

E-ISSN: 2583-8822

5

Some results on *l*-fuzzy soft groups under bounded sum and bounded difference operations

Dr. J. Arockia Reeta, Guest Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1995-8775

Abstract

Lattice ordered group has been developed by J.Vimala. After that J. Arockia Reeta and J. Vimala introduced Lattice ordered fuzzy soft groups(l-FSG) and obtained real life applications. In this present work, we have attained some results on l-FSGs under the operations of bounded sum and bounded difference.

Keywords: Fuzzy soft groups, *l*-FSG, operations of bounded sum and bounded difference in *l*-FSG.

1. Introduction

The notion of lattice theory was proposed by Gratzer [6]. Fuzzy set theory has been propounded by Zadeh [10] and developed by Zimmerman [11]. Soft set theory has been originated by Molodsov [8]. Fuzzy soft set [7] has been developed and used in recent years to solve real life problems [5]. Fuzzy soft group was defined by Abdulkadir Aygunoglu and Halis Aygun [1]. Lattice ordered group has been investigated by J.Vimala [9]. A lattice structure on fuzzy soft group was constructed and some of its properties were investigated by J. Arockia reeta et al [2]. After that, anti-lattice ordered fuzzy soft groups [3] and its matrix operations have been studied and implemented in deciding process. Further, Algebraic relations over l-Fuzzy soft groups has been examined [4]. In this present work, we have attained some results on l-FSGs under the operations of bounded sum and bounded difference.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[9] Let X be a non-empty set, then a fuzzy set μ over X is a function from X into I = [0,1]. ie., $\mu: X \to I$.

Definition 2.2 [5] Let X be an initial universe set and E a set of parameters with respect to X. Let P(X) denote the power set of X and A \subseteq E. A pair (F,A) is called a soft set over X, where F is a mapping given by F:A \rightarrow P(X).

A soft set over X is a parameterized family of subsets of the universe X.

Definition 2.3 [5] Let I^X denote the set of all fuzzy sets on X and $A \subseteq E$. A pair (f, A) is called a fuzzy soft set over X, where f is a mapping from A into I^X . That is, for each $a \in A$, $f(a) = f_a : X \to I$, is a fuzzy set on X.

Definition 2.4 [10] The bounded sum of two fuzzy sets \widetilde{A} and \widetilde{B} is denoted by $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B} = \{(x, \mu_{\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B}}(x)); x \in X\}$, where $\mu_{\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B}}(x) = min\{1, \mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x) + \mu_{\widetilde{B}}(x)\}$.



Volume 2, Issue 1, July 2023

E-ISSN: 2583-8822

Definition 2.5 [10] The bounded difference of two fuzzy sets \widetilde{A} and \widetilde{B} is denoted by $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B} = \{(x, \mu_{\widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B}}(x)); x \in X\}$, where $\mu_{\widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B}}(x) = Max\{0, \mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x) + \mu_{\widetilde{B}}(x) - 1\}$.

Definition 2.6 [9]The complement of a fuzzy soft set (f, A) is denoted by $(f, A)^c$ and is defined by $(f, A)^c = (f^c, \neg A)$, where $f^c: \neg A \rightarrow P(U)$ is a mapping given by $f^c(\sigma) = (f(\neg \sigma))^c$ for all $\sigma \in \neg A$.

Definition 2.7[5] Let X be a group and (f, A) be a FSG over X. A FSG (f, A) is said to be an l - FSG over X. If for the mapping $f: A \to I^X$, $a \le b$ implies $f_a \subseteq f_b$, for all $a, b \in A$.

Example 2.8 Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all natural numbers and (\mathbb{N}, \leq) be a lattice. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of all real numbers. Define $f: \mathbb{N} \to I^{\mathbb{R}}$ by $f(n) = f_n: \mathbb{R} \to I$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}, & \text{if } x = k5^n, \exists \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the pair $((f, \mathbb{N}), \vee, \wedge, \subseteq)$ forms an l - FSG over \mathbb{R} .

3. Some results on *l*-fuzzy soft groups under bounded sum and bounded difference operations

In this section, we develop some results on l-FSGs under the operations of bounded sum and bounded difference.

¹Throughout this work, let X be a group and P(X) be the power set of X. If the set of parameters E is also a lattice with respect to certain binary operations or partial order, then a non-empty subset A of E also inherits the partial order from the set E and we use V for *maximum* and \wedge for *minimum*.

Proposition 4.1 Let (f, A) be an l-FSG over a group X. Then we have the following results for all $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$,

1. $f_{a_1} \vee (f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3}) = (f_{a_1} \vee f_{a_2}) \oplus (f_{a_1} \vee f_{a_3}),$

2.
$$f_{a_1} \wedge (f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3}) = f_{a_1}$$
,

- 3. $(f_{a_1} \ominus f_{a_2}) \lor f_{a_3} = f_{a_3}$,
- 4. $f_{a_1} \oplus (f_{a_2} \lor f_{a_3}) = f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_3}$,
- 5. $f_{a_1} \oplus (f_{a_2} \wedge f_{a_3}) = f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2}$.

Proof:

1. Let X be a group and (f, A) be an *l*-FSG over X. Then we have for all $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$, $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \Rightarrow f_{a_1} \subseteq f_{a_2} \subseteq f_{a_3}$. Then, we get $f_{a_1} \lor f_{a_2} = f_{a_2}$, $f_{a_1} \lor f_{a_3} = f_{a_3}$, $f_{a_1} \subseteq f_{a_2} \bigoplus f_{a_3} \Rightarrow f_{a_1} \lor (f_{a_2} \bigoplus f_{a_3}) = f_{a_2} \bigoplus f_{a_3}$ and

 $(f_{a_1} \vee f_{a_2}) \oplus (f_{a_1} \vee f_{a_3}) = f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3}.$

Therefore $f_{a_1} \lor (f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3}) = (f_{a_1} \lor f_{a_2}) \oplus (f_{a_1} \lor f_{a_3}).$

2. $f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3} = \{(x, 1 \land [\mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x)]) | x \in X\}$

We know that $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) \le \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) \le \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) < \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x) \text{ and } \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) \le 1, \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

Be-Ecofriendly



A Peer Reviewed Journal

Volume 2, Issue 1, July 2023

E-ISSN: 2583-8822

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) < 1 \land (\mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x)), \text{ for each } x \in X. \Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) \land [1 \land (\mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x))] = \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x), \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

Then $\{(x, \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x))/x \in X\} \land \{(x, 1 \land [\mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x)])/x \in X\} = \{(x, \mu_{f_{a_1}})/x \in X\}.$
Hence we get $f_{a_1} \land (f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_3}) = f_{a_1}.$
3. $f_{a_1} \ominus f_{a_2} = \{(x, \mathbf{0} \lor [\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) - \mathbf{1}])/x \in X\}.$
We know that $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) \le \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) \le \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x), \text{ for each } x \in X.$
 $\Rightarrow 1 + \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x) \ge \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x), \text{ for each } x \in X.$
 $\Rightarrow \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) - 1 \le \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x), \text{ for each } x \in X.$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \lor (\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) - 1) \lor \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x) = \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x), \text{ for each } x \in X.$
Therefore

Tł

 $\{x, 0 \lor [\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) - 1])/x \in X\} \lor \{(x, \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x)/x \in X\} = \{(x, \mu_{f_{a_3}}(x))/x \in X\}.$ Then we get $(f_{a_1} \ominus f_{a_2}) \lor f_{a_3} = f_{a_3}$.

(iv) and (v) Proof is obvious.

Example 4.1 From the example 2.8, consider the l-FSG $(f, A) = \{f_2, f_5, f_7, f_8, f_{10}\}$

ie.,
$$(f, A) = \left\{\frac{k5^{-2}}{0.7}, \frac{k5^{-5}}{0.8}, \frac{k5^{-7}}{0.88}, \frac{k5^{-8}}{0.89}, \frac{k5^{-10}}{0.9}\right\}.$$

Clearly it shows that $f_2 \subseteq f_5 \subseteq f_7 \subseteq f_8 \subseteq f_{10}$.

$$f_{2} \vee (f_{5} \oplus f_{7}) = \left\{ \frac{k5^{-2}}{0.7} \vee \left(\frac{k5^{-5}}{0.8} \oplus \frac{k5^{-7}}{0.88} \right) \right\}.$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{k5^{-2}}{0.7} \vee \frac{k5^{-5}}{1} \right\}.$$
(1)
$$= \left\{ \frac{k5^{-5}}{1} \right\}.$$

$$(f_{2} \vee f_{5}) \bigoplus (f_{2} \vee f_{7}) = \left\{ \left(\frac{k5^{-2}}{0.7} \vee \frac{k5^{-5}}{0.8} \right) \bigoplus \left(\frac{k5^{-2}}{0.7} \vee \frac{k5^{-7}}{0.88} \right) \right\}.$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{k5^{-5}}{0.8} \bigoplus \frac{k5^{-7}}{0.88} \right\}.$$
$$(2)$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{k5^{-5}}{1} \right\}.$$

From (1) and (2), we get $f_2 \lor (f_5 \oplus f_7) = (f_2 \lor f_5) \oplus (f_2 \lor f_7)$. **Proposition 4.2** *Let* (*f*, *A*) *be an l- FSG over a group X. Then*

- 1. $(f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2})^c = f_{a_1}^c \ominus f_{a_2}^c$,
- 2. $(f_{a_1} \ominus f_{a_2})^c = f_{a_1}^c \oplus f_{a_2}^c$,
- 3. $(f_{a_1}^c \oplus f_{a_2}^c)^c = f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2},$

4.
$$(f_{a_1}^c \ominus f_{a_2}^c)^c = f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2},$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.\,f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2} &= \{(x,\min\{1,\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x))/x \in X\}. \\ \text{Then } (f_{a_1} \oplus f_{a_2})^c &= \{(x,1-\{1 \land \{\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x)\}\})/x \in X\}. \\ &= \{(x,\{1-1\} \lor \{1-\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) - \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x)\})/x \in X\}. \\ &= \{(x,0 \lor \{1-\mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + 1 - \mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) - 1\})/x \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$



Volume 2, Issue 1, July 2023

E-ISSN: 2583-8822

$$= f_{a_1}^c \ominus f_{a_2}^c.$$

3.
$$f_{a_{1}}^{c} \oplus f_{a_{2}}^{c} = \{(x, \min\{1, 1 - \mu_{f_{a_{1}}}(x) + 1 - \mu_{f_{a_{2}}}(x)\})/x \in X\}$$
$$(f_{a_{1}}^{c} \oplus f_{a_{2}}^{c})^{c} = \{(x, 1 - \{1 \land \{1 - \mu_{f_{a_{1}}}(x) + 1 - \mu_{f_{a_{2}}}(x)\}\})/x \in X\}$$
$$= \{(x, \{1 - 1\} \lor \{1 - 1 + \mu_{f_{a_{1}}}(x) - 1 + \mu_{f_{a_{2}}}(x)\})/x \in X\}$$
$$= \{(x, 0 \lor \{\mu_{f_{a_{1}}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_{2}}}(x) - 1\})/x \in X\}$$
$$= f_{a_{1}} \ominus f_{a_{2}}$$

4. The proof is similar to (3).

Proposition 4.3 *Let* (*f*, *A*) *and* (*f*, *B*) *be an l*-*FSG over a group X. Then* (1)((*f*, *A*) ∪ (*f*, *B*))^{*c*} = (*f*^{*c*}, ¬*A*) ∪ (*f*^{*c*}, ¬*B*) = (*f*, *A* ∪ *B*)^{*c*}. (2)((*f*, *A*) ∩ (*f*, *B*))^{*c*} = (*f*^{*c*}, ¬*A*) ∩ (*f*^{*c*}, ¬*B*) = (*f*, *A* ∩ *B*)^{*c*}.

Proof:(1)

Let
$$(f, A) = \{f_{a_1}, f_{a_2}, f_{a_3}, f_{a_4}, f_{a_5}\}$$
 and $(f, B) = \{f_{b_1}, f_{b_2}, f_{b_3}, f_{b_4}, f_{b_5}\}$.
Therefore $(f, A) \cup (f, B) = \{f_{a_1}, f_{a_2}, f_{a_3}, f_{a_4}, f_{a_5}, f_{b_1}, f_{b_2}, f_{b_3}, f_{b_4}, f_{b_5}\}$.
 $((f, A) \cup (f, B))^c = \{f_{\neg a_1}, f_{\neg a_2}, f_{\neg a_3}, f_{\neg a_4}, f_{\neg a_5}, f_{\neg b_1}, f_{\neg b_2}, f_{\neg b_3}, f_{\neg b_4}, f_{\neg b_5}\}$.
 $= \{f_{\neg a_1}, f_{\neg a_2}, f_{\neg a_3}, f_{\neg a_4}, f_{\neg a_5}\} \cup \{f_{\neg b_1}, f_{\neg b_2}, f_{\neg b_3}, f_{\neg b_4}, f_{\neg b_5}\}$,
 $= (f^c, \neg A) \cup (f^c, \neg B)$,
 $= (f^c, \neg A \cup \neg B)$,
 $= (f, A \cup B)^c$.
Hence $((f, A) \cup (f, B))^c = (f^c, \neg A) \cup (f^c, \neg B) = (f, A \cup B)^c$.

Proof of (2) is similar to (1).

Proposition 4.4 *Let* (*f*, *A*) *and* (*f*, *B*) *be an l*-*FSG over a group X. Then* (1)(*f*, *A* \cup *B*)^{*c*} = (*f*, *A*)^{*c*} \cup (*f*, *B*)^{*c*} (2)(*f*, *A* \cap *B*)^{*c*} = (*f*, *A*)^{*c*} \cap (*f*, *B*)^{*c*}. Proof :

(1) $(f, A \cup B)^c = (f^c, \neg(A \cup B)),$

$$= (f^c, \neg A \cup \neg B)),$$

= $(f^c, \neg A) \cup (f^c, \neg B)),$
= $(f, A)^c \cup (f, B)^c.$

Proof of (2) is similar to (1).

Proposition 4.5 *Let* (f, A) *be an* l*-FSG over a group* X*. Then it holds the following results:* $\forall a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ *,*

1.
$$f_{a_1} \oplus (f_{a_2} \ominus f_{a_1}) = f_{a_1}$$
, when $\mu_{f_{a_1}}, \mu_{f_{a_2}} \le 0.5$,

2.
$$f_{a_1} \ominus (f_{a_2} \oplus f_{a_1}) = f_{a_1}$$
, when $\mu_{f_{a_1}}, \mu_{f_{a_2}} \ge 0.5$.

Proof:

Let X be a group and (f, A) be an *l*-FSG over X. Then we have for all $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$, $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \Rightarrow f_{a_1} \subseteq f_{a_2} \subseteq f_{a_3}$. $f_{a_2} \ominus f_{a_1} = \left\{ (x, 0 \lor [\mu_{f_{a_2}}(x) + \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) - 1]) / x \in X \right\} = \{ (x, 0) / x \in X \},$

Then
$$f_{a_1} \oplus (f_{a_2} \ominus f_{a_1}) = \{(x, \min\{1, \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x) + 0/x \in X\}\},\$$



Volume 2, Issue 1, July 2023

E-ISSN: 2583-8822

$$= \{x, \mu_{f_{a_1}}(x)\},\$$

= $f_{a_1}(x).$

Proof of (2) is similar to (1).

5. Conclusion

In this present work, we have obtained some results on l-FSGs under the operations of bounded sum and bounded difference. In future, application of l-FSGs will be established and analysed.

References

- [1] Abdulkadhir Aygunoglu and H. Aygun, Introduction to fuzzy soft groups, *Computer Mathematics and Applications*, 58 (2009), 1279-1286.
- [2] J.Arockia Reeta, and J.Vimala, A study on distributive and modular lattice ordered fuzzy soft group and its duality, *Applied Mathematics Journal of Chinese University*, 31(4) (2016), 491-502.
- [3] J. Arockia Reeta, J. Vimala, *Implementation of anti-lattice ordered fuzzy soft groups and its matrix operations in deciding process*, Journal of Intelligent & fuzzy systems, (2018), 35(4): pp. 4857-4864.
- [4] J. Arockia Reeta, J. Vimala and AB saeid, Algebraic relations over *l*-fuzzy soft groups, Afrika Mathematika, 32(5)(2020), 1-15.
- [5] N.Cagman, F.Citak and S.Enginoglu, Fuzzy soft set theory and its applications, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 8(3) (2011), 137-147.
- [6] G. Gratzer, *Lattice Theory: First Concepts and Distributive Lattices*, University of Manitoba, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1971.
- [7] P.K. Maji, P. Biswas and A.R. Roy, A fuzzy soft sets, *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 3 (2001), 589-602.
- [8] D.A. Molodtsov, Soft set theory First result, *Computer Mathematics and Applications*, 37 (1999), 19-31.
- [9] J. Vimala, Lattice Ordered Groups, International Journal of Scientific and Engg. Research, 5(9), (2014) 58-60.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [11] H. J. Zimmermann, *Fuzzy set theory and its Applications*, Springer International Edition, Fourth Edition, New Delhi, 1934.

Author Contribution Statement: NIL.

Author Acknowledgement: NIL.

Author Declaration: I declare that there is no competing interest in the content and authorship of this scholarly work.

The content of the article is licensed under <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> International License.