

Weighted Prompt Engineering: Helpful or Hinderling?

Citation for published version (APA):

Schmidt, R. P. W., Lin, P.-Y., Schoenmakers, S., Hofmeyer, H., Pauwels, P., Andersen, H. K. G., & IJsselsteijn, W. A. (2023). *Weighted Prompt Engineering: Helpful or Hinderling?*. Poster session presented at Holst Memorial Lecture 2023, Eindhoven, Netherlands.

Document license:
Unspecified

Document status and date:
Published: 30/11/2023

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:


www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



Weighted Prompt Engineering: Helpful or Hinderin

THE EFFECTS OF WEIGHTED PROMPT ENGINEERING ON THE CREATIVITY OF TEXT-TO-IMAGE GENERATION

R. Schmidt¹, P. Lin², S. Schoenmakers³, H. Hofmeyer¹, P. Pauwels⁴, K. Andersen², W. IJsselsteijn³

- 1. Unit Structural Engineering and Design, Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands
- 2. Future Everyday group, Industrial Design, Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands
- 3. Human-Technology Interaction group, Industrial Engineering and Innovation Sciences, Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands
- 4. Unit Urban Systems and Real Estate, Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands




r.p.w.schmidt@tue.nl

INTRODUCTION

- Increasing creativity in design and engineering may potentially lead to better solutions and processes
- Text-to-Image models can create images from a text prompt, but this does not always go as expected
- Gap between the user's intention and the system's output¹
- Adding control methods can increase self-expression and, in turn perceived creativity²


HYPOTHESIS

→ Adding a control method to the image generation process leads to higher perceived creativity




POSSIBLE SOLUTION

Weighted Prompt Engineering



Dog in a roller coaster



Dog:0.30 in a roller coaster0.70

METHOD

- 44 participants generated 8 images using both unweighted (standard) and weighted (new) prompt engineering
- Participants rated the images' perceived creativity, self-expression, value, novelty, and surprise³ on a 7-pt. scale, followed by an interview



Monster city:0.60 in water:0.40



blue:0.40 turtle:0.60




A sunflower field by sunset

RESULTS

- Scores for creativity, self-expression, and value decrease when going from unweighted to weighted prompt engineering
- Scores for novelty and surprise are higher for weighted prompt engineering compared to unweighted prompt engineering

Variable Scores during Text-to-Image Generation



- Participants didn't like adding numbers to text
 - "Using weights lays more emphasis on the weights instead of processing your ideas"
 - "Not using numbers doesn't break my flow of thought"
 - "Thinking in numbers limited me coming up with new ideas"
- Participants felt like they had higher expectations using the Weighted Prompt Engineering method
 - "I'd be more disappointed in weighted going wrong than unweighted"
 - "When using the weighted, I noticed that I really wanted or expected what I asked for"
 - "Weighted was also frustrating because I did not get what I asked for"

CONCLUSION

- Weighted Prompt Engineering **decreases** self-expression but does not have a significant effect on creativity
- Weighted Prompt Engineering seems to make the images more likely to be perceived as novel or surprising, but not enough evidence was found to confirm this
- Based on this data, Weighted Prompt Engineering seems to be a less promising method to increase creativity and other methods, e.g. a 'troublemaker' should be explored

BUILT ENVIRONMENT / STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING AND DESIGN

1. Gal, R., Alaluf, Y., Atzmon, Y., Patashnik, O., Bermano, A. H., Chechik, G., & Cohen-Or, D. (2022). An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2208.01618>

2. Jordanous, A. (2012). A standardised procedure for evaluating creative systems: Computational creativity evaluation based on what it is to be creative. *Cognitive Computation*, 4(3), 246–279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-012-9156-1>

3. Boden, M. A. (1998). Creativity and artificial intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 103(1), 347–356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702\(98\)00055-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(98)00055-1)