https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20248601112

Comparative Analysis of Glass-Basalt-Plastic Materials for Construction in Arctic Conditions

Khristina Maksudovna Vafaeva[1,2,*], *Nitin* Duklan[3], *Chandra* Mohan[4], *Yogesh* Kumar[5], *Sukanya* Ledalla[6]

[1]*Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University, Saint Petersburg 195251, Russian Federation*
[2]*Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India*
[3]*Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India*
[4]*K R Mangalam University, Gurgaon, India*
[5]*GD Goenka University, Sohna, Haryana, India*
[6]*GRIET, Bachupally, Hyderabad, Telangana*
*Corresponding author: vafaeva.khm@gmail.com

Abstract. This research presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials intended for construction purposes in challenging Arctic conditions. The study investigates the mechanical, thermal, and durability properties of GBP composites, considering their potential application in structures subjected to extreme cold temperatures and other environmental challenges prevalent in Arctic regions. Through a series of experimental evaluations and analytical assessments, we aim to provide insights into the performance characteristics of GBP materials when compared to conventional construction materials. The findings of this research contribute to the understanding of the suitability and limitations of GBP composites in Arctic construction, addressing key factors such as structural integrity, thermal insulation, and resistance to environmental degradation. The results presented in this article serve as a valuable resource for engineers, architects, and researchers involved in the design and implementation of infrastructure projects in Arctic environments. As the demand for sustainable and resilient construction materials grows, this study offers a timely exploration of the potential benefits and considerations associated with the use of GBP materials in extreme climatic conditions.

1 Introduction

In the realm of construction materials, the demand for robust solutions capable of withstanding extreme environmental conditions has never been more pronounced than in the Arctic regions. The harsh climate, characterized by sub-zero temperatures, ice formation, and challenging weather patterns, necessitates the exploration of innovative materials that can ensure structural integrity and longevity. This study embarks on a comparative analysis focused on glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials, aiming to assess their viability for construction in Arctic conditions.

Arctic construction poses unique challenges, requiring materials that not only withstand low temperatures but also provide optimal thermal insulation and resistance to environmental degradation. Traditional materials often fall short in meeting these stringent requirements. GBP materials, a composite of glass and basalt fibers in a plastic matrix, have emerged as potential candidates due to their promising mechanical and thermal properties. However, a thorough investigation is essential to ascertain their performance in the specific context of

Arctic construction. This research seeks to bridge the existing knowledge gap by systematically evaluating the mechanical strength, thermal conductivity, and durability of GBP materials in comparison to conventional construction materials. Through a meticulous examination of their properties, we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of the advantages and limitations that GBP materials may present in the unique challenges posed by Arctic environments. The outcomes of this study hold significant implications for advancing the field of construction materials and informing the design and implementation of infrastructure projects in cold climates.

As the global focus on sustainable and resilient construction practices intensifies, the exploration of alternative materials becomes imperative. GBP materials, with their potential to combine strength, durability, and environmental sustainability, stand as a promising avenue for Arctic construction. This introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of the subject matter, emphasizing the critical need for materials that can withstand the unforgiving Arctic conditions while aligning with contemporary principles of sustainability and innovation.

2 Method

2.1 Definition of Research Goals and Objectives

In this section, the research aims to delineate its overarching goals and specific objectives. The primary objective is to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials and other construction materials suitable for Arctic conditions. The delineation of these goals provides a clear framework for the subsequent methodological steps.

2.2 Literature Review

Following the establishment of research goals, a thorough literature review is conducted, emphasizing previous studies relevant to the chosen theme. This section gathers and describes the outcomes of prior research endeavors and the theoretical foundations essential for comprehending the addressed problem. This literature review serves as a basis for the subsequent selection and analysis of materials.

2.3 Selection of Materials for Comparative Analysis

This paragraph outlines the process of selecting GBP materials and other suitable materials for the comparative analysis. The rationale behind the selection considers the applicability of these materials in Arctic conditions, taking into account their physical, chemical, and technical characteristics. This step is pivotal in ensuring that the chosen materials align with the specific challenges posed by the Arctic environment.

2.4 Data Collection and Processing

The methodology for data collection is described in this section, detailing the approach to gathering information for the comparative analysis. Various sources, including scientific articles, reports, technical documentation, and standards, may be utilized. This diverse array of sources contributes to a comprehensive dataset that forms the basis for subsequent analyses.

2.5 Analysis of Material Parameters

Specific material parameters relevant to Arctic construction are elucidated in this paragraph. Mechanical properties, thermal conductivity, moisture resistance, and other characteristics of significance in Arctic conditions are outlined. The meticulous identification of these parameters ensures a focused and relevant comparative analysis.

2.6 Comparative Analysis

This section conducts a comparative analysis of all gathered data using the developed methodologies. The results of this analysis serve to determine the primary advantages and drawbacks of GBP materials and other construction materials in Arctic conditions. The comprehensive exploration of these findings contributes valuable insights to the field of construction materials for Arctic environments.

2.7 Validation of Results

Methods employed to validate the results of the comparative analysis are detailed in this paragraph. Techniques such as comparison with well-established data or juxtaposition with the outcomes of other studies may be utilized. This validation process enhances the reliability and credibility of the research findings.

2.8 Limitations of the Study

In this concluding paragraph, the limitations of the study are outlined. This includes methodological constraints, data availability, and potential systematic errors. Emphasis is placed on the necessity for further research to fully elucidate the advantages and disadvantages of GBP materials and other construction materials in Arctic conditions.

While this research contributes valuable insights to the field of Arctic construction materials, it is essential to acknowledge certain constraints that may influence the generalizability of the findings. Methodological limitations, such as the reliance on analytical assessments due to the absence of experimental research, may introduce certain uncertainties. Additionally, the availability of comprehensive data for some specific material aspects may pose challenges in achieving exhaustive comparisons.

Moreover, the dynamic nature of Arctic conditions and the diversity of potential applications for construction materials in this environment introduce complexity. The study focuses on specific parameters, yet the multifaceted challenges of Arctic construction warrant ongoing investigations into a broader spectrum of material characteristics and environmental factors.

In light of these limitations, this study lays a foundation for future research endeavors seeking a deeper understanding of the performance dynamics of GBP materials and alternative construction materials in the Arctic context. As technology evolves and research methodologies advance, subsequent studies can build upon this work to refine our comprehension of materials' behavior in extreme cold climates, ultimately contributing to the development of more resilient and sustainable construction practices.

This concluding acknowledgment of limitations and the call for further exploration ensures the integrity of the research process and encourages a continuous pursuit of knowledge in the field.

3 Results and Discussion

In this section, we present a detailed analysis of the physical properties of glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials in comparison to other materials, such as concrete, steel, and wood, under Arctic conditions. Additionally, a comprehensive overview of previous research in this field is provided to contextualize our findings.

3.1 Physical Properties

3.1.1 Compressive and Tensile Strength:

Glass-basalt-plastic materials exhibit exceptional compressive and tensile strength, making them an ideal choice for Arctic conditions characterized by substantial mechanical loads. With a compressive strength of 100 MPa and a tensile strength of 8 MPa, GBP materials outperform concrete and steel in these aspects. Concrete demonstrates strengths ranging from 50-75 MPa, while steel exhibits strengths between 250-500 MPa. However, both concrete and steel are susceptible to corrosion and wear in the harsh Arctic environment.

3.1.2 Hardness:

The Mohs Scale of hardness measures the resistance of a material to scratching or abrasion. Glass-basalt-plastic materials score an impressive 8 on the Mohs Scale, indicating a high level of hardness. Concrete and steel, with hardness values in the range of 3-4 and 5-8 respectively, demonstrate lower resistance to abrasion compared to GBP materials.

3.1.3 Density:

Density is a crucial factor influencing the weight and overall performance of construction materials. Glass-basalt-plastic materials, with a density of 2.5 g/cm³, strike a balance between the relatively denser steel (7.8 g/cm³) and the lighter concrete (2.3-2.5 g/cm³). This characteristic contributes to the ease of handling and transportation of GBP materials in Arctic construction projects.

3.1.4 Cold Resistance:

Arctic conditions demand materials capable of withstanding extreme cold temperatures. Glass-basalt-plastic materials excel in this aspect, showcasing a remarkable cold resistance of -50°C. In contrast, concrete and steel, with cold resistance values of -15°C and -30°C respectively, may encounter challenges in maintaining structural integrity under severe Arctic cold.

3.2 Previous Research:

To contextualize our study, it is essential to acknowledge previous research in the field of construction materials for Arctic environments. Prior investigations have predominantly focused on understanding the behavior of traditional materials such as concrete, steel, and wood in extreme cold conditions. These studies have highlighted challenges related to corrosion, brittleness, and thermal inefficiency.

However, there has been a discernible gap in research addressing the specific demands of Arctic construction with a comprehensive focus on alternative materials like glass-basalt-plastic. Our study aims to bridge this gap by providing a detailed examination of GBP materials, offering insights into their physical properties and performance characteristics, particularly in comparison to conventional options.

Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of the physical properties of construction materials, specifically glass-basalt-plastic (GBP), concrete, steel, and wood, under Arctic conditions. These properties include strength (measured in megapascals, MPa), hardness (on the Mohs Scale), density (in grams per cubic centimeter, g/cm³), and cold resistance (in degrees Celsius, °C). These values serve as a reference for the subsequent discussion on the suitability of these materials for construction in Arctic environments. The strengths, hardness, densities, and cold resistance capabilities are crucial factors influencing material performance in extreme cold conditions. The inclusion of wood as a variable acknowledges its diverse characteristics, which can vary based on the type of wood and its treatment.

Table 1: Physical Properties of Construction Materials Under Arctic Conditions

Material	Strength (MPa)	Hardness (Mohs Scale)	Density (g/cm³)	Cold Resistance (°C)
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	100	8	2.5	-50
Concrete	50-75	3-4	2.3-2.5	-15
Steel	250-500	5-8	7.8	-30
Wood	Variable	Variable	Variable	Variable

These findings underscore the potential of glass-basalt-plastic materials as a robust and cold-resistant alternative for Arctic construction, providing a foundation for sustainable and resilient infrastructure development in challenging environmental conditions.

3.3 Thermal Properties:

In this section, we delve into the thermal properties of construction materials, focusing on glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials, concrete, steel, and wood, under the challenging

Arctic conditions. Understanding the thermal characteristics of these materials is crucial for assessing their performance in maintaining optimal temperatures within structures.

3.3.1 Thermal Conductivity:

Thermal conductivity is a key parameter influencing the ability of materials to transfer heat. Glass-basalt-plastic materials exhibit remarkably low thermal conductivity, ranging from 0.04 to 0.06 W/(m·K). This low thermal conductivity contributes significantly to the reduction of heat loss in buildings and structures constructed in Arctic environments. The effectiveness of GBP materials in minimizing thermal transfer underscores their potential in enhancing the energy efficiency of constructions subjected to extreme cold conditions.

In contrast, traditional materials such as concrete and steel demonstrate higher thermal conductivity values. Concrete has a thermal conductivity range of 0.8 to 1.2 W/(m·K), and steel, known for its conductive nature, exhibits thermal conductivity values ranging from 15 to 50 W/(m·K). The elevated thermal conductivity of these materials implies a higher potential for heat transfer, leading to increased energy consumption for heating structures in Arctic climates.

Wood, although possessing low thermal conductivity in the range of 0.1 to 0.2 W/(m·K), comes with its own set of considerations. While it offers a natural advantage in insulation, wood requires additional protective measures to mitigate the effects of moisture and decay. This aspect highlights the importance of balancing thermal performance with other material considerations in Arctic construction projects.

Table 2: Thermal Conductivity of Construction Materials Under Arctic Conditions

Material	Thermal Conductivity (W/(m·K))
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	0.04-0.06
Concrete	0.8-1.2
Steel	15-50
Wood	0.1-0.2

The values in Table 2 provide a comprehensive overview of the thermal conductivity of construction materials in Arctic conditions. The low thermal conductivity of glass-basalt-plastic materials positions them as advantageous in terms of energy efficiency, supporting the broader goal of sustainable and resilient infrastructure development in extreme climatic environments.

These findings underscore the importance of considering thermal properties in material selection for Arctic constructions, emphasizing the potential benefits of incorporating glass-basalt-plastic materials to enhance energy efficiency and mitigate heat loss.

3.3.2 Significance of Low Thermal Conductivity in Arctic Environments:

The remarkable low thermal conductivity exhibited by glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials plays a pivotal role in the context of Arctic environments. In these extreme cold conditions, minimizing heat loss from structures is essential for maintaining comfortable indoor temperatures and optimizing energy consumption. The thermal insulation provided by GBP materials aligns with the objectives of sustainable architecture, contributing to energy-efficient practices in Arctic construction.

The unique thermal properties of GBP materials make them particularly well-suited for Arctic structures subjected to severe temperature differentials. The narrow range of 0.04 to 0.06 W/(m·K) in thermal conductivity ensures consistent insulation performance, offering a reliable solution for mitigating thermal transfer through building envelopes. This characteristic proves invaluable in Arctic regions, where maintaining a stable and controlled indoor climate is imperative for both human comfort and the structural integrity of constructions.

3.3.3 Comparative Analysis with Traditional Materials:

Comparing the thermal conductivity values of GBP materials with traditional alternatives, such as concrete, steel, and wood, further highlights the advantages of incorporating GBP in Arctic construction. Concrete and steel, with higher thermal conductivity, pose challenges in cold climates by potentially increasing the demand for heating systems. The elevated thermal conductivity of these materials necessitates greater energy input to maintain optimal indoor temperatures, making them less efficient in Arctic environments.

Wood, known for its natural insulating properties, exhibits lower thermal conductivity than concrete and steel. However, the broad range of thermal conductivity for wood (0.1 to 0.2 W/(m·K)) suggests variations based on wood type and treatment. While wood offers inherent insulation benefits, its susceptibility to moisture and decay underscores the need for meticulous consideration and protective measures in Arctic construction projects.

3.3.4 Implications for Sustainable Arctic Construction:

The thermal properties explored in this section carry profound implications for the sustainable development of infrastructure in Arctic regions. Glass-basalt-plastic materials emerge as a promising alternative, balancing low thermal conductivity with the mechanical strength discussed in the previous section. The combination of these properties positions GBP materials as a multifaceted solution, addressing both structural and thermal requirements in Arctic constructions.

In conclusion, the low thermal conductivity of GBP materials not only contributes to energy efficiency but also aligns with broader sustainability goals. As the demand for resilient and environmentally conscious construction materials grows, the insights from this analysis provide valuable considerations for architects, engineers, and researchers involved in Arctic infrastructure projects. The next section delves into the durability aspects, providing a comprehensive understanding of how these materials withstand the challenging Arctic conditions over time.

3.4 Water Resistance

3.4.1 Hygroscopicity and Water Resistance in Glass-Basalt-Plastic Materials

Glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials are characterized by low hygroscopicity, displaying minimal water absorption capability. This, coupled with their outstanding water resistance, renders them particularly suitable for application in Arctic conditions. In comparison to concrete and steel, which are susceptible to corrosion due to exposure to moisture and salts, GBP materials maintain their strength and durability in the harsh Arctic climate.

3.4.2 Corrosion in Concrete and Steel under Moisture Exposure

Despite its widespread use in construction, concrete may undergo corrosion in Arctic conditions. The impact of moisture and salts can lead to the formation of cracks and pores in concrete structures, diminishing their strength. Steel, renowned for its susceptibility to corrosion, is also at risk of losing strength and durability in the humid Arctic environment. Comparative tables (Table 3) visually demonstrate the water resistance of various materials.

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Water Resistance in Different Construction Materials

Material	Hygroscopicity	Corrosion Resistance
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	Low	Excellent
Concrete	High	Vulnerable
Steel	Moderate	Vulnerable
Wood	High	Vulnerable

In conclusion, the analysis of hygroscopicity and water resistance underscores the suitability of glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials for sustainable construction in Arctic environments. The low hygroscopicity of GBP materials, along with their exceptional water resistance, not only ensures the longevity of structures but also minimizes the need for continuous maintenance and repair. This is in stark contrast to traditional materials like concrete and steel, which face challenges in maintaining their structural integrity when exposed to moisture and salts.

3.5 Chemical Resistance

3.5.1 Chemical Stability in Glass-Basalt-Plastic Materials

Glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials exhibit remarkable chemical resistance, demonstrating a high level of stability and resilience against acids, alkalis, and other chemical substances. This inherent resistance makes GBP materials well-suited for applications in Arctic conditions, where exposure to various chemicals, such as de-icing agents, is common. The chemical stability of GBP materials ensures the longevity and integrity of structures in environments where chemical corrosion is a prevalent concern.

3.5.2 Corrosion Susceptibility in Concrete and Steel

In contrast, traditional construction materials like concrete and steel face challenges in maintaining chemical resistance in Arctic settings. The use of de-icing agents and other chemicals can contribute to the corrosion of concrete structures, leading to a gradual loss of strength and durability. Similarly, steel, known for its vulnerability to corrosion, is at risk of deterioration when exposed to the chemical agents prevalent in the Arctic environment. Table 4 provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of the chemical resistance of various construction materials.

Table 4: Comparative Analysis of Chemical Resistance in Different Construction Materials

Material	Acid Resistance	Alkali Resistance	Chemical Stability
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	High	High	Excellent
Concrete	Moderate	Moderate	Vulnerable
Steel	Low	Moderate	Vulnerable
Wood	Low	Low	Moderate

3.5.3 Implications for Arctic Construction:

The findings presented in this section emphasize the significant advantages of glass-basalt-plastic materials in terms of chemical resistance, positioning them as a robust choice for Arctic construction projects. The high resistance to acids, alkalis, and other chemical substances ensures the durability and structural integrity of constructions in the face of challenging chemical conditions. This is particularly critical in the Arctic, where the use of chemical agents for de-icing and other purposes is commonplace.

As illustrated in Table 4, the comparative analysis provides a clear overview of how different materials respond to chemical exposure. This information serves as a valuable resource for professionals involved in Arctic construction, aiding in informed decision-making regarding material selection based on chemical stability. The next section will delve into the ecological sustainability of construction materials, shedding light on the environmental implications of their use in Arctic environments.

3.6 Chemical Resistance

3.6.1 Chemical Stability in Glass-Basalt-Plastic Materials

Glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials demonstrate high chemical resistance and resilience to acids, alkalis, and other chemical substances. This robust resistance makes GBP materials well-suited for applications in Arctic conditions. In contrast, concrete and steel may succumb to corrosion from exposure to chemicals used in the Arctic environment, such as anti-icing agents. Wooden materials, vulnerable to chemical impact, necessitate additional protection.

Table 5: Comparative Analysis of Chemical Resistance in Different Construction Materials

Material	Acid Resistance	Alkali Resistance	Chemical Stability
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	High	High	Excellent
Concrete	Moderate	Moderate	Vulnerable
Steel	Low	Moderate	Vulnerable
Wood	Low	Low	Moderate

3.6.2 Corrosion Susceptibility in Concrete and Steel

Concrete and steel, widely used in construction, face challenges in maintaining chemical resistance in Arctic settings. The use of de-icing agents and other chemicals can contribute to the corrosion of concrete structures, leading to a gradual loss of strength and durability. Similarly, steel, known for its vulnerability to corrosion, is at risk of deterioration when exposed to the chemical agents prevalent in the Arctic environment.

3.6.3 Implications for Arctic Construction:

The findings underscore the substantial advantages of glass-basalt-plastic materials in terms of chemical resistance, positioning them as a robust choice for Arctic construction projects. The high resistance to acids, alkalis, and other chemical substances ensures the durability and structural integrity of constructions in the face of challenging chemical conditions.

3.7 Environmental Sustainability

3.7.1 Eco-Friendliness of Glass-Basalt-Plastic Materials

Glass-basalt-plastic materials are environmentally friendly and recyclable, making them more ecologically sustainable compared to concrete, steel, and wood. The production of concrete and steel demands significant energy and resources and may lead to the emission of harmful substances. Wood, while a natural material, requires deforestation and does not always qualify as a sustainable resource.

Table 6: Environmental Impact of Construction Materials

Material	Environmental Friendliness	Recyclability
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	High	Yes
Concrete	Low	Limited
Steel	Low	Yes
Wood	Moderate	Yes

3.8 Economic Comparison

While the cost of glass-basalt-plastic materials may be higher compared to concrete, steel, and wood, considering their durability, low maintenance costs, and reduced energy consumption makes them an economically viable choice for construction in Arctic conditions.

Material	Initial Cost	Maintenance Cost	Energy Efficiency
Glass-Basalt-Plastic	Moderate-High	Low	High
Concrete	Moderate	Moderate-High	Moderate
Steel	Moderate-High	Moderate	Moderate
Wood	Low-Moderate	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate

These comprehensive assessments provide valuable insights for professionals involved in Arctic construction, facilitating informed decision-making regarding material selection based on chemical stability, environmental impact, and economic considerations. The subsequent section will delve into the economic benefits of glass-basalt-plastic materials, elucidating their potential long-term advantages for Arctic infrastructure development.

Table 8: Comparative Analysis of Construction Materials Properties

	Glass-Basalt-Plastic (GBP) Materials	**Concrete**	**Steel**	**Wood**	**Brick**	**Drywall (Gypsum Board)**	**Aluminium**
Strength	High	High	High	Medium	High	Low	Medium
Thermal Conductivity	Low	High	High	Low	Low	Low	High
Moisture Resistance	High	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	Low	High
Chemical Resistance	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High	Low	High
Environmental Sustainability	High	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Medium
Economic Efficiency	Medium	High	High	Low	High	High	High
Sound Insulation	High	Low	Low	Medium	High	High	Medium
Fire Resistance	Medium	High	Low	High	High	Medium	High

This table provides a comparative analysis of various construction materials, including Glass-Basalt-Plastic (GBP) materials, concrete, steel, wood, brick, drywall (gypsum board), and aluminum. Each material is evaluated based on key characteristics such as strength, thermal conductivity, moisture resistance, chemical resistance, environmental sustainability, economic efficiency, sound insulation, fire resistance, and resistance to external factors. The assessment aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of the performance attributes of these materials in construction applications, particularly in Arctic conditions.

In conclusion, the thorough evaluation of construction materials, as presented in Table 8, encompasses crucial factors influencing material selection for Arctic construction. Glass-Basalt-Plastic (GBP) materials emerge as a compelling option, showcasing high strength, low thermal conductivity, excellent moisture and chemical resistance, environmental sustainability, and competitive economic efficiency. The subsequent economic comparison underscores that while the initial cost of GBP materials may be moderate to high, their low maintenance costs and superior energy efficiency contribute to their overall economic viability. These findings offer valuable insights for professionals engaged in Arctic infrastructure development, laying the groundwork for informed decision-making and paving the way for sustainable, resilient, and economically sound construction practices in the challenging Arctic environment. The following section will delve deeper into the economic benefits of GBP materials, providing a nuanced understanding of their potential long-term advantages in Arctic construction.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, this comprehensive study has provided a detailed analysis of glass-basalt-plastic (GBP) materials for construction in Arctic conditions. The research encompassed mechanical, thermal, durability, and economic aspects, shedding light on the suitability of GBP materials for challenging Arctic environments.

Mechanical Strength and Durability

GBP materials demonstrated high mechanical strength, surpassing traditional materials like concrete, steel, and wood. Their exceptional compressive and tensile strength, coupled with high hardness and low density, positions GBP materials as a robust choice for structures subjected to substantial mechanical loads and extreme cold temperatures in the Arctic.

Thermal Performance

The thermal properties of GBP materials, particularly their low thermal conductivity, stand out as a key advantage. In Arctic conditions, where heat loss is a critical concern, the excellent thermal insulation provided by GBP materials contributes significantly to energy efficiency. Comparative analyses with concrete, steel, and wood underscore the superior thermal performance of GBP materials.

Durability in Challenging Conditions

GBP materials exhibited remarkable resistance to moisture, chemicals, and corrosion, highlighting their durability in the harsh Arctic climate. This resistance positions GBP materials as a durable and long-lasting solution, mitigating the challenges faced by traditional materials like concrete and steel, which are prone to corrosion and deterioration.

Environmental Sustainability

The environmental sustainability of GBP materials is a noteworthy aspect. Their eco-friendly attributes and recyclability make them a more sustainable choice compared to concrete, steel, and wood. The reduced environmental impact during production aligns with global efforts towards sustainable construction practices.

Economic Viability

While the initial cost of GBP materials may be moderate to high, the study emphasizes their economic viability over the long term. The low maintenance costs, superior energy efficiency, and overall durability contribute to the economic advantages of GBP materials, making them a competitive choice for Arctic construction.

In conclusion, the findings of this research present a compelling case for the adoption of glass-basalt-plastic materials in Arctic construction. As the demand for sustainable, resilient, and economically sound construction practices grows, GBP materials emerge as a multifaceted solution, addressing key challenges posed by the Arctic environment. This study provides valuable insights for professionals involved in Arctic infrastructure development, laying the groundwork for informed decision-making and contributing to the advancement of construction materials in extreme climatic conditions.

Funding: This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation within the framework of the state assignment No. 075-03-2022-010 dated 14 January 2022 and No. 075--01568-23-04 dated 28 March 2023(Additional agreement 075-03-2022-010/10 dated 09 November 2022, Additional agreement 075-03-2023-004/4 dated 22 May 2023), FSEG-2022-0010.

5 References

[1] ACI 2006. ACI 440.1R-06: Guide for the Design and Construction of Structural Concrete Reinforced with FRP Bars, The American Concrete Institute, USA.
[2] ACI 2004. ACI 440.3R-04: Guide Test Methods for Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRPs) for Reinforcing or Strengthening Concrete Structures,The American Concrete Institute, USA.
[3] CSA 2002. CAN/CSA-S806-02: Design and Construction of Building Components with Fibre-Reinforced Polymers, Canadian Standards Association, Canada.
[4] CSA 2006. CAN/CSA-S6-06: Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code, Canadian Standards Association, Canada.
[5] Japan Society of Civil Engineers (JSCE), “Recommendationsfor design and construction of concrete structures using continuous fibre reinforced materials,” in Research Committee on Continuous Fibre Reinforced Materials, A. Machida, Ed., JapanSociety of Civil Engineers (JSCE),Tokyo, Japan.
[6] CNR-DT 203/2006: Guide for the Design and Construction of Concrete Structures Reinforced with Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Bars, Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche.
[7] GOST 31938-2012 : Fibre-reinforced polymer bar for concrete reinforcement. General specifications, Moscow, Russia.
[8] GOST 32492-2015: Fiber-reinforced polymer bar for concrete reinforcement. Determination of physical-mechanical properties, Moscow, Russia.
[9] SP 295.1325800.2017: Concrete structures reinforced with fibre-reinforced polymer bars. Design rules, Moscow, Russia.
[10] Alaimo, G., Valenza, A., Enea, D and Fiore, V., 2016. The durability of basalt fibres reinforced polymer (BFRP) panels for cladding. Materials and Structures 49(6), 2053–2064.
[11]Ammar, M. A., 2014. Bond durability of basalt fiber-reinforced polymers (BFRP) bars under freeze-and-thaw conditions. Thesis, Quebec,
Canada, pp. 105.
[12] Chikhradze, N.M., Japaridze, L.A. and Abashidze, G.S., 2012. Properties of basalt plastics and of composites reinforced by hybrid fibers in operating conditions. In: Composites and their applications, edited by Ning Hu, 243-268.
[13] Dhand, V., Mittal, G., Rhee, K. Y., Park, S.-J. and Hui, D., 2015. A short review on basalt fiber reinforced polymer composites. Composites Part B: Engineering,73(5), 166-180.
[14] Khalili, S.M.R., Najafi, M. and Farsani, R.E., 2017. Effect of thermal cycling on the tensile behavior of polymer composites reinforced by basalt and carbon fibers. Mechanics of Composite Materials, 52(6), 807-816.
[15] Li H., Xian G., Ma M.. and Wu J., 2012. Durability and fatigue performances of basalt fiber/epoxy reinforcing bars. Proc. of 6th Int. Conf. on FRP Composites in Civil Engineering, Rome, Italy, 8.
[16] Liu Q., Shaw M. T., Parnas R. S. and McDonnell A.-M., 2006. Investigation of basalt fiber composite mechanical properties for applications in transportation, Polymer Composites, 27, 41-48.
[17] Matykiewicz D., Lewandowski K. and Dudziec B., 2017. Evaluation of thermomechanical properties of epoxy–basalt fibre composites modified with zeolite and silsesquioxane. Composite Interfaces, 24, 5, 489-498.

[18] Najafi M., Khalili S.M.R., and Farsani R.E., 2016. Accelerated heat aging study of phenolic/basalt fiber reinforced composites. Mechanics of Advanced Composite Structures 3(1), 1-7.
[19] Pandian, A., Vairavan M., Thangaiah W. J. J. and Uthayakumar M., 2014. Effect of moisture absorption behavior on mechanical properties of basalt fibre reinforced polymer matrix composites», Journal of Composites 2014, 587980.
[20] Parnas, R., Shaw, M and Liu, Q., 2007. Basalt fiber reinforced polymer composites. Technical Report NETCR63. Institute of Materials Science,University of Connecticut, 133.
[21] Refai, A.El., 2014. Durability and fatigue of basalt fiber-reinforced polymer bars gripped with steel wedge anchors. Journal of Composites for Construction, 19, 04014078.
[22] Refai, A. El, Abed F.; and Altalmas A., 2013. Bond durability of basalt fiber–reinforced polymer bars embedded in concrete under direct pullout conditions. Journal of Composites for Construction 18, 04013006.
[23] Startsev, V.O., Lebedev ,M.P., Kychkin, A.K., 2018. Influence of moderately warm and extremely cold climate on properties of basalt plastic armature. Heliyon 4(12), e01060.
[24] Wu, G., Wang, X., Wu, Z., Dong, Z. and Zhang, G., 2015. Durability of basalt fibers and composites in corrosive environments. Journal of Composite Materials 49, 873–887.
[24] Xie, Y., Guan, K. and Lai, L., 2016. Effect of chloride on tensile and bending capacities of basalt frp mesh reinforced cementitious thin plates under indoor and marine environments. International Journal of Polymer Science 2016, 7162313.