



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Upper bounds for the resultant and Diophantine applications****This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1944849> since 2023-11-28T13:43:49Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1007/978-94-017-0990-3\\_2

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



















for  $|l| \leq 5$ , where

$$(r) = \frac{D}{2r} \log(2D_F) + \frac{rd}{2} - 2m \log l + \log(2D_G) :$$

We choose

$$r = 1 + \frac{s}{\frac{D \log(2D_F)}{d(2m \log l + \log(2D_G))}} :$$

Therefore

$$\frac{D}{r} = \frac{s}{\frac{dD(2m \log l + \log(2D_G))}{\log(2D_F)}} : \quad (3:9)$$

and

$$\frac{rd}{d+1} = \frac{s}{\frac{dD \log(2D_F)}{2m \log l + \log(2D_G)}} : \quad (3:10)$$

Moreover (3.8) implies

$$r = \frac{D}{3d} + p \frac{D}{3d} - \frac{D}{d} : \quad (3:11)$$

By (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$(r) = \frac{d}{2} \log(2D_G) + p \frac{\log(2D_F)(2m \log l + \log(2D_G))}{dD \log(2D_F)(2m \log l + \log(2D_G))} :$$

tu

#### 4. Lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^Q h_j - k_j$ .

In this section we assume that  $F$  and  $G$  are integral co-prime polynomials. Then

$$j \operatorname{Res}(F; G) j = 1; \quad (F) = M(F)^2; \quad (G) = M(G)^2;$$

Hence theorem 1.1 and theorem 3.1 provides lower bounds for  $\sum_{j=1}^Q h_j - k_j$ . As a simple example, choose  $\epsilon = m = 1$  in theorem 1.1. We find the following improved version of (1.6):

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$  be non-conjugate algebraic numbers of degrees  $d$  and  $d$ . Then

$$j - j^{-1} \leq e(2D)^{1+d/2} M(\alpha)^{2d} M(\beta)^d \exp \left( \frac{q}{dD \log(2DM(\alpha)^2) \log 2DM(\beta)^2} \right)$$

provided that

$$3d \max \left( \frac{\log(2DM(\alpha)^2)}{\log(2DM(\beta)^2)}, 1 \right) \leq D :$$





$G_p$  is irreducible (see [R] p. 139). Hence, for any real, the polynomials  $G$  and  $F = \prod_{p \in X} G_p$  are square-free and coprime. We choose  $\gamma = (\log d)^2 = (\log \log d)$ . By the Prime Number Theorem,

$$D := \deg F = \prod_{p \in X} d \quad \frac{d(\log d)^2}{2(\log \log d)^2} \quad \frac{d^2}{2}; \quad (6.1)$$

$$\log M(F) = \prod_{p \in X} p \log M(p) \quad \frac{(\log d)^4 \log M(\gamma)}{4(\log \log d)^3} \quad \log d; \quad (6.2)$$

and, since  $\prod_{p \in X} p^d \mid \text{Res}(F; G)$  by lemma 2 of [D],

$$\log |\text{Res}(F; G)| \leq \prod_{p \in X} d \log p \leq \frac{1}{\log \log d} \frac{d(\log d)^2}{2}; \quad (6.3)$$

We also have  $D = 9d$  and, by (6.2),

$$\max \left( \frac{\log(2DM(F))^2}{\log(2DM(\gamma))^2}, 1 + \frac{2 \log M(F)}{\log d} \right) \leq 3 \quad \frac{D}{3d}.$$

Therefore we can apply theorem 1.1 (with  $l = m = 0$ ), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\text{Res}(F; G)| &\leq \frac{d}{2} \log(2D) + d \log M(F) + (D + d) \\ &\quad + \frac{dD \log(2DM(F)^2) \log(2DM(\gamma)^2)}{2D \log(2DM(F)^2) \log(2DM(\gamma)^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

By (6.1) and (6.2) we have

$$\frac{d}{2} \log(2D) + d \log M(F) + (D + d) \log M(\gamma) \leq 2d \log d \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dD \log(2DM(F)^2) \log(2DM(\gamma)^2) \\ \leq \frac{d^2(\log d)^4}{4(\log \log d)^2} \quad 2 + \frac{(\log d)^3 \log M(\gamma)}{(\log \log d)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Substituting (6.3), (6.5) and (6.6) into (6.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\log \log d} \frac{d(\log d)^2}{2} \quad 2d \log d + \frac{d(\log d)^2}{2 \log \log d} \quad \frac{2 + \frac{(\log d)^3 \log M(\gamma)}{(\log \log d)^3}}{2 + \frac{(\log d)^3 \log M(\gamma)}{(\log \log d)^3}} \\ &\leq \frac{d(\log d)^2}{2 \log \log d} \quad 2 + \frac{(\log d)^3 \log M(\gamma)}{(\log \log d)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\log M(\gamma) \leq 4^{-6} \quad 2 - \frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \quad 3 \quad (2^{-6}) \quad \frac{\log \log d}{\log d} \quad 3.$$

□

## References

- [CS] D. C. Cantor and E. G. Straus, 'On a conjecture of D. H. Lehmer', *Acta Arith.* 42, p. 97-100 (1982);
- [D] E. Dobrowolski, 'On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial', *Acta Arith.* 34, p. 391-401 (1979);
- [GM] Ph. Gasser and M. Mignotte, 'An inequality about irreducible factors of integer polynomials (II)', in *Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithm and Error-Correcting Codes*, Ed. S. Sakata, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 508, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 160-266 (1991);
- [L1] M. Laurent, 'Sur quelques résultats récents de transcendance', *Astérisque* vol. 198-200, p. 209-230 (1991);
- [L2] M. Laurent, 'Linear forms in two logarithms and interpolation determinants', *Acta Arith.* 66.2, p.182-199 (1994);
- [M1] M. Mignotte, 'Approximation des nombres algébriques par des nombres algébriques de grand degré', *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* 1, 165-170 (1979);
- [M2] M. Mignotte, 'Estimations élémentaires effectives sur les nombres algébriques', *Journées Arithmétiques*, 1980, Exeter, ed. J.V. Armitage, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 56, Cambridge U. Press (1982), 364-371;
- [M3] M. Mignotte, 'An inequality about irreducible factors of integer polynomials', *J. Number Th.*, v. 30, n° 2, p. 156-166 (1988);
- [Me] C. Méray, 'Sur un déterminant dont celui de Vandermonde n'est qu'un cas particulier', *Revue de Mathématiques Spéciales*, 9, p.217-219 (1899);
- [R] U. Rausch, 'On a theorem of Dobrowolski about the product of conjugate numbers', *Colloquium Math.* 50.1, p. 137-142 (1985);
- [S] W. Schmidt, 'Diophantine approximations', *Lecture Note in Mathematics* 785, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1980.

Francesco Amoroso,  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Via Carlo Alberto, 10  
 10123 Torino - Italy  
 e-mail: amoroso@dm.unipi.it