



Advances in Focused Ion Beam Tomography for Three-Dimensional Characterization in Materials Science

Francesco Mura^{1,*}, Flavio Cognigni¹, Matteo Ferroni^{2,3}, Vittorio Morandi² and Marco Rossi¹

- ¹ Department of Basic and Applied Sciences, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Via Antonio Scarpa 14, 00161 Rome, Italy; flavio.cognigni@uniroma1.it (F.C.); marco.rossi@uniroma1.it (M.R.)
- ² National Research Council of Italy, Institute for Microelectronics and Microsystems, Section of Bologna, Via Piero Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy; matteo.ferroni@unibs.it (M.F.); morandi@bo.imm.cnr.it (V.M.)
- ³ Department of Civil, Environmental, Architectural Engineering and Mathematics (DICATAM), University of Brescia, Via Branze 43, 25123 Brescia, Italy
- Correspondence: francesco.mura@uniroma1.it

Abstract: Over the years, FIB-SEM tomography has become an extremely important technique for the three-dimensional reconstruction of microscopic structures with nanometric resolution. This paper describes in detail the steps required to perform this analysis, from the experimental setup to the data analysis and final reconstruction. To demonstrate the versatility of the technique, a comprehensive list of applications is also summarized, ranging from batteries to shale rocks and even some types of soft materials. Moreover, the continuous technological development, such as the introduction of the latest models of plasma and cryo-FIB, can open the way towards the analysis with this technique of a large class of soft materials, while the introduction of new machine learning and deep learning systems will not only improve the resolution and the quality of the final data, but also expand the degree of automation and efficiency in the dataset handling. These future developments, combined with a technique that is already reliable and widely used in various fields of research, are certain to become a routine tool in electron microscopy and material characterization.

Keywords: FIB-SEM tomography; 3D reconstruction; porous material systems; segmentation

1. Introduction

Over the last decades, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) have become essential analytical techniques in both the materials and life sciences. Electron microscopy complements optical microscopy because of its higher spatial resolution and the information provided by the peculiar interaction between the electron beam and the specimen. In addition, modern microscopes are equipped with additional analytical accessories, such as X-ray spectroscopy (both wavelength and energy dispersion), electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), Auger electron spectroscopy (AE), or cathodoluminescence (CL), to allow a deeper characterization of the sample and to provide further information on the chemical content of the samples. Although instrumental development has brought resolution to the nanometric level for SEM and even beyond for TEM [1], electron microscopy remains essentially a visualization technique, where the spatial arrangement of the constituents is represented in a two-dimensional image. The possibility of a three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction in the micrometer range required the long-awaited integration of computer-assisted methods with the imaging capability of electron microscopes. The first approaches consisted of the tomographic elaboration of a series of TEM projective images taken at different angles [2] or the combination of a few conventional SEM images into a so-called photogrammetry visualization [3]. The limitations of both techniques are obvious: TEM tomography is limited to very small volumes because the sample has to be very thin (50–100 nm of thickness) in order to be electron transparent, producing a complex sample preparation; reconstruction by SEM



Citation: Mura, F.; Cognigni, F.; Ferroni, M.; Morandi, V.; Rossi, M. Advances in Focused Ion Beam Tomography for Three-Dimensional Characterization in Materials Science. *Materials* 2023, *16*, 5808. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ma16175808

Academic Editor: Carlos Garcia-Mateo

Received: 20 July 2023 Revised: 10 August 2023 Accepted: 11 August 2023 Published: 24 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). photogrammetry is limited to the external shape of the object, with no information about the inner structure, although the possibility of performing scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) inside a SEM seems very promising for the performance of successful electron tomography [4]. This is due to the internal structure of a SEM, which facilitates the rotation of the holder and, in the absence of a post-specimen lens, allows an almost complete collection of the transmitted electrons [5].

The fundamental advance in the 3D approach in the SEM platform came with the introduction of an electromagnetic column capable of accelerating, focusing, and rastering a beam of positively charged particles. Focused ion beam (FIB) systems were originally developed to exploit the ability of the energetic ion beam to erode the sample surface as a tool to expose subsurface areas of the sample for secondary ion mass spectroscopy [6]. Currently, ion beams are generated by liquid metal or ionized plasma sources [7], with Ga⁺ ions being the dominant technology, and FIB can ablate materials from a micrometric range with adequate accuracy. In addition to the milling capability, the emission of secondary electrons generated by the ion-sample interaction provides a signal that can be used by the detection system of the SEM to form an ion beam microscopic image. For these reasons, FIB technology has rapidly advanced in performance and integration with the SEM, becoming the so-called "dual FIB-SEM system", which is capable of direct control of the ablation process through simultaneous FIB or SEM imaging. The 3D reconstruction approach in the SEM is based on the regular alternation of ion milling and visualization of the exposed section of the sample. This repetition of milling and imaging, commonly referred to as "slice and view", generates a sequence of images that will form the input for a computer-assisted 3D digital reconstruction of the sample volume. In fact, tomography stands for "writing by cutting" and is a method of fully representing an object.

Figure 1, edited from the one compiled by Cantoni and Holzer [8], compares the main 3D tomographic techniques based on X-ray and nano-X-ray imaging, mechanical serial sectioning (referred to as microtomy), electron microscopy, and finally atom probe tomography on the basis of the two main parameters: the volume of analyzed material and the volume resolution, which are intended to be the size of the smallest image element of the digital reconstruction. As highlighted in Figure 1, serial sectioning with Ga⁺ or Xe⁺ ions covers the range between X-ray tomography and the equivalent technique implemented in the transmission electron microscope. However, FIB tomography has the important limitations of being both destructive to the sample and time-consuming [9]. In addition, the workflow of volume reconstruction involves the acquisition of large image datasets and requires significant computational power and precautions to preserve the fidelity of the reconstruction; indeed, the number of experimental images and the consequent damage imparted to the sample, the drift and instability during acquisition, the artefacts, and the misinterpretation of image details may ultimately affect the results. As described in detail in the following paragraph, one of the critical steps for FIB tomography is the extraction of the significant information from the reconstructed volume; this is referred to as segmentation and is currently evolving from a subjective task basically performed and supervised by the operator to automatic algorithms, which may also involve artificial intelligence to perform.

Technological progress and the commercial availability of reliable, robust, and userfriendly systems have made FIB tomography a routine technique that complements X-ray and TEM tomography to provide a multiscale analysis of the sample that extends from the millimeter down to the nanoscale.



Volume of Material Analysed

```
Voxel Dimensions/Resolution
```

Figure 1. Comparison between different 3D tomography techniques for volume of analyzed material and voxel resolution. The dashed line is for soft materials.

2. FIB-SEM Tomography—From the System to the Data Analysis

2.1. Dual Beam System

Figure 2 shows the dual beam FIB-SEM configuration, where the raster of the electron beam is coincident with that of the ion beams. The electromagnetic column controlling the electron gun is vertically oriented, as in a conventional SEM, while the ion beam is tilted at an angle with respect to the electron beam. A modern six-axis motorized stage allows rapid positioning and tilting of the sample, maintaining the visibility of the region of interest (ROI) for both beams.



Figure 2. Schematic configuration of sample, columns, and detectors inside the dual beam FIB-SEM system, with the specimen region of interest (ROI) at the coincidence height, where both the electron and ion beam converge at the same position on the sample surface and at a specific tilt angle. The position of the different detectors inside the instrument is also shown, with the Everhart–Thornley and BSE detectors positioned laterally in the sample chamber and just above the end of the electron column, respectively.

By tilting the stage by about 50° degrees, depending on the specific system geometry, the ion beam impinges perpendicularly and kinematically removes material from the sample surface after a nucleus–nucleus interaction between the accelerated ions and the target material of the sample [10]. This erosion progresses from the surface and exposes a vertical section of the internal structure, which is readily observable with the electron beam. As shown in Figure 2, the SEM image of the exposed section is geometrically stretched along the *z*-axis due to the sample tilting. For this reason, as described in Section 2.4, the isometric SEM view must be restored for a correct 3D reconstruction. The entire collection of different SEM images from the exposed section forms the dataset, referred to as the image stack, which is the basis of the FIB-SEM tomography.

As introduced in the previous paragraph, one of the main issues of slice-and-view reconstruction is the non-isotropy in the tomogram resolution: the resolution in the milling direction (conventionally referred to as the *z*-axis) is limited by the ability of the FIB to produce parallel and planar sections through the specimen, while in the x-y image plane, the resolution is determined by the physical size of the image pixel and ultimately limited by the diameter of the SEM probe.

Resolution along the *z*-axis is inferior due to a combination of limiting factors: the width and intensity profile of the focused ion beam widens as the beam current increases, and there is source instability and beam drift over the inevitably long acquisition time [6]. The technological improvement of the latest systems and the introduction of drift correction and feedback of the ion emission [11,12] guarantee complete automation of the whole process and stability over the required time.

2.2. The Experimental Setup

For a successful 3D reconstruction of an ROI, a preliminary preparation of the specimen is necessary: the thermal and the electrical conductivity of the sample are beneficial to the minimizing of the specimen drift and electrical charging, but at the same time, especially if the study in question involves the analysis of internal porosity or heterogenous materials, we must prevent the FIB milling from creating image artefacts. To improve electrical conductivity, the top surface of the sample can be sputtered with carbon, gold, or Cr or a conductive path can be created between the sample and the sample holder using a doublesided carbon or copper tape suitable for electron microscopy. In addition, it is possible to produce a thick, localized deposition of either Pt, C, or W over the region of interest using induced ion beam deposition (IBID) [13]. This technique employs a small nozzle to inject a gaseous metal-organic precursor close to the ROI. The incoming ion and secondary electrons are generated at the beam position, then they effectively dissociate the precursor molecules, resulting in metal deposition over the desired area, while the volatile part is pumped by the vacuum system. This metal layer, usually Pt or Au, protects the region of interest from inadvertent erosion as well as damage, and ion implantation in the subsurface region improves the local conductivity for non-conductive samples [14] and reduces the so-called "curtain effect", which is the formation of thickness artefacts parallel to the FIB milling [15]. In addition, to protect the internal porosity of a sample, the specimen can be infiltrated with a resin [16,17], which also provides a better planar section and a good contrast for the pore phase in the image processing (see Section 2.4). This method proved to be very useful for this class of samples, and its use in the analysis of porous materials has now become routine.

The following step is the exposure of the cross-section in front of the region of interest by using an ionic current higher than 2 nA. As shown in Figure 3, two lateral trenches are also pre-cut to avoid shadowing effects from the side walls of the cross-section and material redeposition [14,18]. A reference mark for slice alignment can then be created by milling or IBID just outside the analyzed area by the focused ion beam. Although the FIB software control generally allows the slice-to-slice distance to be selected, the actual thickness may be different from the desired value, affecting the final reconstruction. FIB tomography is a time-consuming technique and drift of the beam stage and sample may occur during serial sectioning. Zekri et al. [19] adopted a method to check the actual thickness of each slice: a triangular shape is milled over the Pt protective layer by the FIB, and, using a simple formula, it helps in aligning the image sequence and checking the actual slice-to-slice distance. Liu also suggests the possibility of performing tomography assisted by the positioning of a conductive nanoprobe close to the ROI, reducing the excess of electrons near the region of interest and improving the quality of the final reconstruction [20].



Figure 3. Scheme of a typical setup for the FIB tomography, showing different FIB and SEM points of view of the exposed cross-section: (**A**) FIB top view; (**B**) SEM tilted view of the cross section.

The choice of the probe current and the dwell time for the serial sectioning depends on several factors: the area of the exposed section, the milling rate for the target material under investigation, and the instrumental properties of the FIB system [21,22]. Intense currents produce deep and smooth sections in a short time, but they also have limited accuracy due to their larger diameter and the intensity profile of the ion probe. In addition, material redeposition is so high that it can hide small features of interest [23]. Furthermore, low-current beams achieve a finer focusing for their small probe but can produce artefacts due to incomplete cutting. A detailed review of all the FIB parameters is well described in the work of Kim et al. [24]. Ultimately, the selection of the FIB operating parameters depends on the size of the smallest feature to be reconstructed. Holzer et al. [25] proposed a table containing SEM magnification, voxel dimensions, and dimensions of the data volume for the case of ordinary Portland concrete with different grain sizes. It is evident that tiny features require high SEM magnifications to be analyzed, and this leads to a final reconstruction that is quite limited in final volume. For this reason, a compromise must be made between the resolution of the 3D reconstruction and its final dimensions.

2.3. SEM Imaging

The interaction between the electron beam and the target sample produces the two fundamental signals used in SEM imaging: secondary electrons (SEs) and backscattered electrons (BSEs). SEs feature a continuous energy spectrum in the 0–100 eV range [26], representing weakly bound valence electrons or, for the metals, conduction band electrons with a binding energy of 1–15 eV [27]. BSEs are essentially electrons of the primary beam that are deflected at a very high angle and with low energy loss by elastic scattering with the nuclei of the target material [28]. This energy difference allows the two types of electrons to be collected separately and then analyzed for the different information they provide. The BSE signal is highly dependent on the atomic number, giving rise to the so-called the "Z-contrast". Furthermore, SEs have a more localized signal, although their escape depth strongly depends on the physical characteristics of the sample [29]. In addition, SE imaging is also influenced by the type of detector used. The Everhart–Thornley detector collects both SEs and BSEs, but the position of the detector, which is off-axis with respect to the primary beam, favors sensitivity to sample morphology. On the other hand, the SE detector integrated into the SEM columns (commonly referred to as the in-lens detector) is

effective for operation at short specimen column distances and low beam energies [27]. As the stepwise FIB milling of the sample requires the exposure of flat, planar sections, it is expected that the conventional SE morphological imaging will provide images with little or minimum contrast. The purpose of highlighting local variations in sample composition or density makes the choice of BSE imaging the recommended choice for tomography.

In the FIB tomography, two parameters need to be optimized during the SEM acquisition: the acceleration voltage of the primary beam and the contrast of the features of interest in the exposed section of the sample. The first term controls the depth and the radius of the interaction volume, from which the secondary and backscattered electrons are generated. Generally, the higher the beam energy, the larger the interaction volume, although, as mentioned above, there also an influence from the chemical elements of the target material and its density [30]. For this reason, the use of a beam energy of 3–5 keV is recommended; the result is an interaction depth comparable to the thickness of a single slice of the tomography. Furthermore, in this beam energy range, the total emission of the BSEs and SEs exceeds the incoming electrons, creating a favorable electronic balance on the sample surface that eliminates the charging of uncoated insulating materials [27]. Furthermore, the contrast is a more subtle argument, and some enhancements can be operated during the data process through some filters or by setting thresholds in the pixel values. In general, the "Z-contrast" generated by the BSEs is an excellent method of distinguishing between elements of different atomic weights. However, the BSE escape depth is too high for the slice thickness of FIB tomography, and for this reason, a combined signal from the in-lens and ET detectors is often used, exploiting the fraction of BSEs revealed by the ET detector and, at the same time, the high resolution of the in-lens detector [27]. An interesting alternative is the energy-selective backscattered (EsB) detector, which is another in-lens detector with a grid able to select the range of BSEs according to their landing energy. Using a low accelerating voltage, the EsB detector can only detect BSEs coming from a region of the sample surface of the size of the electron probe's dimensions [31].

2.4. Data Processing

2.4.1. Stack Alignment

The first step in data processing is the alignment of the collected SEM images. This is a very delicate part of the process as it affects the following segmentation, and subpixel accuracy is required [32]. Some algorithms, such as the StackReg [33] or TurboReg [34] plugins for ImageJ, provide a stable and fast procedure. Arregui-Mena et al. have also proposed an alignment based on a least-square algorithm to improve the precision of the y-position correction [9], while Kelly has proposed the use of a scale-invariant feature transformation algorithm [35,36], where relevant features, such as corners or edges, are detected and linked to analogous points of the following images, to compute a possible spatial transformation [37].

2.4.2. Removal of FIB Artefacts

One of the typical image artefacts produced by FIB milling is the "curtaining effect", where the surface of the cross-section appears to be covered by some vertical stripes (Figure 4). These artefacts are due to material inhomogeneities, underestimation of the ion beam exposure dose, or variations in crystal orientation [38]. Artefact removal is performed by applying a fast Fourier transform (FFT), adopting the vertical rectangular area as a zero-filter mask centered on the vertical axis of symmetry [39].



Figure 4. FIB cross-section of an aluminum matrix filled with SiC particles; (**a**) shows the original image, in which the curtain effect is evident, while (**b**) is the FFT-filtered image [38].

Another approach to the problem has been taken by Fitschen et al. [40], who developed an algorithm capable of extracting the clean image from two types of image corruptions: stripe-like features, due to different milling rates, and laminar structures caused by incomplete milling of the material. In addition, as mentioned in Section 2.1, the mismatch between the electron and ion column generates a compression in the pixel length of the y-direction of the collected image. This value must be corrected using the following formula:

$$y_r = y_h / \sin \theta \tag{1}$$

where y_r is the corrected value and y_h is the measured y-value, while θ is the tilt angle of the sample holder [9]. Other artefacts can be derived from a local charging, due to non-planar surfaces and pore edges producing extremely bright areas [27]. In addition, pore segmentation suffers from contrast variations due to local topography [35].

2.4.3. Image Optimization

To improve the ability to localize and distinguish the features of interest during the segmentation process, the contrast and brightness need to be adjusted directly on the single image. Filters like the Gaussian 3D filter or background equalization, such as the "GradientXTerminator", are commonly used to reduce noise [41,42], while a sigma filter is quite effective in eliminating residual high frequency noise [37,43]. This filter can be applied to correct for the intensity variations in the images produced by the secondary electrons detected by an Everhart–Thornley detector, the image of which depends on the position of the detector in the electron microscope [44]. Gaboreau et al. [31] proposed a rolling ball algorithm to correct for the non-uniform image background, previously used by Sternberg [45], as the first step of the data processing.

2.4.4. Segmentation

Terao et al. [46] divide the segmentation process into three categories: manual, automatic, and semi-automatic processing. The first can achieve highly accurate results, but it is also operator-dependent and time-consuming as the user has to inspect and process all the images. In contrast, automatic processing separates different features of interest in a single image by adopting a global/local thresholding method to the grayscale of the image. One example is the watershed algorithm, which is largely used in a variety of medical segmentation tasks [47]. It works by considering the pixel of an image as a topographic map consisting of valleys and ridges, corresponding to low and high values on the greyscale, respectively. Applying the watershed in a segmentation means dividing the image into regions by connecting areas with the same pixel value. The k-means or the ISODATA algorithm is also widely used in pore segmentation [48]. This method defines the peaks of the histogram of the image and distributes the data points so that the sum of the squared distance to the center of the cluster is minimized [49,50]. Another method used in FIB tomography is the Otsu threshold, which performs automatic image thresholding by minimizing the intra-class intensity variance [51]. An example of different segmentations of the same image and their influence on the porosity calculation is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Different types of segmentation for the calculation of the porosity (ϕ) on Silurian dolomite image: original 2D greyscale image (**A**), Otsu (**B**), k-means (**C**), manual (**D**), and watershed segmentation (**E**) [48].

Another automatic thresholding method is the one developed by Niblack [52] in 1986 and later implemented by Savuola and Pietikainen [53], where the image binarization is obtained by performing several local thresholds using formulae that consider the mean and standard deviation of the surrounding pixels. However, a fully automatic segmentation suffers in terms of accuracy because it is based only on the brightness of the image, where the presence of some local charging can lead to a misinterpretation of the sectioning. Furthermore, a semi-automatic approach was developed by Thiele et al. [54]; this approach optimizes the threshold values in a first step, followed by a second manual checking to improve the result. Salzer et al. proposed a similar analysis called threshold propagation, where they compare grey values in the z-direction to evaluate the appearance and disappearance of structures [55,56]. In the work of Kelly et al., two consecutive thresholding segmentations are applied, the second of which, named "soft thresholding", is specific to pore recognition [35]. Goral et al. [57] apply a machine learning approach using the Zeiss Zen Intellesis software, which adopts the "Forest of Randomized trees" method to build a multivariant image segmentation model on the generated features [58–60].

3. FIB-SEM Tomography—Application in Materials Sciences

Since the first reconstruction operated by Wilson et al. in 2006 [61], FIB tomography has been applied to a wide range of research fields, from Li-ion batteries to the analysis of the shale rocks, often providing interesting multiscale analyses by its combination with X-ray microscopy (XRM) and TEM tomography, improving the spatial resolution from the micron to the nanoscale. Moreover, these morphological data can also be integrated with additional information on the crystalline structure or elemental distribution from other in situ techniques or spectroscopy, such as electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) or EDX, further increasing the importance of this type of tomography. In the following subsections, a detailed view of the different applications of FIB tomography is given, with all the articles in which this technique is used, classified by research topic, and a listing of all the possible information that can be extracted from its application.

3.1. Fuel Cells

The first significant application of the FIB-SEM tomography was the reconstruction of a Ni-Y-stabilized zirconia (Ni-YSZ) composite anode for solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC), as shown in Figure 6, where the authors connected the microstructure to the electrochemical performance of the cell by extrapolating parameters such as porosity, three-phase boundary (TPB) length, or tortuosity [61]. However, the identification of the porosities during the segmentation process resulted in being quite critical. In addition, Shearing et al. found an over-assignment of the YSZ phase during the segmentation, with respect to the Ni and pores, due to the overlapping with the greyscale histogram of the Ni phase, generating a significant error in the calculation of the TPB value [62]. The work of Iwai et al. [63] defined the first procedure to calculate TPB values using the centroid method, where a TPB is formed by a triangle made of three voxels containing three different phases (Ni, Y, and pores). The calculation of this parameter is given by the distance between the centroids of these neighboring triangles. These authors were also the first to introduce the practice of infiltrating these porous samples with a resin. This procedure was resumed in 2011 by Joos et al. [17], who treated an SOFC cathode with a two-component resin to improve the planar sectioning and the contrast between the pores and the electrode material. They also resolved the segmentation by applying the Otsu method [51] for the first time to calculate the best value for the threshold. Vivet et al. [64] developed an improved algorithm for the calculation of the TBL in Ni-YSZ cermet anodes for SOFC, while the paper of Cronin et al. combined electrochemical impedance analysis with the porosity obtained by FIB-SEM tomography and showed a strict correlation between the pore evolution and the polarization resistance of Ni-YSZ fuel cell anodes [65]. The effect of sintering on the fabrication of these electrodes has been investigated by Holzer [66], using the continuous phase size distribution (c-PSD) elaborated by Münch [67] for the pore size distribution, while, in the work of Song [68], microstructural changes related to the redox cycles at high temperature are associated with deterioration of the mechanical properties and polarization resistance. In the paper by Trini [69], phase-field simulations based on microstructural parameters derived from FIB-SEM tomography were carried out on a Ni-YSZ cell made up of 25 stacks and run for 9000 h to observe and understand the degradation mechanisms of a prolonged operation.



Figure 6. The first 3D FIB reconstruction of Ni-YSZ anode for SOFC taken from the work of Wilson et al., where the three phases are Ni (green), YSZ (gray), and pores (blue) [61].

A first attempt to combine X-ray computed tomography (XCT) with the FIB-SEM tomography was made by Wargo, to study of the contributions of the gas diffusion layer

(GDL) and the microporous layer (MPL) regions on the transport by the diffusion media [50]. Later, a similar experiment was also proposed by Göbel et al. for evaluating two different types of GDL materials [70] (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Reconstruction of two GDL microstructures, H14C7 (**a**) and 28BC (**b**), obtained by synchrotron-based X-ray tomography (pixel size: $0.325 \ \mu\text{m}$, while the microporous layer (MPL) in the inlet is the FIB-SEM reconstruction (pixel size: $5 \ \text{nm}$; $500 \times 300 \times 300 \ \text{voxels}$) [70].

Porous ceramic films of $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$, used as cathodes in SOFCs, have been extensively studied in the works of Chen [71,72] and Endler-Schuck [73], while Almar and co-authors have extended their investigations on the oxygen transport kinetics of Ba_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_{3-δ} (BSCF) cathodes [74]. Mechanisms in anodic and cathodic polarizations for screen-printed $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$ with a thin interlayer of gadolinium-doped ceria have been studied using a microstructure analysis of $14.9 \times 14.9 \times 14.9 \ \mu m^3$ realized with FIB-SEM tomography [75]. The same type of electrode was also characterized by Kishimoto et al. [76], who created a 1D numerical model to investigate the effect of the microstructure on the final performance, starting from a FIB-SEM tomography with 12.5 nm³ voxel size, by extrapolating parameters such as the tortuosity factor, surface area, and distribution of the pores and particles. Rhazaoui et al. applied FIB-SEM tomography as a geometrical input into the ResNet model, which was used to calculate the effective electronic and ionic conductivities of a Ni/10ScZ anode [77,78]. In this method, the 3D microstructure is represented in voxels and a potential difference is applied to this network, where, by Kirchhoff's law of current conservation, it is possible to extract the equivalent resistance and conductivity of the whole structure. In the work of Yan et al. [79], the structure of La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} powders is reconstructed by FIB-SEM tomography and used as a starting model for a discrete element approach aimed at predicting the effects of the sintering process. A correlative tomography technique for this class of materials has been developed by Wankmüller and co-workers [80] to visualize the spatial organization of primary and secondary phases at the interface of $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}/10$ mol% gadolinia-doped ceria/8% mol% yttria-stabilized zirconia electrolyte. This method correlates the typical 3D FIB-SEM reconstruction with the elemental distribution of the interface obtained by EDX spectroscopy in a transmission electron microscope operated in scanning mode (STEM) (Figure 8).





Figure 8. Schematic representation of correlative method proposed by Wankmüller [80]: (**a**) FIB-SEM reconstruction; (**b**) STEM/EDX—SEM correlated image; (**c**) final reconstruction with the elemental identification.

In addition, the influence of different manufacturing methods on the microstructure of the same class of electrodes has been shown by Singh et al. [81], while the work of Zekri uses FIB-SEM tomography to evaluate the microstructure degradation of this type of anode after a long period of operation [19]. La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3- δ} symmetric electrode cells with $Gd_{0.1}Ce_{0.9}O_{1.95}$ (GDC) electrolytes and their corrosion mechanisms have been extensively studied in the papers of Wang [82–84] and Miyahara [85]. Anisotropies in the microstructure of $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-\delta}$ film deposited on rigid GDC have been investigated by Yan et al. [86], demonstrating a preferred pore orientation and elongation that increase with sintering time or temperature. Microstructural parameters, such as phase volume fraction, grain morphology, contiguity of the phases, and TBL, have also been evaluated for nickelsamaria-doped ceria (Ni-SDC) synthetized by the citrate-nitrate combustion reaction [87]. The morphology and porosity of platinum group metal-free (PGM-free) iron-nitrogencarbon (Fe-N-C) catalyst layers for the oxygen reduction reaction were investigated by Stariha et al. [88] The LaPrNiO_{4+ δ} electrode for intermediate temperature solid oxide cells (IT-SOC) and its durability have been extensively studied by Khamidy and co-authors [89] by combining electrochemical data with microstructure information obtained by FIB-SEM tomography and X-ray diffraction and fluorescence. $La_{0.5-x}Pr_xBa_{0.5}CoO_{3-\delta}$ cathodes for low temperature solid oxide fuel cells (LT-SOFC) have been characterized in the work of Garces [90], with information on the O₂-reduction mechanism and its kinetic coefficients, Oion diffusion and O-surface exchange, from the microstructure data generated by FIB-SEM tomography.

The first 3D reconstruction of a self-humidifying membrane electrode assembly for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) was performed by Jung in 2016 [91]. A $1 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ volume was reconstructed by the manual segmentation of a stack of 67 images, with a 15 nm spacing and SEM pixel resolution of 1.5 nm \times 1.5 nm. Okumura investigated the cathode microstructure with a different amount of Nafion® ionomer, using TEM imaging to highlight the distribution among Nafion[®], carbon support, and platinum nanoparticles [92], while Vierrath and co-workers suggested an alternative method to enhance the image contrast of the catalyst layer by filling the matrix pores with ZnO deposited by atomic layer deposition [93]. The collapse of the porous cathode microstructure was characterized in the work of Star et al. [94] by the correlation of electrochemical methods, infrared spectroscopy, and FIB-SEM tomography, showing that the platinum ripening and carbon black corrosion were the main causes of the performance loss. Grunewald and co-authors presented a lattice Boltzmann method model applied to a cathode catalyst layer (CCL), which combines tomography data and mesoscale modeling techniques, to improve the knowledge of the transport mechanisms of the oxygen in the catalyst layer [95]. In an article by Pournemat, a voxel-based Montecarlo model describes the strict relationship between the wettability and pore size distribution on the water distribution within the gas diffusion layer (GDL) and the CCL [96], while Nakajima used FIB-SEM tomography to model the pore network of their hydrophobic microporous layers to evaluate the convective air permeability and

oxygen diffusivity [97]. A similar work has been published by Maloum et al., who used the data collected from X-ray and FIB tomography to construct an innovative numerical computation for the evaluation of the microporous layer in a fibrous GDL [98]. Furthermore, new membrane technologies with low environmental impact are being developed using a flow-processing technique in which the Nafion matrix is filled with aligned zeolite nanosheets fillers, and the suitable orientation for the zeolite nanosheet is characterized by the FIB-SEM sectioning [99]. The microstructure of the catalyst layers bonded by polybenzimidazole for high temperature fuel cell have been investigated by Prokop et al., who focus on the distribution of platinum in the catalyst layers and its degree of penetration into the electrode [100].

FIB-SEM tomography has also been applied to direct methanol fuel cells (DMFC) to investigate the ageing effects on the microstructure of the anode catalyst layer (ACL) after complete methanol starvation [44]. The sample was embedded in epoxy resin, polished, and then sputter-coated with a layer of gold. In addition, micrometer resolution synchrotron X-ray tomography was performed on the entire membrane electrode assembly (MEA) to obtain a complete view of the system.

3.2. Batteries

The first paper reporting an application of the FIB-SEM tomography to the Li-ion batteries was published in 2011 by Ender et al. [101]. A simple cathode made of LiFePO₄ commercial powders, mixed with carbon black and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), was characterized by electrochemical impedance and the reconstruction of a $5 \times 5 \times 15 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ volume from a stack of 200 SEM images (Figure 9). They also extracted volume fractions, volume-specific surface areas, and tortuosity for the three individual phases. This work was also very important for the introduction of a silicon resin as an embedding material, which was able to optimize the image contrast for the pores against the carbon black and LiFePO₄. The method was improved the following year by the adoption of an advanced local threshold method that took into account gradients in the luminosity of the neighboring voxels [102].



Figure 9. Three-dimensional reconstruction of a composite LiFePO₄ cathode with three phases, LiFePO₄ (green), carbon black (black), and pores (transparent) [101].

A similar approach was used by Eswara-Morthy, where the contrast of the porous carbon electrodes was enhanced in situ by adding a thick layer of Pt (12 μ m) by ionic beam-induced deposition (IBID) [103]. In their 2015 article, Wieser and co-authors [104] realized a multiscale reconstruction of a simple lithium-ion battery electrode to understand the role of the μ m scale graphite, which was acting as an active material, and the nm scale polymeric binder.

Synchrotron radiation computed tomography (SR-CT) was used to detect the active materials, while FIB tomography was used to distinguish the polymeric binder from the pores, achieving a voxel size of 5 nm \times 6.27 nm \times 10 nm. This work also applied the two-step segmentation method developed by Prill [32]. A similar multiscale approach has also been used to model a LiCoO₂ cathode, incorporating micro- and nanoscale information

for an improved calculation of the 3D transport properties [105] (Figure 10) or to characterize the morphology and charge transport limitations of LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ (NMC), LiFePO₄ (LFP), and blended NMC/LFP electrodes for electric vehicle batteries [106,107]. Another investigation of the same type of electrode has been carried out by Cadiou and co-workers, who used the combined microstructural information from the X-ray and FIB-SEM tomography to perform an electrostatic simulation using the fast Fourier transform (FFT) method, obtaining a good numerical fit with broadband dielectric spectroscopy for the bulk conductivities of the C/LiFePO₄ and the carbon black/poly(vinylidene fluoride) phase [108]. Vierrath studied in detail the distribution of the carbon binder domain (CBD) for this class of batteries and found a correlation between the inhomogeneity of the CBD and a reduction in the electron conductivity [109]. Furthermore, in a recent paper, Almar's group conducted a study of the microstructural features of the positive electrode for four commercial batteries and two high-power and two high-energy Li-ion battery consumer cells [110]. Using appropriate 3D analysis techniques, they obtained quantitative parameters to characterize the active material, the carbon black binder, and the pore phases. A different approach to improving the contrast between the three phases, the $LiCoO_2$ particles, the carbon-based materials, and the electrolyte has been proposed by Liu and co-authors, who infiltrated the sample with a silicon resin, as suggested by Ender [101], and produced a very smooth cross-section with a triple ion beam cutter operating at a 4 kV accelerating voltage and 2 mA ion current for 8 h [111]; Biton and co-authors have suggested an infiltration with a brominated (Br) epoxy capable of producing an enhanced contrast with respect to the C- and Si-based resins [112].



Figure 10. The complete workflow as proposed by Zielke [105] for the reconstruction of a LiCoO₂ cathode: (I) reconstruction of the 3D distribution for the micrometric active material by X-ray tomography; (II) reconstruction of the 3D distribution of the carbon binder by FIB-SEM tomography; (III) high-resolution FIB-SEM tomography for the observation of the nanoscale features of the carbon binder. (IV) Definition of the complete model for a precise reconstruction of the entire system and an overall prediction of the electrode performance.

An investigation related to the structural changes for long-term degradation has been addressed in the work of Song, revealing an evolution in the damage of the active material [113], while Scipioni and co-authors have demonstrated the presence of amorphous carbon surrounding the LiFeO₄ in the degraded electrode [114]. Similarly, Etiemble and co-authors [115] analyzed the evolution of the 3D microstructure of a silicon/carbon/carboxymethylcellulose electrode for Li-ion batteries before and after 1, 10, and 100 charging/discharging cycles. The evolution of morphological features, such as volume fraction, spatial distribution, size, connectivity, and tortuosity proved that the major changes in the electrode are due to a variation in the size and shape of the Si particles and to the cracking of the electrode, which leads to a solid electrolyte interphase. A characterization of a commercial 2.5 Ah LiFePO₄/graphite 26,550 cylindrical cell, consisting of XRD, XPS, and FIB tomography, was carried out by Scipioni et al. They observed the presence of microsized carbon-based agglomerates, probably due to the electrolyte decomposition [116]. Furthermore, pristine and cycled $\text{LiNi}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{Co}_{1-x-y}\text{O}_2$ (NMC) and $\text{Li}(\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{0.13}\text{Mn}_{0.54}\text{Co}_{0.13})\text{O}_2$ have also been analyzed in their microstructure parameters, showing that, for both electrodes, the cycling creates a continuous detachment of the carbon-doped binder from the active particles [117]. Another significant example of correlative tomography, FIB-SEM, and synchrotron X-ray tomography, performed in the same specific spot, was carried out by the Moroni group on a lithium manganese oxide composite cathode, validating the segmentation technique for X-ray tomography on a one-hundred-micron scale reconstruction [118].

Metal–air batteries have been characterized by FIB-SEM tomography, starting from the work of Danner [119], which analyzed the efficiency of porous silver as a catalyst layer; in addition, the works of Biton and Yufit reconstructed the evolution and dissolution of the Zn dendrites, which are probably one of the main causes of degradation in Zn–air batteries [120,121]. Other works worth mentioning with regard to this application include Prill's 2017 paper, which demonstrated the dependence of the transport and electrical properties on porosity distribution for a carbon electrode [122], while Lagadec and coauthors reconstructed the microstructure of polyethylene and polypropylene separators to simulate their deformation under compressive strain [123]. FIB-SEM tomography has also been applied to understand the high performance of a silicon–graphene hybrid material used as a negative electron in lithium-ion batteries [124].

All the articles cited in this section are summarized in Table 1, where the main features of the applications of FIB-SEM tomography in batteries are presented.

First Author (Reference)	Material	Voxel Resolution or Dimensions of the Reconstructed Volume	Associated Techniques	Features of the Rock
Ender [102]	LiFePO ₄ cathode	18.15 $\mu\text{m} \times$ 17.75 $\mu\text{m} \times$ 27.8 μm	-	Particle size distribution, porosity, active surface area
Eswara-Moorthy [103]	Carbon-based electrode	$10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}$	-	Porosity, tortuosity
Wieser [104]	Lithium-ion battery electrode coatings	5nm imes 6.27nm imes 10nm	Synchrotron radiation computed tomography	Particle size distribution, diffusivity
Prill [32]	Carbon-based negative Li-ion battery electrode	5nm imes 6.27nm imes 10nm	-	Porosity
Zielke [105]	LiCoO ₂ electrodes	-	Synchrotron radiation computed tomography	Pore size distribution, active area, tortuosity
Etiemble [106]	LiNi _{1/3} Mn _{1/3} Co _{1/3} O ₂ (NMC), LiFePO ₄ (LFP), and blended MC/LFP electrodes	$10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}$	Synchrotron radiation computed tomography, BET	Pore size distribution, active area
Besnard [107]	LiNi _{1/3} Mn _{1/3} Co _{1/3} O ₂ (NMC), LiFePO ₄ (LFP), and blended MC/LFP electrodes	$10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}$	Synchrotron radiation computed tomography, BET	Pore size distribution, active area, tortuosity
Cadiou [108]	Composite electrode made of LiNi _{1/3} Mn _{1/3} Co _{1/3} O ₂ (NMC), LiFePO ₄ (LFP), carbon black, poly(vinylidene fluoride)	$10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}$	XRCT	Volume fraction, porosity, interconnectivity, tortuosity
Vierrath [109]	Carbon binder domain (CBD) of a LiCoO ₂	3.9 $\mu m \times 5 \ \mu m \times 2.3 \ \mu m$	-	Pore size distribution, tortuosity
Almar [110]	Electrodes extracted from two high-power and two high-energy Li-ion battery consumer cells	Sample A: 16.8 μm × 25.9 μm × 44.9 μm; sample B: 24.0 μm × 25.0 μm × 44.4 μm; sample C: 30.0 μm × 21.6 μm × 32.0 μm; sample D: 32.6 μm × 20.8 μm × 41 μm	-	Porosity, particle size, surface area, tortuosity

Table 1. List of papers on the application of FIB-SEM tomography in battery reconstruction.

First Author (Reference)	Material	Voxel Resolution or Dimensions of the Reconstructed Volume	Associated Techniques	Features of the Rock
Liu [111]	LiCoO ₂ cathode from a commercial cylindrical 18,650 energy cell	35,000 μm ³	-	Volume fraction, surface area density, feature size distribution, connectivity, tortuosity
Biton [112]	LiFePO ₄ cathodes	$10~\text{nm}\times10~\text{nm}\times15~\text{nm}$	Optical microscopy, micro CT	Particle size distribution
Song [113]	Pristine and long-term cycled cathodes containing Li(Li _{0.2} Mn _{0.54} Ni _{0.13} Co _{0.13})O ₂	$50~\text{nm}\times50~\text{nm}\times50~\text{nm}$	-	Particle/pore size distribution
Scipioni [114]	Li-ion battery LiFePO4/Carbon black (LFP/CB) cathodes	$10~\mu m \times 10~\mu m \times 10~\mu m$	TEM	Particle/pore size distribution, connectivity
Etiemble [115]	Silicon/carbon/ carboxymethylcellulose electrode for Li-ion batteries	4 samples: 1760 μm ³	-	Volume fraction, particle/pore size distribution, connectivity, tortuosity
Scipioni [116]	Commercial LiFePO ₄ / graphite 26,650 cylindrical cell	8 datasets with variable voxel resolution	Micro CT, XPS, XRD	Volume fraction, pore size
Liu [117]	Pristine and cycled LiNi _x Mn _y Co _{1-x-y} O ₂ (NMC) and Li(Li _{0.2} Ni _{0.13} Mn _{0.54} Co _{0.13})O ₂ (HE-NMC) cathodes	Sample A (NMC): 5100 μm ³ ; sample B (HE-NMC): 11,800 μm ³	-	Particle size distribution, connectivity
Moroni [118]	Lithium manganese oxide composite cathode	31.3 μm \times 34.5 μm \times 16.8 μm	Synchrotron radiation computed tomography	Pore/grain size distribution
Danner [119]	Ag electrode	27.8 nm \times 23.9 nm \times 10.0 nm	-	-
Biton [120]	Single Zn dendrite	-	Optical microscopy, micro CT	Coordination number
Yufit [121]	Zn anode tip with dendrites	$40~\text{nm}\times40~\text{nm}\times40~\text{nm}$	Micro CT, EBSD	Volume and surface of the dendrites
Prill [122]	Nanoporous carbon-based electrodes for electric double-layer capacitors	Sample A: 3.57 nm \times 3.62 nm \times 10 nm; sample B: 2.38 nm \times 2.41 nm \times 6.67 nm	-	Porosity
Lagadec [123]	Polyethylene and polypropylene separators	$420 \ \mu m^3$	_	Porosity, pore elongation
Malik [124]	Silicon–graphene hybrid materials negative electrode in lithium-ion batteries	$20 \ \mu m imes 10 \ \mu m imes 15 \ \mu m$	-	Porosity, surface area, tortuosity

Table 1. Cont.

3.3. Solar Cells

Despite the great importance and number of articles published annually, there are only two papers to report here that use FIB-SEM tomography, and they are listed in Table 2. The first is by Wollschläger [125], who characterized the role of the porous TiO₂ nanoparticle film in dye-sensitive solar cells (DSSC), combining the morphological 3D FIB-SEM reconstruction with the structural information obtained by the transmission Kikuchi diffraction (TKD) for electron transparent samples, to understand the role of the crystal orientation of the grains inside the 3D pore network. The other work is from Suter's group, which characterized two different types of photo-electrodes: the 650 nm thick hematite (α -Fe₂O₃), deposited on FTO by atmospheric pressure chemical vapor deposition (APCVD), and the 7.5 µm thick lanthanum titanium oxynitride (LaTiO₂N), fabricated by electrophoretic deposition on FTO substrate, linking the specific morphological information obtained from the FIB-SEM tomography to the multi-physical transport characterization [126]. According to the authors, this characterization method is very promising due to its capability to control and enhance the performance of the photoelectrodes. Another example of FIB-SEM reconstruction is that from Andrzejczuk [127], who compares the morphology of titania nanotubes, obtained by electrochemical anodization [128], with electron tomography. Although the latter method is more accurate due to its high resolution, FIB-SEM tomography analyzes a larger part of the sample, making its measurement less dependent on the irregularity of the sample.

Table 2. List of papers involving FIB-SEM tomography application in solar cells.

First Author (Reference)	Material	Voxel Resolution or Dimensions of the Reconstructed Volume	Associated Techniques	Features of the Rock
Wollschläger [125]	Porous TiO ₂ layers infiltrated with ruthenium molecular sensitizer for DSSC	2.9 nm \times 3.7 nm \times 30 nm	AFM, TEM, transmission Kikuchi diffraction (TKD)	Particle size and shape, porosity, active surface area
Suter [126]	A: 650 nm thick hematite (α-Fe ₂ O ₃) photoelectrode; B: 7570 nm thick lanthanum titanium oxynitride (LaTiO ₂ N)	Sample A: 1 μm × 5 μm × 24 μm; sample B: 8 μm × 8 μm × 31 μm	-	Solid phase material distribution, particle/pore size distribution, surface area
Andrzejczuk [127]	TiO ₂ nanotubes	$2.24~\mu m \times 1.68~\mu m \times 0.46~\mu m$	TEM tomography	Porosity, morphological parameters of the nanotubes

3.4. Ceramics

Although the first definition of FIB-SEM tomography can be traced back to the paper of Inkson [129], the first work using the current dual beam configuration, was carried out by Holzer and co-workers in 2004 [14]. Their 3D reconstruction of a BaTiO₃ electroceramic in its highly porous state (Figure 11) was a turning point in this type of analysis as they also established a definite workflow for the data processing of the pore analysis, which was later refined in their 2007 article [67].



Figure 11. Three-dimensional reconstruction of porous BaTiO₃ as conducted by Holzer et al. (cube dimensions: $1646 \times 1829 \times 1743$ nm) [14].

Two years later, the same authors applied this technique to samples of ordinary Portland concrete with different grain sizes in order to perform statistical shape particle analysis and a topological characterization [25]. This work also implemented the use of reference marks as part of an automated drift correction procedure. The work of Schaffer et al. [130] introduced the possibility of combining the morphological reconstruction of the FIB-SEM analysis with the elemental analysis given by the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). In this way, it was possible to distinguish not only the pore network of the sample but even the spatial distribution of the CaTiO₃ and Mg₂TiO₄ within the ceramic matrix (Figure 12). Jiang mixed information from X-ray and FIB-SEM tomography to analyze the pore characteristics, including porosity, pore size distribution, pore shape, orientation, connectivity, and tortuosity, of cement paste made of ordinary Portland cement [131]. FIB-SEM tomography has also been used to characterize the damage corrosion of the hardmetals, such as the WC-Co cemented carbide [132]. The evolution of the cermet during the sintering process has been studied in the work of Pötschke et al. [133], while a multiscale approach for the study of the porosity of α -Fe₂O₃, obtained by spark plasma sintering [134], has been proposed by Papynov [135].



Figure 12. Three-dimensional elemental distribution of calcium (**a**), magnesium (**b**), and titanium (**c**) from the work of Schaffer [130].

3.5. Metal, Steel, and Alloys

The first article to be reported in this section is that by Maleki et al. [136]. From the analysis of the morphology at different scales, obtained by combining synchrotron X-ray and focus ion beam tomography, they investigated the relationship between the microstructure evolution and the deformation behavior of Sn-4.0Ag-0.5Cu solder during isothermal ageing. The Yan group investigated the impact of grain boundary carbon brittle on the microstructure of a biomedical Ti-15Mo alloy and how it affected the fatigue properties and the corrosion resistance [137]. The task was accomplished by identifying these carbon-enriched phases as face-centered cubic Ti₂C by TEM analysis and by showing a distribution like the primary α -Ti by FIB-SEM tomography. The distribution and composition of the γ' and γ'' phases of the Inconel 718, a corrosion-resistant nickel-based superalloy, have been reconstructed Kulawik's group using FIB-SEM tomography and STEM-EDX elemental maps [138]. Kruk wrote some articles on Allvac 718Plus, a Ni-based superalloy with improved performance compared to the Inconel 718 [139–141]. He and his group combined

STEM-EDX, electron, and FIB-SEM tomography to investigate the microstructural features, composition, and distribution of the different phases. The effects of the underwater wet welding, performed on rutile-coated electrodes with a low carbon steel wire core, were characterized by Silva through a multiscale approach, involving X-ray micro-CT, synchrotron micro-CT, and FIB-SEM tomography, to study pores, cracks, and inclusions [37]. Roland and co-authors developed a model to estimate the mechanical stress-strain curve for the strontium-modified Al-Si alloy from the real 3D coral-like morphology of the eutectic Si in the Al-Si alloy [142], and another similar research study has been conducted on the Al7075 alloy by the Singh group [143]. Micro-CT and FIB-SEM tomography have been used to characterize the secondary phases of the eutectic phase mixture, (α -Mg + MgZn) and $(\alpha$ -Mg + Ca₂ + Mg₆ + Zn₃), of the as-cast Mg-3Zn and Mg-3Zn-0.3 Ca alloys [144]. The presence and distribution of the Fe-rich a-Al₁₄Fe₃Si₂ with a bcc structure and the τ 2 phase Al_{4.5}FeSi were observed by FIB tomography in unmodified and Sr-modified Al-10Si-0.3Fe casting alloys, and the different phases were confirmed by electron diffraction with the TEM [145]. Micro-bending beams of the fcc nickel-based superalloy CMSX-4 were first machined by the FIB, then subjected to the fatigue experiment, and finally, after 6100 load cycles, its microstructure was reconstructed by FIB-tomography [146] (Figure 13). The μ and P phase precipitates of this alloy have also been determined by a combination of different techniques including SEM, TEM, high-angle annular dark field (HAADF), FIB tomography, and selected area diffraction with beam precession (PED), EDX, and energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) [147]. Yang et al. combined correlative electron microscopy, FIB-SEM tomography, and atomistic simulations to explain a corrosion phenomenon called 1D wormhole corrosion, which is responsible for the extremely rapid infiltration of a molten fluoride salt into a Ni-20Cr alloy [148].



Figure 13. (a) Overview of the experiment setup where the machined single-crystal micro-bram and the gripper are exposed; (b) detail of the notch before the fatigue experiment; (c) FIB 3D reconstruction of the fatigue crack after 6100 load cycle [146].

The literature shows many studies dedicated to different types of steels, in which FIB-SEM tomography has been successfully applied. Wongpromat [149] focused his research on the early stages of the oxidation of AISI 441 at 800 °C and under 5% H₂O in O₂, to explore its application as a metallic interconnection within the SOFC. Burnett and co-authors [150]

examined a sample of AISI 316 from power station steam to investigate the reheat cracking through the combined use of X-ray CT, FIB-SEM tomography, EDXS, and TEM. Kawano studied the dispersion of intergranular NbC precipitates in Nb-added austenitic stainless steel, associating the dislocations with the presence of these precipitates in the {111} slip plane [151]. Maetz investigated the effect of ageing in 2101 lean duplex stainless steel [152]. The correlation between grain boundary characteristics, morphology, and dispersion of intergranular carbides in 347 austenitic steel was obtained in the work of Ochi [153], who identified three different types of carbides: two planar types, with an orientation relationship with one of the neighboring grains, and a rod type, probably associated with the defects of the steel. The same author also described the intra- and inter-granular precipitates, consisting mainly of Cr₂N and Cr₃Ni₂Si(N), for the nitrogen-added austenitic stainless steel SUSXM15J1 [154]. The growth of a single graphite nodule in a near-eutectic ductile iron was reconstructed using FIB tomography in the work of Ghassemali et al. [155]. The effect of long-term oxidation (25,000 h) at 700 °C on the austenitic steel Sanicro 25, commonly used in heaters and superheaters, was evaluated by the Cempura group [156]. Their research shows changes in the microstructure of the steel at a thickness of about 20 μ m due to the formation of a protective Cr₂O₃ oxide and the consequent precipitation of Fe₂W. They also confirm that the steam oxidation affects the bulk steel, coarsening the carbide particles at the grain boundaries. Recently, Photer-Simon has published a paper on the corrosion effects on stainless steel 304L due to a KCl-rich environment at 600 °C, using FIB-SEM tomography and TEM to understand the grain boundary attack [157].

The synthesis of porous metal microstructures based on an Sb-Cu alloy and obtained by the additive expansion by the reduction of oxides (AERO) process has been characterized in the work of Atwater [158], while Hu et al. have prepared and characterized porous Cu-Cr and Ag-Ni composites by a crucible-free liquid metal dealloying process using FIB-SEM tomography [159]. The porosity of nano-silver joints for applications in SiC technology has been studied by Rmili et al. [160], while the effect of thermal etching in an oxygen-rich atmosphere on the catalytic activity of silver has been addressed in the work of Ollivier [161]. The growth mechanism of primary Cu_6Sn_5 , intermetallics considered promising as primary crystals in bulk solder [162], were studied in Sn–Cu alloys and solder joints by combining EBSD, FIB tomography, and synchrotron radiography [163]. Synthesis by chemical vapor deposition, such as 1D Al/Al₂O₃ nanostructures or SnO₂ nanowire, have been extensively studied by coupling 3D FIB-SEM reconstruction and atomic force microscopy (AFM) [164,165]. A similar study was carried out by Mangipudi, who analyzed the structure of gold nanoparticles with ligament sizes on the order of ten nanometers [166]. A more comprehensive work on the same type of material was made by the Jeon group [167], which used FIB reconstruction data to recover the distribution of ligament size, surface-to-volume ratio, and scaled connectivity density for samples with different ligament sizes prepared by heat treatment. Van der Hoeven et al. were able to obtain not only the size distribution of an assembly of gold-coated silica nanorods but also the orientation of a single particle [168]. The microstructure and the mechanical behavior of Al-SiC nanolaminates, obtained by magneton sputtering deposition, were the focus of the work by Mayer et al. [169]. The response to nanoindentation for a silver-based nanoporous material, obtained by a selective chemical etching of an Ag_{38,75}Cu_{38,75}Ci_{22,5} crystalline alloy, was determined in the work of Champion [170]. The 3D FIB reconstruction was used to understand the mechanical behavior during this type of analysis.

3.6. Geology

Since the work of Sondergeld in 2010 [171], where FIB-SEM tomography was performed as a technique to study the porosity of shale rock, this type of analysis has become quite common in this field of research. A precise and detailed knowledge of the real porosity of a shale rock becomes important data with which to extract information about the mechanisms that regulate the enrichment or accumulation of the gas inside the rock. Often supported by other investigation methodologies, directly (BET or mercury porosimetry) or indirectly (TEM tomography or X-ray micro-CT), the 3D FIB reconstruction has become increasingly important over the last five years, even reaching a voxel size of a few cubic nanometers. In addition, the growing interest in so-called correlative microscopy has led to the creation of workflows that bridge the gap between the nanometer scale and macroscopic observation, integrating different analysis techniques in a single result. An example can be found in the work of Ma [172], where a multiscale approach, integrating different methodologies, has been proposed (Figure 14). A list of papers dedicated to the shale rock where FIB-SEM tomography is performed is presented in Table 3.



Figure 14. Example of the multiscale workflow for the porosity reconstruction of a shale gas rock, as proposed by Ma et al. [172].

Table 3. List of papers involving FII	-SEM tomography in shale rock reconstruction
---------------------------------------	--

First Author (Reference)	Shale Location	Voxel Resolution or Dimensions of the Reconstructed Volume	Associated Techniques	Features of the Rock
Sondergeld [171]	Barnett shale, Texas (USA)	$5 \times 5 \times 2.5 \ \mu m$	EDS, TEM, Scanning Acoustic Microscopy (SAM), Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure (MCIP), NMR	Pore volume distribution
Peng [173]	Barnett shale, Texas (USA)	-	Micro-CT, Helium Ion Microscopy (HIM)	Pore volume distribution, total organic carbon (TOC), permeability
Roshan [174]	Perth Basin (Australia)	$9.2\times3.8\times0.5~\mu m$	Micro-CT, NMR, gas porosimetry, Heat Technique Route (HTR)	Porosity
Kelly [36]	Unknown location	Sample A: $5 \times 5 \times 7$ nm/pixel; sample B: $4 \times 4 \times 5$ nm/pixel; sample C: 15.6×15 , 6×10 nm/pixel	Broad Ion Beam (BIB) SEM	Pore volume, permeability

First Author (Reference)	Shale Location	Voxel Resolution or Dimensions of the Reconstructed Volume	Associated Techniques	Features of the Rock
Bai [175]	Utica shale, Quebec (Canada)	$9.9\times9.1\times10~\mu m$	XRD, EDS	Porosity
Nia [176]	Monterey shale, California (USA)	$30 \times 30 \times 30$ nm	MCIP, BET, MICRO-CT	Porosity, permeability
Leu [177]	Jordan shale	10 imes 10 imes 10 nm	MICRO-CT, Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS), Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS)	Porosity
Tang [178]	Silurian Longmaxi formation, Sichuan (China)	-	NANO-CT, EDS	Porosity
Sun [179]	Silurian Longmaxi formation, Sichuan (China)	$14.3\times14.3\times14.3~\text{nm}$	MICRO-CT, XRD	Porosity, permeability, tortuosity
Sun [179]	Wenchang formation, Hoizhou Sag (China)	$14.3\times14.3\times14.3\text{nm}$	MICRO-CT, XRD	Porosity, permeability, tortuosity
Saif [180]	Eocene Green River, Uinta Basin (USA)	$14.6\times18.3\times10~\text{nm}$	MICRO-CT, Modular Automated Processing System (MAPS) mineralogy	Porosity
Zhang [181]	Upper Carboniferous Taiyuan (China)	-	NANO-CT, helium pycnometry, high-pressure mercury, low-pressure gas adsorption	Porosity, TOC, microfractures
Ma [182]	Lublin Basin (Poland)	Low resolution: $10 \times 10 \times$ 200 nm/pixel; High resolution: $5 \times 5 \times 20$ nm/pixel	MICRO-CT, organic petrology, XRD, BET	Porosity, TOC, connectivity
Ma [181]	Baltic Basin (Lithuania)	Low resolution: $10 \times 10 \times$ 200 nm/pixel; High resolution: $5 \times 5 \times 20$ nm/pixel	MICRO-CT, organic petrology, XRD, BET	Porosity, TOC, connectivity
He [183]	Sichuan Basin (China)	$100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ nm}$	XRD, helium porosity, pulse permeability, MICRO-CT	Porosity, TOC
Wang [184]	Unknown location	$3.08 \times 3.08 \times 3.08$ nm/pixel	MICRO-CT	Porosity
Ma [172]	Hayness Bossier shale, Texas (USA)	Resolution: $10 \times 10 \times 20$ nm/pixel; Volume: $8 \times 8 \times 6$ µm	MACRO-CT, MICRO-CT, NANO-CT, TEM Tomography, EDX, XRD	Porosity, permeability, TOC, connectivity
Chen [185]	Wufang Longmaxi shale, Sichuan Basin (China)	-	NMR, MICRO-CT, nitrogen adsorption, CO ₂ adsorption, mercury intrusion porosimetry	Porosity, water filling and water removal mechanism
Zhang [186]	Permian Basin, Texas (USA)	Resolution: $5 \times 5 \times 5$ nm/pixel; Volume: $15 \times 10 \times 5$ µm	MICRO-CT, EDS	Porosity, diffusivity
Goral [57]	Vaca Muerte shale (Argentina)	Resolution: $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 5$ nm/pixel; Volume: $5 \times 4 \times 3 \ \mu m$	EDS	Porosity, connectivity
Goral [187]	Marcellus shale, New York (USA)	$5 \times 5 \times 5$ nm/pixel		Porosity, permeability
Goral [188]	Mancos shale (USA)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Resolution: } 10 \times 10 \times 10 \ \mbox{m/pixel;} \\ \mbox{Volume: } 10 \times 14 \times 10 \ \mbox{\mu m} \end{array}$	MICRO-CT, light microscopy	Porosity

Table 3. Cont.

Regarding the earth sciences, other works propose the reconstruction of microbialites [189], clays [18,190–192], zeolites [193,194], Fe-rich olivine [195], dolomites [48,196], coals [197], soils [198], and even samples of urban dust [199]. In addition, Zhou et al. investigated the formation of Au nanoparticles in porous low-Si magnetite by analyzing nanoscale structure and crystallography [200].

3.7. Materials for Nuclear Energy

This paragraph summarizes the papers that have applied FIB-SEM tomography in the nuclear energy field. For example, Keller applied this technique to MX80 bentonite samples to study the evolution of the intergranular pores under conditions similar to those found in nuclear waste repositories [201], while Hemes used a combination of micro-CT, BIB-SEM, and FIB-SEM tomography to reconstruct the Oligocene age Boom clay, which is considered to be a potential host material for radioactive waste disposal in Belgium [202]. Bulk plutonium and uranium, as well as the distribution of plutonium oxide particles in the plutonium oxalate precipitates and UO₂ bubbles produced in high burnup, were also analyzed [203–205]. Baris compared the 3D microstructure of Ziraloy-2 LK3/L, used as a cladding material in a Swiss reactor, under the conditions of high and low irradiation from a boiling water reactor (BWR) [206,207]. Another important paper is that of Arregui-Mena and co-authors on the porosity of an AGX graphite, used as a moderator for fast neutrons, and the effect of irradiation on its microstructure [9,208].

3.8. Fibers and Polymers

SEM observation of carbon-based materials, such as fibers or polymers, is always a delicate task because they are easily degraded under the high vacuum conditions of the microscope. In addition, their morphology can be quickly altered by the milling action of gallium ions, even with very short exposure times. For this reason, specific treatments are required to preserve their structure, as well as the presence of heavy elements to enhance image contrast. For example, to study the internal network of the nanofibers obtained by electrospinning of Polyamide 6 (PA6), the Stachewiczadopteda protocol, in which the sample is first wetted in a solution of aqueous iodine and then flash-frozen under liquid nitrogen, is followed [209]. This procedure fills the internal voids of the fibers with an amorphous solid derived from the frozen iodine solution, thus obtaining a better polished cross-section with the focused ion beam. In another paper, the same author analyzed the wetting mechanism of these nanofibers with a low-surface-tension oil by using the cryo-FIBSEM in combination with an atomic force microscope (AFM) for the contact angle measurement of a single fiber [210]. A similar protocol has also been used to understand the interaction between osteoblasts and PLGA fibers [211,212]. The Campo group were successful in the alignment and the phase separation analysis of a polydimethylsiloxane/poly(methyl methacrylate) PMMA/multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) electrospun composite [213], resolving the contrast mechanism between the polymer and the MWCNT by synchrotron spectroscopy and helium microscopy. Diblìkovà and co-authors published a paper in which they reconstructed three mixed-matrix membranes of polymide-silicalite [214]. Another significant work relates to highly porous particles for protein sorption, made from the polystyrene-b-poly (acrylic acid) (PS-b-AA) copolymer, where the authors made an interesting comparison between two different types of tomographic techniques, the FIB-SEM, and the serial block face (SBS) technique, in which the sample is cut by an ultramicrotome mounted inside the SEM chamber and each pristine section is imaged using BSEs (Figure 15) [215].

The nanostructured network of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIP) has been obtained by Neusser [216], while Aslannejad et al. have characterized the hydraulic properties of the paper coating layer from the total porosity and permeability values extracted by FIB-SEM tomography [217]; they also created a model for the water imbibition for coated and uncoated papers [218]. The work of Roberge et al. [219] analyzed the structural characteristics of commercially available membranes for microfiltration and ultrafiltration made of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) and polyethersulfone (PES), respectively, using specific staining agents to increase the image contrast of the fibers.



Figure 15. Single porous particles reconstructed by FIB-SEM tomography (**a**) and serial block face (**c**), while in (**b**) are shown the SEM images of two consecutive slices made by the SBS [213].

4. Conclusions

As shown in the article, FIB-SEM tomography has proven to be a mature and reliable technique, whose applicability to different materials and research fields has been demonstrated; it is a technique which can be rapidly integrated with other analytic techniques. FIB-SEM tomography plays a crucial role in the development of innovative multiscale and multimodal correlative microscopy workflows as it can be seamlessly integrated with other imaging modalities. As shown in the introduction and some applications, FIB-SEM tomography can fill the gap between the non-destructive X-ray families of tomographic techniques, which provide sub-micron resolution, and the nano- to atomic-scale resolution achieved by TEM tomography. As correlative microscopy is defined as a specialized approach in scientific imaging and analysis that involves combining multiple characterization techniques to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the specimen, future technological developments are expected in terms of automation in the detection of the specific ROIs by different instruments and in the creation of particular sample holders that can facilitate the transfer from instrument to instrument. Another important improvement will come from the integration of the advanced machine learning and deep learning systems that are to be employed in data acquisition, analysis, and segmentation algorithms, enabling more efficient and accurate extraction of information from complex 3D image datasets, automatically removing noise and artefacts of the images. In addition, although the technological progress has improved the automatic control of the focused ion beam, one of the negative aspects of this technique remains its duration, which can even reach a whole day for the cutting and imaging of large volumes. A solution can be found in the adoption of the latest plasma FIB models, which use xenon ions instead of gallium, promising a significant reduction in the process time, while maintaining a nanometric resolution. Another negative aspect of this technique can be seen in the small number of articles on carbon-based materials. Undoubtedly, light materials are not efficiently cut by the gallium ion beam without a correct, complex, and time-consuming sample preparation, but a lot of procedures have been created in the life sciences world, as demonstrated by the long list of scientific papers available in the literature that use this reconstruction technique. However, the recent explosion of cryo-microscopy is leading to a gradual diffusion of these instruments, in particular the cryo-FIB-SEM, which also guarantees a promising future for the analysis of light materials, allowing a complete three-dimensional reconstruction with a very limited sample preparation.

Funding: This work has been partially funded by ATOM project (Advanced TOmography and Microscopies) granted by Regione Lazio with the call "Open Infrastructure for research" (G11949, 4 September 2017) 2017: www.atomcenter.org.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing not applicable. No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kirkland, A.I.; Chang, S.L.Y.; Hutchison, J.L. Atomic Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy. In *Springer Handbook of Microscopy*; Hawkes, P.W., Spence, J.C.H., Eds.; Springer Nature Switzerland: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 3–47.
- Ercius, P.; Alaidi, O.; Rames, M.J.; Ren, G. Electron Tomography: A Three-Dimensional Analytic Tool for Hard and Soft Materials Research. Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 5638–5663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tafti, A.P.; Kirkpatrick, A.B.; Alavi, Z.; Owen, H.A.; Yu, Z. Recent advances in 3D SEM surface reconstruction. *Micron* 2015, 78, 54–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Ferroni, M.; Signoroni, A.; Sanzogni, A.; Sberveglieri, G.; Migliori, A.; Ortolani, L.; Christian, M.; Masini, L.; Morandi, V. STEM electron tomography in the Scanning Electron Microscope. J. Phys. Conf. Proc. 2015, 644, 012012. [CrossRef]
- Ferroni, M.; Signoroni, A.; Sanzogni, A.; Masini, L.; Migliori, A.; Ortolani, L.; Pezza, A.; Morandi, V. Biological application of Compressed Sensing Tomography in the Scanning Electron Microscope. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 33354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cantoni, M.; Holzer, L. Review of FIB tomography. In Nanofabrication Using Focused Ion and Electron Beams—Principles and Applications; Utke, I., Moshkalev, S., Russell, P., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2012; pp. 410–435.
- Orloff, J.; Utlaut, M.; Swanson, L. Physics of Liquid Metal Ion Sources. In *High Resolution Focused Ion Beams: FIB and Its Applications*; Orloff, J., Utlaut, M., Swanson, L., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2002; pp. 21–77.
- 8. Cantoni, M.; Holzer, L. Advances in 3D Focused Ion Beam tomography. MRS Bull. 2014, 39, 354–360. [CrossRef]
- 9. Arregui-Mena, J.; Edmonson, P.; Campbell, A.; Katoh, Y. Site specific, high-resolution characterisation of porosity in graphite using FIB-SEM tomography. J. Nucl. Mater. 2018, 155, 164–173. [CrossRef]
- 10. Giannuzzi, L.; Prenitzer, B.; Kempshall, B. Ion—Solid Interactions. In *Introduction To Focus Ion Beam—Instrumentation, Theory, Techniques & Practice*; Giannuzzi, L.A., Stevie, F.A., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2005; pp. 13–52.
- 11. Zeiss Atlas. Available online: https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/en/products/software/zeiss-atlas-5.html (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- FEI Slice-and-View. Available online: https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/AUTOSLICEVIEW4?SID=srchsrp-AUTOSLICEVIEW4 (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- 13. Kang, H.; Chandler, C.; Weschler, M. Gas assisted ion beam etching and deposition. In *Focused Ion Beam Systems—Basics and Application*; Yao, N., Ed.; Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2007; pp. 67–86.
- 14. Holzer, L.; Indutnyi, F.; Gasser, P.; Munch, B.; Wegmann, M. Three Dimensional Analysis of Porous BaTiO₃ Ceramics using FIB nanotomography. *J. Microsc.* 2004, 216, 84–95. [CrossRef]
- 15. Rubanov, S.; Munroe, P.R. The Effect of the Gold Sputter-coated Films in Minimising Damage in FIB-produced TEM specimens. *Mater. Lett.* 2003, *57*, 2238–2241. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rüger, B.; Jochen, J.; Weber, A.; Carraro, T.; Ivers-Tifèe, P. 3D Electrode Microstructure Reconstruction and Modelling. *ECS Trans.* **2009**, *25*, 1211–1220. [CrossRef]
- 17. Joos, J.; Carraro, T.; Weber, A.; Ivers-Tifèe, P. Reconstruction of Porous Electrodes by FIB/SEM for Detailed Microstructure Modeling. J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 7302–7307. [CrossRef]
- 18. Liu, Y.; King, H.E.; van Huis, M.A.; Drury, M.R.; Plümper, O. Nano-Tomography of Porous Geological Materials Using Focused Ion Beam-Scanning Electron Microscopy. *Minerals* **2016**, *6*, 104. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zekri, A.; Knipper, M.; Parisi, J.; Plaggenborg, T. Microstructure Degradation of Ni/CGO Anodes for Soli8d Oxide Fuel Cells After Long Operation Time Using 3D Reconstructions by FIB Tomography. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 13767. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liu, J.; Niu, S.; Li, G.; Du, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, J. Reconstructing 3D digital model without distortion forpoorly conductive porous rock by nanoprobe-assisted FIB-SEM tomography. *J. Microsc.* **2021**, *282*, 258–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Ali, M.; Hung, W.; Yonqi, F. A reviewed of Focus Ion Beam Sputtering. Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. 2010, 11, 157–170. [CrossRef]
- 22. Joos, J. Microstructural Characterisation, Modelling and Simulation of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Cathodes; KIT Scientific Publishing: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2017; pp. 25–28.
- 23. Machalett, F.; Seidel, P. Focused Ion Beams and Some Selected Applications. In *Digital Encyclopedia of Applied Physics*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2019.
- 24. Kim, C.; Ahn, S.; Yang, D. Review: Developments in micro/nanoscale fabrication by focused ion beams. *Vacuum* 2012, *86*, 1014–1035. [CrossRef]
- 25. Holzer, L.; Muench, B.; Wegmann, M.; Gasser, P. FIB-Nanotomography of Particulate Systems—Part I: Particle Shape and Topology of Interfaces. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 2006, *89*, 2577–2587. [CrossRef]
- 26. Koshikawa, T.; Shimizu, R. Secondary Electron and Backscattering Measurements for Polycrystalline copper with a retarding-field analyser. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 1973, 6, 1369. [CrossRef]

- Goldstein, J.I.; Newbury, D.E.; Michael, J.R.; Ritchie, N.W.M.; Scott, J.H.J.; Joy, D.C. Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-ray Microanalysis, 4th ed.; Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- Goldstein, J.I.; Newbury, D.E.; Echlin, P.; Joy, D.C.; Lyman, C.E.; Lifshin, E.; Sawyer, L.; Michael, J.R. Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-ray Microanalysis, 3rd ed.; Springer Nature: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 75–86.
- Kanaya, K.; Ono, S. Interaction of Electron Beam with the Target in the Scanning Electron Microscope. In *Electron Interactions with* Solids; Kyser, D.F., Niedrig, H., Newbury, D.E., Shimizu, R., Eds.; SEM Inc.: Chicago, IL, USA, 1984; pp. 69–98.
- Kanaya, K.; Okayama, S. Penetration and Energy-loss Theory of Electrons in Solid Targets. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 1972, 5, 43. [CrossRef]
- 31. Gaboreau, S.; Robinet, J.; Pret, D. Optimization of Pore-network Characterization of a Compacted Clay material by TEM and FIB/SEM imaging. *Microporous Mater.* **2016**, 224, 116–128. [CrossRef]
- 32. Prill, T.; Schladitz, K.; Jeulin, D.; Faessel, M.; Wieser, C. Morphological Segmentation of FIB-SEM data of highly porous media. *J. Microsc.* **2013**, 250, 77–87. [CrossRef]
- 33. StackReg. Available online: http://bigwww.epfl.ch/thevenaz/stackreg/ (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- 34. TurboReg. Available online: http://bigwww.epfl.ch/thevenaz/turboreg/ (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- Kelly, S.; El-Sobky, H.; Torre-Verdìn, C.; Balhoff, M.T. Assessing the utiliy of FIB-SEM images fro shale digital rock physics. *Adv. Water Resour.* 2016, 95, 302–316. [CrossRef]
- 36. Lowe, D. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. Int. J. Comput. Vis. 2004, 60, 91–110. [CrossRef]
- Silva, L.F.; dos Santos, V.R.; Paciornik, S.; Mertens, J.C.E.; Chawla, N. Multiscale 3D characterization of discontinuities in underwater wet welds. *Mater. Charact.* 2015, 107, 358–366. [CrossRef]
- Loeber, T.H.; Laegel, B.; Wolff, S.; Schuff, S.; Balle, F.; Beck, T.; Eifler, D.; Fitschen, J.H.; Steidl, G. Reducing curtaining effects in FIB/SEM applications by a goniometer stage and an image processing method. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 2017, 35, 06GK01. [CrossRef]
- 39. FFT Remove Stracks. Available online: https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/macros/FFTRemoveStreaks.txt (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- 40. Fitschen, J.H.; Jianwei, A.; Schuff, S. Removal of curtaining effects by variational model with directional forward differences. *Comput. Vis. Image Underst.* 2017, 155, 24–32. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gaussian Blur 3D. Available online: https://imagej.net/plugins/gaussian-blur-3d/index.html (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- 42. GradientXTerminator. Available online: https://www.rc-astro.com/software/gxt/ (accessed on 10 August 2023).
- 43. Lee, J. Digital image smoothing and the sigma filter. *Comput. Vision Graph. Image Process.* **1983**, 24, 255–269. [CrossRef]
- Netzeband, C.; Arlt, T.; Wippermann, K.; Lehnert, W.; Manke, I. Three-dimensional multiscale analysis of degradation of nano- and micro-structure in direct methanol fuel cell electrodes after methanol starvation. *J. Power Sources* 2016, 327, 481–487. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sternberg, S. Biomedical Image Processing. Computer 1983, 16, 22–34. [CrossRef]
- 46. Terao, T.; Inoue, G.; Kawase, M.; Kubo, N.; Yamaguchi, M.; Yokoyama, K.; Tokunaga, T.; Shinohara, K.; Hara, Y.; Hara, T. Development of novel three-dimensional reconstruction method for porous media for polymer electrolyte fuel cells using focused ion beam-scanning electron microscope tomography. *J. Power Sources* 2017, 347, 108–113. [CrossRef]
- 47. Preim, B.; Botha, C. Image Analysis for Medical Visualization. In *Visual Computing for Medicine—Theory, Algorithms, and Applications,* 2nd ed.; Breim, B., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Waltham, MA, USA, 2014; pp. 111–175.
- Faisal, T.F.; Islam, A.; Jouini, M.S.; Devarapalli, R.S.; Jouiad, M.; Sassi, M. Numerical prediction of carbonate elastic properties based on multi-scale imaging. *Geomech. Energy Environ.* 2019, 20, 100125. [CrossRef]
- Jouini, M.S.; Vega, S.; Al-Ratrout, A. A numerical estimation of carbonate rock properties using multiscale images. *Geophys. Prospect.* 2015, 63, 405–421. [CrossRef]
- Wargo, E.A.; Schulz, V.P.; Çeçen, A.; Kalidindi, S.R.; Kumbur, E.C. Resolving macro- and micro-porous layer interaction in polymer electrolyte fuel cells using focused ion beam and X-ray computed tomography. *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, *87*, 201–212. [CrossRef]
- 51. Otsu, N. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. 1979, 9, 62–66. [CrossRef]
- 52. Niblack, W. An Introduction to Digital Image Processing; Prentice-Hall International: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1986.
- 53. Sauvola, J.; Pietikainen, M. Adaptive document image binarization. Pattern Recognit. 2000, 33, 225–236. [CrossRef]
- 54. Thiele, S.; Zengerle, R.; Ziegler, C. Nanomorphology of a polymer electrolyte fuel cell catalyst layer-imaging, reconstruction and analysis. *Nano Res.* **2011**, *4*, 849–860. [CrossRef]
- Salzer, M.; Spettl, A.; Stenzel, O.; Smatt, J.; Lindèn, M.; Manke, I.; Schmidt, V. A two-stage approach to the segmentation of FIB-SEM images of highly porous materials. *Mater. Charact.* 2012, 69, 115–126. [CrossRef]
- Salzer, M.; Thiele, S.; Zengerle, R.; Schimdt, V. On the importance of FIB-SEM specific segmentation algorithms for porous media. *Mater. Charact.* 2014, 95, 36–43. [CrossRef]
- 57. Goral, J.; Walton, I.; Andrew, M.; Deo, M. Pore system characterization of organich-rich shales using nanoscale-resolution 3D imaging. *Fuel* **2019**, *258*, 116049. [CrossRef]
- Andrew, M. A quantified study of segmentation techniques on synthetic geological XRM and FIB-SEM images. *Comput. Geosci.* 2018, 22, 1503–1512. [CrossRef]
- 59. Breiman, L. Random Forests. Mach. Learn. 2001, 45, 5-32. [CrossRef]
- Zeiss Zen Intellesis. Available online: https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/en/resources/insights-hub/manufacturingassembly/zeiss-zen-intellesis.html (accessed on 10 August 2023).

- 61. Wilson, J.R.; Kobsiriphat, W.; Mendoza, R.; Chen, H.; Miller, J.N.; Miller, D.J.; Thorton, K.; Voorhees, P.W.; Adler, S.B.; Barnett, S.A. Three-dimensional reconstruction of a solide-oxide fuel-cell anode. *Nat. Mater.* **2006**, *5*, 541–544. [CrossRef]
- 62. Shearing, P.; Golbert, J.; Chater, R. 3D reconstruction of SOFC anodes using a focused ion beam lift-out technique. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2009**, *64*, 3928–3933. [CrossRef]
- Iwai, H.; Shikazono, N.; Matsui, T.; Teshima, H.; Kishimoto, M.; Kishida, R.; Hayashi, D.; Matsuzaki, K.; Kanno, D.; Saito, M.; et al. Quantification of Ni-YSZ Anode Microstructure Based On Dual Beam FIB-SEM Techniques. ECS Trans. 2009, 25, 1819–1828. [CrossRef]
- 64. Vivet, N.; Chupin, S.; Estrade, E.; Piquero, T.; Pommier, P.; Rochais, D.; Bruneton, E. 3D Microstructural characterization of a solid oxide fuel cell anode reconstructed by focused ion beam tomography. *J. Power Sources* **2011**, *196*, 7541–7549. [CrossRef]
- 65. Cronin, J.; Wilson, J.; Barnett, S. Impact of pore microstructure evolution on polarization resistance of Ni-Yttria-stabilized zirconia fuel cell anodes. *J. Power Sources* **2011**, *196*, 2640–2643. [CrossRef]
- 66. Holzer, R.; Stenzel, O.; Pecho, O.; Ott, T.; Boiger, G.; Gorbar, M.; de Hazan, Y.; Penner, D.; Schneider, I.; Cervera, R.; et al. Fundamental relationshop between 3D poro topology, electrolyte conduction and flow properties: Towards knowledge-based design of ceramic diaphragms for sensor applications. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *99*, 314–327. [CrossRef]
- 67. Münch, B.; Holzer, L. Contradicting geometrical concepts in pore size analysis attained with electron microscopy and mercury intrusion. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2008**, *91*, 4059–4067. [CrossRef]
- 68. Song, B.; Ruiz-Trejo, E.; Bertei, A.; Brandon, N.P. Quantification of the degradation of Ni-YSZ anodes upon redox cycling. *J. Power Sources* **2018**, *374*, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- 69. Trini, M.; De Angelis, S.; Jorgensen, P.; Hauch, A.; Chen, M.; Hendriksen, P.V. Phase field modelling of microstructural changes in Ni/YSZ solid oxide cell electrodes. *Ceram. Eng. Sci. Proc.* **2019**, *39*, 165–177.
- Gobel, M.; Godehart, M.; Schladitz, K. Multi-scale structural analysis of gas diffusion layers. J. Power Sources 2017, 355, 8–17. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Wang, X.; Giuliani, F.; Atkinson, A. Microstructural characteristics and elestic modulus of porous solids. *Acta Mater.* 2015, 89, 268–277. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Wang, X.; Giuliani, F.; Atkinson, A. Analyses of microstructural and elastic properties of porous SOFC cathodes based on focused ion beam. J. Power Sources 2015, 1273, 486–494. [CrossRef]
- Endler-Schuck, C.; Joos, J.; Niedrig, C.; Weber, A.; Ivers-Tiffèe, E. The chemical oxigen surface exchange and bulk diffusion coefficient determined by impedance spectroscopy of porous La_{0.58}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O_{3-δ}. *Solid State Ion.* 2015, 269, 67–79. [CrossRef]
- Almar, L.; Szàsz, J.; Weber, A.; Ivers-Tiffèe, E. Oxygen Transport Kinetics of Mixed Ionic-Electronic Conductors by copuling Focused Ion Beam tomography and electrochemica impedance spectroscopy. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2017, 164, F289–F297. [CrossRef]
- 75. Laurencin, J.; Hubert, M.; Couturier, K.; Le Bihan, T.; Cloetens, P.; Lefebvre-Joud, F.; Siebert, E. Reactive mechanisms of LSCF single-phase and LSCF-CGO composite electrodes operated in anodic and cathodic polarisations. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 174, 1299–1316. [CrossRef]
- 76. Kishimoto, M.; Lomberg, M.; Ruiz-Trejo, E.; Brandon, N.P. Numerical modeling of nickel-infiltrated gadolinium-doped ceria electrodes reconstructed with focused ion beam tomography. *Electrochim. Acta* **2016**, *190*, 178–185. [CrossRef]
- Rhazaoui, K.; Cai, Q.; Kishimoto, M.; Tariq, F.; Somalu, M.R.; Adjiman, C.S.; Brandon, N.P. Towards the 3D Modelling of the effective conductivity of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells Oxides—Validation against experimental measurements and predition of electrochemical performance. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, *168*, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- 78. Rhazaoui, K.; Cai, Q.; Adjiman, C.; Brandon, N. Towards the 3D modeling of the effective conductivity of solide oxide fuel cell electrodes: I. Model development. *Chem. Eng. Sc.* 2013, *99*, 161–170. [CrossRef]
- 79. Yan, Z.; Hara, S.; Shikazono, N. Towards a realistic prediction of sintering of solid oxide fuel cell electrodes: From tomography to discrete element and kinetic Monte Carlo simulations. *Scr. Mater.* **2018**, *146*, 31–35. [CrossRef]
- Wankmüller, F.; Szàsz, J.; Joos, J.; Wilde, V.; Störmer, H.; Gerthsen, D.; Ivers-Tiffèe, E. Correlative tomography at the cathode/electrolyte interfaces of solide oxide fuel cells. J. Power Sources 2017, 360, 399–408. [CrossRef]
- Singh, V.; Hashigami, S.; Muroyama, H.; Matsui, T.; Inagaki, T.; Eguchi, K. Influence of Fabrication Routes on Microstructure and Electrochemical Performance of Ni-GDC cathode for high temperature CO₂ reduction in Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2016, 163, F3084–F3090. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Barnett, S. Sr Surface Segregation on La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} Porous Solid Oxide Fuel Cell. ECS Trans. 2017, 78, 905–913. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Barnett, S. Degradation Mechanisms of Porous La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} Solid Oxide Fuel Cells Cathode. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2018, *165*, F564–F570. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Sumi, H.; Barnett, S. Effect of high-temperature ageing on (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathodes in microtubular solid oxide fuel cells. *Solid State Ion.* 2018, 323, 85–91. [CrossRef]
- Miyahara, K.; Sciazko, A.; Shimura, T.; Jiao, Z.; Shikazono, N. Evaluation of the Influence of Gadolinium Doped Ceria Particle Size on the Electrochemical Performance and Microstructure of Nickel-Gadolinium Doped Ceria anodes. *ECS Trans.* 2017, 78, 1149–1159. [CrossRef]
- Yan, Z.; Hara, S.; Shikazono, N.; Negishi, W.; Kajihara, A. Microstructure anisotropy of La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} film on rigid Gd_{0.1}Ce_{0.9}O_{1.95} substrate during constrained sintering. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 2019, *39*, 4850–4863. [CrossRef]

- 87. Kapun, G.; Marinŝek, M.; Merzel, F.; Ŝturm, S.; Gaberŝĉek, M.; Skalar, T. Microstructural Evaluation of Ni-SDC cermet from a representative 2D image and/or a 3D reconstruction based on a stack of images. *Mater. Tech.* **2017**, *51*, 775.
- Stariha, S.; Artyushkova, K.; Workman, M.J.; Serov, A.; Mckinney, S.; Halevi, B.; Atanassov, P. PGM-free Fe-N-C catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction: Catalyst layer design. J. Power Sources 2016, 326, 43–49. [CrossRef]
- Khamidy, N.; Laurencin, J.; Sanchez, D.; Monaco, F.; Charlot, F.; Djurado, E. Durability of nanostructured LaPrNiO_{4+δ} electrode for solide oxide fuel cells: Electrochemica, microstructural, and structural investigation. *J. Power Sources* 2020, 450, 227724. [CrossRef]
- Garcés, D.; Wang, H.; Barnett, S.A.; Leyva, A.G.; Napolitano, F.; Fuentes, R.O.; Troiani, H.; Mogni, L.V. An insight into the electrochemical performance of La_{0.5-x}Pr_xBa_{0.5}CoO_{3-δ} as cathodes for solid oxide fuel cells: Study of the O₂-reduction reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2018, *6*, 16699–16709. [CrossRef]
- 91. Jung, C.Y.; Kim, S.K.; Lee, S.J.; Yi, S.C. Three-dimensional reconstruction of coarse-dense dual catalyst layer for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Electrochem. Acta* 2016, 211, 142–147. [CrossRef]
- 92. Okumura, M.; Noda, Z.; Matsuda, J.; Tachikawa, Y.; Nishihara, M.; Lyth, S.M.; Hayashi, A.; Sasaki, K. Correlating Cathode Microstructure with PEFC Performance using FIB-SEM and TEM. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2017**, *164*, F928–F934. [CrossRef]
- Vierrath, S.; Güder, F.; Menzel, A.; Hagner, M.; Zengerle, R.; Zacharias, M.; Thiele, S. Enhancing quality of the tomography of nanoporous materials for better understanding of polymer electrolyte fuel cell materials. *J. Power Sources* 2015, 285, 413–4127. [CrossRef]
- Star, A.; Fuller, T. FIB-SEM Tomography Connects Microstructure to Corrosion-Induced Performance Loss in PEMFC Cathodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2017, 164, F901–F907. [CrossRef]
- 95. Grunewald, J.; Goswami, N.; Mukherjee, P.; Fuller, T. Modeling protong exchange membrane fuel cell cathode catalyst layers with the Lattice-Boltzmann-Method framework. *ECS Trans.* **2019**, *92*, 47–59. [CrossRef]
- Pournemat, A.; Markotter, H.; Wilhem, F.; Enz, S.; Kropf, H.; Manke, I.; Scholta, J. Nano-scale Monte Carlo study on liquid water distribution within the polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell microporous layer, catalyst layer and their interfacial region. J. Power Sources 2018, 397, 271–279. [CrossRef]
- 97. Nakajima, H.; Iwasaki, S.; Kitahara, T. Pore network modeling of a microporous layer for polymer electrolyte fuel cells under wet conditions. *J. Power Sources* 2023, *560*, 232677. [CrossRef]
- Maloum, M.; David, T.; Guetaz, L.; Duru, P.; Pauchet, J.; Quintard, M.; Prat, M. Computation of oxygen diffusion properties of the gas diffusion medium-microporous layer assembly from the combination of X-ray microtomography and focused ion beam three dimensional digital images. J. Power Sources 2023, 561, 232735. [CrossRef]
- 99. Xia, Y.; Cao, H.; Xu, F.; Chen, Y.; Xia, Y.; Zhang, D.; Dai, L.; Lian, C.; Huang, K.; Xing, W.; et al. Polymeric membranes with aligned zeolite nanosheets for susteinable energy storage. *Nat. Sustain.* **2022**, *5*, 1080–1091. [CrossRef]
- Prokop, M.; Vesely, M.; Capek, P.; Paidar, M.; Bouzek, K. High-temperature PEM fuel cell electrode catalyst layers part 1: Microstructure reconstructed using FIB-SEM tomography and its calculated effective transport properties. *Electrochim. Acta* 2022, 413, 140133. [CrossRef]
- Ender, M.; Joos, J.; Carraro, T.; Ivers-Tiffèe, E. Three-dimensional reconstruction of a composite cathode for lithium-ion cells. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2011, 13, 166–168. [CrossRef]
- Ender, M.; Joos, J.; Carraro, T.; Ivers-Tiffèe, E. Quantitative characterization of LiFePO₄ cathodes reconstructed by FIB/SEM tomography. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2012, 159, A972–A980. [CrossRef]
- 103. Eswara-Moorthy, S.K.; Balasubramanian, P.; van Mierlo, W.; Bernhard, J.; Marinaro, M.; Wolfhart-Mehrens, M.; Jörissen, L.; Kaiser, U. An In Situ SEM-FIB-based method for contrast enhancement and tomographic reconstruction for structural quantification of porous carbon electrodes. *Microsc. Microanal.* 2014, 20, 1576–1580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Wieser, C.; Prill, T.; Schladitz, K. Multiscale simulation process and application to additives in porous composite battery electrodes. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, 277, 64–75. [CrossRef]
- 105. Zielke, L.; Hutzenlaub, T.; Wheler, D.R.; Chao, C.; Manke, I.; Hilger, A.; Paust, N.; Zengerle, R.; Thiele, S. Three-phase multiscale modeling of a LiCoO₂ cathode: Combining the advantages of FIB-SEM imaging and X-ray tomography. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2014, 5, 1401612. [CrossRef]
- 106. Etiemble, A.; Besnard, N.; Bonnin, A.; Adrien, J.; Douillard, T.; Tran-Van, P.; Gautier, L.; Badot, J.C.; Maire, E.; Lestriez, B. Multiscale morphological characterization of process induced heterogeneities in blended positive electrodes for Lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Sci. 2017, 52, 3576–3596. [CrossRef]
- 107. Besnard, N.; Etiemble, A.; Douillard, T.; Dubrunfaut, O.; Tran-Van, P.; Gautier, L.; Franger, S.; Badot, J.; Maire, E.; Lestriez, B. Multiscale morphological and electrical characterization of charge transport limitations to the power performance of positive electrode blands for Lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1602239. [CrossRef]
- 108. Cadiou, F.; Etiemble, A.; Douillard, T.; Willot, F.; Valentin, O.; Badot, J.-C.; Lestriez, B.; Maire, E. Numerical prediction of multiscale electronic conductivity of lithium-ion battery positive electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2019, 166, A1692–A1703. [CrossRef]
- Vierrath, S.; Zielke, L.; Moroni, R.; Mondon, A.; Wheeler, D.R.; Zengerle, R.; Thiele, S. Morphology of nanoporous carbon-binder domains in Li-ion batteries- A FIB-SEM study. *Electrochem. Commun* 2015, 60, 176–179. [CrossRef]
- 110. Almar, L.; Joos, J.; Weber, A.; Ivers-Tifèe, E. Microstructural feature of analysis of commercial Li-ion battery cathodes by focused ion beam tomography. *J. Power Sources* **2019**, 427, 1–14. [CrossRef]

- Liu, Z.; Chen-Wiegart, Y.K.; Wang, J.; Barnett, S.A.; Faber, K.T. Three-phases 3D reconstruction of a LiCoO₂ cathode via FIB-SEM tomography. *Microsc. Microanal.* 2016, 22, 140–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Biton, M.; Yufit, V.; Tariq, F.; Kishimoto, M.; Brandon, N. Enhanced Imaging of Lithium Ion battery electrode materials. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2017, *164*, A6032–A6038. [CrossRef]
- 113. Song, B.; Sui, T.; Ying, S.; Li, L.; Korsunsky, A.M. Nano-structural changes in Li-ion battery cathodes during cycling revealed by FIB-SEM serial sectioning tomography. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 18171. [CrossRef]
- 114. Scipioni, R.; Jørgensen, P.S.; Ngo, D.; Simonsen, S.B.; Liu, Z.; Yakal-Kremski, K.J.; Wang, H.; Hjelm, J.; Norby, P.; Barnett, S.A.; et al. Electron microscopy investigations of changes in morphology and conductivity of LiFePO₄/C electrodes. *J. Power Sources* 2016, 307, 259–269. [CrossRef]
- 115. Etiemble, A.; Tranchot, A.; Douillard, T.; Idrissi, H.; Maire, E.; Rouè, L. Evolution of the 3D microstructure of a Si-based electrode for Li-ion batteries investigated by FIB/SEM tomography. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2016**, *163*, A1550–A1559. [CrossRef]
- Scipioni, R.; Jørgensen, P.S.; Stroe, D.I.; Younesi, R.; Simonsen, S.B.; Norby, P.; Hjelm, J.; Jensen, S.H. Complementary analyses of aging in a commercial LiFePO₄/graphite 26650 cell. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 284, 454–468. [CrossRef]
- 117. Liu, H.; Foster, J.M.; Gully, A.; Krachkovskiy, S.; Jiang, M.; Wu, Y.; Yang, X.; Protas, B.; Goward, G.R.; Botton, G.A. Threedimensional investigation of cycling-induced microstructural changes in lithium-ion battery cathodes using focused ion beam/scanning electron microscopy. J. Power Sources 2016, 306, 300–308. [CrossRef]
- Moroni, R.; Börner, M.; Zielke, L.; Schroeder, M.; Nowak, S.; Winter, M.; Manke, I.; Zengerle, R.; Thiele, S. Multi-scale correlative tomography of a Li-ion battery composite cathode. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 30109. [CrossRef]
- 119. Danner, T.; Eswara, S.; Schulz, V.P.; Latz, A. Characterization of gas diffusion electrodes for metal-air batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2016**, 324, 646–656. [CrossRef]
- Biton, M.; Tariq, F.; Yufit, V.; Chen, Z.; Brandon, N. Integrating multi-lenght scale high resolution 3D imaging and modelling in the characterisation and identification of mechanical failure sites in electrochemical dendrites. *Acta Mater.* 2017, 141, 39–46. [CrossRef]
- 121. Yufit, V.; Tariq, F.; Eastwood, D.S.; Biton, M.; Wu, B.; Lee, P.D.; Brandon, N.P. Operando visualization and multi-scale tomography studies of dendrite formation and dissolution in Zinc batteries. *Joule* **2019**, *3*, 485–502. [CrossRef]
- 122. Prill, T.; Jeulin, D.; Willot, F.; Balach, J.; Soldera, F. Prediction of effective properties of porous carbon electrodes from a parametric 3D random morphological model. *Transp. Porous Media* **2017**, 120, 141–165. [CrossRef]
- 123. Lagadec, M.F.; Zahn, R.; Wood, V. Designing polyolefin separators to minimize the impact of local compressive stresses on lithium-ion battery performance. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, *165*, A1829–A1836. [CrossRef]
- 124. Malik, R.; Huang, Q.; Silvestri, L.; Liu, D.; Pellegrini, V.; Marasco, L.; Venezia, E.; Abouali, S.; Bonaccorso, F.; Lain, M.J. Synthesis of layered silicon-graphene hetero-structures by wet jet milling for high-capacity anodes in Li-ion batteries. 2D Mater. 2021, 1, 015012. [CrossRef]
- 125. Wollschlänger, N.; Palasse, L.; Häusler, I.; Dirscherl, K.; Oswald, F.; Narbey, S.; Ortel, E.; Hodoroaba, V.D. Characterization of the inner structure of porous TiO₂ nanoparticle films in dye sensitive solar cells (DSSC) by focused ion beam (FIB) tomography and transmission Kikuchi diffraction (TKD) in the scanning electron microscope (SEM). *Mater. Charact.* 2017, 131, 39–48. [CrossRef]
- 126. Suter, S.; Cantoni, M.; Gaudy, Y.K.; Pokrant, S.; Haussener, S. Linking morphology and multi-physical transport in structured photoelectrodes. *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **2018**, *2*, 2661–2673. [CrossRef]
- 127. Andrzejczuk, M.; Rasinski, M.; Roguska, A.; Pisarek, M.; Lewandoska, M. An electron microscopy three dimensional characterization of titania nanotubes. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 2019, *82*, 173–177. [CrossRef]
- 128. Macak, J.; Schmuki, P. Anodic growth of self-organized anodic TiO₂ nanotubes in viscous electrolytes. *Electroch. Acta* 2006, *52*, 1258–1264. [CrossRef]
- 129. Inkson, B.J.; Steer, T.; Möbus, G.; Wagner, T. Subsurface nanoindentation deformation of Cu-Al multilayers mapped in 3D by focus ion beam microscopy. *J. Microsc.* 2001, 201, 256–259. [CrossRef]
- Schaffer, M.; Wagner, J.; Schaffer, B.; Schmied, M.; Mulders, H. Automated three-dimensional X-ray analysis using a dual-beam FIB. Ultramicroscopy 2007, 107, 587–597. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Z.; Pan, Y.; Fu, C.; Li, W.; Wang, Y.; Long, W. Three-dimensional pore structure characterization of cement past by X-ray computed tomography (XCT) and focused ion beam/scanning electron microscopy (FIB/SEM). *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2023, 383, 131379. [CrossRef]
- 132. Tarragò, J.M.; Fargas, G.; Isern, L.; Dorvlo, S.; Tarres, E.; Muller, C.M.; Jimènez-Piquè, E.; Llanes, L. Microstructural influence on tolerance to corrosion-induced damage in hardmetals. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *111*, 36–43. [CrossRef]
- Pötschke, J.; Höhn, S.; Richter, V.; Mayer, M. Microstructural evolution during sintering of cermets studied using interrupted sintering experiments and novel 2D and 3D FESEM based techniques. Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 2017, 63, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- 134. Tokita, M. Sparks Plasma Sintering (SPS) method, systems and applications. In *Handbook of Advanced Ceramics—Materials, Applications, Processing and Properties;* Somiya, S., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp. 1149–1178.
- Papynov, E.K.; Portnyagin, A.S.; Modin, E.B.; Mayorov, V.Y.; Shichalin, O.O.; Golikov, A.P.; Pechnikov, V.S.; Gridasova, E.A.; Tananaev, I.G.; Avramenko, V.A. A complex approach to assessing porous structure of structured ceramics obtained by SPS technique. *Mater. Charact.* 2018, 145, 294–302. [CrossRef]
- Maleki, M.; Cugnoni, J.; Botsis, J. Isothermal ageing of SnAgCu solder alloys: Three-dimensional morphometry analysis of microstructural evolution and its effects on mechanical response. J. Electron. Mater. 2014, 43, 1026–1042. [CrossRef]

- 137. Yan, M.; Qian, M.; Kong, C.; Dargusch, M. Impacts of trace carbon on the microstructure of as-sintered biomedical Ti-15Mo alloy and reassessment of the maximum carbon limit. *Acta Biomater.* **2014**, *10*, 1014–1023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kulawik, K.; Buffat, P.A.; Kruk, A.; Wusatowska-Sarnek, A.M.; Czyrska-Filemonowicz, A. Imaging and characterization of γ' and γ" nanoparticles in Inconel 718 by EDX elemental mapping and FIB-SEM tomography. *Mater. Charact.* 2015, 100, 74–80. [CrossRef]
- 139. Kruk, A.; Czyrska-Filemonowicz, A. The 3D imaging and metrology of microstrctural elements in innovative materials for clean energy systems and aeronautics. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2016**, *879*, 1019–1024. [CrossRef]
- 140. Kruk, A.; Cempura, G.; Lech, S.; Czyrska-Filemonowicz, A. STEM-EDX and FIB-SEM tomography of Allvac 718Plus alloy. *Arch. Metall. Mater.* **2016**, *61*, 535–542. [CrossRef]
- 141. Kruk, A.; Cempura, G. Application of analytical electron microscopy and FIB-SEM tomographic technique. *Int. J. Mater. Res.* **2018**, *109*, 1–7.
- 142. Roland, M.; Kruglova, A.; Gaiselmann, G.; Brereton, T.; Schmidt, V.; Mücklich, F.; Diebels, S. Numerical simulation and comparison of a real Al-Si alloy with virtually generated alloys. *Arch. Appl. Mech.* **2015**, *85*, 1161–1171. [CrossRef]
- 143. Singh, S.S.; Loza, J.J.; Merkle, A.P.; Chawla, N. Three dimensional microstructural characterization of nanoscale precipitates in AA7075-T651 by focused ion beam (FIB) tomography. *Mater. Charact.* **2016**, *118*, 102–111. [CrossRef]
- 144. Lu, Y.; Chiu, Y.; Jones, I. Three dimensional analysis of the microstructure and bio-corrosion of Mg-Zn and Mg-Zn-Ca alloys. *Mater. Charact.* **2016**, *112*, 113–121. [CrossRef]
- 145. Yu, J.; Wanderka, N.; Miehe, G.; Banhart, J. Intermetallic phases in high purity Al-10Si-0.3Fe cast alloys with and without Sr modification studied by FIB tomography and TEM. *Intermetallics* 2016, 72, 53–61. [CrossRef]
- 146. Eisenhut, L.; Schaefer, F.; Grunewald, P.; Weiter, L.; Marx, M.; Motz, C. Effect of a dislocation pile-up at the neutral axis on trans-crystalline crack growth for micro-bending fatigue. *Int. J. Fatigue* **2017**, *94*, 131–139. [CrossRef]
- 147. Dubiel, B.; Indyka, P.; Moskalewicz, T.; Kruk, A.; Zubko, M.; Kalemba-Rec, I.; Berent, K. Characterization of the μ and P phase precipitates in the CMSX-4 single crystal superalloy. *J. Microsc.* **2017**, *266*, 239–248. [CrossRef]
- 148. Yang, Y.; Zhou, W.; Yin, S.; Wang, S.Y.; Yu, Q.; Olszta, M.J.; Zhang, Y.; Zeltmann, S.E.; Li, M.; Jin, M.; et al. One dimensional wormhole corrosion in metals. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 988. [CrossRef]
- Wongpromat, W.; Parry, V.; Charlot, F.; Crisci, A.; Latu-Romain, L.; Chandra-ambhorn, W.; Galerie, A.; Wouters, Y. Possible connection between nodule development and presence of niobium and/or titanium during short time thermal oxidation of AISI 441 stainless steel in wet atmosphere. *Mater. High Temp.* 2015, *32*, 22–27. [CrossRef]
- 150. Burnett, T.L.; Geurts, R.; Jazaeri, H.; Northover, S.M.; Macdonald, S.A.; Haigh, S.J.; Bouchard, P.J.; Withers, P.J. Multiscale 3D analysis of creep cavities in AISI type 316 stainless steel. *Mater. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *31*, 522–534. [CrossRef]
- 151. Kawano, R.; Kaneko, K.; Hara, T.; Yamada, T.; Sato, Y.; Higashida, K.; Kikuchi, M. Decorated dislocations with fine precipitates observed by FIB-SEM slice-sectioning tomography. *ISIJ Int.* **2014**, *55*, 858–862. [CrossRef]
- Maetz, J.Y.; Douillard, T.; Cazottes, S.; Verdu, C.; Kléber, X. M23C6 carbides and Cr₂N nitrides in aged duplex stainless steel: A SEM, TEM and FIB tomography investigation. *Micron* 2016, *84*, 43–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Ochi, M.; Kawano, R.; Maeda, T.; Sato, Y.; Teranishi, R.; Hara, T.; Kikuchi, M.; Kaneko, K. Three-dimensional studies of intergranular carbides in austenitic stainless steel. *Microscopy* **2017**, *66*, 89–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Ochi, M.; Sato, K.; Teranishi, R.; Sato, Y.; Hamada, J.; Takushima, C.; Hara, T.; Kaneko, K. Nanostructural analyses of intra- and intergranular precipitates in high-temperature heat-treated nitrogen-added austenitic stainless steel. *ISIJ Int.* 2018, 58, 1459–1466. [CrossRef]
- 155. Ghassemali, E.; Hernando, J.C.; Stefanescu, D.M.; Dioszegi, A.; Jarfors, A.E.W.; Dluhos, J.; Petrenec, M. Revisiting the graphite nodule in ductile iron. *Scr. Mater.* **2019**, *161*, 66–69. [CrossRef]
- Cempura, G.; Gil, A.; Aguero, A.; Gutièrrez, M.; Kruk, A.; Czyrska-Filemonowicz, A. Microstructural studies of the scale on Sanicro 25 after 25,000 h of oxidation in steam using advanced electron microscopy techniques. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 2019, 377, 124901. [CrossRef]
- 157. Phother-Simon, J.; Hanif, I.; Liske, J.; Jonsson, T. The influence of a KCl-rich environment on the corrosion attack of 304 L: 3D FIB/SEM and TEM investigations. *Corros. Sci.* **2021**, *183*, 109315. [CrossRef]
- 158. Atwater, M.; Darling, K.; Tschopp, M. Synthesis, characterization and quantitative analysis of porous metal microstructures: Application to microporous copper produced by solid state foaming. *AIMS Mater. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 573–590. [CrossRef]
- 159. Hu, W.; Liu, L.; Zou, L.; Shao, J.; Wang, S.; Jin, H. Synthesis and mechanical properties of porous metal with inverted dealloying structure. *Scr. Mater.* 2022, *210*, 114483. [CrossRef]
- 160. Rmili, W.; Vivet, N.; Chupin, S.; Le Bihan, T.; Le Quilliec, G.; Richard, C. Quantitative analysis of porosity and transport properties by FIB-SEM 3D imaging of a solder based sintered silver for a new microelectronic component. *J. Electron. Mater.* **2016**, *45*, 2242–2251. [CrossRef]
- 161. Ollivier, M.; Harker, R.M.; Chater, R.J.; Gourlay, C.M. Thermal etching of silver: Influence of rolling defects. *Mater. Charact.* 2016, 118, 112–121. [CrossRef]
- Liu, B.; Tian, Y.; Wang, C.; An, R.; Liu, Y. Extremely fast formation of CuSn intermetallic compounds in Cu/Sn/Cu system via a micro-resistance spot welding process. J. All. Comp. 2016, 687, 667–673. [CrossRef]
- Xian, J.W.; Belyakov, S.A.; Ollivier, M.; Nogita, K.; Yasuda, H.; Gourlay, C.M. Cu₆Sn₅ crystal growth mechanisms during solidification of electronic interconnections. *Acta Mater.* 2017, 126, 540–551. [CrossRef]

- 164. Mirò, M.M.; Veith, M.; Lee, J.; Soldera, F.; Mücklich, F.; Bennewitz, R.; Aktas, S. 3D and 2D structural characterization of 1D Al/Al2O3 biphasic nanostructures. J. Microsc. 2015, 258, 113–118. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Y.; Liu, Y.; Wu, H.; Xiong, X.; Pan, J. FIB-tomographic studies on chemical vapor deposition grown SnO2 nanowire arrays on TiO₂ (001). *Mater. Res. Express* 2016, *3*, 125016. [CrossRef]
- Mangipudi, K.R.; Radisch, V.; Holzer, L.; Volkert, C.A. A FIB-nanotomography method for accurate 3D reconstruction of open nanoporous structures. *Ultramicroscopy* 2016, 163, 38–47. [CrossRef]
- 167. Jeon, H.; Kang, N.-R.; Gwak, E.; Jang, J.; Nam Han, H.; Hwang, J.Y.; Lee, S.; Kim, J.-Y. Self-similarity in the structure of coarsened nanoporous gold. *Scr. Mater.* 2017, 137, 46–49. [CrossRef]
- 168. van der Hoeven, J.E.S.; van der Wee, E.B.; de Winter, D.A.M.; Hermes, M.; Liu, Y.; Fokkema, J.; Bransen, M.; van Huis, M.A.; Gerritsen, H.C.; de Jongh, P.E.; et al. Bridging the gap: 3D real-space characterization of colloidal assemblies via FIB-SEM tomography. *Nanoscale* 2019, 11, 5304–5316. [CrossRef]
- Mayer, C.R.; Molina-Aladareguia, J.; Chawla, N. Three-dimensional (3D) microstructure-based finite element modeling of Al-SiC nanolaminates using focused ion beam (FIB) tomography. *Mater. Charact.* 2016, 120, 369–376. [CrossRef]
- 170. Champion, Y.; Laurent-Brocq, M.; Lhuissier, P.; Charlot, F. Understanding the interdependence of penetration depth and deformation on nanoindentation of nanoporous silver. *Metals* **2019**, *9*, 1346. [CrossRef]
- 171. Sondergeld, C.H.; Ambrose, R.J.; Rai, C.S.; Moncrieff, J. Micro-structural studies of gas shales. In Proceedings of the SPE Unconventional Gas Conference, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 23–25 February 2010.
- 172. Ma, L.; Dowey, P.; Rutter, E.; Taylor, K.G.; Lee, P.D. A novel upscaling procedure for characterising heterogeneous shale porosity from nanometer-to millimetre-scale in 3D. *Energy* 2019, *181*, 1285–1297. [CrossRef]
- 173. Peng, S.; Yang, J.; Xiao, X.; Loucks, B.; Ruppel, S.C.; Zhang, T. An Intergrated model for upscaling pore-network characterization and permeability exstimation: Example from the Mississippian Barnett shale. *Transp. Porous Media* **2015**, *109*, 359–376. [CrossRef]
- 174. Roshan, H.; Sari, M.; Arandiyan, H.; Hu, Y.; Mostaghimi, P.; Sarmadivaleh, M.; Masoumi, H.; Veveakis, M.; Iglauer, S.; Regenauer-Lieb, K. Total porosity of thight rocks: A welcome to heat transfer technique. *Energy Fuels* **2016**, *30*, 10072–10079. [CrossRef]
- 175. Bai, B.; Elgmati, M.; Sun, Y.; Liu, L. Petrophysical properties characterization of Ordovician Utica gas shale in Quebec, Canada. *Petrol. Explor. Dev.* **2016**, *55*, 74–81. [CrossRef]
- 176. Nia, S.; Dasani, D.; Tsotsis, T.T.; Jessen, K. An integrated approach for the characterization of Shales and other unconventional resource materials. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 3718–3728. [CrossRef]
- 177. Leu, L.; Georgiadis, A.; Blunt, M.J.; Busch, A.; Bertier, P.; Schweinar, K.; Liebi, M.; Menzel, A.; Ott, H. Multiscale description of shale pore systems by scanning SAXS and WAXS microscopy. *Energy Fuels* **2016**, *30*, 10282–10297. [CrossRef]
- 178. Tang, X.; Jiang, Z.; Jiang, S.; Li, Z. Heterogeneous nanoporosity of the Silurian Longmaxi Formation shale gas reservoir in the Sichuan Basin using QEMSCAN, FIB-SEM and Nano-CT methods. *Mar. Pet. Geol.* **2016**, *78*, 99–109. [CrossRef]
- 179. Sun, H.; Yao, J.; Cao, Y.; Fan, D.; Zhang, L. Characterization of gas transport behaviours in shale gas and tight gas reservoirs by digital rock analysis. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2017, 104, 227–239. [CrossRef]
- Saif, T.; Lin, Q.; Butcher, A.R.; Bijeljic, B.; Blunt, M.J. Multi-scale multi-dimensional microstructure imaging of oil shale pyrolysis using X-ray micro-tomography, automated ultra-high resolution SEM, MAPS Mineralogy and FIB-SEM. *Appl. Energy* 2017, 202, 628–647. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Q.; Pang, Z.; Zhang, J.; Lin, W.; Jiang, S. Qualitative and quantitative characterization of a transitional shale reservoir: A case study from the Upper Carboniferous Taiyuan shale in the eastern uplift of Liahoe Depression, China. *Mar. Petr. Geo.* 2017, *80*, 307–320. [CrossRef]
- 182. Ma, L.; Taylor, K.G.; Dowey, P.J.; Courtois, L.; Gholidia, A.; Lee, P.D. Multi-scale 3D characterization of porosity and organic matter in shales with variable TOC content and thermal maturity: Examples from the Lublin and Baltic Basins, Poland and Lithuania. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* 2017, 180, 100–112. [CrossRef]
- 183. He, J.; Wang, J.; Yu, Q.; Liu, W.; Ge, X.; Yang, P.; Wang, Z.; Lu, J. Pore structure of shale and its effects on gas storage and transmission capacity in well HD-1 eastern Sichuan Basin, China. *Fuel* **2018**, *226*, 709–720. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Rahman, S.S.; Arns, C. Semi-quantitative multiscale modelling and flow simulation in a nanoscale porous system of a shale. *Fuel* 2018, 234, 1056–1070. [CrossRef]
- 185. Chen, Z.; Song, Y.; Li, Z.; Liu, S.; Li, Y.; Liu, G.; Yang, W.; Wang, Q.; Yang, Y.; Gao, F. The occurence characteristics and removal mechanism of residual water in marine shales: A case study of Wufeng-Longmaxi shale in Changning-Weiyuan area, Sichuan basin. *Fuel* 2019, 253, 1056–1070. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Mostaghimi, P.; Armstrong, R.T. Multiscale characterization of shale diffusivity using time-lapsed X-ray computer tomography and pore level simulations. J. Petr. Sc. Eng. 2019, 182, 106271. [CrossRef]
- Goral, J.; Panja, P.; Deo, M.; Andrew, M.; Linden, S.; Schwarz, J.; Wiegmann, A. Confinement effect on porosity and permeability of shales. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 49. [CrossRef]
- 188. Goral, J.; Andrew, M.; Olson, T.; Deo, M. Correlative core- to pore-scale imagind of shales. *Mar. Petr. Geo.* 2020, 111, 886–904. [CrossRef]
- Zeyen, N.; Benzerara, K.; Li, J.; Groleau, A.; Balan, E.; Robert, J.-L.; Estève, I.; Tavera, R.; Moreira, D.; Lòpez-Garcia, P. Formation of low-T hydrated silicates in moder microbialites from Mexico and implications for microbial fossilization. *Front. Earth Sci.* 2015, 3, 64. [CrossRef]

- 190. Desbois, G.; Urai, J.L.; Hemes, S.; Schröppel, B.; Schwarz, J.-O.; Mac, M.; Weiel, D. Multi-scale analysis of porosity in diagenetically altered reservoir sandstone from the Permian Rotliegend (Germany). *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *140*, 128–148. [CrossRef]
- 191. Darbari, Z.; Jaradat, K.A.; Abdelaziz, S.L. Heating-freezing effects on the pore size distribution of a kaolinite clay. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2017**, *76*, 713. [CrossRef]
- 192. Bollermann, T.; Yuan, T.; Kulenkampff, J.; Stumpf, T.; Fischer, C. Pore network and solute flux pattern analysis towards improved predictability of diffusive transport in argillaceous host rocks. *Chem. Geol.* **2022**, *606*, 120997. [CrossRef]
- de Winter, D.A.M.; Meirer, F.; Weckhuysen, B.M. FIB-SEM tomography probes the mesoscale pore space of an individual catalytic cracking particle. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 3158–3167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bae, K.; Kim, J.W.; Son, J.; Lee, T.; Kang, S.; Prinz, F.B.; Shim, J.H. 3D evaluation of porous zeolite absorbents using FIB-SEM tomography. Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. Technol. 2018, 5, 195–199. [CrossRef]
- 195. Einsle, J.F.; Harrison, R.J.; Kasama, T.; Conbhuì, P.O.; Fabian, K.; Williams, W.; Woodland, L.; Fu, R.R.; Weiss, B.P.; Midgley, P.A. Multi-scale three-dimensional characterization of iron particles in dusty olivine: Implications for paleomagnetism of chondritic meteorites. *Am. Miner.* 2016, 101, 2070–2084. [CrossRef]
- 196. Devarapalli, R.S.; Islam, A.; Faisal, T.F.; Sassi, M.; Jouiad, M. Micro-CT and FIB-SEM imaging and pore structure characterization of dolomite rock at multiple scales. *Arab. J. Geosci.* **2017**, *10*, 361. [CrossRef]
- 197. Zhou, S.; Liu, D.; Cai, Y.; Yao, Y.; Li, Z. 3D characterization and quantitative evaluation of pore-fracture networks of two Chinese coals using FIB-SEM tomography. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* **2017**, *174*, 41–54. [CrossRef]
- Gerke, K.M.; Korostilev, E.V.; Romanenko, K.A.; Karsanina, M.V. Going submicron in the precise analysis of soil structure: A FIB-SEM imaging study at nanoscale. *Geoderma* 2021, 383, 114739. [CrossRef]
- 199. Conny, J.M.; Ortiz-Montalvo, D.L. Effect of heterogeneity and shape on optical properties of urban dust based on threedimensional modeling of individual particles. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos* 2017, 122, 9816–9842. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, H.; Wirth, R.; Gleeson, S.A.; Schreiber, S.; Mayanna, A. Three-dimensional and microstructural fingerprinting of gold nanoparticles at fluid-mineral interfaces. *Am. Miner.* 2021, 106, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- Keller, L.M.; Holzer, L.; Gasser, P.; Emi, R.; Rossell, M.D. Integranular pore space evolution in MX80 bentonite during a long-term experiment. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2015, 104, 150–159. [CrossRef]
- Hemes, S.; Desbois, G.; Urai, J.L.; Schröppel, B.; Schwarz, J.O. Multi-scale characterization of porosity in Boom Clay (HADES-level), Mol, Belgium) using a combination of X-ray, μ-CT, 2D BIB-SEM and FIB-SEM tomography. *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.* 2015, 208, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- Chung, B.W.; Erler, R.G.; Teslich, N.E. Three-dimensional microstructural characterization of bulk plutonium and uranium metals using focused ion beam technique. J. Nucl. Mater. 2016, 473, 264–271. [CrossRef]
- 204. Chung, B.W.; Torres, R.A. Microstructural characterization of plutonium oxalate and oxide particles. *Materialia* **2019**, *6*, 100294. [CrossRef]
- McKinney, C.; Seibert, R.; Helmreich, G.; Aitkaliyeva, A.; Terrani, K. Three-dimensional bubble reconstruction in high burnup UO₂. J. Nucl. Mater. 2020, 532, 152053. [CrossRef]
- Baris, A.; Abolhassani, S.; Chiu, Y.L.; Evans, H.E. Observation of crack microstructure in oxides and its correlation to oxidation and hydrogen-uptake by 3D FIB tomography—case of Zr-ZrO₂ in reactor. *Mater. Hi. Temp.* 2018, 504, 144–160. [CrossRef]
- Baris, A.; Restani, R.; Grabherr, R.; Chiu, Y.L.; Evans, H.E.; Ammon, K.; Limbäck, M.; Abolhassani, S. Chemical and microstructural characterization of a 9 cycle Zircaloy-2 cladding using EPMA and FIB tomography. J. Nucl. Mater. 2018, 504, 144–160. [CrossRef]
- Arregui-Mena, J.D.; Edmonson, P.D.; Worth, R.N.; Contescu, C.; Burchell, T.D.; Katoh, Y. Characterization of the irradiation effects in nuclear graphite. In Proceedings of the TMS 2019 Annual Meeting & Exhibition, San Antonio, TX, USA, 10–14 March 2019.
- Stachewicz, U.; Modaresifar, F.; Bailey, R.J.; Peijs, T.; Barber, A.H. Manufacture of Void-free electrospun polymer nanofiber composites with optimized mechanical properties. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2012, 4, 2577–2582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stachewicz, U.; Bailey, R.J.; Zhang, H.; Stone, C.A.; Willis, C.R. Wetting Hierarchy in Oleophobic 3D Electrospun Nanofiber networks. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 16645–16652. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 211. Stachewicz, U.; Qiao, T.; Rawlinson, S.C.F.; Almeida, F.V.; Li, W.; Cattell, M.; Barber, A.H. 3D imaging of cell interactions with electrospun PLGA nanofiber membranes for bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *27*, 88–100. [CrossRef]
- Stachewicz, U.; Piotr, K.; Szewczyz, P.K.; Kruk, A.; Barber, A.H. Pore shape and size dependence on cell growth into electrospun fiber scaffolds for tissue engineering: 2D and 3D analyses using SEM and FIB-SEM tomography. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2019, 95, 397–408. [CrossRef]
- Campo, E.M.; Yates, D.; Berson, B.; Rojas, W.; Winter, A.D.; Ananth, M.; Santiago-Aviles, J.J.; Terentjev, E.M. Tomography of electrospun carbon nanotube polymeric blends by focus ion beam: Alignment and phase separation analysis from multiconstrat electron imaging. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* 2017, 302, 1600479. [CrossRef]
- Diblikovà, P.; Vesely, M.; Sysel, P.; Čapek, P. Reconstructing the microstructure of polyimide-silicalite mixed-matrix membranes and their particle connectivity using FIB-SEM tomography. J. Microsc. 2018, 269, 230–246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alvarez, J.; Saudino, G.; Musteata, V.; Madhavan, P.; Genovese, A.; Behzad, A.R.; Sougrat, R.; Boi, C.; Peinemann, K.-V.; Nunes, S.P. 3D analysis of ordered porous polymeric particles using complementary electron microscopy methods. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 13987. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Neusser, G.; Eppler, S.; Bowen, J.; Allender, C.J.; Walther, P.; Mizaikoff, B.; Kranz, C. FIB and MIP: Understanding nanoscale porosity in molecularly imprinted polymers via 3D FIB/SEM tomography. *Nanoscale* 2017, 9, 14327–14334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 217. Aslannejad, H.; Hassanizadeh, S.M.; de Winter, D.A.M.; Tomozeiu, N.; van Genuchten, M.T. Characterizing the hydraulic properties of paper coating layer using FIB-SEM tomography and 3D pore-scale modeling. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2017, *160*, 275–280. [CrossRef]
- 218. Ghanbarian, B.; Aslannejad, H.; Raoof, A. Modeling water imbibition into coated and uncoated papers. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2018, 189, 33–42. [CrossRef]
- 219. Roberge, H.; Moreau, P.; Coaullier, E.; Abellan, P. Determination of the key structural factors affecting permeability and selectivity of PAN and PES polymeric filtration membranes using 3D FIB/SEM. *J. Membr. Sci.* **2022**, 653, 120530. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.