



University of Dundee

Comment on

Dingle, Lewis A; Jordan, Daniel J

Published in: The British journal of surgery

DOI: 10.1093/bjs/znae006

Publication date: 2024

Licence: CC BY-NC

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Dingle, L. A., & Jordan, D. J. (2024). Comment on: Effectiveness of nail bed repair in children with or without replacing the fingernail: NINJA multicentre randomized clinical trial. *The British journal of surgery, 111*(1), Article znae006. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znae006

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Comment on: Effectiveness of nail bed repair in children with or without replacing the fingernail: NINJA multicentre randomized clinical trial

Lewis A. Dingle* 🝺 and Daniel J. Jordan

Department of Plastic Surgery, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, UK

*Correspondence to: Lewis A. Dingle, Department of Plastic Surgery, Ninewells Hospital, James Arrott Drive, Dundee DD2 1SG, UK (e-mail: lewis.dingle1@nhs.scot; X @lewis_dingle1)

Dear Editor

We commend Jain *et al.*¹ on publication of the NINJA trial, but wish to comment on a number of points.

An €85 cost of nail-plate replacement is suggested, comprising 'additional' suture material (€4.84) and 3.4 min operating time. First, we note that this is reported as 4.6 min in *Table S1*. Second, operating time was recorded in approximately 55% of operations, with a wide standard deviation. We presume that missing data were addressed through multiple imputation and bootstrapping, but remain concerned at the high level of missing data and subsequent conclusions drawn. The potential saving of €815 600 seems speculative. Suture used for repair is often sufficient for securing the nail plate, negating additional cost. Furthermore, 3.4 min does not translate into additional operative capacity in practice and would be dwarfed by the myriad causes of perioperative delay. Therefore, no cost is saved.

'Perioperative' antibiotics were given in around half of patients. Duration of treatment and whether this was from the time of injury, at induction or after intervention is not stated. If unnecessary, as suggested by the lack of difference in infection, omitting antibiotics would provide a tangible cost reduction, more so than decisions regarding nail-plate replacement.

Less than 2% of the cases appeared to involve the germinal matrix, which one might argue is the only indication for

nail-plate replacement. We presume this was too small for subgroup analysis.

Was information collected on nail-plate preparation (trimming, fenestration, antimicrobial soaking)? Might this have had a significant effect on the rate of associated infection?

Hopefully, some of these issues may be addressed in the author's planned future paper on the health economic conclusions. These points aside, we applaud the authors for their achievement. As stated before, NINJA's greatest legacy may lie in demonstrating that surgical multicentre RCTs are deliverable and can challenge surgical dogma.

Author contributions

Lewis Dingle (Conceptualization, Writing—original draft, review & editing), and Daniel Jordan (Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—review & editing)

Reference

 Jain A, Greig AVH, Jones A, Cooper C, Davies L, Greshon A et al. Effectiveness of nail bed repair in children with or without replacing the fingernail: NINJA multicentre randomized clinical trial. Br J Surg 2023;110:432–438

Received: April 20, 2023. Accepted: May 26, 2023

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Foundation Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com



European Colorectal Congress

3 – 6 December 2023, St.Gallen, Switzerland

OVERVIEW Sun, 3 Dec 2023

MASTERCLASS PROCTOLOGY DAY ROBOTIC COURSE DAVOSCOURSE@ECC

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMME Mon, 4 Dec – Wed, 6 Dec 2023

DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

Gut microbiome and surgery Phil Quirke, Leeds, UK

Diet in diverticular disease Pamela Buchwald, Lund, SE

Decision making in the management of acute complicated Diverticulitis beyond the guidelines Seraina Faes, Zurich, CH

Diverticular Abscess – Always drainage or who benefits from Surgery? Johannes Schultz, Oslo, NO

Perforated Diverticulitis: Damage Control, Hartmann's Procedure, Primary Anastomosis, Diverting Loop Reinhold Kafka-Ritsch, Innsbruck, AT

When to avoid protective stoma in colorectal surgery Antonino Spinelli, Milano, IT

ENDOMETRIOSIS

Endometriosis – what is the role of the abdominal surgeon Tuynman Juriaan, Amsterdam, NL

Challenges in Surgery of Endometriosis – always interdisciplinary? Peter Oppelt, Linz, AT; Andreas Shamiyeh, Linz, AT A gaze in the crystal ball: Where is the role of virtual reality and artificial Intelligence in colorectal surgery Müller Beat, Basel, CH

MALIGNANT COLORECTAL DISEASE

Cytoreductive Surgery and Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy – facts and hopes Michel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

Metastatic Colorectal Cancer – surgical approaches and limits Jürgen Weitz, Dresden, DE

Extended lymph node dissection for rectal cancer, is it still under debate? Miranda Kusters, Amsterdam, NL

Organ preservation functional outcome in rectal cancer treatment – in line with patient's needs? (Robot – laparoscopic – open surgery?) Hans de Wilt, Nijmegen, NL

ROBOTICS

Advances in Robotic Surgery and what we learnt so far Parvaiz Amjad, Portsmouth, UK

Challenging the market: Robotic (assistant) Devices and how to choose wisely (Da Vinci – Hugo Ras – Distalmotion ua) Khan Jim, London, UK

TAMIS - Robotic Transanal Surgery, does it make it easier? Knol Joep, Genk, BE

Live Surgery – Contonal Hospital of St.Gallen Walter Brunner, St.Gallen, CH; Salvadore Conde Morals, Sevilla, ES; Friedrich Herbst, Vienna, AUT; Amjad Parvaiz, Portsmouth, UK

Video Session

Lars Pahlmann Lecture Markus Büchler, Lisboa, PRT

Honorary Lecture Bill Heald, Lisboa, PRT

Information & Registration www.colorectalsurgery.eu