#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Yusof Kamisah, Faculty of Medicine Universiti Kebangaan Malaysia, Malaysia

REVIEWED BY Leonardo Maciel, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Haipeng Guo, Shandong University, China Monique Bernard, UMR7339 Centre de Résonance Magnétique Biologique et Médicale (CRMBM), France

\*CORRESPONDENCE Li-Hong Jiang, ⊠ jianglihong007@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 25 September 2023 ACCEPTED 08 January 2024 PUBLISHED 19 January 2024

#### CITATION

Zhang D-Z, Jia M-Y, Wei H-Y, Yao M and Jiang L-H (2024), Systematic review and metaanalysis of the interventional effects of resveratrol in a rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1301502. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1301502

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Jia, Wei, Yao and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Systematic review and meta-analysis of the interventional effects of resveratrol in a rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury

Dong-Ze Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Ming-Yang Jia<sup>2†</sup>, Hong-Yu Wei<sup>3</sup>, Ming Yao<sup>1</sup> and Li-Hong Jiang<sup>3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of encephalopathy, Changchun Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Changchun, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun, China

**Objective:** To evaluate the intervention effect of resveratrol on rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury.

Methods: The relevant studies on the intervention of resveratrol on rat models of myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury were searched in PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang and China Science and Technology Journal Database from the start of database establishment to January 2023. Data were extracted from studies that met the inclusion criteria. The results included electrocardiogram (ECG) and myocardial injury markers: ST changes, cardiac troponin I (cTn-I), cardiac troponin T (cTn-T), creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH); hemodynamic indicators: heart rate (HR), left ventricular diastolic pressure (LVDP), left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), left ventricular systolic pressure (LVSP), maximum rate of increase of left ventricular pressure (+dp/dtmax), maximum rate of decrease of left ventricular pressure (-dp/dtmax); oxidative damage indicators: nitric oxide (NO), reactive oxygen species (ROS), superoxide dismutase (SOD), malondialdehyde (MDA); inflammatory factors: tumor necrosis factor-a (TNFa) and interleukin-6 (IL-6); apoptosis index: B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2), BCL2-Associated X (Bax), cardiomyocyte apoptosis index (AI); heart tissue structure: myocardial infarction size. Finally, a meta-analysis of these results was conducted. The methodological quality of the studies was assessed using the SYRCLE Bias Risk tool.

**Results:** A total of 43 studies were included in the meta-analysis, and the quality of the included studies was assessed. It was found that the evidence quality of these 43 studies was low, and no study was judged to have low risk bias in all risk assessments. The results showed that resveratrol could reduce ST segment, cTn-I, cTn-T, CK, CK-MB, LDH, LVEDP, ROS, MDA, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, AI levels and myocardial infarction size. HR, LVDP, LVSP, +dp/dtmax, NO, Bcl-2, and SOD levels were increased. However, resveratrol had no significant effect on -dp/ dtmax and Bax outcome measures.

**Conclusion:** Resveratrol can reduce ST segment in rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, alleviate myocardial injury, improve ventricular systolic and diastolic ability in hemodynamics, reduce inflammatory response and oxidative damage, and reduce myocardial necrosis and apoptosis. Due to the low quality of the methodologies included in the studies, additional research is required.

KEYWORDS

resveratrol, myocardial ischemia-reperfusion, rat animal model, systematic review, meta-analysis

# **1** Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is the leading cause of heart failure and cardiogenic death in clinical patients, early treatment of AMI focuses on restoring blood flow to the ischemic danger zone to minimize irreversible tissue damage (Cadenas, 2018). Revascularization and early reperfusion through thrombolysis, coronary artery bypass, or percutaneous coronary interventional therapy to restore blood flow, reduce necrosis, and reduce infarct size have been the main methods for the treatment of patients with acute myocardial infarction (Ibanez et al., 2018; Al et al., 2019). Although early reperfusion is crucial for saving myocardial injury, the reperfusion process itself is a double-edged sword. A series of damaging changes, such as myocardial ultrastructure, energy metabolism, cardiac function and electrophysiology, often cause additional myocardial injury. Increased complications and mortality after cardiac perfusion (Braunwald and Kloner, 1985). Reperfusion injury is an extremely complex pathological process, which may lead to a series of pathological reactions including oxidative stress (Li et al., 2023), calcium overload (Li et al., 2021), inflammation (Algoet et al., 2023), autophagy (Xing et al., 2022; Popov et al., 2023) and apoptosis (Zeng et al., 2019). These pathological reactions are exactly the starting points and important mechanisms for research.

Resveratrol (3,4,5-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene, RES) is a naturally occurring phytoalexin, a member of the stilbene family of phenolic compounds isolated from the roots of white hellebore and polygonum cuspidatum widely used traditional Chinese medicine (Brouwer et al., 2018). In addition, grapes, wine, mulberries, cranberries, and peanuts are known to contain resveratrol in different concentrations (de Freitas et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2018). Researchs showed that resveratrol can protect nervous system, renal, liver, intestine and other organs and tissues from ischemiareperfusion (Parlar and Arslan, 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Sarkaki et al., 2021; Totonchi et al., 2022). So far, resveratrol has been used to treat polycystic ovary syndrome, cancer, diabetes and other diseases (Jeyaraman et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2023). In recent years, many animal experiments have confirmed that resveratrol can participate in the protection of cardiovascular system through various physiological mechanisms such as anti-oxidation, antiapoptosis, anti-autophagy and anti-aging (Feng et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2023). Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the protective effects and mechanisms of resveratrol on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (MIRI) in rat studies, which only included relevant data from rats to avoid heterogeneity caused by rats and other animal species. In order to provide reference and evidence-based medical evidence for clinical treatment.

# 2 Data and methods

### 2.1 Search strategy

We scientifically searched the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database, Wanfang, Web of Science databases for resveratrol in rats model on myocardial I/R injury. The search period was from the establishment of each database to January 2023. Subject words + free words were used for retrieval, included "Resveratrol," "Myocardial Reperfusion Injury" AND "Rats". Each subject word was connected with its following free words by OR, and then these three parts were connected by AND (Prill et al., 2021).

## 2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies that met the following inclusion criteria were included: 1) Study object: rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model, the model preparation method must be approved; 2) Intervention measures: the drug used must be resveratrol or a preparation of it, the experimental group cannot be combined with other drugs, and the control group did not use any drugs or only used placebo intervention; 3) Outcome indicators: Indicators evaluating drug effectiveness must be reported in numerical form to ensure that key indicators, including their mean and standard deviation, can be extracted or calculated directly or indirectly; 4) Literature type: Chinese or English literature.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Research involved laboratory animals other than rats; 2) The experimental group or control group involved the use of other drugs; 3) The data of the evaluation indicators were incomplete or in non-numerical form; 4) The types of article were review, meta-analysis, systematic review or conference report; 5) Non-Chinese and English literature.

# 2.3 Data extraction

After literature retrieval, references that were duplicated, nonfull-text, and did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded, and the following information was extracted from the references that met the inclusion criteria: first author, publication year, experimental animal category, modeling method, number of models, administration route, dose and time, numerical detection indexes, and extractable results. Data extraction was carried out by two researchers (ZDZ and JMY) independently, the average data error of two reviewers should be controlled within 1%. Any discrepancies during the process were resolved by discussion and consensus, then the data were checked by another two reviewers (WHY and JLH). If the disagreement was not resolved, a third investigator (YM) extracted the data again and made the final decision. For important data which cannot be extracted, we can send an email to the author to obtain if necessary. Finally, the outcome indicators included in the metaanalysis were summarized.

### 2.4 Quality assessment

SYRCLE bias risk tool was used to evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies, which was divided into five parts: selection bias, implementation bias, measurement bias, loss of access bias and reporting bias. The evaluation results were finally expressed as "+," "-" and "?," included among these "+" represented "low risk bias" and "-" represented "high risk bias," "?" stands for "uncertain risk bias". SYRCLE tool is based on the development method of Cochrane bias risk assessment tool (Hooijmans et al., 2014). It is a tool for objective evaluation of possible bias or confounding in the design, implementation and measurement of animal experiments. It is suitable for different types and fields of animal experiments.

## 2.5 Statistical analysis

Outcomes were pooled if they were reported in at least three studies. Review Manager 5.3 software was used for statistical analysis. The quantitative data and the continuous variables were expressed as mean difference (MD) or standardized mean difference (SMD), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was used for interval estimation. The heterogeneity of the included studies was assessed using the I<sup>2</sup> test. If p < 0.1 or I<sup>2</sup> > 50%, it indicates that there is a large heterogeneity, and then the random effects model is used for combined analysis. On the contrary, the fixed effect model is used for analysis. When p < 0.05, the difference between the two groups was considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

### 3.1 Results of the literature review

According to the search strategy, a total of 772 Chinese and English literatures were retrieved from PubMed and other databases. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 43 literatures meeting the requirements were screened after eliminating duplicate literatures, reading titles, abstracts and full texts (Dernek et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2004; Das et al., 2005; Chen, 2006; Das et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2009; Liu, 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Yang and Zhou, 2011; Dong, 2012; He et al., 2012; He et al., 2013; Ta et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Song et al., 2015; Wang and Wang, 2015; Wu and Liu, 2015; Yang et al., 2016; Song et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Wang and Zhang, 2018; He et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Qian et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2020a; Bing et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020b; Fu, 2020; Kazemirad and Kazerani, 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Yang, 2021; Ma, 2022; Wang et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2022), and the specific screening process was shown in Figure 1. There were a total of 974 rat animal models, including 487 in the control group and 487 in the experimental group. The basic information included in the study is shown in Table 1.

### 3.2 Methodological quality evaluation

The SYRCLE bias risk tool was used to assess the methodological quality of the included studies, and the specific assessment method was interpreted according to the example of Gong-cai Tao et al., (Tao et al., 2019). The results are shown in Table 2. Fourteen studies (32.6%) described methods for generating random sequences, such as random assignment of random number tables (Chen, 2006; Yang and Zhou, 2011; Song et al., 2015; Wang and Wang, 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Xu and; He et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Qian et al., 2019; Fu, 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Yang, 2021; Ma, 2022; Wang et al., 2022). Randomization of animal placement was reported in 17 studies (39.5%) (Dernek et al., 2004; Chen, 2006; Das et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Wang and Zhang, 2018; He et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2020a; Bing et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020b; Fu, 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Ma, 2022; Wang et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2022). All 43 studies included reported selective results. Baseline characteristics, hidden grouping, blind method (breeder + researcher) are all uncertainty risk bias. In addition, incomplete data were reported in two studies (4.7%) (Song et al., 2015; Bing et al., 2020). In the randomness outcome assessment, three studies (Wu et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2019) were evaluated with uncertainty risk bias, and the rest were all high-risk bias. All studies are registered without a pre-specified protocol.

# 3.3 Results of six outcome indicators and subgroup analyses

By summarizing the included outcome indicators, the effects of resveratrol on MIRI in rats could be classified to six aspects: electrocardiogram changes and myocardial injury markers, hemodynamics, oxidative damage, inflammatory factors, apoptosis, cardiac tissue structure. As followed: 1) ECG changes and markers of myocardial injury: ST segment changes, creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), cardiac troponin T (cTn-T), cardiac troponin I (cTn-I), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH); 2) Hemodynamics: heart rate (HR), left ventricular diastolic pressure (LVDP), left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP), maximum rate of increase of left ventricular pressure (+dp/dtmax), neximum rate of decrease of left ventricular pressure (-dp/dtmax), left ventricular systolic pressure (LVSP); 3) Oxidative damage: malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD),



nitric oxide (NO), reactive oxygen species (ROS); 4) Inflammatory factors: Interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF-a); 5) Apoptosis: cardiomyocyte apoptosis index (AI), B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2), BCL2-Associated X (Bax); 6) Heart tissue structure: myocardial infarction size.

# 3.3.1 Effects of resveratrol on ST segment and markers of myocardial injury in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model in rats

The effects of resveratrol on ST segment change, CK, CK-MB, LDH, cTn-T, cTn-I on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model in rats were analyzed. The results showed that the experimental group (resveratrol) could significantly reduce the elevation of ST segment (five studies, 94 animal models,  $I^2 = 66\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -1.71 [-2.59, -0.84]) and CK (six studies, 118 animal models,  $I^2 = 65\%$ , p < 0.05 SMD = -2.11 [-2.94, -1.29]) compared with the control group. CK-MB (17 studies, 356 animal models,  $I^2 = 91\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -7.19 [-8.92, -5.46]), LDH (20 studies, 412 animal models,  $I^2 = 90\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -4.58 [-5.69, -3.48]), cTn-T (three studies, 70 animal models,  $I^2 = 80\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -10.66 [-15.07, -6.25]), cTn-I (four studies, 84 animal models,  $I^2 = 91\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -5.07 [-7.91, -2.22]) level of outcome indicator. The forest plot of meta-analysis is shown in Figure 2.

# 3.3.2 Effect of resveratrol on hemodynamics of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model in rats

Resveratrol significantly decreased the level of LVEDP (four studies, 94 animal models,  $I^2 = 74\%$ , p < 0.05,

SMD = -2.99 [-4.21, -1.77]) and significantly increased LVDP (seven studies, 138 animal models,  $I^2 = 93\%$ , p < 0.05). SMD = 2.76 [0.26, 5.25]), LVSP (seven studies, 146 animal models,  $I^2 = 90\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 3.29 [1.65, 4.93]), +dp/dtmax (14 studies, 288 animal models,  $I^2 = 92\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 4.26 [2.79, 5.72]), HR (seven studies, 144animal models,  $I^2 = 83\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 1.34 [0.31, 2.36]) levels. There was no significant effect on -dp/dtmax (nine studies, 200 animal models,  $I^2 = 96\%$ , p = 0.20, SMD = 1.78 [-0.92, 4.47]). See Figure 3 for forest plot.

# 3.3.3 Effects of resveratrol on oxidative damage in rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury

Resveratrol significantly decreased ROS (three studies, 50 animal models,  $I^2 = 80\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -5.05 [-8.15, -1.95]), MDA (19 studies, 382 animal models,  $I^2 = 82\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -3.31 [-4.08, -2.53]), but significantly increased the level of NO (four studies, 86 animal models,  $I^2 = 92\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 5.71 [2.09, 9.32]) and SOD (18 studies, 368 animal models,  $I^2 = 93\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 3.29 [1.87, 4.70]) value. See Figure 4 for forest plot.

### 3.3.4 Effects of resveratrol on inflammatory factors in rat model of myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury

Studies have shown that resveratrol can significantly reduce TNF- $\alpha$  (four studies, 86 animal models, I<sup>2</sup> = 55%, *p* < 0.05, SMD = -5.71 [-6.61, -3.74]) and IL-6 (four studies, 86 animal models, I<sup>2</sup> = 70%, *p* < 0.05). SMD = -5.98 [-7.97, -3.99] level of the indicator. See Figure 5.

#### TABLE 1 Description of the characteristics of studies included in this review.

Study ID	Animals	Modeling method	No. Of animals (control group/ resveratrol group)	Administration route	Dosage	Drug administration time	Outcome indexes
Wang et al. (2014)	Male SD rats (200–250 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion40 min	12/12	еср	15 mg/L	perfusion period	HR,LVDP, LVEDP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/ dtmax,CK,LDH
Qian et al. (2019)	Male Wistar rats (200–250 g)	Ligature LCA30 min, restore blood supply	12/12	i.g	50 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	ST,+dp/dtmax,-dp/dtmax,CK-MB; LDH,MDA,SOD,cTn-I
Jiang et al. (2007)	Male SD rats (180–240 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion30 min	10/10	i.v	20 mg/kg	pre-ligation	ST,LDH,SOD,MDA,Bcl-2,BAX
Hu et al. (2019)	Male SD rats (200–220 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, restore blood supply	8/8	i.p	15 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,TNF-a,IL-6
Huang et al. (2004)	Male SD rats (220–240 g)	Ligature LAD40 min, reperfusion120 min	8/8	i.v	2.5/5/ 10 mg/kg	1 min before ligation and reperfusion	HR,ST, MI size,CK,LDH
Bing et al. (2020)	Male SD rats (180–230 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.p	30 mg/kg	45min before surgery	MI size
Song et al. (2015)	Male SD rats (240–260 g)	Ligature LAD35 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.v	1 mL/kg	15min before ligation, 1minbefore reperfusion	MDA,SOD,CK-MB
He et al. (2019)	Male SD rats (200–220 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.p	15 mg/kg/d	5 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,TNF-a,IL- 6,SOD,MDA
Wang and Wang (2015)	Rats (230-240 g)	Ligature LAD40 min, reperfusion120 min	9/9	i.v	10 mg/kg	1 min before ligation and reperfusion	ST,CK,LDH,MDA,SOD
Huang et al. (2009)	Male SD rats (220–240 g)	Ligature LAD40 min, reperfusion120 min	8/8	i.v	2.5/5/ 10 mg/kg	1 min before ligation and reperfusion	ST, MI size,CK,LDH,SOD,MDA
Wang and Zhang (2018)	Male SD rats (220–250 g)	Ligature LAD40 min, reperfusion 120 min	10/10	i.v	10 mg/kg	15min before surgery	LDH,CK,cTn-I,LVSP, LVEDP,+dp/ dtmax,-dp/dtmax
Zhang et al. (2019)	Male SD rats (220–250 g)	Ligature LAD45 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.v	20 mg/kg	1 min before ligation	cTn-T,CK-MB,Bcl-2,BAX,AI
Xu et al. (2020a)	Male SD rats (200–220 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, restore blood supply	10/10	i.p	15 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,MDA,ROS,SOD
Liu (2011)	Male Wistar rats (180–195 g)	Langendorff, ischemia45 min,reperfusion120 min	6/6	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	HR,LVSP, LVEDP,+dp/dtmax,CK- MB, MI size
Chen (2006)	Male SD rats (270–300 g)	Ligature LCA30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.v	10 mg/kg	15min before ligation, 1min before reperfusion	LVSP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/ dtmax,MDA,SOD, AI
Xiao et al. (2007)	Male Wistar rats (190–210 g)	Langendorff, ischemia20 min, reperfusion30 min	8/8	ecp	30umol/L	perfusion period	SOD,MDA
Dong (2012)	Male SD rats (200–220 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.v	2.5/5/ 10 mg/kg	15min before ligation	MI size,cTn-T,CK-MB

(Continued on following page)

Study ID	Animals	Modeling method	No. Of animals (control group/ resveratrol group)	Administration route	Dosage	Drug administration time	Outcome indexes
Shen et al. (2006)	Male SD rats (250–300 g)	Ligature LCA30 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.v	10 mg/kg	15min before ligation	NO,MDA
Yan et al. (2022)	Male SD rats (200 ± 10 g)	Ligature LAD40 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.g	10/20/ 30 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,SOD,MDA
Xue et al. (2019)	Male SD rats (280–300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion60 min	15/15	ecp	40 µmol/L	perfusion period	LVDP,HR,+dp/dtmax,-dp/ dtmax,AI, MI size,SOD,MDA
Wang et al. (2022)	Male SD rats (200 ± 20 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.v	20 mg/kg	15 min before ligation, 1 min before reperfusion	LVSP, LVEDP,+dp/dtmm,-dp/ dtmm,CK-MB,LDH,TNF-α,IL-6
Zhao et al. (2020)	Male SD rats (220–280 g)	Ligature LCA30min, restore blood supply	15/15	i.p	15 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,MDA,SOD, MI size
Ma (2022)	Male SD rats (220–280 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.p	20 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH, MI size,SOD,ROS
Fu (2020)	Male SD rats (240–280 g)	Ligature LAD30min, reperfusion120min	10/10	i.p	20 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	CK-MB,LDH,MDA,SOD,TNF- a,IL-6
Deng et al. (2018)	Male SD rats (200–300 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.v	20 mg/kg	1 min before reperfusion	MDA,SOD
Yang (2021)	Male SD rats (180–200 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.g	7.5 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	LDH,CK-MB,cTn-I,cTn-T, MI size,ROS,MDA,SOD
Li et al. (2018)	Male SD rats (200–300 g)	Ligature LAD30min, reperfusion120min	20/20	i.v	20 mg/kg	1 min before reperfusion	MDA,SOD
Song et al. (2017)	Male SD rats (220 ± 30 g)	Ligature LAD20 min, reperfusion40 min	10/10	i.v	1 mL/kg	15 min before ligation, 1 minbefore reperfusion	LVSP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/dtmax
Wang et al. (2006)	Male SD rats (250–275 g)	Langendorff, ischemia 30 min, reperfusion120 min	14/14	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	NO,MDA,LVDP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/ dtmax, MI size,AI
Yang and Zhou (2011)	SD rats (250-300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion120 min	8/8	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	LVDP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/dtmax
Wu et al. (2017)	Male SD rats (240–260 g)	Ligature LAD35 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.v	10 mg/kg	15min before ligation, 1min before reperfusion	NO
Xu et al. (2020b)	Male SD rats (220–280 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	15/15	i.p	15 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	HR, MI size,CK- MB,LDH,SOD,MDA
Ta et al. (2013)	Male SD rats (250–300 g)	Ligature LAD5 min, reperfusion5 min, repeat four times	28/28	i.g	20 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	MI size,AI
He et al. (2013)	Male SD rats (220–250 g)	Ligature LAD45min, reperfusion120min	10/10	i.v	20 mg/kg	1 min before ligation and reperfusion	LVSP, LVEDP,+dp/dtmax,-dp/ dtmax

(Continued on following page)

#### TABLE 1 (Continued) Description of the characteristics of studies included in this review.

Study ID	Animals	Modeling method	No. Of animals (control group/ resveratrol group)	Administration route	Dosage	Drug administration time	Outcome indexes
Wu and Liu (2015)	Male SD rats (200–250 g)	Ligature LAD30 min, reperfusion120 min	12/12	i.v	10 mg/kg	15min before ligation, 1minbefore reperfusion	LDH; CK-MB
Das et al. (2005)	Male SD rats (275–300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion120 min	6/6	i.g	2.5 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	HR,LVDP, MI size,AI
Dernek et al. (2004)	Male SD rats (250-300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia60 min, reperfusion60 min	10/10	i.g	20 mg/kg/d	14 days before surgery	+dp/dtmax,LDH,CK-MB,cTn-I
Kazemirad and Kazerani (2020)	Male Wistar rats (250–300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	CK-MB,LDH,HR,LVDP,+dp/dtmax
Das et al. (2006)	Male SD rats (250-300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30 min, reperfusion120min	6/6	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	LVDP, MI size,MDA
Yang et al. (2016)	Male SD rats (250-300 g)	Langendorff, ischemia30min, reperfusion60 min	10/10	ecp	10umol/L	perfusion period	MI size,AI
Zhang et al. (2007)	half male and half female SD rats (270 ± 15 g)	Ligature LCA30 min, reperfusion120 min	8/8	i.g	10/20/ 40 mg/kg/d	7 days before surgery	ST, MI size,CK,LDH,MDA,SOD
He et al. (2012)	Male SD rats (220–250 g)	Ligature LAD45 min, reperfusion120 min	10/10	i.v	20 mg/kg	1 min before ligation	NO,Bcl-2,Bax
Wu et al. (2011)	Male Wistar rats (240–270 g)	Ligature LAD45 min, restore blood supply	12/12	i.v	10 mg/kg	15 min before ligation, 1 minbefore reperfusion	SOD,CK,LDH,Bcl-2,Bax

Note: i.g: Intragastric administration i.p: intraperitoneal injection i.v: intravenous injection ecp: extracorporeal perfusion; SD, Sprague-Dawley; NO, nitric oxide; MDA, malondialdehyde; LVDP, left ventricular diastolic pressure; +dp/dtmax, maximum rate of increase of left ventricular pressure; -dp/dtmax, maximum rate of decrease of left ventricular pressure; MI, size; AI, cardiomyocyte apoptosis index; LVDP, left ventricular diastolic pressure; HR, heart rate; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; LVSP, left ventricular systolic pressure; LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; ,cTn-I, cardiac troponin I; Bcl-2,B-cell lymphoma-2; Bax. BCL2-Associated X; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-6, interleukin-6; cTn-T, cardiac troponin T; CK, creatine kinase; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; ICA, left coronary artery; min,minute/minutes; No,number.

#### TABLE 2 SYRCLE's RoB tool for each experimental animal studies.

Study ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Se	lection b	ias	Perfor bi	mance as	Measu bi	rement as	Withdraw bias	Reporting bias
Wang et al. (2014)	ş	?	?	+	ş	?	?	?	+
Qian et al. (2019)	+	?	?	-	?	-	-	?	+
Jiang et al. (2007)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Hu et al. (2019)	+	?	?	?	?	-	-	?	+
Huang et al. (2004)	?	?	?	-	?	-	-	ş	+
Bing et al. (2020)	?	?	?	+	?	-	?	-	+
Song et al. (2015)	+	?	;	-	ş	-	-	-	+
He et al. (2019)	+	?	?	+	ş	-	?	ş	+
Wang and Wang (2015)	+	?	;	-	ş	-	?	ş	+
Huang et al. (2009)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	Ś	+
Wang and Zhang (2018)	?	?	?	+	?	-	?	Ś	+
Zhang et al. (2019)	\$	?	?	-	ş	-	?	Ś	+
Xu et al. (2020a)	+	?	;	+	ş	-	?	ş	+
Liu (2011)	?	?	?	-	Ş	-	?	ş	+
Chen (2006)	+	?	?	+	ŝ	-	?	ş	+
Study ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Se	lection b	ias	Perfor bi	mance as	Measu bi	rement as	Withdraw bias	Reporting bias
Xiao et al. (2007)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Dong (2012)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Shen et al. (2006)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Yan et al. (2022)	?	?	?	+	?	-	?	Ś	+
Xue et al. (2019)	?	?	?	-	?	?	?	ş	+
Wang et al. (2022)	+	?	?	+	?	-	?	Ś	+
Zhao et al. (2020)	+	?	?	+	Ş	-	?	ş	+
Ma (2022)	?	?	?	+	Ş	-	?	ş	+
Fu (2020)	+	?	?	+	ŝ	-	?	ş	+
Deng et al. (2018)	?	?	?	+	?	-	?	?	+
Yang (2021)	+	?	?	?	?	-	?	?	+
Li et al. (2018)	?	?	?	+	?	-	?	?	+
Song et al. (2017)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Zhang et al. (2007)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
He et al. (2012)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Wu et al. (2011)	?	?	?	-	?	?	?	?	+
Wang et al. (2006)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	?	+
Yang and Zhou (2011)	+	?	?	-	?	-	-	?	+
Wu et al. (2017)	+	?	?	-	?	-	-	?	+
Xu et al. (2020b)	+	?	?	+	?	-	?	Ś	+

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 (Contin	ued) SYRCLE's	RoB tool	for each	experimental	animal	studies
-----------------	---------------	----------	----------	--------------	--------	---------

Study ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Se	lection b	ias	Perfor bi	mance as	Measuı bi	rement as	Withdraw bias	Reporting bias
Ta et al. (2013)	?	?	?	-	ş	-	-	Ş	+
He et al. (2013)	?	?	?	-	?	-	-	Ś	+
Wu and Liu (2015)	?	?	?	-	?	-	?	Ş	+
Das et al. (2005)	-	?	?	-	?	-	-	Ş	+
Dernek et al. (2004)	-	?	?	+	?	-	-	Ş	+
Kazemirad and Kazerani (2020)	-	?	?	?	?	-	-	Ş	+
Das et al. (2006)	-	?	?	+	?	-	?	ş	+
Yang et al. (2016)	?	ş	?	+	Ş	-	-	Ś	+

Note1: +: low risk of bias -: high risk of bias ?: uncertain risk of bias Note2:1: Sequence generation 2: baseline characteristics 3: Hidden grouping 4: Animal placement randomization 5: Blind method (Breeders + researchers) 6: Stochastic result evaluation 7: Blind (outcome evaluator) 8: Incomplete data report 9: Selective result reporting.



# 3.3.5 Effect of resveratrol on apoptosis of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model in rats

The results of meta-analysis of forest maps showed that resveratrol could significantly reduce the apoptosis index of cardiomyocytes (seven studies, 122 animal models,  $I^2 = 85\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -7.50 [-10.27, -4.74]) and significantly increase Bcl-2 (three studies, 64 animal models,  $I^2 = 95\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = 5.57 [0.12, 11.01]) level. However, there was no significant effect on Bax (three studies, 64 animal models,  $I^2 = 89\%$ , p = 0.18, SMD = 1.23 [-3.02, 0.56]. See Figure 6.

# 3.3.6 Effects of resveratrol on cardiac tissue structure in rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury

Sixteen studies (296 animal models) quantitatively reported the change of myocardial infarction size, and there was significant statistical heterogeneity among the studies ( $I^2 = 88\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -5.31 [-6.77, -3.86]). The myocardial infarction size of the

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

experimental group was significantly lower than that of the control group. See Figure 7.

#### 3.3.7 Subgroup analysis of routes of administration

In order to study the effects of different administration routes of resveratrol on rat models of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, the literatures included under different outcome indexes were classified and integrated according to Intragastric administration (i.g), intraperitoneal injection (i.p), intravenous injection (i.v), and extracorporeal perfusion (ecp).

According to different routes of administration, there was only one study on intragastric and in vitro perfusion among LVSP (Dernek et al., 2004; Liu, 2011) and CK (Zhang et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014) indexes. Among the indexes of IL-6 (Wang et al., 2022), TNF-a (Wang et al., 2022) and cTn-I (Wang and Zhang, 2018), there was only one study on intravenous injection. Among LVEDP (Wang et al., 2014) and NO (Wang et al., 2006) indexes, there was only one paper involving in vitro perfusion. There was only one study on the outcome indexes of cTn-T (Yang, 2021) and ROS (Yang, 2021). After deleting the literatures that appeared only once under the above indicators, we re-observed the influence of the remaining uniform drug administration routes on the study results. The results showed that LVSP ( $I^2 = 86\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD =  $(I^2 = 65\%, p < 0.05, p$ 1.98 [0.68]SMD = -1.96 [-2.94, -0.98]), LVEDP ( $I^2 = 81\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -3.25 [-5.01, -1.49]), NO (I<sup>2</sup> = 92%, p < 0.05, SMD = 4.05 [0.78, 7.31]), cTn-T ( $I^2 = 80\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD = -12.46 [-18.63, -6.29]), ROS ( $I^2 = 46\%$ , p < 0.05, SMD =-6.47 [-9.32, -3.63]), IL-6 (I<sup>2</sup> = 58%, p < 0.05, SMD = -5.26 [-7.18, -3.33]), TNF- $\alpha$  (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%, p < 0.05, SMD = -4.47 [-5.55, -3.40]), cTn-I (I<sup>2</sup> = 92%, p < 0.05, SMD = -4.88 [-8.24, -1.51]) and the results were not significantly different before reference removal. The result is shown in Figure 8A.

Among the seven outcome indexes of +dp/dtmax, LDH, CK-MB, MDA, SOD, myocardial infarction size and LVDP, there were  $\geq$ two drug administration pathways involved, and the number of research literatures for each pathway was  $\geq$  two. According to the different routes of administration, the included literatures were reclassified and statistically analyzed. The result is shown in Figure 9. The results of subgroup analysis showed: Among the four subgroups under CK-MB and LDH outcome indexes, the p values of i.g, i.p and i.v administration route groups were all <0.05, resveratrol reduced CK-MB (five studies, SMD = -14.56 [-20.43, -8.69]) and LDH (eight studies, SMD = -5.42 [-7.75, -3.10]) levels best in the iv group compared to the ip and ig groups. However, the study results of ecp administration mode under CK-MB and LDH indexes were p = 0.07, SMD = -9.10 (-18.85, 0.65) and p = 0.16, SMD = -5.15 (-12.35, 2.05) respectively, indicated that this route of administration did not have a significant impact on the CK-MB and LDH indexes. In the four subgroup studies on myocardial infarction size, the p values of i.g, i.p and ecp subgroups were <0.05, resveratrol reduced MI size (five studies, all SMD = -6.12 [-9.31, -2.94]) level best in the ip group compared to the ecp and ig groups, however, p = 0.22 and SMD = -6.78 (-17.52, 3.96) under i.v administration pathway. In the SOD subgroup study, the results of i.p and i.v subgroups were p < p0.05, resveratrol increased the SOD level, ip group (five studies,

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

SMD = 5.92 [4.85, 7.00]) was higher than iv group (seven studies, SMD = 2.26 [0.16, 4.36]), but the results of i.g and ecp subgroups were p = 0.19, SMD = 1.63 (-0.80, 4.06) and p = 0.31, SMD = 60.01 (-56.26, 176.28), respectively. In the subgroup study under LVDP index, the results of i.g group were p = 0.93 and SMD = -0.82 (-19.40, 17.76). The results of drug administration routes in the +dp/dtmax and MDA subgroups were all <0.05, these two indicators were not affected by the routes of administration. Under the MDA index, the resveratrol effect of ecp group was the best, but there was little difference compared with the other three groups, the ig group (three studies, SMD = 6.72 [0.13, 13.30]) elevated the +dp/dtmax level most significantly, compared with the other three groups. From the results of subgroup analysis, it can be seen that the drug effect of resveratrol was affected by the administration route.

#### 3.3.8 Subgroup analysis of modeling methods

The modeling methods of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats were divided into *in vivo* heart ligation model and *in vitro* heart perfusion device model according to whether or not *in vitro*. It was represented by ligation of the left anterior descending branch (LAD) and Langendorff isolated heart perfusion. The electrical activity and circulatory function of the heart are affected during the *in vivo* ligation procedure. Isolated heart perfusion has few influencing factors because the heart is not regulated by nerves and body fluids. In order to study the effects of different modeling methods on the model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats, we classified and integrated the literatures included under different outcome indicators according to *in vivo* ligation and *in vitro* perfusion.

Classification according to different modeling methods shows that there is only one research paper on Langendorff model among LVEDP (Wang et al., 2014), CK (Wang et al., 2014), cTn-I (Dernek et al., 2004) and NO (Wang et al., 2006) indexes. After removing the literatures that appeared only once under the above indicators, we re-observed the influence of the remaining unified modeling method on the research results. The results showed that compared with the literatures before exclusion, the intervention effect of resveratrol on LVEDP (I<sup>2</sup> = 81%, p < 0.05, SMD = -3.25 [-5.01, -1.49]), CK (I<sup>2</sup> = 71%, p < 0.05, SMD = -2.30 [-3.34, -1.26]), NO (I<sup>2</sup> = 92%, p < 0.05, SMD = -3.21 [-5.13, -1.28]) indexes did not fluctuate significantly. The result is shown in Figure 8B.

Among the research literatures on the nine outcome indicators of -dp/dtmax, +dp/dtmax, LDH, CK-MB, LVSP, MDA, AI, MI Size and HR, there were two modeling methods involved, and the number of research literatures on each approach was  $\geq$  two. According to the different modeling methods, the classified statistics of the included literatures were re-carried out. The

# TNF-a

	Deer							Otal Maran Differences	Otal Marca Differences
	Res	Veratrol			ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	lotal	Mean	SD	Iotal	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fu 2020	39	3.6	10	62	4.7	10	24.1%	-5.26 [-7.28, -3.24]	
He et al 2019	198.14	15.35	10	255.62	11.35	10	28.5%	-4.08 [-5.74, -2.42]	
Hu et al 2019	110.193	10.12	8	150.112	7.278	8	24.6%	-4.28 [-6.25, -2.31]	
Wang et al 2022	86.89	6.88	15	147.44	8.91	15	22.8%	-7.40 [-9.53, -5.27]	
Total (95% Cl)			43			43	100.0%	-5.17 [-6.61, -3.74]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.17; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 6.61,	df = 3 (	P = 0.09);	l² = 55°	%		_	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.06 (F	> < 0.00	001)	,.					-10 -5 0 5 10
IL-6	Resv	veratrol		Co	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fu 2020	246	35	10	433	45	10	28.1%	-4.44 [-6.21, -2.67]	
He et al 2019	198.75	10.45	10	305.87	15.13	10	20.7%	-7.89 [-10.76, -5.02]	
Hu et al 2019	121.753	8.945	8	156.856	6.353	8	26.7%	-4.28 [-6.25, -2.31]	
Wang et al 2022	76.26	8.02	15	150.87	10.03	15	24.5%	-7.99 [-10.28, -5.71]	
Total (95% Cl)			43			43	100.0%	-5.98 [-7.97, -3.99]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	2.85; Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 10.04	, df = 3	(P = 0.02)	); l <sup>2</sup> = 70	0%		_	
	7 = 5.89 (F	> < 0.00	001)						-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect:	- 0.00 (								Eavors resperance Eavors connor

result is shown in Figure 10. Subgroup analysis results showed that: In the subgroups of in vitro perfusion and in vivo ligation, compared with the control group, resveratrol can increase + dp/dtmax, LVSP, HR levels, improve cardiac function, and the group vitro was higher than the vivo group. Resveratrol can reduce LDH, CK-MB, MDA, AI, MI Size levels, the effect was higher in the vitro group than in the vivo group in terms of LDH, CK-MB, MDA, and AI, on the contrary, the vivo group was higher than the vitro group under the MI Size index. However, under the -dp/dtmax outcome index, the results of in vivo ligation and in vitro perfusion subgroups were p = 0.10, SMD = 2.77 (-0.53, 6.07) and p = 0.87, SMD = 0.49 (-5.21, 6.18), respectively, indicated that resveratrol did not have a good therapeutic effect on the -dp/dtmax index, and this result was not affected by the model preparation method. Compared with before grouping, it can be seen that the model preparation method has little affect on the therapeutic effect of resveratrol.

# 4 Discussion

Animal experiments are the preliminary basis for clinical trials, through which the safety of intervention measures can be evaluated and the dose-effect relationship and effectiveness of drugs can be preliminaries judged, providing strong evidence for whether clinical treatment measures or new drugs can be put into clinical trials. In this meta-analysis, we selected a rat model of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury as the study object, aiming to exclude the influence of animal species differences on the study results. We found that resveratrol can reduce ST segment, cTn-I, cTn-T, CK, CK-MB, LDH, LVEDP, ROS, MDA, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, AI levels and myocardial infarction size. HR, LVDP, LVSP, +dp/dtmax, NO, Bcl-2, and SOD levels were increased. However, resveratrol had no significant effect on -dp/dtmax and Bax outcome measures.

Studies (Kazemirad and Kazerani, 2020; Rodrigo et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023) have shown that oxidative stress is the main factor aggravating MIRI, and the production of a large number of oxygen free radicals can lead to lipid peroxidation of myocardial membrane, which is one of the important mechanisms of reperfusion injury. As an intermediate metabolite of lipid peroxides, MDA can destroy cell membrane structure, lead to changes in cell membrane fluidity and permeability, activation of cell membrane ion channels, myocardial electrophysiological changes, enzyme release in cardiomyocytes, and even failure to maintain normal metabolism of cells, resulting in arrhythmia and myocardial infarction. It is often used as an index to reflect the formation of oxygen free radicals and the damage caused by membrane. SOD is a necessary enzyme for scavenging oxygen free radicals in myocardium, and its activity reflects the degree of antioxidation in ischemia-reperfusion myocardium. NO can relax vascular smooth muscle, dilate coronary artery, reduce myocardial oxygen consumption and play a protective role in I/R injury. Reperfusion of cardiomyocytes can also cause excessive inflammation, release cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6, and stimulate further myocardial damage (Yuan et al., 2018). Resveratrol can decrease MDA, TNF-a, IL-6 and increase SOD and NO levels, indicating that resveratrol can inhibit and protect oxidative damage and inflammation.

A review (Korshunova et al., 2021) by Korshunova: BCL2 regulates apoptosis in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, discussed the important mechanism of apoptosis in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. Apoptosis is controlled by many apoptosis-related genes. The Bcl-2 family, including bcl-2 and bax, is a major apoptotic regulatory gene. Among them, bcl-2 promoted cell survival and inhibited apoptosis, while bax promoted apoptosis. Resveratrol can reduce the apoptosis index, although the decrease of bax is not obvious, but significantly increase the level of Bcl-2.

cTn-I, cTn-T, CK, CK-MB, LDH are commonly used markers to evaluate myocardial injury and myocardial infarction, but there

# Rcl-2

	Res	veratr	ol	Co	ontro	al and a second s		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
He et al 2012	19.6	2	10	6.4	1.3	10	32.5%	7.50 [4.76, 10.23]	
Jiang et al 2007	310	21	10	290	15	10	35.0%	1.05 [0.10, 2.00]	-
Wu et al 2011	20.3	1.9	12	6.3	1.2	12	32.5%	8.51 [5.76, 11.26]	
Total (95% CI)			32			32	100.0%	5.57 [0.12, 11.01]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	21.78; C	hi² = 4 (P = (	40.03, ( 0.05)	df = 2 (F	<b>P</b> < 0.	00001)	; I² = 95%	_	-10 -5 0 5 10
Bax									Favors resveratroi Favors control

	Resv	verati	ol	Co	ontro	I		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
He et al 2012	13.5	2.7	10	14.7	2.5	10	34.9%	-0.44 [-1.33, 0.45]	
Jiang et al 2007	300	18	10	380	23	10	29.6%	-3.71 [-5.26, -2.16]	
Wu et al 2011	14.1	2.5	12	13.9	2.6	12	35.5%	0.08 [-0.72, 0.88]	+
Total (95% CI)			32			32	100.0%	-1.23 [-3.02, 0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	2.18; Ch	$i^2 = 1i$	8.25, df	f = 2 (P	= 0.0	001); I²	= 89%		-10 -5 0 5 10
rest for overall effect.	2 - 1.34	(==)	0.18)						Favors resveratrol Favors control

	Res	veratr	ol	C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen 2006	16.32	2.14	10	25.72	3.32	10	18.9%	-3.22 [-4.64, -1.81]	-
Das et al 2005	3.5	0.9	6	22.7	1.5	6	8.6%	-14.33 [-21.41, -7.24]	
Ta et al 2013	21.54	0.25	7	29.41	0.39	7	5.6%	-22.49 [-32.38, -12.61]	
Wang et al 2006	6.08	1.74	8	25	3.25	8	16.3%	-6.86 [-9.77, -3.95]	
Xue et al 2019	19.27	3.29	5	32.94	4.63	5	17.8%	-3.07 [-5.20, -0.95]	
Yang et al 2016	10.98	1.43	10	26.94	1.44	10	14.5%	-10.65 [-14.44, -6.87]	
Zhang et al 2019	17.44	2.05	15	35.37	3.21	15	18.2%	-6.48 [-8.38, -4.58]	-
Total (95% CI)			61			61	100.0%	-7.50 [-10.27, -4.74]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	10.03; C	chi² = 4	40.30, c	if = 6 (P	< 0.0	0001);	<sup>2</sup> = 85%	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.31	(P < (	0.00001	)		A.0			-20 -10 0 10 20
									Favors resveration Favors control

FIGURE 6

ΛI

Forest plot of effects of resveratrol on Bcl-2, Bax, Al in rats with myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma-2; Bax, BCL2-Associated X; AI, cardiomyocyte apoptosis index.

#### **MI** size Std. Mean Difference Resveratrol Control Std. Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% CI Bing et al 2020 21.2 2.3 35.4 3.2 7.8% -4.96 [-6.48, -3.43] 15 15 Das et al 2005 20.58 3.19 6 33.79 2.74 6 7.0% -4.10 [-6.40, -1.80] Das et al 2006 22.6 2.5 33.5 6.7% -4.71 [-7.27, -2.15] 6 1.7 6 Dong 2012 25.5 1.2 43.2 4.7% -12.48 [-16.88, -8.08] 10 1.5 10 Huang et al 2004 29.11 4.17 8 39.54 8.21 8.1% -1.51 [-2.67, -0.36] 8 Liu 2011 36.35 0.67 65.67 -25.25 [-37.62, -12.87] 6 1.36 1.2% 6 Ma 2022 3.0% -18.99 [-25.62, -12.37] 37.6 0.82 10 56.17 1.04 10 Qian et al 2019 19.76 3.05 12 33.62 4.11 12 7.9% -3.70 [-5.09, -2.30] Ta et al 2013 9.21 0.63 14 36.07 0.22 14 0.8% -55.27 [-70.90, -39.63] Wang et al 2006 19.12 2.06 8 31.82 5.24 8 7.8% -3.02 [-4.57, -1.46] Xu et al 2020 20.2 1.1 5 31.8 2.8 5 6.2% -4.93 [-7.96, -1.89] Xue et al 2019 36.25 3.68 15 58.61 4.68 15 7.8% -5.17 [-6.74, -3.59] Yang 2021 18.5 4.7 10 37.1 5.7 10 7.9% -3.41 [-4.88, -1.94] Yang et al 2016 6.59 1.02 10 22.29 3.13 6.9% -6.46 [-8.86, -4.06] 10 Zhang et al 2007 24.06 6.91 8 38.23 6.89 8.0% -1.94 [-3.19, -0.69] 8 Zhao et al 2020 -2.67 [-3.69, -1.65] 37 4 15 15 8.2% 51 6 Total (95% CI) 158 158 100.0% ۵ -5.31 [-6.73, -3.88] Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 6.17; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 126.99, df = 15 (P < 0.00001); l<sup>2</sup> = 88% -50 -25 25 50 0 Test for overall effect: Z = 7.29 (P < 0.00001) Favors resveratrol Favors control

FIGURE 7

Forest plot of effects of resveratrol on MI size in rats with myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. MI size, myocardial infarction size.

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

are differences in sensitivity and specificity. When myocardial ischemia and hypoxia lead to degeneration and necrosis, blood cTn-T and cTn-I begin to rise at four-12 h and last for four—10 days. cTn is regarded as the preferred marker of myocardial injury due to its long half-life, high content in

myocardium and high sensitivity. In the study, resveratrol decreased the levels of cTn-I, cTn-T, CK, CK-MB, LDH, and alleviated myocardial damage.

Subgroup analysis was performed on CK-MB, myocardial infarction size, LDH, ROS, LVDP and other indicators according to

	MI size	LDH
Bigstraff         Control         Bigstraff         State Johnson	Lineux Difference         Reserved SNL         Control           access dSNL         28.14         SNL	Tablementorio         Total degrada         Nature         Total         Nature
10         202         203         4.33         303         6.6%         6.77 (2.4%, 3.6%)           ard 2079         3.12.28         4.67 at 0.75 (2.7%)         2.01.11         2.01	Tot the work white 2 + 25 49 + 6001           28.21 µ         29.2 3         55         36.4 32         15         795           with 2 + 25 49         20.4 01         20.4 12         15         795           with 2 + 25 49         20.4 01         20.4 12         15         14.1 2         15           with 2 + 20 20         22.4 11         5         31.4 2.8         4.55         4.55           2 - 20 we of 2000 27         24.1 5         5.1 4.1 2.8         4.55         4.55         4.55           - 20 we of 2000 27         24.7 2.7 2.5         5.6 4.0000 27         5.7 1.51         4.5 4.25.8           - 50 we of 2000 27         24.7 2.7 2.57         3.6 4.0000 27         3.6 4.25.8         2.55.8           - 60 we of 2000 27         24.7 2.7 2.7 3.57         3.6 4.0000 27         3.6 4.25.8         2.55.8<	1010.0         100.0         100.0
Dir         Openation         Open	ZA.31v         255         12         10         423           Umaged at 2002         255         12         10         425           Maged at 2002         251         427         48         87           Maged at 2002         251         427         48         87           Maged at 2002         251         427         42         87           Maged at 2002         264         49         420         12           Maged at 2012         124         52         12         12         12           Maged at 2012         124         52         12	
Morenal Mark         2-48 (P - 0.0007)           Har         montel # 2020           Morenal # 2020         2164	Li L2011 328 6 627 6 6567 7 56 6 7 75 Worg et al 2016 18 2 2 66 1 18 2 5 4 6 7 75 Worg et al 2016 18 2 5 69 12 5 12 5 2 5 7 75 Worg et al 2016 18 5 99 12 5 12 2 5 7 7 13 5 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 19 19 10 2 5 7 7 13 1 5 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 2 5 7 7 13 1 5 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 10 2 7 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 10 2 7 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 10 2 7 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 10 2 7 75 Worg et al 2016 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	333 [1/37.4.247] 333 [1/37.4.247] 444 [35.4.42] 444 [35.4.42]
Monore De 19 00 00 + 100 0 4 10 0 + 00000 1 + 910 - 00000 1 + 915 0 - 000 0 - 00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 do /dtmo.v
LVDP		+up/utmax
Measurement         Mean         SD. Total         Mean         SD. Total <td>Std. Mean Difference         Std. Mean Difference           IV. Random. 95% CI         IV. Random. 95%           1W. Random. 95%         IV. Random. 95%           5%         -0.26 [-13.91, -6.60]           5%         -0.28 [-19.40, 17.76]           9%        </td> <td>OP0         Revented         Current         Bitt Mean Difference         Bitt Mean Difference           CI         32.11 (g)         Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         Mean           Devel at all role         2.052 tcl / 4.6 408.80 Mean         Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         Mean           Owned at 2010         5.064 fcl / Mean         55.61 ctcl / Mean         Mean         Mean           Owned at 2010         5.064 fcl / Mean         1.050 me         1.055 me        </td>	Std. Mean Difference         Std. Mean Difference           IV. Random. 95% CI         IV. Random. 95%           1W. Random. 95%         IV. Random. 95%           5%         -0.26 [-13.91, -6.60]           5%         -0.28 [-19.40, 17.76]           9%	OP0         Revented         Current         Bitt Mean Difference         Bitt Mean Difference           CI         32.11 (g)         Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         Mean           Devel at all role         2.052 tcl / 4.6 408.80 Mean         Mean         55.71 ctcl / Mean         Mean           Owned at 2010         5.064 fcl / Mean         55.61 ctcl / Mean         Mean         Mean           Owned at 2010         5.064 fcl / Mean         1.050 me         1.055 me
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.09 (P = 0.93) 2.7.2 ecp Kazeminad et al 2020 40 4 10 24 1 10 15. Wang et al 2016 50.62 3.55 8 38.11 4.02 8 15. Wang et al 2014 49.2 6.1 12 31 5.5 12 16. Xue et al 2019 79.64 5.85 15 72.35 5.48 15 16. Yang et al 2011 78.9 4.8 8 32 5.7 8 12. Shohrah 1937 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2%         5.26 [3.24, 7.27]           8%         3.12 [1.53, 4.70]           2%         3.03 [1.79, 4.26]           **         1.28 [0.49, 2.08]           7%         8.42 [4.92, 11.91]           5%         1.79 (4.95, 5.55]	2.2.2.10 Chen 2006 4.597 591 10 4.329 642 10 8.7% 6.151 (4.10, 1.75) the et al 2013 3.326 522 2.406 10 2.472 41 0 8.7% 6.151 (4.10, 1.75) Strong et al 2014 1.100 200 10.792 500 6.2% 3.278 50.500 2007 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500
teterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 3.34; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 28.39, df = 4 (P < 0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> = 86% Tost for overall effect: Z = 4.08 (P < 0.0001) Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 9.58; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 82.09, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); I <sup>2</sup> = 933	0% 2.76 [0.26, 5.25]	Wine eff 2006         1.1372-83 1068         6         96 56-2         8         95%         1.558 (942,2.26)           Wine eff 2006         1.3372-83 1082         3012-3 </td
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.17$ (P = 0.03)	Feuers requested Feuers	CODITOL Metersolution Tax2 = 6 15: Cb2 = 151 14 df = 13 (2 x 0.00001); 2 = 92%
Test for subgroup differences: $Ch^p = 0.23$ , df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0%	ravors resverairoi - ravors	Text for weak of the 2,2 % Thy P 4 000001) Text and the 2,2 % Thy P 4 000001) Text for weak of the 2 for 4 0 for 0 % OF 1 & 0 % OF 1
Test for subgroup differences: $Ch^{\mu} = 0.23$ , $df = 1$ (P = 0.63), I <sup>\mu</sup> = 0% MDDA Resveratrol Control Stit Mean	ravors tosvorautor ravors	Test for warder of the 22 + 53 typ r 4 000001 To the two p to fast and the 22 + 53 typ r 4 000001 To the two p to fast and the 22 + 53 typ r 4 00001 To the two p to fast and the 22 + 9 12 typ r 9 ty
Resveratori         Control         Bid. Mean           Resveratori         Control         Bid. Mean           NUDDA           Resveratori         Control         Bid. Mean           Num of colspan="2">Control         Bid. Mean           Num of colspan="2">Statistic Control         Bid. Mean           Num of colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"           Num of colspan="2"         Colspan="2"           Num of colspan="2"         Colspan="2"           Colspan="2"         Colspan="2"           Num of colspan="2"         Colspan="2"           Num of colspan="2"            Num of colspan="2"            Colspan= Colspan="2"	Pavois tesvoration Pavois Difference Std. Mean Difference (M. A. 531) 321040] 	South of the first sector of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector of the secto
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0%           Resveratori         Centrol         Bid. Mean           Not Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           Not Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           Not Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           Not all Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           Not all Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           All to 251         Centrol         Bid. Mean           Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean         Dotal Mean           Mean         Bid. Chi <sup>2</sup> = 20 78, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); P = 80%           Station Chi <sup>2</sup> = 20 78, df = 3 (P = 0.0001); P = 80%           Mean         Dotal P = 0.001; P = 80%           Mean         Dotal P = 0.001; P = 80%           Mean         Dotal P = 0.001; P = 80%           Mean         Mean         Dotal P = 0.001; P = 80%           Mean         Dotal P	Difference Sid. Mean Difference dom. 35% CI V. Random. 35% CI 10.445.311	Notice         Test for wave and which 2 + 50 (p + 6000)         Test for subprove difference:         D <thd< th=""> <thd< th="">         D</thd<></thd<>
The star for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test</b> for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Revertified</b> <u>Centrol</u> <u>Bitl</u> Mann <u>Bitl</u> Centrol <b>Revertified</b> <u>Centrol</u> <u>Centrol</u> <u>Bitl</u> Mann <u>Centrol</u> <u>Cent</u>	Difference Std. Mean Difference dom. 5% CI // Kandon, 5%	Control         Test Bernard and 2:4 50 pr - 0.00001 (T = 0.07, pr = 0.00000)         Control         Control         Control         Second         Control         Second         Control         Second         Control         Second         Control         Second         Control         Second
The for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), P = 0% <b>Test for subgroup differences:</b> Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 0.2001; P = 0.0001; P = 0.0000; P = 0.0000; P = 0.0000; P = 0.0000	Difference Std. Mean Difference dom. 85% CI IV. Random. 85% CI IV. Ra	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

rorest plot for subgroup analysis of administration route: the effects of resveratrol on CK-MB, MI size, LVDP, LDH, MDA, SOD. +dp/dtmax in rats with myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB: MII size, myocardial infarction size; LVDP, left ventricular diastolic pressure:LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismtase; +dp/dtmax, maximun rate of Increase of left ventricular pressure.

the route of administration. The results showed that the route of administration had an impact on the heterogeneity of the study, which may be due to the differences in drug absorption and availability. However, this is not the only factor to investigate the source of heterogeneity, and may also be related to the dose, mode of modeling, ligation and reperfusion time. In this meta-analysis, several studies (literature) grouped the dose of resveratrol into low, medium, and high doses (Huang et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2009; Dong, 2012; Yan et al., 2022). The results of these studies showed

that resveratrol showed a gradient effect at different doses, and the highdose group had the best therapeutic effect. We extracted the high-dose group data from these studies for statistical analysis. Due to the problems of unit disunity and large distribution difference in the dose of 43 literatures included in the study, subgroup analysis of the dose was not conducted for the time being.

This Meta-analysis was implemented based on means and standard deviations, pooled at the overall level of each study, due to the differences between individual study designs, the limitations

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

with myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; MI size, myocardial infarction size; AI, cardiomyocyte apoptosis index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MDA, malondialdehyde; HR, heart rate; +dp/dtmax, maximum rate of increase of left ventricular pressure; -dp/dtmax, maximum rate of decrease of left ventricular pressure; LVSP, left ventricular systolic pressure.

of this study are inevitably exposed: only a few of the included studies described the properties (purity) of resveratrol, and the methodological quality of the studies was low, for example, the principles of "randomization" and "blind method" were not explained or adopted, which may lead to bias in the selection, measurement, implementation and reporting of the studies, resulting in biased research results; The intervention dose and administration time of each study group were not uniform, most of them were administered only once before surgery, and the longterm efficacy could not be determined; The dose-effect relationship between the experimental groups has not been deeply discussed, this makes it unknown whether the study results will vary greatly in terms of dose differences, resulting in the optimal therapeutic dose range of resveratrol being ignored, which will not only affect the dose selection of subsequent researchers, but also make the clinical trial of the drug lack an important grip.

# 5 Conclusion

Our current analysis results show that resveratrol can alleviate myocardial injury in rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury model, improve left ventricular systolic and diastolic ability, alleviate inflammatory response, reduce myocardial cell necrosis and apoptosis, and reduce myocardial infarction size, and has a good therapeutic effect on MIRI. RES may be a promising drug to treat MIRI. However, the exact mechanism of action and clinical efficacy still need to be verified in animal experiments and clinical randomized controlled trials. Therefore, high-quality *in vivo* experiments in rats are still encouraged to investigate the protective effects of resveratrol on MIRI.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

D-ZZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology. M-YJ: Methodology, Writing-original draft, Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software. H-YW: Supervision, Writing-original draft. MY: Writing-original draft, Data curation, Software. L-HJ: Funding acquisition, Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Methodology.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81673846).

# Acknowledgments

Thanks to L-HJ for the funding of the article, and thanks to all the study participants.

# References

Al, J. M., Rajan, R., Kotevski, V., Dashti, R., and Moustafa, W. (2019). Multivessel percutaneous coronary intervention with bifurcation stenting in a quadfurcated single coronary artery from the right aortic sinus: a case report. *Eur. Heart J. Case Rep.* 3, 1–4. doi:10.1093/ehjcr/ytz197

Algoet, M., Janssens, S., Himmelreich, U., Gsell, W., Pusovnik, M., Van den Eynde, J., et al. (2023). Myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and the influence of inflammation. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* 33, 357–366. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2022.02.005

Ali, F. H., Elhusein, A. M., Al-Sayaghi, K. M., Albadrani, M. S., Swamy, D. V., Mamanao, D. M., et al. (2023). Efficacy of resveratrol in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* 44, 134. doi:10.11604/pamj.2023.44.134.32404

Bing, S., Zhang, R. H., Zhang, X. J., and Zhu, S. M. (2020). Resveratrol alleviates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *J. Shanxi Med. Univ.* 51, 918–926. doi:10.13753/j.issn.1007-6611.2020.09.007

Braunwald, E., and Kloner, R. A. (1985). Myocardial reperfusion: a double-edged sword? J. Clin. Invest. 76, 1713–1719. doi:10.1172/JCI112160

Brouwer, S., Hoffmeister, T., Gresch, A., Schonhoff, L., and Dufer, M. (2018). Resveratrol influences pancreatic islets by opposing effects on electrical activity and insulin release. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 62. doi:10.1002/mnfr.201700902

Cadenas, S. (2018). Ros and redox signaling in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and cardioprotection. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 117, 76–89. doi:10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2018.01.024

Chen, L. H. (2006). Efects of resveratrol on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and sir2 expression after ischemia-reperfusion in rats. China: Shantou University.

Das, S., Alagappan, V. K., Bagchi, D., Sharma, H. S., Maulik, N., and Das, D. K. (2005). Coordinated induction of inos-vegf-kdr-enos after resveratrol consumption: a potential mechanism for resveratrol preconditioning of the heart. *Vasc. Pharmacol.* 42, 281–289. doi:10.1016/j.vph.2005.02.013

Das, S., Falchi, M., Bertelli, A., Maulik, N., and Das, D. K. (2006). Attenuation of ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats by the anti-inflammatory action of resveratrol. *Arzneimittelforschung* 56, 700–706. doi:10.1055/s-0031-1296776

de Freitas, S. M., Coelho, L. F., Guirelli, I. M., Pereira, R. M., Ferreira-Silva, G. A., Graravelli, G. Y., et al. (2018). Synthetic resveratrol-curcumin hybrid derivative inhibits mitosis progression in estrogen positive mcf-7 breast cancer cells. *Toxicol Vitro* 50, 75–85. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2018.02.020

Deng, Y., Zhang, X., and Hu, H. (2018). Study on protective effect of resveratrol post-treament on rat myocardial i/r injury through up-regulating ho-1 via pi3k/ akt/nfr2. *Chongqing Med.* 47, 1176–1178. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1671-8348.2018. 09.008

Dernek, S., Ikizler, M., Erkasap, N., Ergun, B., Koken, T., Yilmaz, K., et al. (2004). Cardioprotection with resveratrol pretreatment: improved beneficial effects over standard treatment in rat hearts after global ischemia. *Scand. Cardiovasc J.* 38, 245–254. doi:10.1080/14017430410035476

Dong, W. S. (2012). Effects of resveratrol on nalp3 expression after ischemiareperfusion injury and its possible mechanism. Hubei, China: China Three Gorges University.

Feng, H., Mou, S. Q., Li, W. J., Zhang, N., Zhou, Z. Y., Ding, W., et al. (2020). Resveratrol inhibits ischemia-induced myocardial senescence signals and nlrp3 inflammasome activation. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* 2020, 2647807. doi:10. 1155/2020/2647807

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Fu, J. (2020). Role of pi3k/akt/nrf2 signaling pathway in resveratrol in reducing myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. Xinjiang, China: Xin Jiang Medical University.

Fu, Q., Cui, Q., Yang, Y., Zhao, X., Song, X., Wang, G., et al. (2018). Effect of resveratrol dry suspension on immune function of piglets. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2018, 5952707. doi:10.1155/2018/5952707

He, D. W., Liu, X. W., Pang, Y., and Liu, L. (2012). Inhibitory effect of resveratrol on ischemia reperfusion-induced cardiocyte apoptosis and its relationship with PI3K-Akt signaling pathway. *Chin. J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 37, 2323–2326. doi:10.4268/cjcmm20121529

He, D. W., Liu, X. W., Pang, Y., and Liu, L. (2013). Protective effect of resveratrol on ischemic myocardium and inhibition of apoptosis in rats. *Chin. J. Gerontology* 33, 3679–3682. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-9202.2013.15.059

He, H., Yang, H. H., Wang, X. L., and Xu, G. P. (2019). Effect of resveratr ol pretreatment on cx43 in myocar dial ischemia reper fusion injur y in diabetic rats. *Xinjiang Med. J.* 49, 1198–1201.

Hooijmans, C. R., Rovers, M. M., de Vries, R. B., Leenaars, M., Ritskes-Hoitinga, M., and Langendam, M. W. (2014). Syrcle's risk of bias tool for animal studies. *Bmc Med. Res. Methodol.* 14, 43. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-14-43

Hu, D., Wang, X. L., and Xu, G. P. (2019). Effect of resveratrol on inflammatory reponse in rats with myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J. Xinjiang Med. Univ.* 42, 42–46. doi:10.3969/j.ssn.1009-5551.2019.01.008

Huang, W., Liu, J. T., Tang, Y. H., Liu, H. L., Shi, X. L., and Kang, A. Q. (2004). The protective effect of resvaratrol on myocardial ischemic-reperfused induced injury in rats. J. XI J Jiaot. Univ. Sci., 552–554. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1671-8259.2004.06.009

Huang, W., Ma, J. M., Pan, J., and Zhai, X. H. (2009). The protective effects and mechanisms of resveratrol on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Shaanxi Med. J.* 38, 26–29. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-7377.2009.01.008

Ibanez, B., James, S., Agewall, S., Antunes, M. J., Bucciarelli-Ducci, C., Bueno, H., et al. (2018). 2017 esc guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with st-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with st-segment elevation of the european society of cardiology (esc). *Eur. Heart J.* 39, 119–177. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393

Jeyaraman, M. M., Al-Yousif, N., Singh, M. A., Dolinsky, V. W., Rabbani, R., Zarychanski, R., et al. (2020). Resveratrol for adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 1, CD11919. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011919.pub2

Jiang, S. F., Gong, X. X., and Miao, Z. Y. (2007). Protective effects of resveratrol against myocardiac ischemic/reperfusion injury and its mechanism research. *J. Health Care Med. Chin. Pla*, 34–36.

Kazemirad, H., and Kazerani, H. R. (2020). Cardioprotective effects of resveratrol following myocardial ischemia and reperfusion. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 47, 5843–5850. doi:10. 1007/s11033-020-05653-7

Korshunova, A. Y., Blagonravov, M. L., Neborak, E. V., Syatkin, S. P., Sklifasovskaya, A. P., Semyatov, S. M., et al. (2021). Bcl2-regulated apoptotic process in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (review). *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 47, 23–36. doi:10.3892/ijmm. 2020.4781

Li, D., He, F., and Pang, K. (2018). Protective effect of resveratrol post-treament on myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury of the rats. *Chin. J. Crit. Care Med.* 38, 910–914. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-1949.2018.10.016

Li, H., Zheng, F., Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Gao, F., and Shi, G. (2022). Resveratrol, novel application by preconditioning to attenuate myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice through regulate ampk pathway and autophagy level. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* 26, 4216–4229. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17431

Li, S., Chen, J., Liu, M., Chen, Y., Wu, Y., Li, Q., et al. (2021). Protective effect of hint2 on mitochondrial function via repressing mcu complex activation attenuates cardiac microvascular ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Basic Res. Cardiol.* 116, 65. doi:10. 1007/s00395-021-00905-4

Li, W., Luo, Y., Huang, Z., Shen, S., Dai, C., Shen, S., et al. (2023). Costunolide protects myocardium from ischemia reperfusion injury by inhibiting oxidative stress through nrf2/keap1 pathway activation. *J. Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 82, 117–127. doi:10.1097/FJC. 000000000001422

Liu, S. J. (2011). Research of resveratrol-induced cardioprotectjon in the early of reperfusion against reperfusion injury of diabetic rats in vitro. Harbin, China: Harbin Medical University.

Ma, Y. K. (2022). Role of ampk/p38mapk/nrf2 signaling pathway in resveratrol alleviating myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. Xin Jiang, China: Xin Jiang Medical University.

Parlar, A., and Arslan, S. O. (2019). Resveratrol normalizes the deterioration of smooth muscle contractility after intestinal ischemia and reperfusion in rats associated with an antioxidative effect and modulating tumor necrosis factor alpha activity. *Ann. Vasc. Surg.* 61, 416–426. doi:10.1016/j.avsg.2019.06.027

Popov, S. V., Mukhomedzyanov, A. V., Voronkov, N. S., Derkachev, I. A., Boshchenko, A. A., Fu, F., et al. (2023). Regulation of autophagy of the heart in ischemia and reperfusion. *Apoptosis* 28, 55–80. doi:10.1007/s10495-022-01786-1

Prill, R., Karlsson, J., Ayeni, O. R., and Becker, R. (2021). Author guidelines for conducting systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 29, 2739–2744. doi:10.1007/s00167-021-06631-7

Qian, S. J., Yang, D. M., Kan, J., and Yang, Y. Q. (2019). Improving effect of resveratrol on regulating cell autophagy for no-reflow after myocardial ischemia-reperfusion in rats. *Chin. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 35, 3204–3207. doi:10.13699/j.cnki.1001-6821.2019.24.008

Ren, B., Kwah, M. X., Liu, C., Ma, Z., Shanmugam, M. K., Ding, L., et al. (2021). Resveratrol for cancer therapy: challenges and future perspectives. *Cancer Lett.* 515, 63–72. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2021.05.001

Rodrigo, R., Retamal, C., Schupper, D., Vergara-Hernandez, D., Saha, S., Profumo, E., et al. (2022). Antioxidant cardioprotection against reperfusion injury: potential therapeutic roles of resveratrol and quercetin. *Molecules* 27, 2564. doi:10.3390/molecules27082564

Sarkaki, A., Rashidi, M., Ranjbaran, M., Asareh, Z. D. A., Shabaninejad, Z., Behzad, E., et al. (2021). Therapeutic effects of resveratrol on ischemia-reperfusion injury in the nervous system. *Neurochem. Res.* 46, 3085–3102. doi:10.1007/s11064-021-03412-z

Shen, M., Jia, G. L., Wang, H. C., and Wang, Y. (2006). Protective effect of resvaratrol pretreatment on myocardial ischemic/reperfusion induced injury in rats. *Mod. Med. Health* 22, 3079–3081. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-5519.2006.20.002

Song, J., Wang, J., Li, B. H., Wang, D., Meng, D. C., and Lu, Y. Z. (2017). A study on anti-arrhythmia mechanisms of resveratrol on ischemia/reperfusion in rats by regulating pi3k/akt signaling pathway. *Chin. J. Appl. Physiology* 33, 239–243. doi:10. 12047/j.cjap.5516.2017.059

Song, L. H., Lai, L. N., Zhang, X. J., Zhang, X. Y., Guo, C. H., and Song, X. L. (2015). Antiarrhythmic effects of resveratrol on rat during myocardial ischemia-reperfusion. J. Changzhi Med. Coll. 29, 7–10.

Ta, F. X., Zhang, T., and Zhu, C. M. (2013). Correlation between mir-21 and the protective effects of resveratrol against myo cardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. *Chin. J. Arteriosclerosis* 21, 493–496.

Tao, G. C., Zhang, N., Shang, Z. Z., Zhang, Y. Y., Zhang, T., Zhang, J. H., et al. (2019). Interpretation on examples of syrcle' tool for interviewing risk of bias in animal experimentation. *Chin. J. Evidence-Based Cardiovasc. Med.* 11, 292–295. doi:10. 11954/ytctyy.201910052

Tian, H., Xiong, Y., and Xia, Z. (2023). Resveratrol ameliorates myocardial ischemia/ reperfusion induced necroptosis through inhibition of the hippo pathway. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 55, 59–69. doi:10.1007/s10863-022-09954-3

Totonchi, H., Mokarram, P., Karima, S., Rezaei, R., Dastghaib, S., Koohpeyma, F., et al. (2022). Resveratrol promotes liver cell survival in mice liver-induced ischemiareperfusion through unfolded protein response: a possible approach in liver transplantation. *Bmc Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 23, 74. doi:10.1186/s40360-022-00611-4

Wang, B., Yu, M., Wu, Y., Li, C., and Yao, T. M. (2014). Resveratrol reduces myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats through jnk. *Trauma Crit. Care Med.* 2, 210–214. doi:10.16048/j.cnki.tccm.2014.04.001

Wang, J., and Zhang, J. (2018). Protective effect of resveratrol on myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. *Chin. J. Arteriosclerosis* 26, 133–138. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1007-3949.2018.02.005

Wang, L., and Wang, B. J. (2015). Analysis of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and the protective effect of resveratrol. *J. Math. Med.* 28, 721–722. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1004-4337.2015.05.051

Wang, L., Zeng, Q. T., and Xie, J. (2006). Protective effects of resveratrol preconditioning on ischemia-reperfusion injury of isolated heart in rats. *J. Clin. Cardiovasc. Dis.*, 47–49.

Wang, M., Weng, X., Chen, H., Chen, Z., and Liu, X. (2020). Resveratrol inhibits TNF-a-induced inflammation to protect against renal ischemia/reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. *Acta Cir. Bras.* 35, e202000506. doi:10.1590/s0102-865020200050000006

Wang, R., Gao, Z., Zhang, X. D., Fan, B. B., Sun, M. N., Wang, W., et al. (2022). Mechanism of resveratrol improving myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury by regulating sirt1 pathway in rats. *World Chin. Med.* 17, 2171–2177. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1673-7202.2022.15.014

Wu, Q., and Liu, X. W. (2015). Sirtl inhibits related protein expression of endoplasmic reticulum stress in myocardial ischemic/reperfusion by activation of erkl/2 pathway in rat. *Basic&Clinical Med.* 35, 761–766. doi:10.16352/j.issn.1001-6325.2015.06.009

Wu, Y. B., Liu, X. W., He, D. W., and Liu, L. (2011). The protective effect of resveratrol on myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury by sirt1-p53 pathway in rats. *Chin. J. Gerontology* 31, 4822–4825. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-9202.2011.24.038

Wu, Y. N., Song, L. H., Guo, C. H., Lai, L. N., Zhang, X. Y., and Zhang, X. J. (2017). The role of nos/no in the protective effect of resveratrol against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *J. Changzhi Med. Coll.* 31, 321–323. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-0588.2017.05.002

Xiao, J., Yue, P., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Huo, R., Ning, W., et al. (2007). Resveratrol restored the structural and functional association between m3 receptor and connexin 43 gap junction proteins in ischemia-reperfusion injury of isolated rat heart. *Acta Pharm. Sin.* 42, 19–25. doi:10.3321/j.issn:0513-4870.2007.01.004

Xing, Y., Sui, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, M. M., Wei, X., Lu, Q., et al. (2022). Blunting trpml1 channels protects myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by restoring impaired cardiomyocyte autophagy. *Basic Res. Cardiol.* 117, 20. doi:10.1007/s00395-022-00930-x

Xu, G. P., Hu, D., Wang, X. L., Zhao, X., Fu, J., and He, H. (2020a). Role of nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 in diabetic rats with resveratrol preconditioning against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Int. J. Anesthesiol. Resusc.* 41, 11–17. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1673-4378.2020.01.003

Xu, G. P., Zhao, X., and Fu, J. (2020b). Silent information regulator of transcription 1 activates nuclear factor erythroid-2 related actor 2/heme oxygenase-1 signaling pathway to attenuate myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury and protective effect of resveratrol in rats. *Int. J. Anesthesiol. Resusc.* 41, 742–747. doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn321761-20191206-00088

Xue, L. J., Zhang, W. L., and Li, L. Z. (2019). Protective effects of resveratrol glycosides in rats with cardiac ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Chin. Tradit. Pat. Med.* 41, 451–453. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-1528.2019.02.042

Yan, R. L., Xia, L., Zhou, G., and Shen, X. Z. (2022). The myocardial preservation effect of resveratrol by up-regulating gdf-15 to activate pi3k/akt/bcl-2 pathway in acute myocardial infarction rats. *J. South China Normal Univ. Sci. Ed.* 54, 76–82. doi:10.6054/j.jscnun.2022029

Yang, L., Zhang, Y., Zhu, M., Zhang, Q., Wang, X., Wang, Y., et al. (2016). Resveratrol attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury through up-regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor b. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 101, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2016.09.016

Yang, L., and Zhou, H. Y. (2011). Resveratrol protects myocardium against reperfusion injury by inhibiting autophagy through sirt1 pathway. *Zhejiang Med. J.* 33, 967–970. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-2785.2011.07.003

Yang, Z. Y. (2021). Effects of sirt1 on drp1 during resveratrol pretreatment myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. Xinjiang, China: Xinjiang Medical University.

Yuan, L., Dai, X., Fu, H., Sui, D., Lin, L., Yang, L., et al. (2018). Vaspin protects rats against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury (MIRI) through the TLR4/NF-KB signaling pathway. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 835, 132–139. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2018. 07.052

Zeng, W., Cao, Y., Jiang, W., Kang, G., Huang, J., and Xie, S. (2019). Knockdown of sfrp4 attenuates apoptosis to protect against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.* 140, 14–19. doi:10.1016/j.jphs.2019.04.003

Zhang, X. B., Liu, Y. G., and Wang, X. D. (2007). Protective effect of resveratrol on experimental myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J. Chin. Med. Mater.*, 839–841. doi:10.13863/j.issn1001-4454.2007.07.035

Zhang, X. C., Wang, L., and Yang, F. (2019). Study on the effect of resveratrol in inhibiting mitochondrial apoptosis of rats with myocardial infarction by activating pi3k/akt pathway. *China Med. Equip.* 16, 142–145. doi:10.3969/J.ISSN.1672-8270.2019. 12.040

Zhao, X., Xu, G. P., Wang, X. L., and Fu, J. (2020). Expression of nuclear factor-e2 related factor 2/heme oxygenase 1 signaling pathway in myocardial ischemiareperfusion injury of type 2 diabetes mellitus rats and its intervention by resveratrol. *Chin. J. Crit. Care Med. Electron. Ed.* 13, 247–252. doi:10.3877/cma.j. issn.1674-6880.2020.04.002