

# COUNTING INTEGERS REPRESENTABLE AS IMAGES OF POLYNOMIALS MODULO $n$

FABIÁN ARIAS, JERSON BORJA, LUIS RUBIO

ABSTRACT. Given a polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  in  $t$  variables with integer coefficients and a positive integer  $n$ , let  $\alpha(n)$  be the number of integers  $0 \leq a < n$  such that the polynomial congruence  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \equiv a \pmod{n}$  is solvable. We describe a method that allows to determine the function  $\alpha$  associated to polynomials of the form  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_tx_t^k$ . Then we apply this method to polynomials that involve sums and differences of squares, mainly to the polynomials  $x^2 + y^2$ ,  $x^2 - y^2$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  in  $t$  variables with integer coefficients, consider the polynomial congruence

$$(1.1) \quad f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \equiv a \pmod{n}$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $a$  is an integer. Since the congruence (1.1) has solution for  $a$  if and only if it has solution for  $a + qn$  for any integer  $q$ , we can assume that  $a$  belongs to a complete residue system modulo  $n$ . We will use the system of residues  $I_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

For any positive integer  $n$ , we set  $A_n$  to be the set of all  $a \in I_n$  for which (1.1) has solution. We define  $\alpha(n) = |A_n|$ , where  $|A_n|$  stands for the size of  $A_n$ . The following natural questions about these sets  $A_n$  and their sizes  $\alpha(n)$  guide our work:

- (1) Give explicit descriptions of  $A_n$  for all  $n$ .
- (2) Find a formula for  $\alpha(n)$ .
- (3) Determine or describe all the values of  $n$  such that  $\alpha(n) = n$ . This is equivalent to determine when the polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  is surjective when it is considered as a map  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n^t \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ . When this map is surjective, we will say that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  is *surjective on  $n$* .

Some results related to these questions with respect to the polynomials  $x^2 + y^2$  and  $x^3 + y^3$  are found in [2, 3, 4].

In [4], it is solved the problem of characterizing all positive integers  $n$  such that every element in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  can be represented as the sum of two squares in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , or, in our terms, that  $x^2 + y^2$  is surjective on  $n$ . Such integers  $n$  are those that satisfy the two conditions

- (i)  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and
- (ii)  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  for any prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  with  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

In [4] it is also solved the problem of finding all positive integers  $n$  such that every element in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is expressible as a sum of two squares without allowing zero as a

summand. Our interest is on the case where zero is allowed as a summand because in that case the sizes  $\alpha(n)$  define a multiplicative function.

In [3] it is considered the general problem of representing elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  as the sum of two squares. It is also determined the sizes  $\alpha(n)$  of sets  $A_n$  associated to the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2$ . The numbers  $\alpha(n)$  define a multiplicative function, which implies that for finding  $\alpha(n)$  for all positive integers  $n$ , it suffices to find  $\alpha(p^n)$  where  $p$  is prime and  $n \geq 1$ . This is done in [3] by giving first an explicit description of sets  $A_{p^n}$  and then making a direct calculation of the size of  $A_{p^n}$ .

Formulas for the numbers  $\alpha(n)$  associated to the polynomial  $x^3 + y^3$  are found in [2]. There, it is considered the fraction  $\delta(n) = \alpha(n)/n$  instead of  $\alpha(n)$ . There is no explicit description of the sets  $A_{p^n}$  associated to  $x^3 + y^3$ , but some properties of  $\delta(n)$  give essentially recursive ways of finding  $\delta(n)$ .

For a general polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ , if every nonnegative integer is of the form  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ , then  $\alpha(n) = n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . This is the case for some polynomials as  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$  or  $x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ . There are theorems that establish that all nonnegative numbers are of the form  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  for some specific polynomials. Three of these theorems that are important for us are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Euler*) *A positive integer  $n$  is expressible as a sum of two squares if and only if each prime of the form  $4k + 3$  appears to an even exponent in the prime decomposition of  $n$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** (*Gauss-Legendre*) *An nonnegative integer is the sum of three squares if and only if it is not of the form  $4^a(8b + 7)$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** (*Lagrange*) *Every nonnegative integer is expressible as the sum of four squares.*

So, if  $t \geq 4$ , the polynomial  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_t^2$  is surjective on  $n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We are interested in what happens with the polynomials  $x^2 + y^2$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .

For a general polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ , the associated sizes  $\alpha(n)$  define a multiplicative function. Then, for determining  $\alpha(n)$  for all  $n$ , it suffices to determine  $\alpha(p^n)$  for any prime number  $p$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Thus, we focus on studying the sets  $A_{p^n}$  where  $p$  is a prime number and  $n \geq 1$ .

We prove some structural results that give us tools to find recurrence formulas for  $\alpha(p^n)$  for polynomials of the form  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$ . Then, we apply this results to find explicit formulas for the associated function to the polynomials  $x^2 + y^2$ ,  $x^2 - y^2$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ . With our method, we deduce the results related to  $x^2 + y^2$  already found in [3].

The polynomials  $x^2 - y^2$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  share the following property: if  $n = 2^s m$  where  $s \geq 0$  and  $m$  is odd, then  $\alpha(n) = \alpha(2^s)m$ .

In the case of  $x^2 - y^2$  we find that  $\alpha(2) = 2$  and  $\alpha(2^s) = 3 \cdot 2^{s-2}$  for  $s \geq 2$ . In particular,  $x^2 - y^2$  is surjective on  $n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

For the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  we find the explicit formula

$$\alpha(2^s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(5 \cdot 2^{s-1} + 1), & \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2}{3}(5 \cdot 2^{s-2} + 1), & \text{if } s \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

and in particular,  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  is surjective on  $n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .

## 2. THE MULTIPLICATIVE FAMILY ASSOCIATED TO A POLYNOMIAL

In general we can consider a family of nonempty sets  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  where  $A_n \subseteq I_n$  for all positive integers  $n$ . We define the associated function  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  by  $\alpha(n) = |A_n|$  for all  $n$ . Note that  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . The first thing we do is to define general adequate conditions on the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  so that the associated function  $\alpha$  is multiplicative.

**2.1. Multiplicative families and polynomials.** If  $n$  and  $m$  are integers such that  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(m) &:= \{s \in I_n : s \equiv a \pmod{m} \text{ for some } a \in A_m\} \\ &= \{a + jm : a \in A_m, 0 \leq j < n/m\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  *multiplicative*, if whenever  $n = m_1 m_2$  with  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  relatively prime, the equality  $A_n = A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2)$  holds. This condition on the family of sets  $\{A_n\}_n$  guaranties that the associated function  $\alpha$  is multiplicative.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\{A_n\}_n$  is a multiplicative family, then the associated function  $\alpha$  is multiplicative.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = m_1 m_2$  where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are relatively prime. We can decompose  $A_n(m_1)$  as the disjoint union of subsets  $B(a, m_1) := \{a + jm_1 : 0 \leq j < m_2\}$ , where  $a \in A_{m_1}$ . Similarly,  $A_n(m_2)$  is the disjoint union of subsets  $B(b, m_2) = \{b + jm_2 : 0 \leq j < m_1\}$ , where  $b \in A_{m_2}$ . Then,

$$A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2) = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A_{m_1} \\ b \in A_{m_2}}} (B(a, m_1) \cap B(b, m_2)).$$

Note that  $c \in B(a, m_1) \cap B(b, m_2)$  if and only if  $c \equiv a \pmod{m_1}$  and  $c \equiv b \pmod{m_2}$ ; moreover, by the Chinese remainder theorem, there is exactly one solution in  $I_n$  of the system of congruences  $x \equiv a \pmod{m_1}, x \equiv b \pmod{m_2}$ . This means that  $B(a, m_1) \cap B(b, m_2)$  has exactly one element. Since the sets  $B(a, m_1) \cap B(b, m_2)$  for  $a \in A_{m_1}, b \in A_{m_2}$  are pairwise disjoint, we have that  $|A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2)| = |A_{m_1}| \cdot |A_{m_2}|$ . Now, if the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  is multiplicative, then we have  $\alpha(n) = |A_n| = |A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2)| = |A_{m_1}| \cdot |A_{m_2}| = \alpha(m_1)\alpha(m_2)$ . Thus, the associated function  $\alpha$  is multiplicative.  $\square$

Now we define two conditions on  $\{A_n\}_n$  that will permit us to show that  $\{A_n\}_n$  is multiplicative.

C1. If  $m$  divides  $n$  and  $a \in A_n$ , then  $a \pmod{m} \in A_m$ , where  $a \pmod{m}$  is the residue of  $a$  when  $a$  is divided by  $m$ .

C2. If  $n = m_1 m_2$  for relatively prime  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ;  $a_1 \in A_{m_1}, a_2 \in A_{m_2}$  and  $a$  is the unique solution in  $I_n$  to the system of congruences  $x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}, x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$ , then  $a \in A_n$ .

Note that if  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfies condition C1 and  $m$  divides  $n$ , then  $A_n \subseteq A_n(m)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfies C1 and C2, then  $\{A_n\}_n$  is multiplicative.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $n = m_1 m_2$  for relatively prime  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . Since  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfies C1, we have  $A_n \subseteq A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2)$ . To show the other inclusion, take  $a \in A_n(m_1) \cap A_n(m_2)$ . Then, there exist  $a_1 \in A_{m_1}$  and  $a_2 \in A_{m_2}$  such that  $a \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$  and  $a \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$  and, since  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfies C2,  $a \in A_n$ . This shows that  $A_n = A_n^{m_1} \cap A_n^{m_2}$ .  $\square$

We assume that the family of sets  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfy conditions C1 and C2. Then, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the associated function  $\alpha$  is multiplicative. Thus, to determine the values of  $\alpha$  on all positive integers, it is enough to determine  $\alpha(p^n)$  for all primes  $p$ , and  $n \geq 1$ . This yields us to study the sets  $A_{p^n}$  for powers of primes  $p^n$ .

Condition C1 on the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  implies that if  $p$  is prime and  $n \geq 1$ , then  $A_{p^n} \subseteq A_{p^n}(p^{n-1})$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we define  $N_{p^n} := A_{p^n}(p^{n-1}) \setminus A_{p^n}$  and call these sets the *N-sets* of the prime  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $n \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\alpha(p^n) = p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - |N_{p^n}|.$$

*Proof.* The size of  $A_{p^n}(p^{n-1})$  is  $p \cdot |A_{p^{n-1}}| = p\alpha(p^{n-1})$ . Then

$$\alpha(p^n) = |A_{p^n}(p^{n-1}) \setminus N_{p^n}| = |A_{p^n}(p^{n-1})| - |N_{p^n}| = p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - |N_{p^n}|.$$

$\square$

From now on, we focus on the size of sets  $|N_{p^n}|$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

The important families of sets  $\{A_n\}_n$  we are interested in are those associated to a polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ , that is,  $A_n$  is the set of elements  $a \in I_n$  such that the congruence  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \equiv a \pmod{n}$  is solvable. We refer to the associated function  $\alpha$  as the function associated to  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The family  $\{A_n\}_n$  associated to a polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  is multiplicative. In particular, the function  $\alpha$  associated to  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  is multiplicative.*

*Proof.* Condition C1 is trivially satisfied by this family of sets. Condition C2 is also true. To prove it, assume that  $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$  and  $f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t) \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$ , where  $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are relatively prime,  $n = m_1 m_2$ ,  $a_i \in I_{m_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $a$  be the only solution in  $I_n$  of the system of congruences  $x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$ ,  $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , there exists  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c_j \equiv a_j \pmod{m_1}$  and  $c_j \equiv b_j \pmod{m_2}$ . Since  $f$  is a polynomial,  $f(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) \equiv f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) \equiv a_1 \equiv a \pmod{m_1}$  and  $f(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) \equiv f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t) \equiv a_2 \equiv a \pmod{m_2}$ , from what follows that  $f(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) \equiv a \pmod{n}$ , that is,  $a \in A_n$ . The result follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Let us consider  $r$  families  $\{A_n^{(i)}\}_n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , where  $A_n^{(i)} \subseteq I_n$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $A_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^r A_n^{(i)}$ . Assume that  $A_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n$ . If the  $r$  families satisfy C1 (resp. C2), then the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  satisfy C1 (resp. C2).

In the particular case that  $\{A_n^{(i)}\}_n$  is the family associated to some polynomial  $f_i(x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_{t_i}^{(i)})$ , and assuming that the intersections  $A_n$  are nonempty, then  $\{A_n\}_n$  is multiplicative. The associated function  $\alpha$  counts the numbers of elements  $a \in I_n$  such that the system of congruences

$$f_i(x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_{t_i}^{(i)}) \equiv a \pmod{n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

is solvable.

**2.2. The multiplicative family associated to  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$ .** We study the multiplicative function  $\alpha$  and the sets  $A_{p^n}$  associated to a polynomial of the form  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) = c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$ , where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . To determine the value of  $\alpha$  at prime powers, we need to understand the sets  $A_{p^n}$  and  $N_{p^n}$ . The following lemmas give us tools to study these sets.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\{A_n\}_n$  be the family associated to the polynomial  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number that does not divide  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t$  and let  $s$  be the highest nonnegative integer such that  $p^s$  divides  $k$ . Suppose  $a \in A_{p^n}$  and*

$$c_1m_1^k + c_2m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv a \pmod{p^n},$$

where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and at least one  $m_i$  is not divisible by  $p$ . If  $n \geq 2s + 1$ , then  $a + jp^n \in A_{p^{n+1}}$  for all  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j < p$ .

*Proof.* We have that there is some integer  $w$  such that  $c_1m_1^k + c_2m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k = a + wp^n$ . Assume that  $p$  does not divide  $m_1$ . Write  $k = p^s k_0$  where  $s \geq 0$  and  $p$  does not divide  $k_0$ . Since  $p$  does not divide  $c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0$ , the congruence  $c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0 x + w \equiv j \pmod{p}$  has solution; so there are integers  $d$  and  $e$  such that  $c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0 d + w = j + ep$ . By the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + dp^{n-s})^k &= m_1^k + km_1^{k-1} dp^{n-s} + \sum_{2 \leq t \leq k} \binom{k}{t} m_1^{k-t} d^t p^{t(n-s)} \\ &= m_1^k + m_1^{k-1} k_0 dp^n + \sum_{2 \leq t \leq k} \binom{k}{t} m_1^{k-t} d^t p^{t(n-s)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n \geq 2s + 1$ , for  $t \geq 2$  we have  $t(n-s) \geq 2(n-s) = n + (n-2s) \geq n+1$ . Then

$$(m_1 + dp^{n-s})^k \equiv (m_1^k + m_1^{k-1} k_0 dp^n) \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore, modulo  $p^{n+1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(m_1 + dp^{n-s})^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k &\equiv (c_1 m_1^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k) + c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0 dp^n \\ &\equiv a + wp^n + c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0 dp^n \\ &\equiv a + (w + c_1 m_1^{k-1} k_0 d) p^n \\ &\equiv a + jp^n + ep^{n+1} \\ &\equiv a + jp^n, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $a + jp^n \in A_{p^{n+1}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number and consider the  $N$ -sets  $N_{p^n}$  associated to the polynomial  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$ . If  $p$  does not divide  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ , then*

$$N_{p^n} \subseteq \{p^k a : a \in N_{p^{n-k}}\}.$$

for every  $n > k + 1$

*Proof.* If  $p^s$  is the highest power of  $p$  that divides  $k$ , then  $k \geq p^s \geq 2^s \geq 2s$ . Thus, if  $n > k + 1$ , then  $n - 1 \geq 2s + 1$  and we can apply Lemma 2.6. If  $b \in N_{p^n}$ , then  $b \in A_{p^n}(p^{n-1})$  and  $b = c + jp^{n-1}$  for some  $c \in A_{p^{n-1}}$  and  $0 \leq j < p$ . There are integers  $m_1, \dots, m_t$  such that  $c_1 m_1^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv c \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ . If some  $m_i$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then by Lemma 2.6,  $b = c + jp^{n-1} \in A_{p^n}$ , a contradiction. It follows that all the  $m_i$  are divisible by  $p$ . Since  $n - 1 > k$ ,  $p^k$  divides  $c$  and we get the congruence  $c_1(m_1/p)^k + \dots + c_t(m_t/p)^k \equiv c/p^k \pmod{p^{n-k-1}}$  that shows that  $c/p^k \in A_{p^{n-k-1}}$ .

We claim that  $c/p^k + jp^{n-k-1} \in N_{p^{n-k}}$ . On the contrary, if  $c_1 q_1^k + \dots + c_t q_t^k \equiv c/p^k + jp^{n-k-1} \pmod{p^{n-k}}$  for some integers  $q_1, \dots, q_t$ , then by multiplying by  $p^k$  we obtain that  $c_1(pq_1)^k + \dots + c_t(pq_t)^k \equiv c + jp^{n-1} \pmod{p^n}$ , that is,  $b = c + jp^{n-1} \in A_{p^n}$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $a := c/p^k + jp^{n-k-1}$ , then  $a \in N_{p^{n-k}}$  and  $b = c + jp^{n-1} = p^k a$ , which ends the proof.  $\square$

We now define a condition on the prime  $p$  and the polynomial such that the other inclusion in Lemma 2.7 holds. This condition is satisfied by most of the cases we are interested in. When this condition fails, we find another way to tackle the problem.

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  any polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We say a non-negative integer  $e$  is an *exponent of  $p$  in  $f(x_1, \dots, x_t)$*  if whenever  $p^e$  divides an integer of the form  $f(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ , then the quotient  $f(m_1, \dots, m_t)/p^e$  is also of the form  $f(q_1, \dots, q_t)$  for some integers  $q_1, \dots, q_t$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *The following statements are true.*

- (1) *For every prime number  $p$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,  $k$  is an exponent of  $p$  in  $x^k$ .*
- (2) *If  $p = 2$  or  $p$  is prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then 1 is an exponent of  $p$  in the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2$ .*
- (3) *If  $p$  is prime and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then 2 is an exponent of  $p$  in the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2$ .*
- (4) *2 is an exponent of 2 in the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .*

*Proof.* (1). If  $p^k$  divides  $m^k$ , then  $p$  divides  $m$  and  $m^k/p^k = (m/p)^k$ .

(2). If  $p$  divides an integer of the form  $x^2 + y^2$ , then  $(x^2 + y^2)/p$  is a sum of two squares by Theorem 1.1.

(3). If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  divides an integer of the form  $x^2 + y^2$ , then  $(x^2 + y^2)/p^2$  is a sum of two squares by Theorem 1.1.

(4) If 4 divides  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2$  for integers  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$ , there are two cases: two of the three are odd and one is even, or the three are even. In the first case, say  $m_1 = 2w_1 + 1, m_2 = 2w_2 + 1$  and  $m_3 = 2m_3$ . Then  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 = 4(w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_1 + w_2 + w_3^2) + 2$ , which is not divisible by 4. Then, the three integers are even. Write  $m_1 = 2m_1, m_2 = 2m_2, m_3 = 2m_3$ ; therefore,  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 = 4(w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2)$  and this ends the proof.  $\square$

Note that if  $e$  is an exponent of a prime  $p$  in a polynomial  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ , then any positive multiple of  $e$  is also an exponent of  $p$  in  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *If an exponent  $e$  of a prime  $p$  in the polynomial  $c_1 x_1^k + \dots + c_t x_t^k$  divides  $k$ , then  $\{p^k a : a \in N_{p^{n-k}}\} \subseteq N_{p^n}$  for  $n > k$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p^k a \in A_{p^n}$  where  $a \in N_{p^{n-k}}$ , then there are integers  $m_1, \dots, m_t$  such that  $c_1 m_1^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv p^k a \pmod{p^n}$ . Since  $e$  divides  $k$ ,  $k$  is an exponent of  $p$  in

$c_1x_1^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$ . Then we can write  $(c_1m_1^k + \cdots + c_tm_t^k)/p^k = c_1q_1^k + \cdots + c_tq_t^k$  for some integers  $q_1, \dots, q_t$ . Then,  $c_1q_1^k + \cdots + c_tq_t^k \equiv a \pmod{p^{n-k}}$ , that is,  $a \in A_{p^{n-k}}$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $p^k a \in N_{p^n}$  for all  $a \in N_{p^{n-k}}$ .  $\square$

Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9 tell us that if there is an exponent of  $p$  in  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$  that divides  $k$ , then for  $n > k + 1$  we have

$$N_{p^n} = \{p^k a : a \in N_{p^{n-k}}\}.$$

If we use the notation  $mA$  to represent the set  $\{ma : a \in A\}$ , then we can say that for  $n > k + 1$ ,  $N_{p^n} = p^k N_{p^{n-k}}$ . If we write  $n = qk + r$  where  $2 \leq r \leq k + 1$ , then we have

$$N_{p^n} = p^k N_{p^{n-k}} = p^{2k} N_{p^{n-2k}} = \cdots = p^{kq} N_{p^r}.$$

For  $2 \leq r \leq k + 1$  we define  $n_r := |N_{p^r}|$ . We have the following result.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $k \geq 1$  and suppose that some exponent  $e$  of  $p$  in the polynomial  $c_1x_1^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$  divides  $k$ , and  $p$  does not divide  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha(p^n) = p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - n_r$$

for all  $n > 1$  such that  $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from the fact that  $|N_{p^n}| = |N_{p^r}| = n_r$  and Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

It is not difficult to deduce from (2.1) the following explicit formulas for  $\alpha(p^n)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $k \geq 1$  and suppose that some exponent  $e$  of  $p$  in the polynomial  $c_1x_1^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$  divides  $k$ , and  $p$  does not divide  $c_1, \dots, c_t$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ :*

(i) *If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , then*

$$\alpha(p^n) = p^{n-1}\alpha(p) - \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^k - 1} \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} n_j p^{k-j+1},$$

(ii) *If  $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$  where  $2 \leq r \leq k$ , then*

$$\alpha(p^n) = p^{n-1}\alpha(p) - \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{r-1}}{p^k - 1} \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} n_j p^{k-j+1} - \sum_{j=2}^r n_j p^{r-j}.$$

For the sets  $N_{p^n}$  with  $2 \leq n \leq k + 1$  we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Consider the  $N$ -sets associated to the polynomial  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number that does not divide  $c_1, \dots, c_t$  and let  $p^s$  be the highest power of  $p$  that divides  $k$ . If  $2s + 2 \leq n \leq k + 1$ , then*

$$N_{p^n} \subseteq \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}.$$

Moreover,

$$N_{p^{k+1}} \subseteq \{jp^k : j \notin A_p, 0 < j < p\},$$

and if some exponent of  $p$  in  $c_1x_1^k + c_2x_2^k + \cdots + c_t x_t^k$  divides  $k$ , then

$$N_{p^{k+1}} = \{jp^k : j \notin A_p, 0 < j < p\}.$$

*Proof.* We show that  $a + jp^{n-1} \in A_{p^n}$  for any  $a \in A_{p^{n-1}}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and  $0 \leq j < p$ . In fact, if  $a \in A_{p^{n-1}}$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then there are integers  $m_1, \dots, m_t$  such that

$$c_1 m_1^k + c_2 m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv a \pmod{p^{n-1}}.$$

If  $p$  divides all the  $m_i$ , then  $p^{n-1}$  divides  $a$  since  $n-1 \leq k$ . But  $0 \leq a < p^{n-1}$  and  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-1}}$  implies  $a = 0$ , a contradiction. We conclude that some  $m_i$  is not divisible by  $p$  and by Lemma 2.6 we have that  $a + jp^{n-1} \in A_{p^n}$  for any  $0 \leq j < p$ .

Thus we have that  $N_{p^n} \subseteq \{jp^{n-1} : 0 \leq j < p\}$ , but since  $0 \notin N_{p^n}$ , we have  $N_{p^n} \subseteq \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}$ .

Moreover, when  $n = k + 1$ , for  $0 < j < p$ , if  $j \in A_p$ , then  $c_1 m_1^k + c_2 m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv j \pmod{p}$  for some integers  $m_1, \dots, m_t$ ; then  $c_1 (pm_1)^k + c_2 (pm_2)^k + \dots + c_t (pm_t)^k \equiv jp^k \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ , and this shows that  $N_{p^{k+1}} \subseteq \{jp^k : j \notin A_p, 0 < j < p\}$ .

Finally, if we have  $c_1 m_1^k + c_2 m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k \equiv jp^k \pmod{p^{k+1}}$  for some  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$ , and  $(c_1 m_1^k + c_2 m_2^k + \dots + c_t m_t^k)/p^k = c_1 q_1^k + c_2 q_2^k + \dots + c_t q_t^k$  for some  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$ , then  $c_1 q_1^k + c_2 q_2^k + \dots + c_t q_t^k \equiv j \pmod{p}$ , that shows that  $j \in A_p$ .  $\square$

If in Proposition 2.12  $p$  does not divide  $k$ , then the inclusion  $N_{p^n} \subseteq \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}$  holds for  $2 \leq n \leq k + 1$ .

To determine the value  $\alpha(p^n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (if the conditions of Proposition 2.10 hold), our strategy is composed by the following steps

- (1) Determine  $\alpha(p) = |A_p|$ . This implies that we have to determine  $A_p$ .
- (2) Determine the sets  $N_{p^r}$  for  $r = 2, \dots, k + 1$ . Then  $n_r = |N_{p^r}|$  for  $r = 2, \dots, k + 1$
- (3) We apply (2.1) to obtain a recurrence formula for  $\alpha(p^n)$ .
- (4) We can find an explicit formula for  $\alpha(p^n)$  from this recurrence formula, using Corollary 2.11, or by any other means.

**2.3. An example: The polynomial  $x^k$ .** We illustrate our ideas by considering the multiplicative function  $\alpha$  of the polynomial  $f(x) = x^k$  where  $k \geq 1$  is a given integer. For simplicity we assume that  $p$  is any prime that does not divide  $k$ .

The steps we follow are

- (1) Determine  $A_p$  and  $\alpha(p)$ .
- (2) Determine  $N_{p^2}, \dots, N_{p^{k+1}}$  and the numbers  $n_2, \dots, n_{k+1}$ .
- (3) Determine the recurrence given by (2.1).
- (4) Give explicit formulas for  $\alpha(p^n)$ .

For the first step, we have that  $A_p$  is the set of elements  $a \in I_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that the congruence  $x^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$  is solvable. If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a$  is a  $k$ -th power residue modulo  $p$ . Therefore, we have that  $A_p = \{a \in I_p : a \text{ is a } k\text{-th power residue modulo } p\} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $d = \gcd(k, p-1)$ , then there are  $(p-1)/d$   $k$ -th power residues modulo  $p$  and so

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha(p) = (p-1)/d + 1.$$

Now, for the  $N$ -sets  $N_{p^2}, \dots, N_{p^{k+1}}$  we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.13.** For  $n = 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$N_{p^n} = \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}.$$

Moreover

$$N_{p^{k+1}} = \{jp^k : 0 < j < p \text{ and } j \notin A_p\}.$$



*Proof.* In Proposition 2.12 we have  $2s + 2 = 2$ . Also we have that  $k$  is an exponent of  $p$  in  $x^k$ . Then for  $n = 2, \dots, k + 1$  we have  $N_{p^n} \subseteq \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^{k+1}} = \{jp^k : 0 < j < p \text{ and } j \notin A_p\}$ .

To prove that  $\{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\} \subseteq N_{p^n}$  when  $2 \leq n \leq k$ , let us take  $0 < j < p$  and assume  $jp^{n-1} \in A_{p^n}$ . Then  $m^k \equiv jp^{n-1} \pmod{p^n}$  for some integer  $m$ . So  $p$  divides  $m$  and since  $k \geq n$ , we deduce that  $p^n$  divides  $jp^{n-1}$ . Therefore,  $p$  divides  $j$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $jp^{n-1} \in N_{p^n}$ .  $\square$

For  $r = 2, \dots, k + 1$ , we set  $n_r = |N_{p^r}|$ . From Lemma 2.13 and (2.2) it follows that

$$n_r = \begin{cases} p - 1, & \text{for } r = 2, \dots, k. \\ (d - 1)(p - 1)/d, & \text{for } r = k + 1. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.10 we get our recurrence formula, and it is not difficult to deduce the formulas in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n, k \geq 1$  and  $d = \gcd(k, p - 1)$ . If  $\alpha$  is the multiplicative function associated to the polynomial  $x^k$ , then we have the following recurrence formula*

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - (d - 1)(p - 1)/d, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}, \\ p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - p + 1, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k}. \end{cases}$$

If  $n \equiv r \pmod{k}$  where  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(p^n) = \frac{p^{n+k-1} - p^{r-1}}{d \cdot \left(\frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1}\right)} + 1.$$

### 3. POLYNOMIALS THAT INVOLVE SUMS AND DIFFERENCES OF SQUARES.

In this section we apply our ideas to the polynomials  $x^2 + y^2$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  and  $x^2 - y^2$ . In each case, we determine explicit formulas for  $\alpha(p^n)$ . We also show how to determine explicitly the sets  $A_{p^n}$  and answer the question about determining all  $n$  such that the given polynomial is surjective on  $n$ .

**3.1. The polynomial  $x^2 + y^2$ .** We consider the polynomial  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  and its associated multiplicative function  $\alpha$ . We obtain, using our methods, the results about the size of the sets  $A_{p^n}$  already found in [3, 4].

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any prime number  $p$ , we have  $\alpha(p) = p$ .*

*Proof.* Let us show that every element in  $I_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$  is expressible as the sum of two squares modulo  $p$ . It is known that there are  $(p + 1)/2$  elements in  $I_p$  that are squares modulo  $p$ . Then for a given  $a \in I_p$ , then there are  $(p + 1)/2$  elements in  $I_p$  that are expressible as  $a - x^2$  modulo  $p$ . Since  $2(p + 1)/2 = p + 1$  and  $I_p$  has  $p$  elements, thus, there exist  $x, y \in I_p$  such that  $y^2 \equiv a - x^2 \pmod{p}$ , that is  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

We now calculate  $\alpha(2^n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.8, the prime 2 has exponent 1 in  $x^2 + y^2$ .

It is easily found that

$$A_2 = \{0, 1\}, \quad A_4 = \{0, 1, 2\}, \quad A_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}.$$

and

$$A_4(2) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad A_8(4) = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}.$$

Then  $N_4 = \{3\}$  and  $N_8 = \{6\}$ , that is,  $n_2 = 1$  and  $n_3 = 1$ . Now, by applying Corollary 2.11, for  $n$  odd we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(2^n) &= 2^{n-1}\alpha(2) - \frac{2^{n-1} - 1}{2^2 - 1}(2 + 1) \\ &= 2^n - (2^{n-1} - 1) \\ &= 2^{n-1} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $n$  even

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(2^n) &= 2^{n-1}\alpha(2) - \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{2^2 - 1}(2 + 1) - 1 \\ &= 2^n - (2^{n-1} - 2) - 1 \\ &= 2^{n-1} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So for all  $n \geq 1$

$$\alpha(2^n) = 2^{n-1} + 1.$$

**Remark 3.2.** By applying our method we obtain explicit descriptions of the sets  $A_{2^n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , as follows. First of all we determine  $N_{2^n}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Note that  $N_{2^2} = \{3 \cdot 2^{2-2}\}$  and  $N_{2^3} = \{3 \cdot 2^{3-2}\}$ . For  $n > 3$ , we can write  $n = 2q + r$  where  $r \in \{2, 3\}$ , then  $N_{2^n} = \{2^{2q}a : a \in N_{2^r}\} = \{2^{n-r}a : a \in N_{2^r}\}$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$N_{2^n} = \{3 \cdot 2^{n-2}\} = \{2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1}\}$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2^n} &= \{a + a_{n-1}2^{n-1} : a \in A_{2^{n-1}}, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}\} \setminus N_{2^n} \\ &= \{a + a_{n-2}2^{n-2} + a_{n-1}2^{n-1} : a \in A_{2^{n-2}}, \\ &\quad a + a_{n-2}2^{n-2} \in A_{2^{n-2}}, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}\} \setminus N_{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

and continuing in this way we find that  $A_{2^n}$  is the set of all integers of the form

$$(3.1) \quad a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} \cdot 2^{n-1}$$

where

- (1)  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ ,
- (2)  $a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + a_{i-1} \cdot 2^{i-1} \in A_{2^i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Assume that an element as in (3.1) is not in  $A_{2^n}$ . Then there is some  $i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + a_{i-1} \cdot 2^{i-1} \in N_{2^i}$ . Since  $N_{2^i} = \{2^{i-2} + 2^{i-1}\}$ , we see that  $a_0 = \cdots = a_{i-3} = 0$  and  $a_{i-2} = a_{i-1} = 1$ . So,

$$a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_2 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} \cdot 2^{n-1} = 2^{i-2} + 2^{i-1} + a_i 2^i + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

Conversely, elements of the form  $2^{i-2} + 2^{i-1} + a_i 2^i + \cdots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$ ,  $a_i, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$  are not in  $A_{2^n}$ . Therefore,  $A_{2^n}$  is the set of all integers of the form (3.1) such that the first two nonzero coefficients are not consecutive.

With this, we can also find  $\alpha(2^n)$ . There are  $2^{n-i-2}$  elements of the form  $2^{i-2} + 2^{i-1} + a_i 2^i + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , so that

$$\alpha(2^n) = 2^n - \sum_{i=2}^n 2^{n-i} = 2^n - (2^{n-1} - 1) = 2^{n-1} + 1.$$

Now we compute  $\alpha(p^n)$  where  $p$  is an odd prime. The highest power of  $p$  that divides 2 is  $p^0$ , so by Proposition 2.12 we have that  $N_{p^2} \subseteq \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$  since  $A_p = I_p$  by Lemma 3.1.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $N_{p^2} = \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$ . The recurrence for  $\alpha(p^n)$  is given by*

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} p\alpha(p^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - p + 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and an explicit formula for  $\alpha(p^n)$  is

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p+1}(p^n + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{p+1}(p^{n+1} + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It only remains to prove that  $\{jp : 0 < j < p\} \subseteq N_{p^2}$ , that is,  $jp \notin A_{p^2}$  if  $0 < j < p$ . By contradiction, assume that  $jp \in A_{p^2}$ . Then there are integers  $m_1, m_2$  and  $w$  such that  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 = jp + wp^2$ . This implies that  $p$  divides  $m_1^2 + m_2^2$ , and by Theorem 1.1,  $p$  is raised to an even power in the prime decomposition of  $m_1^2 + m_2^2$ . In particular,  $p^2$  divides  $m_1^2 + m_2^2$  and the equation  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 = jp + wp^2$  yields that  $p$  divides  $j$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N_{p^2} = \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$ .

We have that  $n_2 = p - 1$  and  $n_3 = 0$ . By Proposition 2.10,  $\alpha(p^n)$  obeys to the recurrence formula

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} p\alpha(p^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ p\alpha(p^{n-1}) - p + 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(note that  $\alpha(p^0) = 1$ ). It is easy to deduce the explicit formula

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p+1}(p^n + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{p+1}(p^{n+1} + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

□

Let  $p$  be a prime number such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . We can give a description of the set  $A_{p^n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . By proceeding as in the case of  $A_{2^n}$ , we can show that  $A_{p^n}$  consists of all integers of the form

$$(3.2) \quad a_0 + a_1 \cdot p + a_2 \cdot p^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} \cdot p^{n-1}$$

where

- (1)  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ ,
- (2)  $a_0 + a_1 \cdot p + a_2 \cdot p^2 + \dots + a_{i-1} \cdot p^{i-1} \in A_{p^i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

An induction argument using that  $N_{p^n} = p^2 N_{p^{n-2}}$  for  $n > 3$ ,  $N_{p^2} = \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$  shows that

$$N_{p^n} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ \{jp^{n-1} : 0 < j < p\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This yields that an element as in (3.2) is in  $A_{p^n}$  if and only if its first nonzero term has the form  $a_i p^i$  with  $i$  even.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $N_{p^2} = N_{p^3} = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$ . To prove that  $N_{p^2} = \emptyset$ , it remains to prove that  $jp \in A_{p^2}$  if  $0 < j < p$ . In fact, there are integers  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w$  such that  $w_1^2 + w_2^2 = j + wp$ . Since  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , by Theorem 1.1, the product  $p(w_1^2 + w_2^2)$  is a sum of two squares, say  $p(w_1^2 + w_2^2) = m_1^2 + m_2^2$ . Therefore,  $m_1^2 + m_2^2 = p(w_1^2 + w_2^2) = p(j + wp) = jp + wp^2$ . Thus, we have  $A_{p^2} = I_{p^2}$  and therefore  $N_{p^2} = \emptyset$ .

By Proposition 2.10, the following recurrence formula follows

$$\alpha(p^n) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ p\alpha(p^{n-1}), & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies that  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**3.2. The polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .** In this section we consider the polynomial  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  and its associated function  $\alpha$ .

By Lemma 2.8 we have that 2 is an exponent of the prime 2 in  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .

By direct computations we obtain that  $A_2 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $A_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , and we see that  $N_4 = \emptyset$  and  $N_8 = \{7\}$ . From Proposition 2.10 it follows that

$$\alpha(2^n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2\alpha(2^{n-1}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2\alpha(2^{n-1}) - 1, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The corresponding explicit formula is

$$\alpha(2^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(5 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2}{3}(5 \cdot 2^{n-2} + 1), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We now describe explicitly the sets  $A_{2^n}$ . It is not difficult to show that

$$N_{2^n} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{7 \cdot 2^{n-3}\}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For  $n \geq 2$  odd we have that  $N_{2^n} = \{2^{n-3} + 2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1}\}$ . This yields that  $A_{2^n}$  consists of all integers of the form  $a_0 + a_1 2 + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$  that are not of the form  $2^i + 2^{i+1} + 2^{i+2} + a_{i+3} 2^{i+3} + \dots + a_{n-1} 2^{n-1}$  for some odd  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq n-3$ .

Now, we consider the case where  $p$  is an odd prime. In this case we cannot apply Proposition 2.10 because there is no exponent of  $p$  in  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , so we treat this case in a slightly different way using Lemma 2.7. In order to do this, we take into account that odd primes are divided into 4 families depending on their residue modulo 8. The multiplication table of  $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  modulo 8 is the following:

	1	3	5	7
1	1	3	5	7
3	3	1	7	5
5	5	7	1	3
7	7	5	3	1

Recall that by Theorem 1.2, a nonnegative integer is representable as the sum of three squares if and only if it is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$ . From the table we deduce the following facts:

- (1) Dividing a number that is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$  by a prime of the form  $8k+1$  gives a number that is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$ . That is, if  $p$  is a prime of the form  $8k+1$ , then 1 is an exponent of  $p$  in  $x^2+y^2+z^2$ .
- (2) Dividing a number that is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$  by the square of a prime of the form  $8k+3, 8k+5$  or  $8k+7$  gives a number that is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$ . Thus, if  $p$  is a prime of the form  $8k+3, 8k+5$  or  $8k+7$ , then 2 is an exponent of  $p$  in  $x^2+y^2+z^2$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $m$  is a sum of three squares, then there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $pm - cp^2$  is the sum of three squares.*

*Proof.* If  $pm$  is a sum of three squares, then we can take  $c = 0$ .

Suppose that  $pm$  is not the sum of three squares, then one of the following cases holds:

- (1)  $p$  is of the form  $8k+3$  and  $m$  is of the form  $4^a(8b+5)$ ,
- (2)  $p$  is of the form  $8k+5$  and  $m$  is of the form  $4^a(8b+3)$ ,
- (3)  $p$  is of the form  $8k+7$  and  $m$  is of the form  $4^a(8b+1)$ .

We will show that in any case,  $pm - 2p^2$  is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$ . If  $a > 0$ , then  $pm - 2p^2$  is not divisible by 4, so  $pm - 2p^2$  is not of the form  $4^a(8b+7)$  and it is, therefore, a sum of three squares.

Assume  $a = 0$ . In case (1) we have  $pm - 2p^2 = (8k+3)(8b+5) - 2(8k+3)^2 = (8k+3)[8(b-2k-1)+7]$  which is a number of the form  $8k+5$  and thus is the sum of three squares. In case (2),  $pm - 2p^2 = (8k+5)(8b+3) - 2(8k+5)^2 = (8k+5)[8(b-2k-1)+1]$  which is a number of the form  $8k+5$  and thus is the sum of three squares. In case (3),  $pm - 2p^2 = (8k+7)(8b+7) - 2(8k+7)^2 = (8k+7)[8(b-2k)+5]$  which is a number of the form  $8k+3$  and thus is the sum of three squares.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. Then  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, by Lemma 3.1 every element in  $I_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  is the sum of two squares modulo  $p$  and so every element in  $I_p$  is the sum of three squares. This means that  $A_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $\alpha(p) = p$ .

By Proposition 2.12 we have that  $N_{p^2} \subseteq \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$ .

We show that  $jp \in A_{p^2}$  for all  $0 < j < p$ . In fact, since  $j \in A_p$ , there are integers  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  and  $w_4$  such that  $w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2 = j + w_4p$ . By Lemma 3.5, there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p(w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2) - cp^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2$  for some integers  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ . Hence  $u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 = p(w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2) - cp^2 = pj + w_4p^2 - cp^2 = jp + (w_4 - c)p^2$ , and this shows that  $jp \in A_{p^2}$ . Thus  $N_{p^2} = \emptyset$  and consequently,  $N_{p^n} = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Hence,  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Having found the value of  $\alpha$  on prime powers, we can now determine all integers  $n$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  is surjective on  $n$ . If we write  $n = 2^s m$  where  $m$  is odd, then we have that  $\alpha(n) = \alpha(2^s)\alpha(m) = \alpha(2^s)m$ . Thus,  $\alpha(n) = n$  if and only if  $\alpha(2^s) = 2^s$ , and this last equality holds if and only if  $s \leq 2$ . Thus,  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  is surjective on  $n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .

**3.3. The polynomial  $x^2 - y^2$ .** We make the computations of  $\alpha(p^n)$  for the multiplicative function associated to the polynomial  $x^2 - y^2$ .

We will use the following result [1, Theorem 13.4]

**Theorem 3.7.** *A positive integer  $n$  can be represented as the difference of two squares if and only if  $n$  is not of the form  $4k + 2$ .*

By Theorem 3.7 each element  $a \in I_n$  that is not of the form  $4k + 2$  is in  $A_n$ . So the only elements in  $I_n$  that possibly do not belong to  $A_n$  are those that have not the form  $4k + 2$ . It is easy to see that  $A_2 = \{0, 1\}$  so  $\alpha(2) = 2$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *For any integer  $n \geq 2$ ,  $A_{2^n}$  is the set of all elements in  $I_{2^n}$  that are not of the form  $4k + 2$ . Moreover, for each  $n \geq 2$*

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha(2^n) = 3 \cdot 2^{n-2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 2$ . By Theorem 3.7 it only remains to show that no element of the form  $4k + 2$  is in  $A_{2^n}$ .

Suppose on the contrary that  $4k + 2 \in A_{2^n}$  for some  $k$ . Then there are integers  $m_1, m_2, w$  such that  $m_1^2 - m_2^2 = 4k + 2 + w2^n$ . It follows that  $m_1^2 - m_2^2$  is even, then both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are even or both are odd. In any case it follows that  $m_1^2 - m_2^2$  is divisible by 4. This yields that 4 divides 2, which is absurd.

Now we are going to determine the size of  $A_{2^n}$ . The elements in  $I_{2^n}$  of the form  $4k + 2$  are  $2, 6, \dots, 2^n - 2$ , that is, there are  $2^{n-2}$  elements in  $I_{2^n}$  of the form  $4k + 2$ . Thus,  $\alpha(2^n) = 2^n - 2^{n-2} = 3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, then  $p$  has exponent 1 in  $x^2 - y^2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $p = 2r + 1$  and  $p | (m_1^2 - m_2^2)$ . If  $(m_1^2 - m_2^2)/p$  is not a difference of two squares, then  $m_1^2 - m_2^2 = p(4k + 2)$  for some  $k$ , and then  $m_1^2 - m_2^2 = (2r + 1)(4k + 2) = 4(2rk + r + k) + 2$ , that contradicts Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, then  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be an odd prime. The proof that  $\alpha(p) = p$  is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1.

By Proposition 2.12, we have  $N_{p^2} \subseteq \{jp : 0 < j < p\}$  and  $N_{p^3} = \emptyset$ .

We show that if  $0 < j < p$ , then  $jp \in A_{p^2}$ . Indeed, since  $p$  is odd,  $p$  does not divide 4, and therefore there exists an integer  $b$  such that  $4b \equiv j \pmod{p}$ . So  $4b = j + wp$  for some  $w$ . Then

$$(p + b)^2 - (p - b)^2 = 4bp = jp + wp^2,$$

which shows that  $jp \in A_{p^2}$ . We have shown that for all  $a \in I_p = A_p$  and  $0 \leq j < p$ ,  $a + jp \in A_{p^2}$ . Thus  $N_{p^2} = \emptyset$ . It follows  $N_{p^n} = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 2$  and therefore  $\alpha(p^n) = p^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Now we determine all integers  $n$  such that  $x^2 - y^2$  is surjective on  $n$ . Again, if we write  $n = 2^s m$  where  $m$  is odd, then we have that  $\alpha(n) = \alpha(2^s)m$  and therefore,  $\alpha(n) = n$  if and only if  $\alpha(2^s) = 2^s$ , which holds if and only if  $s \leq 1$ . Thus,  $x^2 - y^2$  is surjective on  $n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

**Remark 3.11.** For the function  $\alpha$  associated to a polynomial of the form  $\pm x_1^2 \pm x_2^2 \pm \dots \pm x_t^2$  with  $t \geq 2$ , other than  $x^2 + y^2, x^2 - y^2$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , we have  $\alpha(n) = n$  for all  $n$ . This is due to the four squares theorem of Lagrange and the fact that every integer can be expressed in the form  $x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] D. M. Burton, *Elementary number theory*, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 2011.
- [2] Broughan, K., *Characterizing the sum of two cubes*, Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 6, 2003.
- [3] R. Burns, *Representing numbers as the sum of squares and powers in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$* , Arxiv: 1708.03930v2, 09 2017.
- [4] J. Harrington, L. Jones, A. Lamarche, *Representing integers as the sum of two squares in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$* , Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 17, 2014.
- [5] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1990.

F. Arias:

*E-mail address:* farias@utb.edu.co

Facultad de Ciencias Básicas

Universidad Tecnológica de Bolívar-Colombia

J. Borja:

*E-mail address:* jersonborjas@correo.unicordoba.edu.co

Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística

Universidad de Córdoba-Colombia

L. Rubio:

*E-mail address:* lrubiohernandez@correo.unicordoba.edu.co

Departamento de Matemáticas y Estadística

Universidad de Córdoba-Colombia