch.		DV3.				
in nurse		100		Δttitudes				Conclusion: EMR training will
educatio		100		toward				help NS be more competent
n		nursi		EMR				Grade: B
		ng						
		18						

		invite						
		d,						
		only						
		5						
		partic						
		ipated						
4. (Craig	Jeffries	Quasi	N = 83,	IV1: NS	MSKA,	MSKA	The intervention	Strength of Evidence: Moderate
et al.,	Simulati	Exper	randomiz		MSCEC,	CVI:	group felt more	
2021)	on	iment	ed into 2	DV1: 3	and	0.94	confident, and	Level III evidence
Simulati	Framew	al	groups	scenarios	confidence	MAGE	scored better at	Strengths: students reported
on	ork	study.		tor .	survey	MSCE	the end of	greater feelings of confidence
strategie		ard		experimen			training.	and competence after sim
s to		5		tal group		0.92		1
increase		year				Student		Weaknesses: unable to complete
nursing		BSN				qualitat		all sims before clinical, students
student		stude				ive		did not get to repeat the sim due
clinical		nts				survey		to time constraints,
compete								inconsistencies in debriefing
nce in								styles
safe								Risk/Harm: none
medicati								Kisk Humin none
on								Feasibility: likely, will need to
administ								collab with hospital to get
ration								similar EMR for students to
practices								practice
: A								Conclusion, education should
quasi-								Conclusion: educators should
experim								consider sim with EMIR to

ental study								implement medication safety practices Grade: B
5. (Ellis et al., 2020) Students , percepti on on their use of an HER: pilot question naire study	None	Quali tative surve y	N=24(pre survey); 23 (post survey). Anonym ous, all NS registered for course invited to participat e	IV1: NS DV1: pre training survey DV2: post training survey	10 point Likert scale and open ended survey	Statistic al and themati c analysis	Pre survey median = 2 on proficiency Post survey median = 5 on proficiency Themes: Lack of confidence, desire to increase knowledge, responsibilities	Strength of Evidence: Moderate Level IV evidence Strengths: reviewed literature to support need for study Weaknesses: small sample size at one university in UK Risk/Harm: none Feasibility: somewhat likely Conclusion: NS reported more proficient in EMR, improvement in knowledge Grade: B
 6. (Feldtho use et al., 2022) Impleme nting an Academi 	None	EMR imple menta tion guide of	No sample size. Setting was clinical sim center at	IV1: NS DV1: developed EMR	None	None	Implementation of real life EMR into nursing curriculum represents potential benefit	Strength of Evidence: Low Level VII evidence Strengths: Built EMR to mimic EPIC Weaknesses: A discussion of implementation importance, no

с		exper	large					data of how EMR training
Electroni		t	urban					benefited students
c Health Record in Nursing Educatio n			universit y					Risk/Harm: None Feasibility: not likely (Developed EMR to mimic EPIC) Conclusion: EMR implementation is beneficial to prepare nurses for clinical practice
								Grade: B
7. (Forman et al., 2020) An Integrati ve Literatur e Review of the Use of Electroni c Health	Whittem ore and Knafl's	Litera ture Revie w IC and EC used.	N=46 Includes literature from 13 countries	IV1: EMR DV1: Benefits DV2: Barriers	qualitative methodolog y	Themat ic analysis	Benefits: attitude (increased confidence), skills (essential to competence), safety (safely provide patient care) Barriers: finances, technology, training (for faculty and NS), time	Strength of Evidence: Moderate Level V evidence Strengths: reviews literature from 13 countries Weaknesses: Further research needed for best implementation Risk/Harm: none Feasibility: likely
Record								

	for Clinical Nursing Educatio								Conclusion: EMR skills are essential, but what is best practice for implementation
	n								Grade: B
	8. (Hong	None	Mixe	N=76 (3 rd	IV: NS	Self-	SPSS	SPSS:	Strength of Evidence: Moderate
	et al., 2022)		d Meth	and 4 th year NS	DV: 3 sims	reported survey with	and themati	Demographics, usability, self-	Level IV evidence
	on Educatio		oa Pilot Study	schools	utilizing EMR	(Cornbach's alpha was	c analysis	nursing process	Strengths: Provides evidence that sim with EMR is beneficial
	n Incorpor ating Academi					0.95) and focus groups		Thematic analysis: Benefits- training, immersion, information	Weaknesses: All students participated, no control group to compare to. Only done in South Korea
	C Electroni							structuring and	Risk/Harm: none
	c							confidence	Feasibility: likely
	Medical Records for Undergr							Challenges- pre- education, time, barriers, consider students' level	Conclusion: EMR should be a part of all curriculums to facilitate repeated use
	aduate Nursing Students								Grade: B
	: A Pilot								
	Study								
1			1	1	1	1			

9.	None	Quali	N=96	IV: NS	Voluntary	Statistic	31% response rate	Strength of Evidence: Low
(Ledlow		tative	second	DU	survey after	al and		
et al.,		surve	semester	DV:	sim check-	themati	96% reported	Level VI evidence
2021)		У	NS	perception	off. 7 Likert	с	EMR provided	Strengths: Faculty had rigorous
Integrati				of EMR	scale	analysis	realistic	training prior to implementation
ng a					questions, 3		experience	a manage partor to amprove and a
simulate					open ended		94% reported	Weaknesses: small response rate
d							better prepared	Risk/Harm: none
electroni							for clinical	KISK/Hami. none
с								Feasibility: likely
medical							Theme: mimic	Conclusion: Duovidod students
record							hospital EMR	Conclusion: Provided students
system								with a safe environment to
and								Increase fainmanty
barcode								Grade: C
medicati								
on								
administ								
ration								
into a								
pre-								
license								
nursing								
program								
10.	None	SR of	N = 23	IV1-NS	Surveys,	Themat	Implementing	Strength of Evidence: Moderate
(Mollart		descri			qualitative	ic	simulated EMR	-
et al.,		ptive	IC: 2008-	1V2 –	methodolog	analysis	training is	Level V evidence
2020)		and	2018,	EMR	У		essential in	
			English,					

Introduct		qualit	student	IV3 –			nursing	Strengths: Covered 5 countries,
ion of		ative	nurses,	Faculty			curriculum	discussed challenges/advantages
patient electroni		studie s	EMR usage	DV1 –				to implementation
c				advantage				Weaknesses: only reviewed
medical		IC		s of EMR				articles in English, 4 reports
records		and		in				were low level of evidence
(EMR)		EC		academic				
into		used.		setting				Risk/Harm: can be expensive to
undergra				DV2				implement
duate				DV2 -				Feasibility: likely
nursing				Challenge				
educatio				s and				Conclusion: to achieve EMR
n: An				miniations				implementation there needs to be
integrate				DV3 –				better funding, faculty support,
d				developin				and design consistency
literature				g and				Grade: B
review				implement				Glade. D
				ation				
11.	Levac	Litera	N=11	IV1: NS	Assessment	Statistic	Significant gaps	Strength of Evidence: Low
(Weinsc	et al	ture			s and	al and	in EMR KSA and	
hreider	approac	view	Articles	IV2: NGN	surveys	themati	NS/NGN are	Level V evidence
et al.,	h	10	from US	DV1:		с	potentially	Strengths: included lots of
2022)		IC	and	assessmen		analysis	overconfident	different article types in review
Electroni		and	Canada	t of EMR			with EMR use	
c Health		EC		competenc				
Record		used		v level				
Knowled				,				

ge, Skills and Attitudes Among Newly Graduate d Nurses: A Scoping Review				DV2: self- reported EMR competenc y				Weaknesses: Lots of variety in terminology for EMR competency in articles Risk/Harm: lack of EMR optimization can lead to consequences Feasibility: likely Conclusion: opportunity to grow NS foundation in EMR usage Grade: C
12. (Wilban ks et al., 2018) Electroni c Health Records in Simulati on Educatio n	None	Litera ture Revie w IC and EC used	N=15 Variety of studies and professio n 2007- 2016 5 countries	IV: health care students DV1: importanc e of EMR training DV2: properties of ideal EMR DV3: benefits and	Qualitative methodolog y	NVivo qualitat ive data analysis	 Direct impact on patient safety reproduction of real world EMR contributes to higher level of thinking, financial costs, time consuming need faculty support, functionality of EMR 	Strength of Evidence: Level V evidence Strengths: included feedback from articles about pharmacy/medical students Weaknesses: predominately articles from North America, does not represent worldwide Risk/Harm: lack of training can cause medical errors Feasibility: likely

disadvanta	5. faculty training	Conclusion: incorporate EMR in
ges	and video	education to promote patient
DV4:	tutorials	safety
facilitators		Grade: B
and		
barriers		
DV5: best practice		

Legend: DV – Dependent Variable; EC – Exclusion Criteria; Edu – education; EMR – Electronic Medical Record; GRADE – grading of recommendations, assessment, development and evaluations method; IC – Inclusion Criteria; IT- Information technology; IV – Independent Variable; KSA – Knowledge, skills and attitudes; MAN – Meta-Analysis; ME – Medication Error(s); MSCEC – Medication Safety Critical Element Checklist; MSKA – Medication safety knowledge assessment; N – Number in study; NGN – Newly graduating nursing student; NS – Nursing student; sim – Simulation; SPSS – Statistical Package for Social Sciences; SR – Systematic Review

Please do not repeat the headings, just provide the data

Used with permission, © 2007 Fineout-Overholt

Appendix B

Flowchart and Timetable



Week 1:	EMR training session 1: Review login information, navigating EMR, how to
	request co-signer for charting
Week 2:	EMR training session 2: Review medication administration, documentation of care
	plan, assessment, I&Os, and education
Week 3:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 1-2 patients
Week 4:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 1-2 patients
Week 5:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 2-3 patients
Week 6:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 2-3 patients
Week 7:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 3-4 patients
Week 8:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 3-4 patients
Week 9:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 4-5 patients

EMR TRAINING FOR NURSING STUDENTS

Week 10:	Attend clinicals. Chart on 4-5 patients
Week 11:	Complete survey
Week 12:	Attend group discussion from 1300-1400 in conference room

35

Appendix C

Instrument (Include permissions at the bottom of your instrument or copyright information)

Use the following for scoring each question:

1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, and 5-strongly agree

1. The EM	R training help	oed better prepa	re me for chinc	al.
1 Ο	2 🔿	3 О	4 🔿	5 🔿
2. I am mo	ore confident gi	iving medicatio	ns safely in the	EMR.
1 🔿	2 🔿	3 🔿	4 🔿	5 🔿
3. I was gi	ven adequate ti	ime to learn the	EMR and expe	ectations.
3. I was gi 1 🔾	ven adequate ti 2 🔾	ime to learn the	EMR and expe	ectations.
 3. I was gi 1 4. This trai 	ven adequate ti 2 O ining will make	ime to learn the 3 O e me more marl	EMR and expendent of the expension of th	ectations. 5 O
 3. I was gi 1 4. This trai 1 	ven adequate ti 2 O ining will make 2 O	ime to learn the 3 O e me more marl 3 O	EMR and expendence of the expension of t	ectations. 5 O aduation. 5 O

5. I am confident in finding information in the EMR and documenting patient findings appropriately.

|--|