

# Automation of primary crushing control

Andrey Smolyaninov<sup>1\*</sup>, Irina Pocebneva<sup>1</sup>, Yuri Petukhov<sup>2</sup>, and Maxim Aleksandrovskiy<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Voronezh State Technical University, 20th Anniversary of October, 84, 394006, Voronezh, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Moscow Aviation Institute (National Research University), Volokolamskoe shosse, 4, 125993, Moscow, Russia

<sup>3</sup> Moscow State University of Civil Engineering, 129337 Moscow, Russia

**Abstract.** The article deals with issues related to the synthesis of an automatic control system for a jaw crusher, for which the main control and disturbance channels were identified and its mathematical model was obtained. Implementation of mechanization and automation systems at crushing and screening plants is necessary for the production of granite crushed stone, which is massively used in the construction of agricultural facilities. Based on the analysis of the mathematical model, a decision was made to choose the law of regulation and its parametric identification was carried out. Analysis of the quality indicators of the regulation of the synthesized system revealed their significant dependence on the disturbing effect, which required the synthesis of a disturbance compensator, the inclusion of which in the structure of the system made it possible to realize the requirements imposed on it.

## 1 Introduction

Continuously growing volumes of construction dictate the need to increase the production of building materials. The latter, given that one of the main building materials, without which no construction can do is concrete, causes a high demand for aggregates of concrete, asphalt and other building mixtures. This leads to the need to increase the volume and quality of production of aggregates, in particular, granite crushed stone.

One of the decisive factors ensuring the growth of granite crushed stone production while maintaining quality indicators is the introduction of mechanization and automation systems at crushing and screening plants. This determines the relevance of the development and implementation of automated process control systems for crushed granite crushed stone, which, as a rule, is carried out in two stages. In this paper, we consider the stage of primary crushing, carried out, as a rule, by jaw crushers.


The jaw crusher consists of a bed 1, part of which is a fixed jaw 2, a shaft 4 with a suspended movable jaw 5, a drive mechanism and a device for regulation (Figure 1). The ore is loaded into the crusher from above in the space between the fixed bed 1 and the movable 5 cheeks and armor plates 2 and 3. The movable cheek is suspended on the axis 4 and is driven (swinged) by means of a connecting rod 8, mounted on the main (eccentric) shaft 9.

---

\* Corresponding author: [asmolyaninov@cchgeu.ru](mailto:asmolyaninov@cchgeu.ru)

The connecting rod is hinged with the hanging cheek 5 by means of spacer plates 6 and 7. The main shaft is driven by pulleys [1].

In the rear beam of the bed there is a mechanism for regulating the outlet gap, consisting of a slider, wedges, a screw with nuts and a drive. As the screw rotates, the wedges move closer or farther away from each other, moving the slider until the desired size of the outlet slit is obtained. The drive of the control mechanism is mounted on a separate plate and consists of a motor and a worm gearbox. To limit the increase in the discharge gap, a limit switch mounted on the bracket of the side cover of the mechanism and closed with a casing is used. The limit switch is triggered by the action of the rod on it and disables the drive motors of the slit adjustment mechanism. The rod moves with a wedge when approaching the extreme position.



**Fig. 1** - Structural diagram of the jaw crusher: 1-bed; 2-fixed and movable 3 crushing plates; 4 - axis of the movable plate; 5 - movable cheek; 6-front spacer plate; 7-rear spacer plate; 8-connecting rod; 9-eccentric connecting rod shaft; 10-mechanism for adjusting the size of the outlet slit.

When the connecting rod moves upwards, the movable cheek approaches the stationary one and the material is crushed. When the connecting rod moves back, the movable jaw moves away from the stationary one and the crushed material is discharged from the crusher under its own weight. A rod 7 is hinged to the movable cheek; With the help of this rod and spring 5, the movable cheek is pulled away from the stationary cheek when the connecting rod moves downwards [2,3].

The loading window of the crusher is called the throat. The width and length of the throat, expressed in millimeters, characterizes the dimensions of the crusher. The width of the crusher outlet jaw is adjusted by changing the thickness of the gaskets laid between the rear wall of the frame and the special stop on which the right spacer plate rests.

## 2 Materials and Methods

To determine the optimal parameters for setting regulators, information about the static and dynamic characteristics of the control object and the current disturbances is necessary. These characteristics can be obtained either analytically or experimentally. In view of the lack of knowledge of the objects of regulation and the need to take a number of simplifying assumptions in their mathematical description, the static and dynamic characteristics of the objects of regulation, obtained experimentally, should be recognized as the most reliable. The

static characteristics of the perturbations acting in the ACP can be obtained only as a result of the experiment [4].

The choice of the method of experimental research of the operating object is determined by the nature of the task, the deviations of the studied quantities permissible according to the technological requirements, and the nature of the operational disturbances. At the same time, obtaining the desired characteristics is possible through passive and active experiments.


The method of passive experiment is based on the registration of the controlled parameters of the process in the mode of normal operation of the object without introducing any deliberate perturbations into it. The method of active experiment is based on the use of certain artificial perturbations introduced into the object according to a pre-planned program[5].

The introduction of artificial perturbations allows you to purposefully and quickly determine the desired characteristics. However, in order to eliminate the influence of natural noise, artificial disturbances must be significant. For many objects, the introduction of such artificial disturbances is unacceptable, since violations of the technological regime are possible.

The amount of experimental work depends significantly on the purpose of the study. So, to determine the optimal settings of the regulators, it is enough to determine the frequency or transient characteristics of the object along the control channel at maximum, medium and minimum loads (if the characteristics depend on the loads). In order to assess the maximum control error, in addition to this, it is necessary to determine the transient response along the channel of the most dangerous disturbance effect[6].

**Defining the structure of an object**

To determine the transfer function of the jaw crusher, consider the crushing chamber (Fig. 1).



**Fig. 2** – Crushing chamber

Analysis of the design of the jaw crusher allows us to conclude that during its normal operation, the supply of material in the crusher must be constant. The latter means that the flow rate of material entering the crusher  $Q_p$  [kg/s] and its capacity  $Q_d$  [kg/s] are related to the equation of material balance

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = Q_p(t) - Q_d(t) , \tag{1}$$

where  $M$  is the stock of material in the crusher.

Linearization of the equation of material balance by the method of small deviations allows us to represent (1) in the form of:

$$\frac{d\Delta M}{dt} = \Delta Q_p(t) - \Delta Q_D(t), \quad (2)$$

where is  $\Delta M$ ,  $\Delta Q_p$ ,  $\Delta Q_D$  – increment of mass, material entering the crusher and its capacity, respectively.

According to Fig. 1 the increment of the mass of the material in the crusher will be

$$\Delta M = \gamma \Delta V = \gamma H L \Delta S, \quad (3)$$

where is  $\Delta S$  – change in the size of the discharge port.

Increment of crusher capacity

$$\Delta Q_D = 60 \mu n \gamma \Delta V_v, \quad (4)$$

where is  $\mu = 0,3 \dots 0,65$  – coefficient of loosening of the material,  $n$  – Cheek swing frequency per minute,  $\Delta V_v$  – increment of the volume of material in the prism of precipitation[7].

According to Fig. 1

$$\Delta V_v = h L \Delta S \quad (5)$$

Then, according to the (4) increment of the crusher capacity, it will be

$$\Delta Q_D = 60 \mu n \gamma h L \Delta S \quad (6)$$

from where

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{60 \mu n \gamma h L} \Delta Q_D \quad (7)$$

The expression (7) allows you to represent (3) in the form:

$$\Delta M = \frac{H}{60 \mu n h} \Delta Q_D. \quad (8)$$

Taking into account (8) the equation of the material balance (2) will take the form:

$$\frac{H}{60 \mu n h} \frac{d\Delta Q_D(t)}{dt} + \Delta Q_D(t) = \Delta Q_p(t). \quad (9)$$

In accordance with (9) the transfer function of the crusher through the channel, "the flow rate of the material entering the crusher - the capacity of the crusher", taking into account the delay due to the free fall of the material, can be written in the form of:

$$W(s) = \frac{\Delta Q_D(s)}{\Delta Q_p(s)} = \frac{e^{-(\tau_1 + \tau_2)s}}{T_1 s + 1}, \quad (10)$$

where is  $T_1 = \frac{H}{60 \mu n h}$  – the Time Constant,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  – lag time.

At the same time, since the jaw crusher, as a rule, acts as the head technological unit, its performance, and therefore its dimensions, are significantly larger than the dimensions of subsequent units. Therefore, the performance of the crusher in unsteady mode is affected by an incomplete supply of material  $M_\Sigma$ , and its effective value  $M_{ef} \ll M_\Sigma$ , in direct contact with «loss prism»[8,9].

On the basis of the idea of dividing the total stock of material into effective and preliminary zones, and applying to the individual zones the expression of the material balance and the functional relationship between the capacity of the crusher and the total stock of material, it is possible to obtain a system of equations for the jaw crusher

$$M_{pr}(s) = \frac{T_P}{s} (Q_p(s) - Q_{pr}(s)) \tag{11}$$

$$M_{ef}(s) = \frac{T_E}{s} (Q_{pr}(s) - Q_D(s))$$

where is  $M_{pr}$  – material stock in the pre-crushing area;  $M_{ef}$  – Material stock in the efficient crushing zone;  $Q_{pr}$  – capacity in the pre-crushing zone;  $Q_n$  – производительность питателя;  $T_P, T_E$  – time constants[10].

In accordance with the (11) transfer function of the crusher through the channel in question, it will take the form:

$$W_1(s) = \frac{\Delta Q_D(s)}{\Delta Q_p(s)} = \frac{e^{-(\tau_1 + \tau_2)s}}{(T_P s + 1)(T_E s + 1)} \tag{12}$$

Further analysis of the jaw crusher allows us to conclude that when the width of the outlet slit  $S$  changes, in addition to the particle size distribution composition of crushed stone, its performance will also change, which must also be taken into account when designing an automatic control system.

When the flow of material entering the crusher changes, the volumetric mass of the material in it will also change[11].

$$\Delta Q_p = \frac{\gamma}{T_0} \Delta V = \frac{\gamma HL}{T_0} \Delta S, \tag{13}$$

where is  $T_0$  – the time during which the crusher chamber is completely filled with material at  $Q_D = 0$ .

Substituting (13) in we (9) get:

$$\frac{H}{60\mu nh} \frac{d\Delta Q_D(t)}{dt} + \Delta Q_D(t) = \frac{\gamma HL}{T_0} \Delta S(t) \tag{14}$$

The expression (14) allows you to write a transfer function over a channel  $Q_D(s) \rightarrow S(s)$


$$W_2(s) = \frac{\Delta Q_D(s)}{\Delta S(s)} = \frac{\frac{\gamma HL}{T_0}}{\frac{H}{60\mu nh} s + 1} = \frac{K}{T_2 s + 1}, \tag{15}$$

where is  $K = \frac{\gamma HL}{T_0}$  – transmission coefficient,  $T_2 = \frac{H}{60\mu nh}$  – time constant.

Taking into account the delay, the transfer function (15) will take the form:

$$W_2(s) = \frac{K e^{-\tau_2 s}}{T_2 s + 1} \tag{16}$$


Given that the lag times  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are negligible, compared to the time constants  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , and also representing the feeder of the crusher through the channel "motor speed - feeder performance" in the form of a proportional link, we finally get the block diagram of the jaw crusher shown in Fig. 2.



**Fig. 3** - Block diagram of the jaw crusher


**Parametric Object Identification**

For parametric identification of the jaw crusher, we will use the System Identification Toolbox extension part of the MatLab programming system. As the initial data, we will use the waveforms of the signals at the input (change in the speed of the feeder motor) and output (change in the performance of the jaw crusher) of the control object at a constant value of the outlet S (Fig. 3)[12,13].



**Fig. 4** – Waveforms of input and output signals


The System Identification module window after entering the initial data is shown in Fig. 4.



**Fig. 5** – Input of initial data

Next, by activating the Estimate drop-down list, select Transfer Function Models, and in the window that opens, enter the orders of the polynomials of the numerator and denominator of the desired transfer function (in our case, 0 and 2, respectively) and start the identification process. The result of identification is shown in

Fig. 5[14,15].



**Fig. 6** - Results of identification of the control object

As can be seen from Figure Fig. 5 desired transfer function is presented in the form of::

$$W_U(s) = \frac{0.01747}{s^2 + 0.1975s + 0.01116} = \frac{1.57}{89.6s^2 + 17.7s + 1} = \frac{K}{T_1s^2 + T_2s + 1} \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we determine the parameters of the transfer function along the perturbation channel ( $S \rightarrow Q_D$ ):

$$W_V(s) = \frac{0.12}{6.3s + 1} \quad (18)$$

### 3 Research and results


Analysis of the technological process of primary crushing made it possible to formulate the requirements for a closed-loop control system:

- regulation time –  $t_p \leq 40$  c;
- overshoot –  $\delta \leq 5\%$ ;
- steady error –  $\varepsilon \approx 0$ .

Since the transfer function of the object along the control channel is described by a second-order inertial link, then to implement the requirements for the system, the PID control law is sufficient.

To determine the controller parameters, we will use the PID – Controller configuration module of the MatLab programming system. To do this, let’s draw up a block diagram of a

closed-loop system (Figure 7) and double-click on the PID(s) block and call up the window for selecting the controller transfer function (Figure 8)[16].




**Fig. 7** – Block diagram of a closed-loop system

In the window that opens, you need to select PID - the control law and set the initial approximations of the controller parameters. As can be seen from Figure 8, the transfer function of the controller is sought in the form:

$$W_R(s) = P \left( 1 + I \frac{1}{s} + D \frac{N}{1 + N \frac{1}{s}} \right), \tag{19}$$

where is P, I, D and N settings parameters.




**Fig. 8** - Window for selecting the transfer function of the regulator


To clarify the pre-selected tuning parameters, click on the Tune... button. This will lead to the appearance of the PID – Controller settings window (Figure 9) where, by moving the Response Time and Transient Behavior sliders, it is necessary to correct the transient process. The settings will be reflected in the Show Parameters window (Figure 9). Transient process in a closed system with settings: P = 1.4679; I = 0.064085; D = 3.8669; N = 14.6347 shown in Figure 10[17,18].



The analysis shows that the required quality indicators in the time domain have been implemented:  
regulation time –  $t_p = 38$  c;  
overshoot –  $\delta = 1\%$ ;  
steady error –  $\varepsilon \approx 0$ .



**Fig. 9** – Window for selecting PID settings – Controller




**Fig. 10** – Transient response along the control channel

Figure 11 shows the transient process along the disturbance channel, the analysis of which shows that in transient modes there is overregulation that is unacceptable according to technological requirements. Considering that for a given circuit the disturbing influence is

easily measurable, it is advisable to use a disturbance compensator to suppress it (Figure 12)[19].

In accordance with Figure 12, the image of the output signal of a closed-loop system can be represented as:

$$Q_D(s) = \frac{W_R(s)W_U(s)}{1+W_R(s)W_U(s)} U_{zD}(s) + \frac{W_K(s)W_U(s)+W_V(s)}{1+W_R(s)W_U(s)} S(s) \quad (20)$$




**Fig. 11** – Transient process through the perturbation channel

According to the (20) output signal will not be affected by the disturbing effect when the condition is met:

$$W_K(s)W_U(s) + W_V(s) = 0, \quad (21)$$

from where

$$W_K(s) = -\frac{W_R(s)}{W_Y(s)} \quad (22)$$



**Fig. 12** - Block diagram of a closed system with a disturbance compensator

Taking into account (17) and (18) rewriting (22) in the form:


$$W_K(s) = -\frac{0.12}{\frac{6.3s+1}{1.57}} = -\frac{10.752s^2 + 2.124s + 0.12}{9.891s + 1.57} \quad (23)$$

The analysis (23) shows that the order of the numerator is one greater than the order of the denominator, which indicates the unrealizability of such a link. To ensure the technical feasibility of the compensator, we add one fast pole to its transfer function, which will not have a significant effect on the output signal of the compensator [20].

According to the (23) dominant pole of the compensator  $s = -0.159$ , then the pole  $s = -1$  can be considered fast and the transfer function of the compensator will take the form:

$$W_k(s) = -\frac{10.752s^2 + 2.124s + 0.12}{(9.891s + 1.57)(s + 1)} \quad (24)$$

Transients in a closed system with and without a disturbing compensator are shown in Figure 13 Fig. 913 Fig. 9.



**Fig. 13** - Transients along the perturbation channel in a system with a disturbance compensator

## 4 Conclusion

As a result of this work, an analytical model of a jaw crusher was obtained along the channels “feeder productivity - crusher productivity” and “outlet size - crusher productivity”. Using the MatLab programming system and oscillograms of the corresponding input and output signals, parametric identification of the resulting models was carried out, which made it possible to synthesize an algorithm for controlling the performance of a jaw crusher. Analysis of transient processes along control and disturbance channels determined the feasibility of synthesizing a disturbance compensator. As a result, a control system for a jaw crusher has been proposed, allowing it to stabilize its performance, which, after experimental testing, can be recommended for implementation.

## References

1. Smolyaninov A.V., Potsebneva I.V., Garmonov K.V., Bakhmetiev A.V., Optimal control of a double-barbane water-tube boiler, In the collection: E3S Web of Conferences. 22. "22nd International Scientific Conference on Energy Management of Municipal Facilities and Sustainable Energy Technologies, EMMFT 2020" 2021. 09003. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202124409003
2. Skachko G., Surkova E., Ermolaeva E., Potsebneva I.V., Adoption of management decisions on the basis of the risk management model, In the collection: E3S Web of Conferences. 22. "22nd International Scientific Conference on Energy Management of Municipal Facilities and Sustainable Energy Technologies, EMMFT 2020" 2021. 11008. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202124411008
3. Deniskina A.R., Pocebneva I.V., Smolyaninov A.V., Multidimensional object management, In the collection: Proceedings - 2021 International Russian Automation

- Conference, RusAutoCon 2021. 2021. C. 17-22. DOI: 10.1109/RusAutoCon52004.2021.9537333
4. Smolyaninov A.V., Pocebneva I.V., Deniskina A.R., Control system for a discrete weight meter, In the collection: Proceedings - 2022 International Conference on Industrial Engineering, Applications and Manufacturing, ICIEAM 2022. 2022. C. 594-598. DOI: 10.1109/ICIEAM54945.2022.9787207
  5. Zherlykina M., Smorodina E., Kalabukhov G., Smolyaninov A., Modeling of air handling processes in systems of conditioning premises In the collection: E3S Web of Conferences. 13. "13th International Scientific and Practical Conference on State and Prospects for the Development of Agribusiness, INTERAGROMASH 2020" 2020. C. 11017.
  6. Kolosov, A., Smolyaninov, A., Kargashilov, D., & Spitsov, D. (2022). Transportation Research Procedia, **63** 1621-1630. doi:10.1016/j.trpro.2022.06.176
  7. Akhmatova, M. -, Deniskina, A., Akhmatova, D. -, & Prykina, L. (2022). Transportation Research Procedia, **63** 1512-1520. doi:10.1016/j.trpro.2022.06.163
  8. Yurin, D., Deniskina, A., Boytsov, B., & Karpovich, M. (2021) E3S Web of Conferences, **244** doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202124411010
  9. Butenko, V. I., Dolgov, O. S., Durov, D. S., & Safoklov, B. B. (2022). Russian Engineering Research, **42(5)**, 464-467. doi:10.3103/S1068798X22050082
  10. Safoklov, B., Prokopenko, D., Deniskin, Y., & Kostyshak, M. (2022). Transportation Research Procedia, **63** 1534-1543. doi:10.1016/j.trpro.2022.06.165
  11. Bekhmeteyev, V. I., Tereshonkov, V. A., & Lepeshkin, V. (2022). VERTICAL CAD in the design of efficient technologies for making aircraft glider parts doi:10.1007/978-3-030-94202-1\_28
  12. Chen, K. -, Liu, C. -, Chen, C. -. (2022). Mathematics, **10(14)** doi:10.3390/math10142514
  13. Liu, J., Wen, X., Zhou, H., Sheng, S., Zhao, P., Liu, X., Chen, Y. (2022). Advanced Engineering Informatics, **54** doi:10.1016/j.aei.2022.101737
  14. Korchagin, A., Deniskin, Y., Pocebneva, I., Aleksandrovskiy, M., E3S Web of Conferences, 2023, **376**, 01093
  15. Jiang, J., Li, H., Mao, Z., Liu, F., Zhang, J., Jiang, Z., & Li, H. (2022). Scientific Reports, **12(1)** doi:10.1038/s41598-021-04545-5
  16. Dolgov, O., Prokopenko, D., Kolosov, A., & Abrosimova, I. (2022). Transportation Research Procedia, **63** 1639-1659. doi:10.1016/j.trpro.2022.06.178
  17. Yu, H., Yu, D., Wang, C., Hu, Y., & Li, Y. (2023). Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, **79** doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2022.102418
  18. Franchi, F., Gattulli, V., Graziosi, F., & Potenza, F. (2023). Structural health monitoring systems operating in a 5G-based network doi:10.1007/978-3-031-07254-3\_10
  19. Milanoski, D., Galanopoulos, G., Zarouchas, D., & Loutas, T. (2023). Damage diagnostics on Post-buckled stiffened panels utilizing the Digital-twin concept doi:10.1007/978-3-031-07254-3\_21
  20. Kim, W., Kim, S., Jeong, J., Kim, H., Lee, H., & Youn, B. D. (2022). Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, **181** doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2022.109471