REVIEW

A Review on Impact of Different Nitrogen Management Techniques on Maize (*Zea mays* **L.) Crop Performance**

Jyoti Kafle ^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0733-1131)} D, Laxmi Bhandari ¹ D, Salina Neupane ¹ D and Saraswati Aryal 1,[*](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0733-1131) D

¹ Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Bhairahawa 32900, Nepal

Author responsible for correspondence; Email: saraswatiaryal9876@gmail.com.

Abstract

Nitrogen (N), as a primary nutrient requirement of maize (*Zea mays* L.), plays a critical role in its development and productivity. Proper nitrogen management practices involve a delicate balance between providing an adequate amount of this nutrient and mitigating potential environmental impacts. When implemented effectively, these practices can significantly improve corn production. An adequate nitrogen supply promotes vigorous vegetative growth, contributing to strong stalks and an abundance of leaves, which are essential for maximizing the plant's photosynthetic capacity. This lush foliage, in turn, leads to increased photosynthesis and carbohydrate production, providing the energy maize needs throughout the reproductive stage to develop and fill its kernels. In addition, nitrogen is closely linked to kernel development. Well-timed and dosed nitrogen applications can result in larger, well-filled ears with plump kernels, ultimately increasing both the quantity and quality of the maize yield. Environmental considerations, such as reducing nitrogen runoff and greenhouse gas emissions, are important for maintaining ecosystems and mitigating climate change. Thus, this review article highlights the need for a holistic approach to nitrogen management, combining innovative techniques with sustainable agricultural practices, to ensure food security and environmental conservation in maize production systems.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 18 August 2023 Revised: 17 September 2023 Accepted: 22 September 2023 Published: 26 September 2023

KEYWORDS

environmental impact four Rs' approach maize nitrogen

> **EDITOR** Fidelis O. Ajibade

COPYRIGHT © 2023 Author(s) eISSN 2583-942X

LICENCE

This is an Open Access Article published under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Citation: Kafle, J., Bhandari, L., Neupane, S., & Aryal, S. (2023). A Review on Impact of Different Nitrogen Management Techniques on Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Crop Performance. *AgroEnvironmental Sustainability*, 1(2), 192-198. https://doi.org/10.59983/s20230102012

Statement of Sustainability: This study aims to promote the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by considering key issues related to sustainability. Increasing soil productivity contributes to the achievement of Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) and aims to achieve SDG 1 (No Poverty) by improving the livelihoods of farmers. In addition, by minimizing the negative environmental impacts of excessive nitrogen use and supporting biodiversity conservation, it indirectly supports SDG 15 (Life on Land). Ultimately, the goal of our research is the achievement of multiple SDGs, no poverty and zero hunger.

1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop in the world after wheat and rice (Adhikari et al., 2021). In Nepal, it is one of the major crops and is second only to rice in terms of production and area (Govind et al., 2015). It has a higher preference than other crops because it can be grown in almost all seasons and locations. Maize is grown in 957,650 ha with an average production of 28.35,674 MT and productivity of 2.96 MT/ha (Dhakal et al., 2022). Maize is consumed as a staple food by a large population. Recently, its demand has been high due to the increasing number of poultry and feed industries in Nepal (Ghimire et al., 2019). The main maize-growing areas of Nepal, i.e., the mid-hills, are still dominated by traditional practices. Thus, it has been found that the average grain yield of maize under current farming practices is 2 tons/ha, whereas better agronomic management practices improve the yield to 6.5 tons/ha (i.e., an exploitable yield gap of 4.5 tons/ha) (Dhakal et al., 2022). Under such circumstances, the growing demand can only be met by increasing the productivity of maize with the available shrunken land (Govind et al., 2015).

Maize is an exhaustive crop that requires a large amount of nitrogen (N) during the critical growth stages (25 and 40 days after sowing and before tasseling) for maximum production (Bhandari et al., 2019). The productivity of maize mainly depends on nutrient management. Among all essential nutrients, nitrogen is one of the most important for maize crop production (Sharifi and Taghizadeh, 2009). The qualitative characteristics of cereal crops, such as yield and protein content, are greatly influenced by nitrogen fertilizer (Dhital et al., 2022). Nitrogen application increases plant height by increasing internode distance and internode length, which in turn increases the number of leaves per plant and improves photosynthesis in maize, in addition to increasing leaf area, stem diameter, and dry yield of maize (Hammad et al., 2022). In today's agricultural production, nitrogen fertilizer use is an essential indicator or condition to ensure high and consistent crop yield (Evenson and Gollin, 2003). Adequate nitrogen fertilizer supply enhances overall biomass production and crop yield, whereas insufficient nitrogen fertilizer supply inhibits plant dry matter accumulation in reproductive organs and results in inferior grain yield. Therefore, optimal nitrogen fertilizer utilization by a plant is a critical determinant of high crop yield (Hammad et al., 2022). However, mineral nitrogen is primarily lost in agricultural practices through ammonia volatilization, leaching (i.e., removal in drainage water), and denitrification (i.e., conversion to gaseous forms), which not only leads to nitrogen deficit but also has negative environmental impacts (Cameron et al., 2013). That's why a common nutrient management framework known as the "Four-Rs" is considered, which includes the Right Amount - the right rate of application; the Right Source - applying the right type; the Right Placement - using the right method for application; and Right Timing - applying at the right time in the life cycle of the system, which aims at reducing applied fertilizer and increasing crop yield (Fixen, 2020).

2. Nitrogen Management Techniques

Nitrogen is one of the essential nutrients for better crop performance (Cheema et al., 2010). It is a voluminous element, consisting of 78% volume of air however practically unavailable to plants except leguminous crops. The natural source does not provide enough nitrogen for a satisfactory yield (Alam et al., 2023). Moreover, studies by Yadav et al. (2023a) have highlighted the adverse effects of high applications of NPK fertilizers, which can deplete the soil of essential micronutrients over time and disrupt beneficial soil organisms that facilitate nutrient uptake by plants. Therefore, the appropriate way to address soil fertility depletion is the combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizer that improves soil fertility management (Chivenge et al., 2011). Successful nitrogen management minimizes losses to water and air while providing the crop with sufficient nitrogen to maximize production and profitability (Figure 1). With nitrogen, it is relatively easy to achieve both economic and environmental goals. Therefore, best management practices (BMPs) are considered sound practices from an economic, production, and environmental perspective (Scharf et al., 2006).

Figure 1. Nitrogen management techniques adopted by the agricultural sector.

2.1. Site-Specific Nitrogen Management

Most fertilizer management strategies do not account for field-specific variations in available soil nitrogen status. As a result, there is a risk of over-applying nitrogen fertilizer, which can result in low nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). Sitespecific nitrogen management is a plant-based technique to provide nutrients to crops in the right amount and at the right time (Ghimire et al., 2015). The grain yield produced by SSNM is equivalent to a soil test-based fixed nitrogen rate, reducing nitrogen fertilizer by 20-60% (Varinderpal-Singh et al., 2023). SSNM has been recommended as one of the means to further increase nitrogen fertilizer efficiency while minimizing environmental impact (Ferguson et al., 2002). The SSNM approach takes several parameters into account when calculating the appropriate nitrogen requirement for the crop. These factors include crop nitrogen demand, desired yield, climatic conditions (temperature and solar radiation), nitrogen supply from soil, irrigation water, mineralization of crop residues, and other organic matter (Peng et al., 2010).

Soil chemical analysis is unreliable for estimating indigenous soil nitrogen supply. To obtain a field-specific estimate of indigenous nitrogen supply, grain yield measurement in the nitrogen omission plot is used. In the growing season, a chlorophyll meter (SPAD) or leaf color chart is a good indicator to estimate crop nitrogen demand on a leaf area basis (Nayak et al., 2023; Eni, 1967). Adjustments to predetermined nitrogen rates are made during the season based on SPAD or leaf color chart measurements at critical growth stages. The timing and number of nitrogen applications are fixed in this method, while the rate of nitrogen topdressing varies between seasons and locations (Peng et al., 2010).

2.2. Integrated Nitrogen Management

Integrated nitrogen management is known as the consolidation of organic and inorganic sources of plant nutrients, which improves soil nutrition, crop root system, and soil organic carbon, resulting in improved crop growth and optimal yield production (Sarwar et al., 2021). Organic sources enhance the activities of soil microbes, which play an important role in nitrogen mobilization and better NUE (Alam et al., 2023). Incorporation of organic matter, whether in the form of crop residues, organic manure such as farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost, bio-compost, etc., or amendments, has a significant effect on bulk density, soil aggregation, soil structure, soil moisture holding capacity, soil tilth and infiltration rate (Gudadhe, 2018).

Maize is a heavy feeder and is more responsive to nutrient application; due to the low nutrient content of organic fertilizer, it alone does not give a better yield. On the other hand, inorganic fertilizers are the potential sources of higher nutrients in easily available form, so they cannot be avoided. The application of inorganic fertilizer alone degrades soil health, so sustainable crop production can be achieved by applying an appropriate combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers (Iqbal et al., 2014).

2.3. Controlled Release of Nitrogen Fertilizers

Crop growth and development vary with the form of nitrogen application (Ghafoor et al., 2021) reported that worldwide in the agricultural sector, about 0-65% of ammonia is volatilized from urea fertilizer based on environmental and soil characteristics (Figure 2). Nitrogen loss is the main problem that affects soil health conditions and causes environmental pollution. Therefore, to improve nitrogen utilization efficiency, controlled release of nitrogen fertilizer is in practice. Controlled-release nitrogen fertilizers are granular fertilizers covered with a semi-permeable membrane that slowly releases nutrients into the soil over a period and are widely used in agricultural productivity. This approach is expected to avoid excessive nitrogen input and nitrogen loss to the environment in the crop production system (Yao et al., 2021).

Coating nitrogenous fertilizers with appropriate materials reduces the solubility of the fertilizer, resulting in slow release in the soil (Beig et al., 2020). Inorganic materials and organic polymers are the two main groups of coating materials. Inorganic materials consist of sulfur, bentonite, and phospho-gypsum, while organic polymers can be either synthetic polymers such as polyurethane, polyethylene, alkyd resin, etc., or natural polymers such as starch, chitosan, cellulose, and others. Similarly, biochar, rosin, and polyphenol are used as organic materials that maintain the durability of the structure and provide resistance to weather, moisture, abrasion, chemical resistance, toughness, and aesthetic appearance (Lawrencia et al., 2021). Additionally, Yadav et al. (2023b) have confirmed that biochar exhibits a significant adsorption capacity, allowing it to absorb potassium, nitrogen, organic matter, and phosphorus within the soil.

Figure 2. Pathway of nitrogen fertilizer dynamics and uptake by plants through various mechanisms.

2.4. Use of Nitrification Inhibitors

N fertilizer can be easily lost to the environment through leaching and runoff in the form of dissolved organic N, ammonium (NH₄⁺), nitrite (NO₂⁻), nitrate (NO₃⁻), and nitrous oxide (N₂O), as well as gaseous emissions in the form of ammonia (NH₃), nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and dinitrogen (N₂) (Sharma and Bali, 2018). These nitrogen losses not only increase production costs but also pose a significant threat to global environmental quality. Reducing nitrogen losses from farms is an important step towards increasing nitrogen agronomic efficiency and reducing the environmental impact of nitrogen fertilizers. Therefore, the use of nitrification inhibitors (NIs) is one of the potential ways to suppress nitrification in soil for the optimal use of nitrogen fertilizers (Sun et al., 2015). Nitrification inhibitors slow down the rate of conversion of ammonium to nitrite and nitrate by inhibiting ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) (Wang and Wang, 2022) (Misselbrook et al., 2014). The efficiency of nitrification inhibitors is determined by soil factors, management factors, and crop type (Yang et al., 2016). N-(n-butyl)-thiophosphoric tri-amide (NBPT), dicyandiamide (DCD), 2-chloro-6-(tri-chloro-methyl)-pyridine (CP, N-serve), and 3, 4 dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) are the most commonly available nitrification inhibitors (Sun et al., 2015). NBPT decreases the process of hydrolysis of nitrogen fertilizer, resulting in a reduction of NH3+ volatilization and accumulation of $NO₂$ - in the soil (Bremner, 1990).

3. Effect of Nitrogen on Maize Crop Performance

3.1. Effect on Growth and Phenology of Maize

Nitrogen plays an important role in plant growth and constitutes about 1 to 4 percent of the dry matter of the plant (Asif et al., 2013). The application of nitrogen during the early stages of plant growth increases the cell number and volume per leaf, accelerates chlorophyll formation, and increases plant biomass (Amanullah et al., 2009). The growth parameter such as the number of leaves per plant is increased by nitrogen application, which improves plant height by increasing the distance between internodes and length of internodes. As a result, the application of nitrogen fertilizer is also good for increasing the height, leaf area, and stem diameter as well as the fresh and dry yield of maize (Hammad et al., 2022). Furthermore, the study by Yadav et al. (2022) underscores the pivotal role of nitrogen and potassium as the key elements influencing leaf count and leaf area in plants. Higher nitrogen concentration in the root zone during early growth stages is favorable for achieving high crop yield (Hammad et al., 2018). Various stages of maize such as days to tasseling, days to silking, physiological maturity, and seed filling stage are affected by nitrogen application rate (Karki et al., 2020) (Begizew and Desalegn, 2019). Nitrogen plays a critical role in crop phenology, which is one of the most important components in determining yield and yield attribution characteristics of maize (Sharifi and Namvar, 2016).

Table 1. Key finding for the impact of nitrogen application on the maize grain yield.

3.2. Effect on Yield of Maize

Nitrogen fertilizer has a great influence on grain quality by changing the amount of protein and mineral content and crop yield (Table 1). Application of nitrogen fertilizer increases chlorophyll content, enzymes, and rate of photosynthesis which improves yield and yield parameters such as cob length, cob diameter, kernel row, kernel/row, kernel/cob, test weight, and shelling % (Karki et al., 2020). Hammad et al. (2022) and Demari et al. (2016) reported that the application of nitrogen fertilizer has a positive and significant effect on the number of ears per plant, weight of ears, and mass of thousand seeds. Increasing nitrogen content also reduces the sterility and barren percentage of maize (Marahatta, 2020). Similarly, nitrogen content shows a significant effect on grain production, stover yield, harvest index, and grain stover ratio (Dawadi and Sah, 2012). The physiological components such as interception and effective use of radiation and nitrogen participation in reproductive organs are used to determine the availability of nitrogen on grain yield (Sandhu et al., 2021).

4. Conclusion

This review indicates that appropriate nitrogen management plays a critical role in improving the growth, phenology, yield, and quality of maize. From this review, it was found that increasing maize productivity through nitrogen use is difficult because nitrogen is susceptible to loss in many forms. To mitigate these losses and optimize maize production, some existing best nitrogen management approaches are discovered through rigorous discussion and interpretation of the result. Some of the approaches that help to improve the efficiency of nitrogen use and productivity of corn crops include site-specific nitrogen management, integrated nitrogen management, controlled fertilizer release, and nitrification inhibitors.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Jyoti Kafle, Laxmi Bhandari; Data curation, Jyoti Kafle; Funding acquisition, Jyoti Kafle; Investigation, Jyoti Kafle, Laxmi Bhandari, Salina Neupane, Saraswati Aryal; Methodology, Salina Neupane, Saraswati Aryal; Resources: Saraswati Aryal; Software, Salina Neupane; Supervision, Jyoti Kafle, Laxmi Bhandari; Visualization, Salina Neupane; Writing – original draft, Jyoti Kafle, Laxmi Bhandari, Salina Neupane, Saraswati Aryal; Writing – review & editing, Salina Neupane, Saraswati Aryal. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Not applicable.

Acknowledgment: We would like to extend our sincere appreciation to Mr. Shubh Pravat Sing Yadav for his invaluable contributions to this paper. His expertise, dedication and insightful discussions greatly enriched the quality and depth of our research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Institutional/Ethical Approval: Not applicable.

Data/Supplementary Information Availability: Not applicable.

References

Adhikari, K., Bhandari, S., Aryal, K., Mahato, M., & Shrestha, J. (2021). Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 4(2), 48–62. https://doi.org/10.3126/janr.v4i2.33656

Alam, M. S., Khanam, M., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). Environment-friendly nitrogen management practices in wetland paddy cultivation. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7(2), 1020570. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1020570

Amanullah, Khattak, R. A., & Khalil, S. K. (2009). Plant density and nitrogen effects on maize phenology and grain yield. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32(2), 246–260. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160802592714

- Asif, M., Farrukh Saleem, M., Ahmad Anjum, S., Ashfaq Wahid, M., & Faisal Bilal, M. (2013). Effect of nitrogen and ZnSO⁴ on maize yield. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 51(4), 51-60.
- Begizew, G., & Desalegn, C. (2019). Response of maize phenology and grain yield to various nitrogen rates and plant spacing at Bako, West Ethiopia. *Open Journal of Plant Science*, 4(1), 9–14. https://doi.org/10.17352/ojps.000016
- Beig, B., Niazi, M. B. K., Jahan, Z., Hussain, A., Zia, M. H., & Mehran, M. T. (2020). Coating materials for slow release of nitrogen from urea fertilizer: a review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 43(10), 1510–1533. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1744647
- Bhandari, D., Shrestha, R., & Joshi, B. K. (2019). In: National Winter Crops Workshop. November 2019, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Kathmandu: Nepal, pp. 672–686.
- Bremner, J. M. (1990). Problems in the use of urea as a nitrogen fertilizer. *Soil Use and Management*, 6(2), 70–71. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.1990.tb00804.x
- Cameron, K. C., Di, H. J., & Moir, J. L. (2013). *Nitrogen losses from the soil/plant system: A review*. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 162(2), 145–173. https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12014
- Cheema, M., Farhad, W., Saleem, M., Khan, H. Z., Munir, A., Wahid, M. A., & Rasul, F. (2010). Nitrogen management strategies for sustainable maize production. *Crop and Environment*, 1(1), 49–52.
- Chivenge, P., Vanlauwe, B., & Six, J. (2011). Does the combined application of organic and mineral nutrient sources influence maize productivity? A meta-analysis. *Plant and Soil*, 342(1–2), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0626-5
- Dawadi, D., & Sah, S. (2012). Growth and Yield of Hybrid Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Relation to Planting Density and Nitrogen Levels during Winter Season in Nepal. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 23(3), 218. https://doi.org/10.4038/tar.v23i3.4659
- Demari, G., Carvalho, I., Nardino, M., JSzareski, V., Dellagostin, S., da Rosa, T., Follmann, N., Monteiro, M., Basso, C., Pedó, T., Aumonde, T., & Zimmer, P. (2016). Importance of Nitrogen in Maize Production. *International Journal of Current Research*, 8(8), 36629–36634.
- Dhakal, S., Sah, S. K., Amgain, L. P., & Dhakal, K. H. (2022). Maize cultivation: present status, major constraints and farmer's perception at Madichaur, Rolpa. *Journal of Agriculture and Forestry University*, 5, 125–131. https://doi.org/10.3126/jafu.v5i1.48454
- Dhital, G., Marahatta, S., Karki, T. B., & Basnet, K. B. (2022). Response of Different Levels of Nitrogen and Plant Population to Grain Yield of Winter Hybrid Maize in Chitwan Valley. *Agronomy Journal of Nepal*, *6*(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.3126/ajn.v6i1.47938
- Eni (1967). Site specific and dynamic nitrogen management strategies in hybrid maize. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition,* 6(11), 951–952.
- Evenson, R. E., & Gollin, D. (2003). Assessing the impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000. *Science*, 300(5620), 758–762. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078710
- Ferguson, R. B., Hergert, G. W., Schepers, J. S., Gotway, C. A., Cahoon, J. E., & Peterson, T. A. (2002). Site-specific nitrogen management of irrigated maize: yield and soil residual nitrate effects. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 66, 544–553.
- Fixen, P. E. (2020). A brief account of the genesis of 4R nutrient stewardship. *Agronomy Journal*, 112(5), 4511–4518. https://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.20315
- Ghafoor, I., Habib-ur-Rahman, M., Ali, M., Afzal, M., Ahmed, W., Gaiser, T., & Ghaffar, A. (2021). Slow-release nitrogen fertilizers enhance growth, yield, NUE in wheat crop and reduce nitrogen losses under an arid environment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(32), 43528–43543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13700-4
- Ghimire, P., Dahal, K. R., Marahatta, S., Devkota, K., & Ghimire, B. R. (2015). Site-Specific Nutrient Management for Rainfed Maize in Western Mid-Hills of Nepal. *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology*, 3(2), 227–231. https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v3i2.12538
- Ghimire, Y. N., Timsina, K. P., Devkota, D., Gautam, S., Choudhary, D., Podel, H., & Pant, J. (2019). In: 13th Asian Maize Conference on Maize for Food, Feed, Nutrition and Environmental Security. April, 2019. South Asia Regional Office, Kathmandu: Nepal.
- Govind, K. C., Karki, T. B., Shrestha, J., & Achhami, B. B. (2015). Status and prospects of maize research in Nepal. *Journal of Maize Research and Development*, 1(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.3126/jmrd.v1i1.14239
- Gudadhe, N., Thanki, J. D., Patel, K. K., Patel, D. D., & Arvadia, M. K. (2018). Integrated nitrogen management package for sustainable maize yield and soil health with and without vermiwash. *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*, 14(11), 1-7.
- Hammad, H. M., Abbas, F., Ahmad, A., Farhad, W., Wilkerson, C. J., & Hoogenboom, G. (2018). Evaluation of timing and rates for nitrogen application for optimizing maize growth and development and maximizing yield. *Agronomy Journal*, 110(2), 565–571. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2017.08.0466
- Hammad, H. M., Chawla, M. S., Jawad, R., Alhuqail, A., Bakhat, H. F., Farhad, W., Khan, F., Mubeen, M., Shah, A. N., Liu, K., Harrison, M. T., Saud, S., & Fahad, S. (2022). Evaluating the impact of nitrogen application on growth and productivity of maize under control conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.885479
- Iqbal, M. A., Iqbal, A., Raza, A., Akbar, N., Abbas, R. N., Zaman, H., Muhammad, K., & Iqbal, A. (2014). Integrated Nitrogen Management Studies in Forage Maize. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 14(8), 744–747. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2014.14.08.12385
- Karkia, M., Pantha, B. P., Subedia, P., GCa, A., & Regmib, R. (2020). Effect of different doses of nitrogen on production of spring Maize (*Zea mays*) in Gulmi, Nepal. *Sustainability in Food and Agriculture*, 1(1), 1-5. https://doi.org/10.26480/sfna.01.2020.01.05
- Lawrencia, D., Wong, S. K., Low, D. Y. S., Goh, B. H., Goh, J. K., Ruktanonchai, U. R., Soottitantawat, A., Lee, L. H., & Tang, S. Y. (2021). Controlled release fertilizers: A review on coating materials and mechanism of release. *Plants*, 10(2), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020238
- Marahatta, S. (2020). Nitrogen levels influence barrenness and sterility of maize varieties under different establishment methods during hot spring in western Terai of Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Forestry University*, 4, 117–127. https://doi.org/10.3126/jafu.v4i1.47056
- Misselbrook, T. H., Cardenas, L. M., Camp, V., Thorman, R. E., Williams, J. R., Rollett, A. J., & Chambers, B. J. (2014). An assessment of nitrification inhibitors to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from UK agriculture. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(11), 115006. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/115006
- Nayak, R., Satapathy, M., Rath, B., Panda, K., Paikaray, R. K., & Jena, S. (2023). Effect of site specific nitrogen management on growth, yield attributes and yield of kharif rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in rice-groundnut cropping system in Odisha. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(2), 2063-2067.
- Peng, S., Buresh, R. J., Huang, J., Zhong, X., Zou, Y., Yang, J., Wang, G., Liu, Y., Hu, R., Tang, Q., Cui, K., Zhang, F., & Dobermann, A. (2010). Improving nitrogen fertilization in rice by site-specific N management. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 30(3), 649–656. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2010002
- Sandhu, N., Sethi, M., Kumar, A., Dang, D., Singh, J., & Chhuneja, P. (2021). Biochemical and Genetic Approaches Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Cereal Crops: A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 657629. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.657629
- Sarwar, N., Atique-ur-Rehman, Farooq, O., Wasaya, A., Hussain, M., El-Shehawi, A. M., Ahmad, S., Brestic, M., Mahmoud, S. F., Zivcak, M., & Farooq, S. (2021). Integrated nitrogen management improves productivity and economic returns of wheat-maize cropping system. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*, 33(5), 101475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.101475
- Scharf, P., Lory, J., & Grundler, J. (2006). Best management practices for nitrogen fertilizer in Missouri. MU Extension IPM1027, pp. 1– 11. Available online: http://ipm.missouri.edu (accessed on 01 August 2023).
- Sharifi, R. S., & Namvar, A. (2016). Effects of time and rate of nitrogen application on phenology and some agronomical traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Biologija*, 62(1). https://doi.org/10.6001/biologija.v62i1.3288
- Sharifi, R. S., & Taghizadeh, R. (2009). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars to different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 7(3–4), 518–521.
- Sharma, L. K., & Bali, S. K. (2018). A review of methods to improve nitrogen use efficiency in agriculture. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010051
- Sun, H., Zhang, H., Powlson, D., Min, J., & Shi, W. (2015). Rice production, nitrous oxide emission and ammonia volatilization as impacted by the nitrification inhibitor 2-chloro-6-(trichloromethyl)-pyridine. *Field Crops Research*, 173, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.12.012
- Varinderpal-Singh, Kunal, Kaur, J., Bhatt, R., Kaur, S., Dhillon, B. S., Singh, K. B., Singh, S., Sharma, S., & Bijay-Singh. (2023). Site-specific fertilizer nitrogen management in less and high n responsive basmati rice varieties using newly developed PAU-leaf colour chart. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 54(10), 1334–1349. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2022.2144346
- Wang, D., & Wang, D. (2022). Improved nitrogen use efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural soils as producers of biological nitrification inhibitors. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 854195. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.854195
- Yadav, G., Rai, S., Adhikari, N., Yadav, S. P. S., & Bhattarai, S. (2022). Efficacy of different doses of NPK on growth and yield of rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*) in Khadbari, Sankhuwasabha, Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 7(4), 488-494. https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2022.070401
- Yadav, S. P. S., Bhandari, S., Bhatta, D., Poudel, A., Bhattarai, S., Yadav, P., & Oli, B. (2023b). Biochar application: A sustainable approach to improve soil health. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 100498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100498
- Yadav, S. P. S., Lahutiya, V., Ghimire, N. P., Yadav, B., & Paudel, P. (2023a). Exploring innovation for sustainable agriculture: A systematic case study of permaculture in Nepal. *Heliyon*, 9(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15899
- Yang, M., Fang, Y., Sun, D., & Shi, Y. (2016). Efficiency of two nitrification inhibitors (dicyandiamide and 3, 4-dimethypyrazole phosphate) on soil nitrogen transformations and plant productivity: A meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22075
- Yao, Z., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Liu, D., & Chen, X. (2021). Agronomic, environmental, and ecosystem economic benefits of controlled-release nitrogen fertilizers for maize production in Southwest China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 312, 127611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127611

Publisher's note/Disclaimer: Regarding jurisdictional assertions in published maps and institutional affiliations, SAGENS maintains its neutral position. All publications' statements, opinions, and information are the sole responsibility of their respective author(s) and contributor(s), not SAGENS or the editor(s). SAGENS and/or the editor(s) expressly disclaim liability for any harm to persons or property caused by the use of any ideas, methodologies, suggestions, or products described in the content.