## Check for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Elzbieta Pluciennik, Medical University of Lodz, Poland

## REVIEWED BY

Shichang Liu, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States Kyeong Jin Kim, Korea University Anam Hospital, Republic of Korea Haiwei Mou, Wistar Institute, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Rengyun Liu Miury9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 21 October 2023 ACCEPTED 04 January 2024 PUBLISHED 19 January 2024

#### CITATION

Li S, Xue J, Jiang K, Chen Y, Zhu L and Liu R (2024) *TERT* promoter methylation is associated with high expression of TERT and poor prognosis in papillary thyroid cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1325345. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1325345

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Xue, Jiang, Chen, Zhu and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# TERT promoter methylation is associated with high expression of TERT and poor prognosis in papillary thyroid cancer

Shiyong Li<sup>1</sup>, Junyu Xue<sup>2</sup>, Ke Jiang<sup>3</sup>, Yulu Chen<sup>1</sup>, Lefan Zhu<sup>1</sup> and Rengyun Liu<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Precision Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China

The telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) is overexpressed and associated with poor prognosis in papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), the most common subtype of thyroid cancer. The overexpression of TERT in PTC was partially attributed to transcriptional activation by two hotspot mutations in the core promoter region of this gene. As one of the major epigenetic mechanisms of gene expression regulation, DNA methylation has been proved to regulate several tumor-related genes in PTC. However, the association of TERT promoter DNA methylation with TERT expression and PTC progression is still unclear. By treating PTC cell lines with demethylating agent decitabine, we found that the TERT promoter methylation and the genes' expression were remarkably decreased. Consistently, PTC patients with TERT hypermethylation had significantly higher TERT expression than patients with TERT hypomethylation. Moreover, TERT hypermethylated patients showed significant higher rates of poor clinical outcomes than patients with TERT hypomethylation. Results from the cox regression analysis showed that the hazard ratios (HRs) of TERT hypermethylation for overall survival, disease-specific survival, disease-free interval (DFI) and progression-free interval (PFI) were 4.81 (95% CI, 1.61-14.41), 8.28 (95% CI, 2.14-32.13), 3.56 (95% CI, 1.24-10.17) and 3.32 (95% CI, 1.64-6.71), respectively. The HRs for DFI and PFI remained significant after adjustment for clinical risk factors. These data suggest that promoter DNA methylation upregulates TERT expression and associates with poor clinical outcomes of PTC, thus holds the potential to be a valuable prognostic marker for PTC risk stratification.

### KEYWORDS

thyroid cancer, telomerase reverse transcriptase, methylation, prognosis, gene regulation

Thyroid cancer is the most frequently observed malignancy of the endocrine system (1). Based on histology, thyroid cancer can be divided into four main subtypes, including papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), follicular thyroid cancer (FTC), anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC), and medullary thyroid cancer (MTC). Among them, PTC has a more favorable prognosis than other subtypes of thyroid cancer (2). However, as the most common subtype, PTC had a sharp increasing incidence in the past three decades and currently accounts for about 90% of all thyroid cases (3).

Overwhelming studies have demonstrated that a number of genetic alterations, including hotspot mutations and fusions in some key cancer driver genes like *BRAF*, *RAS*, *RET*, *EIF1AX* and *telomerase reverse transcriptase* (*TERT*), orchestrated the initiation and progression of thyroid cancer by upregulating the expression of certain oncogenes and downregulating the expression of tumor suppressor genes (4–6). *BRAF* V600E mutation and *RET* fusions are well studied in PTC and it is now well established that they are main drivers of the aberrant MAPK pathway (7, 8). Two hotspot mutations, occurred collectively in around 10% of PTC, in the core promoter region of *TERT* activates the expression of *TERT* at the transcription level and thus promotes the tumorigenesis and development of thyroid cancer (4, 9–11). Clinically, *TERT* promoter mutations had been proved to be strongly associated with aggressive characteristics and poor prognosis of PTC (12–15).

In addition to genetic alterations, epigenetic alterations also involved in the pathogenesis of PTC. As one of the most widely studied epigenetic mechanism, DNA methylation usually observed in the promoter region of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) and inhibits their expression in human cancers (16). The expression of several classic TSGs, including RASSF1A, CDKN2A and DAPK, and two thyroid-specific genes, TSHR and NIS, had been identified to be silenced by methylation (17). Interestingly, increasing studies reported that DNA hypermethylation was frequently observed in the promoter region of TERT in human cancers and the promoter methylation was emerged as an epigenetic mechanism of TERT activation (18, 19). Clinically, TERT hypermethylation was correlated with tumor progression and unfavorable prognosis in several types of cancer (20-23). In this study, we assessed the association of TERT promoter methylation with its expression and the clinical outcomes of PTC by analyzing 571 PTC samples in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) thyroid cancer database, and explored the effect of promoter DNA methylation on TERT expression by demethylating assays in thyroid cancer cell lines.

# Methods

# Clinical data

The clinical data of patients with PTC used in this study was originated from the TCGA thyroid cancer (THCA) dataset. The following clinical factors and prognostic values were collected: age at diagnosis, gender, extrathyroidal extension, pathologic T/N/M, residual tumor, pathologic stage, overall survival (OS), diseasespecific survival (DSS), disease-free interval (DFI) and progression-free interval (PFI).

## TERT methylation and expression analysis

The  $\beta$ -value, ranging from 0 to 1, was used to show the methylation level of DNA methylation. The  $\beta$ -value of the CpG probe cg11625005 in the promoter of *TERT* and the Illumina HiSeq data of TERT expression for each thyroid sample were downloaded from TCGA dataset through the UCSC Xena platform. If the  $\beta$ -value of cg11625005 of one sample was higher than the mean  $\beta$ -value + 2\*SD of the normal samples, this sample was defined as a case with *TERT* hypermethylation.

## Cell line and reagents

Papillary thyroid cancer cell lines BCPAP and TPC1 were purchased from the National Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Shanghai, China) and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (#10-040-CVRC, Corning) with 10% fetal bovine serum (#04-001-1A, Biological Industries) at 37 °C. DNA demethylating drug decitabine was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (#S1200) and used for treating the two cell lines at 5  $\mu$ M for 5 days. DMSO was used as the control reagent.

# Genomic DNA preparation and bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP)

Genomic DNA was extracted from decitabine or DMSO -treated cells using the EZNA Tissue DNA Kit (#D3396-02, Omega Bio-tek) and subjected to sodium bisulfite modification using EZ DNA Methlyation Lightning Kit (#D5031, Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The *TERT* promoter region was amplified by PCR using ZymoTaq<sup>TM</sup> PreMix (#E2004, Zymo Research) with two pairs of primers. The primer pair 5'- GGAGGAGGYGGAGTTGGAAGGTGAAGG GGTAGGA-3' and 5'- CCTCCACATCATAACCCCTCCCTCRA ATTACCCCACA-3' was used for amplifying the -175 to -466 bp of TERT promoter, the primer pair 5'- TGTGGGGTAAttyGA GGGAGGGGttATGATGTGGAGG-3' and 5'- CCTaaC TCCATTTCCCACCCTTTCTCAC-3' was used for amplifying the -430 to -840 region of TERT promoter. The PCR products were then cloned to T vector and subjected to Sanger sequencing.

# RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells using the TRIzol reagent (#15596018, Invitrogen, CA, USA) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (#K1622; ThermoFisher). Gene expression was detected in triplicate using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (#A25742; Applied

Biosystem).  $\beta$ -Actin was used as the internal control for normalization. The primers used for TERT cDNA amplification were 5'- GCCTTCAAGAGCCACGTC-3' (forward) and 5'-CCACGAACTGTCGCATGT-3' (reverse), the primers for β-Actin were 5'- AGCCTCGCCTTTGCCGA-3' and 5'- GCG CGGCGATATCATCATC-3'. Relative mRNA expression of TERT was calculated according to the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method.

# Cell viability assay

Two thousand and five hundred BCPAP cells or one thousand TPC1 cells were seeded in triplicate in each well of a 96-well cell culture plate and incubated with DMSO or decitabine at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 uM for 5 days. The cell culture medium and drugs were refreshed daily. At the end of the drug-treated course, the cell viability was determined by the Cell Counting Kit-8 (#K1018, ApexBio) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometric microplate reader.

## Statistical analysis

All the in vitro experiments were performed three times with each done in triplicate. Comparisons of categorical variables were performed using the chi-square test. For continuous variables, the significance of differences between two groups was assessed by the Student's t-test. Kaplan-Meier curves with log-rank tests and Cox regression analysis were used to compare survival data by methylation status. All the statistical analyses were performed by Stata software (version 12.0) and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0). All P values were 2-sided and P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

# Results

# Increased TERT expression in PTC patients with TERT hypermethylation

We first compared the TERT methylation level in 571 thyroid cancer samples with that in 56 normal thyroid samples and found that there was no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.312, Figure 1A). According to our criteria, the cut-off  $\beta$ -value for TERT hypermethylation was set at 0.494, and 33 PTC samples were defined as TERT hypermethylated cases. Then we analyzed the potential effect of TERT methylation on TERT expression by comparing the TERT mRNA levels in TERT hypomethylated and hypermethylated thyroid cancer samples. As shown in Figure 1B, the patients with TERT hypermethylation had a significant high level of TERT expression (1.72  $\pm$  0.32 vs 0.40  $\pm$  0.04, P<0.001).

## Association of TERT methylation with clinical characteristics and outcomes of PTC

We next analyzed whether TERT methylation was associated with any of the following clinical characteristics of PTC: patient age, gender, extrathyroidal extension, pathologic TNM, residual tumor, and stage. The results showed that there was no significant



#### FIGURE 1

Promoter DNA methylation and TERT expression. (A) Comparison of TERT promoter methylation levels between 56 thyroid normal tissues and 571 cancer tissues. (B) Comparison of TERT mRNA expressions in thyroid cancer samples with TERT hypermethylation (n=33) or hypomethylation (n=530). Data were shown as mean ± standard error. ns, not significant; \*\*\*P<0.001.

correlation between *TERT* methylation and any of the clinical characteristics (Table 1). However, and importantly, patients with *TERT* hypermethylation had higher rates of poor clinical outcomes than patients with *TERT* hypomethylation (Table 2). Specifically, the overall mortality was 4 of 33 (12.1%) in *TERT* hypermethylated patients versus 16 of 538 (3.0%) in *TERT* hypomethylated patients (P=0.023); disease specific mortality was 3 of 32 (9.4%) in *TERT* hypermethylated patients versus 7 of 533 (1.3%) in *TERT* hypomethylated patients (P=0.015); tumor recurrence was 4 of 19 (21.1%) in TERT hypermethylated patients (P=0.050); disease progression was observed in 9 of 33 (27.3%) *TERT* hypermethylated patients (P=0.003).

By performing Kaplan-Meier and cox regression analyses, we further assessed the impact of *TERT* methylation on the prognosis of PTC. The overall survival (OS) curves had a modest decline in patients with *TERT* hypomethylation, and declined more sharper in patients with *TERT* hypermethylation (Figure 2A). As shown in Figures 2B–D, similar patterns were obtained when analyzing disease-specific survival (DSS), disease-free interval (DFI) and progression-free interval (PFI). Results from the cox regression analysis showed that the HRs of TERT hypermethylation for OS and DSS were 4.81 (95% CI, 1.61-14.41) and 8.28 (95% CI, 2.14-32.13), respectively. These significances were lost after adjustment for multiple risk factors of PTC. The HRs of *TERT* hypermethylation for DFI and PFI were 3.56 (95% CI, 1.24-10.17) and 3.32 (95% CI, 1.64-6.71), respectively, and they remained

significant after multiple variables adjustment (Table 3). Then we stratified all the PTC samples into two groups according to the tumor stage, and found that *TERT* hypermethylation was associated poor clinical outcomes in advanced PTC patients (stage III/IV, Tables 2, 4 and Figure 3).

# Demethylating agent treatment decreased TERT expression and cell viability in *TERT* hypermethylated PTC cells

To determine whether TERT promoter methylation regulates the gene's expression in PTC, we selected BCPAP, a PTC cell line with TERT hypermethylation (24), as a model and treated it with the classic demethylation agent decitabine and then checked the changes of TERT methylation and mRNA expression. As show in Figures 4A, B, after treatment with the demethylating agent, the methylation levels of TERT promoter regions -633 to -540 and -392 to -321 were decreased from 73% to 51% and from 60% to 32%, respectively. Importantly, the mRNA expression of TERT was significantly decreased after decitabine treatment (Figure 4C). Next, we tested whether DNA demethylation affects the growth of thyroid cancer cells and found that decitabine decreased the cell viability of BCPAP cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4D). Similarly, significant TERT mRNA downregulation and cell growth inhibition were observed when another PTC cell line TPC1 was treated by

TABLE 1	The	association	of	TERT	methylation	with	clinical	characteristics	of PT	C.
---------	-----	-------------	----	------	-------------	------	----------	-----------------	-------	----

Characteristic	Overall	Hypermethylation						
Characteristic	Overall	Yes	No					
No.	571	33	538					
Age	46 (34-58)	51 (33-66)	46 (34-58)	0.082				
Gender, male	154/571 (27.0)	12/33 (36.4)	142/538 (26.4)	0.210				
Extrathyroidal extension	175/549 (31.9)	11/31 (35.5)	164/518 (31.7)	0.657				
Pathologic T								
T1	155 (27.2)	8 (25.0)	147 (27.4)					
T2	191 (33.6)	9 (28.1)	182 (33.9)	0.848				
Т3	197 (34.6)	12 (37.5)	185 (34.4)	0.708				
Τ4	26 (4.6)	3 (9.4)	23 (4.3)	0.197				
Pathologic N	257/515 (49.9)	14/32 (43.8)	243/483 (50.3)	0.472				
Pathologic M	11/332 (3.3)	1/20 (5.0)	10/312 (3.2)	0.501				
Residual tumor	61/494 (12.3)	3/26 (11.5)	58/468 (12.4)	1.000				
Pathologic stage								
Ι	324 (56.9)	16 (48.5)	308 (57.5)					
II	59 (10.4)	3 (9.1)	56 (10.4)	1.000				
III	126 (22.1)	8 (24.2)	118 (22.0)	0.550				
IV	60 (10.5)	6 (18.2)	54 (10.1)	0.121				

Chavastavistia	$O_{\rm V}$ and $m$ (NL (%)	Hypermethylation, n/N (%)						
Characteristic	Overall, n/N (%)	Yes	No					
All PTC								
Overall mortality	20/571 (3.5)	4/33 (12.1)	16/538 (3.0)	0.023				
Disease specific mortality	10/565 (1.8)	3/32 (9.4)	7/533 (1.3)	0.015				
Tumor recurrence	31/400 (7.8)	4/19 (21.1)	27/381 (7.1)	0.050				
Disease progression	65/571 (11.4)	9/33 (27.3)	56/538 (10.4)	0.003				
Stage I/II								
Overall mortality	6/383 (1.6)	0/19 (0)	6/364 (1.6)	1.000				
Disease specific mortality	2/382 (0.5)	0/19 (0)	2/363 (0.6)	1.000				
Tumor recurrence	20/314 (6.4)	2/14 (14.3)	18/300 (6.0)	0.221				
Disease progression	29/383 (7.6)	2/19 (10.5)	27/364 (7.4)	0.646				
Stage III/IV								
Overall mortality	14/186 (7.5)	4/14 (28.6)	10/172 (5.8)	0.013				
Disease specific mortality	8/181 (4.4)	3/13 (23.1)	5/168 (3.0)	0.013				
Tumor recurrence	11/86 (12.8)	2/5 (40.0)	9/81 (11.1)	0.121				
Disease progression	36/186 (19.4)	7/14 (50.0)	29/172 (16.9)	0.007				

## TABLE 2 The association of TERT methylation with clinical outcomes of PTC.



## FIGURE 2

Kaplan-Meier analysis of the association of *TERT* methylation with clinical outcomes of all PTC. Results of the analysis for overall survival (A), disease-specific survival (B), disease-free interval (C) and progression-free interval (D). The P values labeled in each panel were calculated by log-rank tests.

TABLE 3	Hazard	ratios	of	TERT	methylation	for	clinical	outcomes	of
all PTC.									

	1000-person years	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)*			
OS						
Low methylation	8.55 (5.24-13.95)	1.00	1.00			
High methylation 40.65 (15.26-108.31)		4.81 (1.60-14.41)	2.42 (0.65-9.06)			
DSS						
Low methylation	3.74 (1.78-7.85)	1.00	1.00			
High methylation	30.49 (9.83-94.53)	8.28 (2.14-32.13)	2.28 (0.34-15.36)			
DFI						
Low methylation	20.11 (13.79-29.32)	1.00	1.00			
High methylation	62.04 (23.28-165.30)	3.56 (1.24-10.17)	3.72 (1.28-10.81)			
PFI						
Low methylation	32.00 (24.62-41.58)	1.00	1.00			
High methylation	102.75 (53.46-197.48)	3.32 (1.64-6.71)	2.66 (1.24-5.67)			

\*Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, extrathyroidal extension, pathologic T and N. OS, overall survival; DSS, disease specific survival; DFI, disease free interval; PFI, progression free interval.

decitabine (Figures 4C, D). These data suggest that promoter DNA methylation positively regulates TERT expression in PTC cells and demethylation treatment suppresses the growth of PTC cells.

# Discussion

*TERT* is regarded as a key oncogene in the tumorigenesis and development of human cancer. It is the catalytic subunit of telomerase which is essential for maintaining the length of telomere in cancer cell immortalization (25). And increasingly recent studies identified that *TERT* is also involved in cancer progression in a telomere-independent manner. For example, knockdown of *TERT* induced quick inhibition of cell proliferation, migration and invasion, while overexpression of TERT variants that lack telomerase activities promotes cell proliferation (26–28). In addition, TERT regulates NF-κB -dependent gene expression by binding to the promoter region of these genes, suppresses TGF-β -dependent growth suppression, and function as a central regulator of various hallmark features of cancer, including resistance to apoptosis, angiogenesis, metabolism reprogramming, genome instability (29, 30).

TERT is overexpressed in thyroid cancer and associated with poor prognosis of PTC (31). Previous studies had shown that *TERT* promoter mutation is one of the major contributors to the gene's overexpression in thyroid cancer (32–34). Molecular studies further TABLE 4 Hazard ratios of *TERT* methylation for clinical outcomes of advanced PTC.

	1000-person years	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)*
OS			
Low methylation	21.67 (12.30-38.15)	1.00	1.00
High methylation	50.92 (26.49-97.85)	5.86 (1.83-18.77)	2.94 (0.75-11.49)
DSS			
Low methylation	10.83 (4.87-24.11)	1.00	1.00
High methylation	45.26 (22.63-90.50)	9.00 (2.14-37.77)	4.51 (0.63-32.29)
DFI			
Low methylation	35.00 (18.21-67.27)	1.00	1.00
High methylation	120.68 (30.18-482.54)	5.38 (1.15-25.14)	4.99 (0.94-26.55)
PFI			
Low methylation	64.50 (45.62-91.21)	1.00	1.00
High methylation	125.33 (79.94-196.48)	3.95 (1.73-9.04)	2.83 (1.10-7.33)

\*Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, extrathyroidal extension, pathologic T and N. OS, overall survival; DSS, disease specific survival; DFI, disease free interval; PFI, progression free interval.

revealed that the two mutations in *TERT* promoter generated consensus binding sites for ETS transcription factors and thereby promoted *TERT* activation (4, 35–37). A large number of genetic association studies have demonstrated that *TERT* promoter mutation is associated with multiple characteristics of aggressive tumor behaviors and patients with *TERT* promoter mutation had a higher risk of recurrence and mortality (12–15, 38).

In this study we found that there is no significant difference of *TERT* promoter methylation level between normal thyroid tissues and PTC tissues, suggesting that promoter DNA methylation had little contribution to the overall increase of TERT overexpression in PTC. It should be noticed that the average level of *TERT* methylation is low in thyroid tissues, therefore we next defined hypermethylation in the tumor samples and obtained 33 of 531 (5.8%) *TERT* hypermethylated *TERT*, the *TERT* hypermethylated patients had significantly higher TERT expression. These data suggest that hypermethylation in the *TERT* promoter is likely to positively regulate TERT expression.

To confirm this, we assessed the effect of DNA methylation on TERT expression in a cell line model. After treating the PTC cells with demethylating agent decitabine, we found that the methylation level of *TERT* promoter was remarkably decreased and the expression of TERT mRNA was correspondingly decreased significantly. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first experimental evidence to show that *TERT* promoter DNA



### FIGURE 3

Kaplan-Meier analysis of the association of *TERT* methylation with clinical outcomes of advanced PTC (stage III/IV). Results of the analysis for overall survival (A), disease-specific survival (B), disease-free interval (C) and progression-free interval (D). The P values labeled in each panel were calculated by log-rank tests.



#### FIGURE 4

Impact of demethylating treatment on TERT expression and cell viability in PTC cell lines. DNA methylation levels of *TERT* promoter region regions -633 to -540 (A) and -392 to -321 (B) in BCPAP cells treated with DMSO or 5 uM of decitabine for 5 days. The methylated clones were shown with red color, and the unmethylated clones were shown with white color. (C) TERT mRNA levels in PTC cell lines treated with or without decitabine. The relative mRNA expression of TERT was determined by qPCR. (D) The absorbances of cells treated with DMSO or decitabine at various doses as indicated. DAC, decitabine. \*\*\*P<0.001.

methylation positively regulates TERT expression in PTC. Our finding is consistent with previous report that the DNA methylation in the TERT hypermethylated oncological region upregulates the promoter's activity (18). There are at least three possible mechanisms underlying the methylation mediated TERT activation. First, the transcriptional activator GSC showed the most remarkable binding in PTC cells than other cell lines, suggesting GSC acts as a putative regulator for methylated TERT in PTC (24). Second, allele-specific regulation of TERT was proposed to explain its activation in human cancer, and an interplay between TERT promoter mutation, methylation, and histone modification were involved in this process (24, 39-42). Third, a 1.6 kb antisense long non-coding RNA, named hTERT antisense promoter-associated (hTAPAS), in the TERT promoter region was proved to regulate TERT expression through DNA methylation in urothelial cancer (43). As the epigenetic regulation on gene expression is usually occurred in a tissue type dependent manner, whether the methylation of hTAPAS involves TERT regulation in PTC needs to be further pursued.

The associations between TERT methylation and clinical tumor behaviors and outcomes of thyroid cancer had been investigated by few preliminary studies with limited number of thyroid patients (44, 45). In this study we comprehensively analyzed the correlation between TERT methylation and clinical outcomes of PTC by enrolling 571 samples from the TCGA thyroid cancer database, and found that patients with TERT hypermethylation had unfavorable OS, DSS, DFI and PFI. Importantly, the association between TERT hypermethylation and DFI and PFI is still significant after adjusting multiple clinical parameters. These data strongly indicated that DNA hypermethylation in TERT promoter is associated with poor prognosis of PTC, and it could be serving as a prognostic marker for risk stratification of PTC. Similarly, the prognostic role of TERT promoter methylation had been reported in several other types of cancer, including childhood brain tumors (20), pancreatic cancer (21), bladder cancer (22), and breast cancer (23).

In conclusion, our data showed that DNA hypermethylation in the promoter region of *TERT* upregulated TERT expression in PTC, and *TERT* promoter hypermethylated patients with PTC had a poor clinical outcome. Our findings in this study indicate a prognostic value of *TERT* methylation in PTC and needs to be validated in further studies.

# Data availability statement

The publicly available TCGA data were analyzed and the TCGA thyroid cancer datasets used in this study were available at the Xena TCGA data hub (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/?cohort=TCGA%20Thyroid%20Cancer%20(THCA)).

# **Ethics statement**

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

# Author contributions

SL: Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JX: Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. KJ: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology. YC: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology. LZ: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology. RL: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82222051), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2021A1515012100), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University (No. 22ykqb05).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

 Miranda-Filho A, Lortet-Tieulent J, Bray F, Cao B, Franceschi S, Vaccarella S, et al. Thyroid cancer incidence trends by histology in 25 countries: a population-based study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2021) 9(4):225–34. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00027-9

2. Cabanillas ME, McFadden DG, Durante C. Thyroid cancer. Lancet (2016) 388 (10061):2783-95. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30172-6

3. Lim H, Devesa SS, Sosa JA, Check D, Kitahara CM. Trends in thyroid cancer incidence and mortality in the United States, 1974-2013. *JAMA* (2017) 317(13):1338-48. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.2719

4. Liu R, Zhang T, Zhu G, Xing M. Regulation of mutant TERT by BRAF V600E/ MAP kinase pathway through FOS/GABP in human cancer. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9 (1):579. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03033-1

5. Krishnamoorthy GP, Davidson NR, Leach SD, Zhao Z, Lowe SW, Lee G, et al. EIF1AX and RAS Mutations Cooperate to Drive Thyroid Tumorigenesis through ATF4 and c-MYC. *Cancer Discovery* (2019) 9(2):264–81. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0606

6. Fagin JA, Wells SA Jr. Biologic and clinical perspectives on thyroid cancer. N Engl J Med (2016) 375(11):1054–67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1501993

7. Xing M. BRAF mutation in papillary thyroid cancer: pathogenic role, molecular bases, and clinical implications. *Endocr Rev* (2007) 28(7):742–62. doi: 10.1210/er.2007-0007

8. Salvatore D, Santoro M, Schlumberger M. The importance of the RET gene in thyroid cancer and therapeutic implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* (2021) 17(5):296–306. doi: 10.1038/s41574-021-00470-9

9. Landa I, Ganly I, Chan TA, Mitsutake N, Matsuse M, Ibrahimpasic T, et al. Frequent somatic TERT promoter mutations in thyroid cancer: higher prevalence in advanced forms of the disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2013) 98(9):E1562–6. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-2383

10. Liu R, Xing M. TERT promoter mutations in thyroid cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2016) 23(3):R143–55. doi: 10.1530/ERC-15-0533

11. Liu X, Bishop J, Shan Y, Pai S, Liu D, Murugan AK, et al. Highly prevalent TERT promoter mutations in aggressive thyroid cancers. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2013) 20 (4):603–10. doi: 10.1530/ERC-13-0210

12. Melo M, da Rocha AG, Vinagre J, Batista R, Peixoto J, Tavares C, et al. TERT promoter mutations are a major indicator of poor outcome in differentiated thyroid carcinomas. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2014) 99(5):E754–65. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-3734

13. Xing M, Liu R, Liu X, Murugan AK, Zhu G, Zeiger MA, et al. BRAF V600E and TERT promoter mutations cooperatively identify the most aggressive papillary thyroid cancer with highest recurrence. *J Clin Oncol* (2014) 32(25):2718–26. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2014.55.5094

14. Kim TH, Kim YE, Ahn S, Kim JY, Ki CS, Oh YL, et al. TERT promoter mutations and long-term survival in patients with thyroid cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2016) 23 (10):813–23. doi: 10.1530/ERC-16-0219

15. Liu R, Bishop J, Zhu G, Zhang T, Ladenson PW, Xing M. Mortality risk stratification by combining BRAF V600E and TERT promoter mutations in papillary thyroid cancer: genetic duet of BRAF and TERT promoter mutations in thyroid cancer mortality. *JAMA Oncol* (2017) 3(2):202–8. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.3288

16. Baylin SB. DNA methylation and gene silencing in cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol (2005) 2 Suppl 1:S4-11. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0354

17. Zafon C, Gil J, Perez-Gonzalez B, Jorda M. DNA methylation in thyroid cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer (2019) 26(7):R415–R39. doi: 10.1530/ERC-19-0093

18. Lee DD, Leao R, Komosa M, Gallo M, Zhang CH, Lipman T, et al. DNA hypermethylation within TERT promoter upregulates TERT expression in cancer. *J Clin Invest* (2019) 129(1):223–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI121303

19. Lee DD, Komosa M, Nunes NM, Tabori U. DNA methylation of the TERT promoter and its impact on human cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* (2020) 60:17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2020.02.003

20. Castelo-Branco P, Choufani S, Mack S, Gallagher D, Zhang C, Lipman T, et al. Methylation of the TERT promoter and risk stratification of childhood brain tumours: an integrative genomic and molecular study. *Lancet Oncol* (2013) 14(6):534–42. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70110-4

21. Faleiro I, Apolonio JD, Price AJ, De Mello RA, Roberto VP, Tabori U, et al. The TERT hypermethylated oncologic region predicts recurrence and survival in pancreatic cancer. *Future Oncol* (2017) 13(23):2045–51. doi: 10.2217/fon-2017-0167

22. Leao R, Lee D, Figueiredo A, Hermanns T, Wild P, Komosa M, et al. Combined genetic and epigenetic alterations of the TERT promoter affect clinical and biological behavior of bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2019) 144(7):1676–84. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31935

23. Apolonio JD, Dias JS, Fernandes MT, Komosa M, Lipman T, Zhang CH, et al. THOR is a targetable epigenetic biomarker with clinical implications in breast cancer. *Clin Epigenet* (2022) 14(1):178. doi: 10.1186/s13148-022-01396-3

24. Avin BA, Wang Y, Gilpatrick T, Workman RE, Lee I, Timp W, et al. Characterization of human telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter methylation

and transcription factor binding in differentiated thyroid cancer cell lines. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2019) 58(8):530–40. doi: 10.1002/gcc.22735

25. Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet* (2005) 6(8):611–22. doi: 10.1038/nrg1656

26. Smith LL, Coller HA, Roberts JM. Telomerase modulates expression of growthcontrolling genes and enhances cell proliferation. *Nat Cell Biol* (2003) 5(5):474–9. doi: 10.1038/ncb985

27. Choi J, Southworth LK, Sarin KY, Venteicher AS, Ma W, Chang W, et al. TERT promotes epithelial proliferation through transcriptional control of a Myc- and Wnt-related developmental program. *PLoS Genet* (2008) 4(1):e10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0040010

28. Hrdlickova R, Nehyba J, Bose HR Jr. Alternatively spliced telomerase reverse transcriptase variants lacking telomerase activity stimulate cell proliferation. *Mol Cell Biol* (2012) 32(21):4283–96. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00550-12

29. Ghosh A, Saginc G, Leow SC, Khattar E, Shin EM, Yan TD, et al. Telomerase directly regulates NF-kappaB-dependent transcription. *Nat Cell Biol* (2012) 14 (12):1270-81. doi: 10.1038/ncb2621

30. Low KC, Tergaonkar V. Telomerase: central regulator of all of the hallmarks of cancer. *Trends Biochem Sci* (2013) 38(9):426–34. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2013.07.001

31. Tanaka A, Matsuse M, Saenko V, Nakao T, Yamanouchi K, Sakimura C, et al. TERT mRNA expression as a novel prognostic marker in papillary thyroid carcinomas. *Thyroid* (2019) 29(8):1105–14. doi: 10.1089/thy.2018.0695

32. Vinagre J, Almeida A, Populo H, Batista R, Lyra J, Pinto V, et al. Frequency of TERT promoter mutations in human cancers. *Nat Commun* (2013) 4:2185. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3185

33. Yuan X, Larsson C, Xu D. Mechanisms underlying the activation of TERT transcription and telomerase activity in human cancer: old actors and new players. *Oncogene* (2019) 38(34):6172-83. doi: 10.1038/s41388-019-0872-9

34. Panebianco F, Nikitski AV, Nikiforova MN, Nikiforov YE. Spectrum of TERT promoter mutations and mechanisms of activation in thyroid cancer. *Cancer Med* (2019) 8(13):5831–9. doi: 10.1002/cam4.2467

35. Song YS, Yoo SK, Kim HH, Jung G, Oh AR, Cha JY, et al. Interaction of BRAFinduced ETS factors with mutant TERT promoter in papillary thyroid cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2019) 26(6):629–41. doi: 10.1530/ERC-17-0562

36. Bullock M, Lim G, Zhu Y, Aberg H, Kurdyukov S, Clifton-Bligh R. ETS factor ETV5 activates the mutant telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter in thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* (2019) 29(11):1623–33. doi: 10.1089/thy.2018.0314

37. Thornton CEM, Hao J, Tamarapu PP, Landa I. Multiple ETS factors participate in the transcriptional control of TERT mutant promoter in thyroid cancers. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14(2):357. doi: 10.3390/cancers14020357

38. Matsuse M, Mitsutake N. TERT promoter mutations in thyroid cancer. *Endocr J* (2023) 70(11):1035-49. doi: 10.1507/endocrj.EJ23-0136

39. McKelvey BA, Umbricht CB, Zeiger MA. Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) regulation in thyroid cancer: A review. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2020) 11:485. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00485

40. Stern JL, Paucek RD, Huang FW, Ghandi M, Nwumeh R, Costello JC, et al. Allele-specific DNA methylation and its interplay with repressive histone marks at promoter-mutant TERT genes. *Cell Rep* (2017) 21(13):3700–7. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.001

41. Rowland TJ, Bonham AJ, Cech TR. Allele-specific proximal promoter hypomethylation of the telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (TERT) associates with TERT expression in multiple cancers. *Mol Oncol* (2020) 14(10):2358–74. doi: 10.1002/1878-0261.12786

42. McKelvey BA, Zeiger MA, Umbricht CB. Exploring the epigenetic regulation of telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) in human cancer cell lines. *Mol Oncol* (2020) 14(10):2355–7. doi: 10.1002/1878-0261.12798

43. Ott P, Arauzo-Bravo MJ, Hoffmann MJ, Poyet C, Bendhack ML, Santourlidis S, et al. Differential DNA Methylation of THOR and hTAPAS in the Regulation of hTERT and the Diagnosis of Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14(18):4384. doi: 10.3390/cancers14184384

44. Li JJ, Zheng PCJ, Wang YZ. The correlations between DNA methylation and polymorphisms in the promoter region of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene with postoperative recurrence in patients with thyroid carcinoma (TC). *World J Surg Oncol* (2017) 15(1):114. doi: 10.1186/s12957-017-1170-z

45. Montero-Conde C, Leandro-Garcia LJ, Martinez-Montes AM, Martinez P, Moya FJ, Leton R, et al. Comprehensive molecular analysis of immortalization hallmarks in thyroid cancer reveals new prognostic markers. *Clin Transl Med* (2022) 12(8):e1001. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.1001