

ENHANCED SEGMENT PARTICLE SWARM
OPTIMIZATION FOR LARGE-SCALE
KINETIC PARAMETER ESTIMATION OF
ESCHERICHIA COLI NETWORK MODEL

MOHAMMED ADAM KUNNA AZRAG

Doctor of Philosophy

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tuty', is written above a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. TUTY ASMAWATY ABDUL KADIR

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 23/07/2021



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and lines, positioned above a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MOHAMMED ADAM KUNNA AZRAG

ID Number : PCC16012

Date : 24/7/2021

ENHANCED SEGMENT PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION FOR LARGE-
SCALE KINETIC PARAMETER ESTIMATION OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI*
METABOLIC NETWORK MODEL

MOHAMMED ADAM KUNNA AZRAG

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Computing
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like specially to thank my supervisor Doctor Tuty Asmawaty Abdul Kadir for her advice and guidance in the development of this research and also Dr. Akmal Ramli. It has truly been a pleasure to work with you, and I appreciate the supervision you have given me to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Universiti Malaysia Pahang and Faculty of Computing for granting me a PhD scholarship, without their support, my ambition to study abroad can hardly be realized. It was a wonderful place to work, and they are very dedicated people. I gained so much from it. Furthermore, special thanks to the academic, management, and technical staff in the Faculty of Computing (Prof. Dr. Kamal Zamli, Ass. Prof. Dr. Azhar, Ass. Prof. Dr. Nizam, Ass. Prof. Dr. Mazlina) and the staff of the Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS) in UMP. I thank all my friends (Balla, Hybat, Yasmeen, Jambo, Sharaf, Akma, Odili, and Dr. Brazily) and colleagues for every bit of support; I thank all Malaysian people whom I met, for their openness, friendship, and hospitality.

At last, and most important, I would like to thank my parents (Adam & Rashida) and all family members (Mustafa, Motaman, Tanzeel, and Dr. Shiam Mahmuod, Dr. Alrashid Esmail Sultan) for their open-mindedness and endless support. They are in my heart. Without the help and support of Allah, supervisors, and all these people, this thesis would not be completed.

ABSTRAK

Yang pembangunan model metabolik berskala besar bagi *Escherichia Coli* (*E. Coli*) sangat diperlukan oleh industri untuk membantu mengenalpasti penyelesaian yang berpotensi yang boleh dilaksanakan bagi meningkatkan pengeluaran sesuatu produk. Namun begitu, anggaran parameter kinetik yang berskala besar masih tidak dilaksanakan di dalam model kinetik yang melibatkan laluan utama metabolik *E-coli* di samping kekurangan keputusan ketepatan anggaran dilaporkan di dalam kajian terdahulu. Oleh yang demikian, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk menganggar nilai kinetik berskala besar bagi laluan utama metabolik *E-coli*. Penyelidikan ini melibatkan adaptasi dan cadangan pelbagai teknik seperti Analisis Sensitiviti Setempat, Segmentasi Pengoptimuman Kumpulan Zarah (Se-PSO), Peningkatan Segmentasi Pengoptimuman Kumpulan Zarah (ESe-PSO) bagi menganggar nilai parameter kinetik tersebut. Di peringkat awal kajian, algoritma Pengoptimuman Kumpulan Zarah (Particle Swarm Optimization-PSO) di gunakan untuk pencarian hasil optimum secara global berdasarkan pergerakan zarah secara rawak (tidak teratur) di ruang carian tertentu. Seterusnya, Se-PSO dibangunkan dengan cara melaksanakan proses segmentasi kepada zarah bagi mendapatkan nilai optimum setempat sebelum proses PSO dilaksanakan. Selanjutnya, ESe-PSO di cadang untuk meningkatkan nilai linear pemberat insersia, ω , yang digunakan dalam Se-PSO. Satu proses redaman di tambah di dalam algoritma ini bagi membolehkan zarah meningkatkan proses penerokaan dan eksplorasi dalam ruangan carian dalam mencari penyelesaian global yang optimum. Pengubahsuaian terhadap algoritma SE-PSO ini, memberikan hasil dapatan nilai optimum yang lebih tepat. Keberkesanan algoritma yang dicadangkan ini diuji ke atas dua eksperimen data (Chassagnole dan Houque) dan dibandingkan secara statistik dengan penggunaan algoritma PSO, Algoritma Genetik (*Genetic Algorithm-GA*), Pembezaan Evolusi (*Differential Evolution- DE*) berdasarkan nilai pengurangan jarak, Min, Sisihan Piawai dan Ujian-F. Hasil Se-PSO dan ESe-PSO menunjukkan kesan yang luar biasa dalam penganggaran parameter kinetik di mana dapat disimpulkan bahawa pengurangan jarak dicapai dalam kedua-dua algoritma. Algoritma ESe-PSO mencapai (28.94% dan 16.18%) pengurangan jarak bagi data Chassagnole dan Hoque berbanding dengan model asal kajian (45.56% dan 57.16%), manakala Se-PSO memberikan perbezaan (29.16%, 26.29%), PSO (29.36%, 37.09%), GA (35.58%, 33.9%), dan DE (35.55%, 35.34%). ESe-PSO juga mencapai nilai Min terbaik ($7.04E-05$ dan $7.41E-05$) bagi fungsi objektif berbanding dengan algoritma Se-PSO, (0.000603 dan 0.00379), PSO (0.003893 dan 0.00549), GA (0.11476 dan 0.269007), dan DE (0.049185 dan 0.280478) masing-masing, untuk kedua-dua set data. ESe-PSO dilihat memberikan hasil yang lebih baik dalam pengurangan jarak (nilai ketepatan) dan nilai fungsi objektif yang lebih kecil berbanding Se-PSO, PSO, dan algoritma lain, berdasarkan dua set data eksperimen yang digunakan. Secara keseluruhan, penggunaan algoritma ESe-PSO dan Se-PSO boleh digunakan untuk memberikan hasil yang lebih baik dalam menganggarkan parameter kinetik skala besar untuk dengan lebih tepat dan dapat diterima pakai.

ABSTRACT

The development of a large-scale metabolic model of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) is very crucial to identify the potential solution of industrially viable productions. However, the large-scale kinetic parameters estimation using optimization algorithms is still not applied to the main metabolic pathway of the *E. coli* model, and they're a lack of accuracy result been reported for current parameters estimation using this approach. Thus, this research aimed to estimate large-scale kinetic parameters of the main metabolic pathway of the *E. coli* model. In this regard, a Local Sensitivity Analysis, Segment Particle Swarm Optimization (Se-PSO) algorithm, and the Enhanced Segment Particle Swarm Optimization (ESe-PSO) algorithm was adapted and proposed to estimate the parameters. Initially, PSO algorithm was adapted to find the globally optimal result based on unorganized particle movement in the search space toward the optimal solution. This development then introduces the Se-PSO algorithm in which the particles are segmented to find a local optimal solution at the beginning and later sought by the PSO algorithm. Additionally, the study proposed an Enhance Se-PSO algorithm to improve the linear value of inertia weight ω used in the Se-PSO. This algorithm added a damping process to increase the exploration and exploitation in the search space to support the particle to locate a global optimum solution. This modification facilitates an accurate determination of the optimal solution. The effectiveness of the adapted and proposed algorithms were evaluated using two experimental data (Chassagnole and Hoque) and statistically compared to the Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO), Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Differential Evolution (DE), based on the distance minimization, Mean, Standard Deviation (STD), and the F test (*Ftest*). The result of Se-PSO and ESe-PSO shows a tremendous impact on estimating the kinetic parameters where it can be inferred that distance minimization was achieved in all the algorithms. The ESe-PSO algorithm achieved (28.94% and 16.18%) distance minimization for Chassagnole and Hoque data as compared to the model under study (45.56% and 57.16%), Se-PSO (29.16%, 26.29%), PSO (29.36%, 37.09%), GA (35.58%, 33.9%), and DE (35.55%, 35.34%), respectively. Also, ESe-PSO achieved the best Mean (7.04E-05 and 7.41E-05) of the objective function compared to the Se-PSO algorithm best Mean (0.000603 and 0.00379), PSO (0.003893 and 0.00549), GA (0.11476 and 0.269007), and DE (0.049185 and 0.280478) respectively, for the 2 data set. Overall, the ESe-PSO and Se-PSO algorithms' can be adopted effectively to estimate large-scale kinetic parameters to obtain accurate and acceptable results. Notably, the ESe-PSO superior to the original Se-PSO, PSO, and other state-of-the-art approaches in terms of distance minimization (*accuracy*), and the smallest objective function's value produces appropriate fits to a two-set of experimental data.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Computational Modelling and Parameter Estimation	2
1.3 Motivation	4
1.4 Problem Statement	5
1.5 Research Questions	8
1.6 Objective	9
1.7 Research Scope	9
1.8 Thesis Organization	10
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Metabolic Engineering	11
2.3 Dynamic Modelling	12
2.3.1 Constrained Based Model	13
2.3.2 Kinetic Model	14

2.3.3	Metabolic model of <i>E. coli</i>	17
2.4	Parameter Estimation Methods of <i>E-coli</i> Metabolic Model	19
2.5	Optimization Methods	34
2.5.1	Meta-heuristic Algorithms	36
2.5.2	Simulated Annealing	38
2.5.3	Differential Evolution (DE) Algorithm	39
2.5.4	Genetic Algorithm	42
2.5.5	PSO Algorithm	43
2.5.6	Comparison of Optimization Methods	46
2.6	Segmentation	51
2.7	Summary	56
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		58
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Research Framework	58
3.3	Model Network	60
3.3.1	Pathway	61
3.3.2	Metabolites and Co-Metabolites	63
3.3.3	Kinetic Rate Equation	65
3.3.4	Kinetic Parameters	71
3.3.5	Experimental data set	76
3.4	Sensitivity analysis	78
3.5	Objective function	82
3.6	Optimization Methods	82
3.6.1	Particle Swarm Optimization	82
3.6.2	Segment Particle Swarm Optimization	86

3.6.3	Enhanced Segment Particle Swarm Optimization	93
3.7	Validation	100
3.8	Summary	102
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		104
4.1	Introduction	104
4.2	Sensitivity analysis	104
4.2.1	Kinetic sensitivity result	105
4.3	PSO adoption of experimental data	121
4.3.1	Hoque experiment	121
4.3.2	Chassagnole experiment	126
4.4	Se-PSO adoption of experimental data	130
4.4.1	Hoque experiment	130
4.4.2	Chassagnole experiment	135
4.5	ESe-PSO adoption of experimental data	139
4.5.1	Hoque experiment	139
4.5.2	Chassagnole experiment	144
4.6	Comparison of the estimated result	149
4.7	The validation with statistical analysis	153
4.8	Summary	168
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		170
5.1	Research Remarks	170
5.2	Objective Revisited	171
5.3	Contributions	172
5.4	Limitation	172

5.5	Future work	173
	REFERENCES	174
	APPENDICES	189

REFERENCES

- Adiamah, D. A., & Schwartz, J. M. (2012). Construction of a genome-scale kinetic model of mycobacterium tuberculosis using generic rate equations. *Metabolites*, 2(3), 382-397.
- Afifi, A., Nakaguchi, T., Tsumura, N., & Miyake, Y. (2010). Particle swarm optimization based medical image segmentation technique. *Medical Imaging Technology*, 28(1), 53-62.
- Afshar, M. H., & Faramarzi, A. (2010). Size optimization of truss structures by cellular automata. *Journal of Computer Science and Engineering*, 3(1), 1-9.
- Ait-Aoudia, S., Guerrou, E. H., & Mahiou, R. (2014). Medical image segmentation using particle swarm optimization. In *2014 18th International Conference on Information Visualisation* (pp. 287-291). IEEE.
- Almquist, J., Cvijovic, M., Hatzimanikatis, V., Nielsen, J., & Jirstrand, M. (2014). Kinetic models in industrial biotechnology—improving cell factory performance. *Metabolic engineering*, 24, 38-60.
- Andrews, S. S., & Arkin, A. P. (2006). Simulating cell biology. *Current Biology*, 16(14), R523-R527.
- Arumugam, M. S., & Rao, M. V. C. (2008). On the improved performances of the particle swarm optimization algorithms with adaptive parameters, cross-over operators and root mean square (RMS) variants for computing optimal control of a class of hybrid systems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 8(1), 324-336.
- Atashpaz-Gargari, E., & Lucas, C. (2007). Imperialist competitive algorithm: an algorithm for optimization inspired by imperialistic competition. In *2007 IEEE congress on evolutionary computation* (pp. 4661-4667). IEEE.
- Baker, S. M., Schallau, K., & Junker, B. H. (2010). Comparison of different algorithms for simultaneous estimation of multiple parameters in kinetic metabolic models. *Journal of integrative bioinformatics*, 7(3), 254-262.
- Ballnus, B., Hug, S., Hatz, K., Görlitz, L., Hasenauer, J., & Theis, F. J. (2017). Comprehensive benchmarking of Markov chain Monte Carlo methods for dynamical systems. *BMC systems biology*, 11(1), 1-18.
- Bansal, J. C., Singh, P. K., Saraswat, M., Verma, A., Jadon, S. S., & Abraham, A. (2011). Inertia weight strategies in particle swarm optimization. In *2011 Third world congress on nature and biologically inspired computing* (pp. 633-640). IEEE.
- Bhattacharya, S., Dineshkumar, R., Dhanarajan, G., Sen, R., & Mishra, S. (2017). Improvement of ϵ -polylysine production by marine bacterium *Bacillus licheniformis* using artificial neural network modeling and particle swarm optimization technique. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 126, 8-15.
- Bourgeois, A. L., Wierzba, T. F., & Walker, R. I. (2016). Status of vaccine research and

- development for enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *Vaccine*, 34(26), 2880-2886.
- Calvetti, D., Hageman, R., & Somersalo, E. (2006). Large-scale Bayesian parameter estimation for a three-compartment cardiac metabolism model during ischemia. *Inverse problems*, 22(5), 1797.
- Cekus, D., & Skrobek, D. (2018). The influence of inertia weight on the Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 17(4), 5-11.
- Chassagnole, C., Noisommit - Rizzi, N., Schmid, J. W., Mauch, K., & Reuss, M. (2002). Dynamic modeling of the central carbon metabolism of *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, 79(1), 53-73.
- Chis, O. T., Villaverde, A. F., Banga, J. R., & Balsa-Canto, E. (2016). On the relationship between sloppiness and identifiability. *Mathematical biosciences*, 282, 147-161.
- Chong, C. K., Mohamad, M. S., Deris, S., Shamsir, S., Abdullah, A., Choon, Y. W., ... & Omatu, S. (2012). Using an improved differential evolution algorithm for parameter estimation to simulate glycolysis pathway. In *Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 709-716). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Clerc, M., & Kennedy, J. (2002). The particle swarm-explosion, stability, and convergence in a multidimensional complex space. *IEEE transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(1), 58-73.
- Copeland, W. B., Bartley, B. A., Chandran, D., Galdzicki, M., Kim, K. H., Sleight, S. C., ... & Sauro, H. M. (2012). Computational tools for metabolic engineering. *Metabolic engineering*, 14(3), 270-280.
- Costa, R. S., Hartmann, A., & Vinga, S. (2016). Kinetic modeling of cell metabolism for microbial production. *Journal of biotechnology*, 219, 126-141.
- Cvijovic, M., Almquist, J., Hagmar, J., Hohmann, S., Kaltenbach, H. M., Klipp, E., ... & Jirstrand, M. (2014). Bridging the gaps in systems biology. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 289(5), 727-734.
- Dai, H. P., Chen, D. D., & Zheng, Z. S. (2018). Effects of random values for particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Algorithms*, 11(2), 23.
- Darling, A. C., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R., & Perna, N. T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome research*, 14(7), 1394-1403.
- Di Maggio, J., Paulo, C., Estrada, V., Perotti, N., Ricci, J. C. D., & Diaz, M. S. (2014). Parameter estimation in kinetic models for large scale biotechnological systems with advanced mathematical programming techniques. *Biochemical engineering journal*, 83, 104-115.
- Di Maggio, J., Ricci, J. D., & Díaz, M. S. (2010). Global sensitivity analysis in dynamic metabolic networks. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 34(5), 770-781.

- Ding, Y., Zhang, W., Yu, L., & Lu, K. (2019). The accuracy and efficiency of GA and PSO optimization schemes on estimating reaction kinetic parameters of biomass pyrolysis. *Energy*, *176*, 582-588.
- Dobson, P. D., Smallbone, K., Jameson, D., Simeonidis, E., Lanthaler, K., Pir, P., ... & Mendes, P. (2010). Further developments towards a genome-scale metabolic model of yeast. *BMC systems biology*, *4*(1), 1-7.
- Dorigo, M., & Blum, C. (2005). Ant colony optimization theory: A survey. *Theoretical computer science*, *344*(2-3), 243-278.
- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of ICNN'95-international conference on neural networks* (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). IEEE.
- Eberhart, R. C., & Shi, Y. (2000). Comparing inertia weights and constriction factors in particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of the 2000 congress on evolutionary computation. CEC00 (Cat. No. 00TH8512)* (Vol. 1, pp. 84-88). IEEE.
- Eberhart, R. C., & Shi, Y. (2001). Tracking and optimizing dynamic systems with particle swarms. In *Proceedings of the 2001 congress on evolutionary computation (IEEE Cat. No. 01TH8546)* (Vol. 1, pp. 94-100). IEEE.
- Edwards, J. S., Ibarra, R. U., & Palsson, B. O. (2001). In silico predictions of Escherichia coli metabolic capabilities are consistent with experimental data. *Nature biotechnology*, *19*(2), 125-130.
- Egea, J. A., Rodríguez-Fernández, M., Banga, J. R., & Marti, R. (2007). Scatter search for chemical and bio-process optimization. *Journal of Global Optimization*, *37*(3), 481-503.
- Fan, S. K. S., & Chiu, Y. Y. (2007). A decreasing inertia weight particle swarm optimizer. *Engineering Optimization*, *39*(2), 203-228.
- Faramarzi, A., & Afshar, M. H. (2012). Application of cellular automata to size and topology optimization of truss structures. *Scientia Iranica*, *19*(3), 373-380.
- Faramarzi, A., Heidarinejad, M., Stephens, B., & Mirjalili, S. (2020). Equilibrium optimizer: A novel optimization algorithm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *191*, 105190.
- Feng, D., Wenkang, S., Liangzhou, C., Yong, D., & Zhenfu, Z. (2005). Infrared image segmentation with 2-D maximum entropy method based on particle swarm optimization (PSO). *Pattern Recognition Letters*, *26*(5), 597-603.
- Feng, Y., Teng, G. F., Wang, A. X., & Yao, Y. M. (2007). Chaotic inertia weight in particle swarm optimization. In *Second International Conference on Innovative Computing, Informatio and Control (ICICIC 2007)* (pp. 475-475). IEEE.
- Fogel, D. B. (1998). *Artificial intelligence through simulated evolution* (pp. 227-296). Wiley-IEEE Press.

- Foster, C. J., Gopalakrishnan, S., Antoniewicz, M. R., & Maranas, C. D. (2019). From *Escherichia coli* mutant ¹³C labeling data to a core kinetic model: A kinetic model parameterization pipeline. *PLoS computational biology*, *15*(9), e1007319.
- Fröhlich, F., Kaltenbacher, B., Theis, F. J., & Hasenauer, J. (2017). Scalable parameter estimation for genome-scale biochemical reaction networks. *PLoS computational biology*, *13*(1), e1005331.
- Fung, E., Wong, W. W., Suen, J. K., Bulter, T., Lee, S. G., & Liao, J. C. (2005). A synthetic gene–metabolic oscillator. *Nature*, *435*(7038), 118-122.
- Gábor, A., Villaverde, A. F., & Banga, J. R. (2017). Parameter identifiability analysis and visualization in large-scale kinetic models of biosystems. *BMC systems biology*, *11*(1), 1-16.
- Gandomi, A. H., Yang, X. S., & Alavi, A. H. (2013). Cuckoo search algorithm: a metaheuristic approach to solve structural optimization problems. *Engineering with computers*, *29*(1), 17-35.
- Ghamisi, P., Couceiro, M. S., Martins, F. M., & Benediktsson, J. A. (2013). Multilevel image segmentation based on fractional-order Darwinian particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote sensing*, *52*(5), 2382-2394.
- Ghovvati, M., Khayati, G., Attar, H., & Vaziri, A. (2016). Kinetic parameters estimation of protease production using penalty function method with hybrid genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, *30*(2), 404-410.
- Guedria, N. B. (2016). Improved accelerated PSO algorithm for mechanical engineering optimization problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, *40*, 455-467.
- Guillén-Gosálbez, G., Miró, A., Alves, R., Sorribas, A., & Jiménez, L. (2013). Identification of regulatory structure and kinetic parameters of biochemical networks via mixed-integer dynamic optimization. *BMC systems biology*, *7*(1), 1-11.
- Gutenkunst, R. N., Waterfall, J. J., Casey, F. P., Brown, K. S., Myers, C. R., & Sethna, J. P. (2007). Universally sloppy parameter sensitivities in systems biology models. *PLoS computational biology*, *3*(10), e189.
- Hansen, N., Müller, S. D., & Koumoutsakos, P. (2003). Reducing the time complexity of the derandomized evolution strategy with covariance matrix adaptation (CMA-ES). *Evolutionary computation*, *11*(1), 1-18.
- Henriques, D., Villaverde, A. F., Rocha, M., Saez-Rodriguez, J., & Banga, J. R. (2017). Data-driven reverse engineering of signaling pathways using ensembles of dynamic models. *PLoS computational biology*, *13*(2), e1005379.
- Hoefnagel, M. H., Starrenburg, M. J., Martens, D. E., Hugenholtz, J., Kleerebezem, M., Van Swam, I. I., ... & Snoep, J. L. (2002). Metabolic engineering of lactic acid bacteria, the combined approach: kinetic modelling, metabolic control and

experimental analysis The GenBank accession number for the sequence reported in this paper is AY046926. *Microbiology*, 148(4), 1003-1013.

- Hoque, M. A., Ushiyama, H., Tomita, M., & Shimizu, K. (2005). Dynamic responses of the intracellular metabolite concentrations of the wild type and pykA mutant *Escherichia coli* against pulse addition of glucose or NH₃ under those limiting continuous cultures. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 26(1), 38-49.
- Ishii, N., Nakahigashi, K., Baba, T., Robert, M., Soga, T., Kanai, A., ... & Tomita, M. (2007). Multiple high-throughput analyses monitor the response of *E. coli* to perturbations. *Science*, 316(5824), 593-597.
- Ismail, A. M., Mohamad, M. S., Majid, H. A., Abas, K. H., Deris, S., Zaki, N., ... & Remli, M. A. (2017). An improved hybrid of particle swarm optimization and the gravitational search algorithm to produce a kinetic parameter estimation of aspartate biochemical pathways. *Biosystems*, 162, 81-89.
- Jaber, A. S., Ahmad, A. Z., & Abdalla, A. N. (2013). A new parameters identification of single area power system based LFC using Segmentation Particle Swarm Optimization (SePSO) algorithm. In *2013 IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Jahan, N., Maeda, K., Matsuoka, Y., Sugimoto, Y., & Kurata, H. (2016). Development of an accurate kinetic model for the central carbon metabolism of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbial cell factories*, 15(1), 1-19.
- Jamian, J. J., Abdullah, M. N., Mokhlis, H., Mustafa, M. W., & Bakar, A. H. A. (2014). Global particle swarm optimization for high dimension numerical functions analysis. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2014.
- Jia, G., Stephanopoulos, G. N., & Gunawan, R. (2011). Parameter estimation of kinetic models from metabolic profiles: two-phase dynamic decoupling method. *Bioinformatics*, 27(14), 1964-1970.
- Jiang, L. L., Maskell, D. L., & Patra, J. C. (2013). Parameter estimation of solar cells and modules using an improved adaptive differential evolution algorithm. *Applied Energy*, 112, 185-193.
- Jiao, B., Lian, Z., & Gu, X. (2008). A dynamic inertia weight particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 37(3), 698-705.
- Kadir, T. A. A., Mannan, A. A., Kierzek, A. M., McFadden, J., & Shimizu, K. (2010). Modeling and simulation of the main metabolism in *Escherichia coli* and its several single-gene knockout mutants with experimental verification. *Microbial cell factories*, 9(1), 1-21.
- Kauffman, K. J., Prakash, P., & Edwards, J. S. (2003). Advances in flux balance analysis. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, 14(5), 491-496.
- Kaveh, A., & Talatahari, S. (2010). A novel heuristic optimization method: charged system search. *Acta Mechanica*, 213(3), 267-289.

- Kaveh, A., & Farhoudi, N. (2013). A new optimization method: Dolphin echolocation. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 59, 53-70.
- Clerc, M., & Kennedy, J. (2002). The particle swarm-explosion, stability, and convergence in a multidimensional complex space. *IEEE transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(1), 58-73.
- Kerkhoven, E. J., Lahtvee, P. J., & Nielsen, J. (2014). Applications of computational modeling in metabolic engineering of yeast. *FEMS yeast research*.
- Keymer, J. E., Galajda, P., Muldoon, C., Park, S., & Austin, R. H. (2006). Bacterial metapopulations in nanofabricated landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(46), 17290-17295.
- Khalid, K., Ali, M. F., Abd Rahman, N. F., & Mispan, M. R. (2016). Application on one-at-a-time sensitivity analysis of semi-distributed hydrological model in tropical watershed. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 8(2), 132-136.
- Kim, O. D., Rocha, M., & Maia, P. (2018). A review of dynamic modeling approaches and their application in computational strain optimization for metabolic engineering. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 9, 1690.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., & Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing. *science*, 220(4598), 671-680.
- Kitano, H. (2002). Computational systems biology. *Nature*, 420(6912), 206-210.
- Klamt, S., & Stelling, J. (2002). Combinatorial complexity of pathway analysis in metabolic networks. *Molecular biology reports*, 29(1), 233-236.
- Klamt, S., Stelling, J., Ginkel, M., & Gilles, E. D. (2003). FluxAnalyzer: exploring structure, pathways, and flux distributions in metabolic networks on interactive flux maps. *Bioinformatics*, 19(2), 261-269.
- Klipp, E., Liebermeister, W., Wierling, C., & Kowald, A. (2016). *Systems biology: a textbook*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Koch, I., Junker, B. H., & Heiner, M. (2005). Application of Petri net theory for modelling and validation of the sucrose breakdown pathway in the potato tuber. *Bioinformatics*, 21(7), 1219-1226.
- Kotte, O., Zaugg, J. B., & Heinemann, M. (2010). Bacterial adaptation through distributed sensing of metabolic fluxes. *Molecular systems biology*, 6(1), 355.
- Kremling, A., & Saez-Rodriguez, J. (2007). Systems biology—an engineering perspective. *Journal of biotechnology*, 129(2), 329-351.
- Larsen, R. B., Jouffroy, J., & Lassen, B. (2016). On the premature convergence of particle swarm optimization. In *2016 European control conference (ECC)* (pp. 1922-1927). IEEE.
- Lee, B., Yen, J., Yang, L., & Liao, J. C. (1999). Incorporating qualitative knowledge in

enzyme kinetic models using fuzzy logic. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, 62(6), 722-729.

- Li, X., Tang, K., Suganthan, P. N., & Yang, Z. (2015). Editorial for the special issue of Information Sciences Journal (ISJ) on "Nature-inspired algorithms for large scale global optimization". *Information Sciences—Informatics and Computer Science, Intelligent Systems, Applications: An International Journal*, 316(C), 437-439.
- Li, Z. J., Hong, P. H., Da, Y. Y., Li, L. K., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2018). Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for the production of L-malate from xylose. *Metabolic engineering*, 48, 25-32.
- Liang, J. J., Qin, A. K., Suganthan, P. N., & Baskar, S. (2006). Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimizer for global optimization of multimodal functions. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation*, 10(3), 281-295.
- Liao, J. C., Boscolo, R., Yang, Y. L., Tran, L. M., Sabatti, C., & Roychowdhury, V. P. (2003). Network component analysis: reconstruction of regulatory signals in biological systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(26), 15522-15527.
- Liu, X., & Niranjan, M. (2012). State and parameter estimation of the heat shock response system using Kalman and particle filters. *Bioinformatics*, 28(11), 1501-1507.
- Liu, Y., Shin, H. D., Li, J., & Liu, L. (2015). Toward metabolic engineering in the context of system biology and synthetic biology: advances and prospects. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 99(3), 1109-1118.
- Lu, H., & Chen, X. (2011). A new particle swarm optimization with a dynamic inertia weight for solving constrained optimization problems. *Information Technology Journal*, 10(8), 1536-1544.
- Luenberger, D. G., & Ye, Y. (1984). *Linear and nonlinear programming* (Vol. 2). Reading, MA: Addison-wesley.
- Ochoa, M. P., Estrada, V., Di Maggio, J., & Hoch, P. M. (2016). Dynamic global sensitivity analysis in bioreactor networks for bioethanol production. *Bioresource technology*, 200, 666-679.
- Mahadevan, R., Edwards, J. S., & Doyle III, F. J. (2002). Dynamic flux balance analysis of diauxic growth in Escherichia coli. *Biophysical journal*, 83(3), 1331-1340.
- Mashayekhi, M., Harati, M., & Estekanchi, H. E. (2019). Development of an alternative PSO - based algorithm for simulation of endurance time excitation functions. *Engineering Reports*, 1(3), e12048.
- Matera, S., Schneider, W. F., Heyden, A., & Savara, A. (2019). Progress in accurate chemical kinetic modeling, simulations, and parameter estimation for heterogeneous catalysis. *ACS Catalysis*, 9(8), 6624-6647.
- Matsuoka, Y., & Shimizu, K. (2013). Catabolite regulation analysis of Escherichia coli for acetate overflow mechanism and co-consumption of multiple sugars based on

systems biology approach using computer simulation. *Journal of biotechnology*, 168(2), 155-173.

- Mendes, P., & Kell, D. (1998). Non-linear optimization of biochemical pathways: applications to metabolic engineering and parameter estimation. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 14(10), 869-883.
- Mirjalili, S., Gandomi, A. H., Mirjalili, S. Z., Saremi, S., Faris, H., & Mirjalili, S. M. (2017). Salp Swarm Algorithm: A bio-inspired optimizer for engineering design problems. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 114, 163-191.
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey wolf optimizer. *Advances in engineering software*, 69, 46-61.
- Mo, Y., Liu, H., & Wang, Q. (2009). Conjugate direction particle swarm optimization solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 57(11-12), 1877-1882.
- Mogilevskaya, E. A., Lebedeva, G. V., & Demin, O. V. (2006). Kinetic model of E. coli citrate syntase functioning. In *Proceeding of the 12th Int Conf Mathematics Computer Education* (Vol. 3, pp. 934-937).
- Mogilevskaya, E. A., Lebedeva, G. V., Goryanin, I. I., & Demin, O. V. (2007). Kinetic model of functioning and regulation of Escherichia coli isocitrate dehydrogenase. *Biophysics*, 52(1), 30-39.
- Mohsen, F., Hadhoud, M. M., Moustafa, K., & Ameen, K. (2012). A new image segmentation method based on particle swarm optimization. *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, 9(5), 487-493.
- Moles, C. G., Mendes, P., & Banga, J. R. (2003). Parameter estimation in biochemical pathways: a comparison of global optimization methods. *Genome research*, 13(11), 2467-2474.
- Mukherjee, V., & Ghoshal, S. P. (2007). Intelligent particle swarm optimized fuzzy PID controller for AVR system. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 77(12), 1689-1698.
- Ng, S. T., Chong, C. K., Choon, Y. W., Chai, L. E., Deris, S., Illias, R. M., ... & Mohamad, M. S. (2013). Estimating kinetic parameters for essential amino acid production in arabidopsis thaliana by using particle swarm optimization. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 64(1).
- Nickabadi, A., Ebadzadeh, M. M., & Safabakhsh, R. (2008). Evaluating the performance of DNPSO in dynamic environments. In *2008 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* (pp. 2640-2645). IEEE.
- Niu, Y., & Shen, L. (2006). An adaptive multi-objective particle swarm optimization for color image fusion. In *Asia-Pacific conference on simulated evolution and learning* (pp. 473-480). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Niu, Y., & Shen, L. (2006). An adaptive multi-objective particle swarm optimization for

- color image fusion. In *Asia-Pacific conference on simulated evolution and learning* (pp. 473-480). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Noack, S., Wahl, A., Haunschild, M., Qeli, E., Freisleben, B., & Wiechert, W. (2008). Visualizing regulatory interdependencies and parameter sensitivities in biochemical network models. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 79(4), 991-998.
- Peleg, M., & Corradini, M. G. (2011). Microbial growth curves: what the models tell us and what they cannot. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 51(10), 917-945.
- Peng, L., Arauzo-Bravo, M. J., & Shimizu, K. (2004). Metabolic flux analysis for a ppc mutant *Escherichia coli* based on ¹³C-labelling experiments together with enzyme activity assays and intracellular metabolite measurements. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 235(1), 17-23.
- Peskov, K., Mogilevskaya, E., & Demin, O. (2012). Kinetic modelling of central carbon metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *The FEBS journal*, 279(18), 3374-3385.
- Pham, D. L., Xu, C., & Prince, J. L. (2000). Current methods in medical image segmentation. *Annual review of biomedical engineering*, 2(1), 315-337.
- Pianosi, F., Beven, K., Freer, J., Hall, J. W., Rougier, J., Stephenson, D. B., & Wagener, T. (2016). Sensitivity analysis of environmental models: A systematic review with practical workflow. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 79, 214-232.
- Pontes Freitas Alberton, K., Alberton, A. L., Di Maggio, J. A., Estrada, V. G., Díaz, M. S., & Secchi, A. R. (2015). Simultaneous parameters identifiability and estimation of an *E. coli* metabolic network model. *BioMed research international*, 2015.
- Pontrelli, S., Chiu, T. Y., Lan, E. I., Chen, F. Y. H., Chang, P., & Liao, J. C. (2018). *Escherichia coli* as a host for metabolic engineering. *Metabolic engineering*, 50, 16-46.
- Provost, A., & Bastin, G. (2004). Dynamic metabolic modelling under the balanced growth condition. *Journal of Process Control*, 14(7), 717-728.
- Rao, R. V., Savsani, V. J., & Vakharia, D. P. (2011). Teaching–learning–based optimization: a novel method for constrained mechanical design optimization problems. *Computer-Aided Design*, 43(3), 303-315.
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi-Pour, H., & Saryazdi, S. (2009). GSA: a gravitational search algorithm. *Information sciences*, 179(13), 2232-2248.
- Ratnaweera, A., Halgamuge, S. K., & Watson, H. C. (2004). Self-organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimizer with time-varying acceleration coefficients. *IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation*, 8(3), 240-255.
- Reed, J. L., & Palsson, B. Ø. (2003). Thirteen years of building constraint-based in silico models of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of bacteriology*, 185(9), 2692-2699.

- Reed, J. L., & Palsson, B. Ø. (2004). Genome-scale in silico models of E. coli have multiple equivalent phenotypic states: assessment of correlated reaction subsets that comprise network states. *Genome research*, *14*(9), 1797-1805.
- Rejc, Ž., Magdevska, L., Tršelič, T., Osolin, T., Mraz, J., Pavliha, E., ... & Mraz, M. (2017). Computational modelling of genome-scale metabolic networks and its application to CHO cell cultures. *Computers in biology and medicine*, *88*, 150-160.
- Remli, M. A., Deris, S., Mohamad, M. S., Omatu, S., & Corchado, J. M. (2017). An enhanced scatter search with combined opposition-based learning for parameter estimation in large-scale kinetic models of biochemical systems. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, *62*, 164-180.
- Saa, P. A., & Nielsen, L. K. (2016). Construction of feasible and accurate kinetic models of metabolism: A Bayesian approach. *Scientific reports*, *6*(1), 1-13.
- Sagar, A., LeCover, R., Shoemaker, C., & Varner, J. (2018). Dynamic Optimization with Particle Swarms (DOPS): A meta-heuristic for parameter estimation in biochemical models. *BMC systems biology*, *12*(1), 1-15.
- Sagar, A., LeCover, R., Shoemaker, C., & Varner, J. (2018). Dynamic Optimization with Particle Swarms (DOPS): A meta-heuristic for parameter estimation in biochemical models. *BMC systems biology*, *12*(1), 1-15.
- Santos, F., Boele, J., & Teusink, B. (2011). A practical guide to genome-scale metabolic models and their analysis. *Methods in enzymology*, *500*, 509-532.
- Saraiva, J. P., Lima, B. S., Gomes, V. M., Flores, P. H., Gomes, F. A., Assis, A. O., ... & Calixto, W. P. (2017, May). Calculation of sensitivity index using one-at-a-time measures based on graphical analysis. In *2017 18th International Scientific Conference on Electric Power Engineering (EPE)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Schilling, C. H., Edwards, J. S., & Palsson, B. O. (1999). Toward metabolic phenomics: analysis of genomic data using flux balances. *Biotechnology progress*, *15*(3), 288-295.
- Shen, Y., Wei, L., Zeng, C., & Chen, J. (2016). Particle swarm optimization with double learning patterns. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *2016*.
- Silveira, C. L., Mazutti, M. A., & Salau, N. P. (2016). Solid - state fermentation process model reparametrization procedure for parameters estimation using particle swarm optimization. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, *91*(3), 762-768.
- Singh, N., Singh, S., Singh, S. B., & Arora, S. (2012). Half mean particle swarm optimization algorithm. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *3*(8), 1-9.
- Singh, V. K., & Ghosh, I. (2006). Kinetic modeling of tricarboxylic acid cycle and glyoxylate bypass in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and its application to assessment of drug targets. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*, *3*(1), 1-

11.

- Smallbone, K., Simeonidis, E., Swainston, N., & Mendes, P. (2010). Towards a genome-scale kinetic model of cellular metabolism. *BMC systems biology*, 4(1), 1-9.
- Smallbone, K., Messiha, H. L., Carroll, K. M., Winder, C. L., Malys, N., Dunn, W. B., ... & Mendes, P. (2013). A model of yeast glycolysis based on a consistent kinetic characterisation of all its enzymes. *FEBS letters*, 587(17), 2832-2841.
- Spolaor, S., Tangherloni, A., Rundo, L., Nobile, M. S., & Cazzaniga, P. (2017). Reboot strategies in particle swarm optimization and their impact on parameter estimation of biochemical systems. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology (CIBCB)* (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Srinivasan, S., Cluett, W. R., & Mahadevan, R. (2015). Constructing kinetic models of metabolism at genome - scales: A review. *Biotechnology journal*, 10(9), 1345-1359.
- Stanford, N. J., Lubitz, T., Smallbone, K., Klipp, E., Mendes, P., & Liebermeister, W. (2013). Systematic construction of kinetic models from genome-scale metabolic networks. *PloS one*, 8(11), e79195.
- Stephanopoulos, G. (1999). Metabolic fluxes and metabolic engineering. *Metabolic engineering*, 1(1), 1-11.
- Steuer, R., Gross, T., Selbig, J., & Blasius, B. (2006). Structural kinetic modeling of metabolic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(32), 11868-11873.
- Storn, R., & Price, K. (1997). Differential evolution—a simple and efficient heuristic for global optimization over continuous spaces. *Journal of global optimization*, 11(4), 341-359.
- Sun, X., & Medvedovic, M. (2016). Model reduction and parameter estimation of non-linear dynamical biochemical reaction networks. *IET systems biology*, 10(1), 10-16.
- Tandan, A., Raja, R., & Chouhan, Y. (2014). Image segmentation based on particle swarm optimization technique. *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research (IJSETR)*, 3(2), 189-202.
- Tawdross, P., & Konig, A. (2006). Local parameters particle swarm optimization. In *2006 Sixth International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems (HIS'06)* (pp. 52-52). IEEE.
- Teijeiro, D., Pardo, X. C., Penas, D. R., González, P., Banga, J. R., & Doallo, R. (2017). A cloud-based enhanced differential evolution algorithm for parameter estimation problems in computational systems biology. *Cluster Computing*, 20(3), 1937-1950.
- Tohsato, Y., Ikuta, K., Shionoya, A., Mazaki, Y., & Ito, M. (2013). Parameter optimization and sensitivity analysis for large kinetic models using a real-coded

- genetic algorithm. *Gene*, 518(1), 84-90.
- Toni, T., Welch, D., Strelkowa, N., Ipsen, A., & Stumpf, M. P. (2009). Approximate Bayesian computation scheme for parameter inference and model selection in dynamical systems. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 6(31), 187-202.
- Toya, Y., Ishii, N., Nakahigashi, K., Hirasawa, T., Soga, T., Tomita, M., & Shimizu, K. (2010). 13C - metabolic flux analysis for batch culture of Escherichia coli and its pyk and pgi gene knockout mutants based on mass isotopomer distribution of intracellular metabolites. *Biotechnology progress*, 26(4), 975-992.
- Tušek, A., & Kurtanjek, Ž. (2009). Model and Global Sensitivity Analysis of E. Coli Central Metabolism. In *Proceedings MathMod* (Vol. 9, pp. 1778-1785).
- Ueki, M., Tanaka, N., Tanimoto, K., Nishio, C., Honda, K., Lin, Y. Y., ... & Tanne, K. (2008). The effect of mechanical loading on the metabolism of growth plate chondrocytes. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 36(5), 793-800.
- Umapathy, P., Venkateshaiah, C., & Arumugam, M. S. (2010). Particle swarm optimization with various inertia weight variants for optimal power flow solution. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2010.
- Unter Rudolph, G. (1960). Parallel approaches to stochastic global optimization. In *Parallel Computing: From Theory to Sound Practice, Proceedings of the European Workshop on Parallel Computing* (pp. 256-267).
- Usuda, Y., Nishio, Y., Iwatani, S., Van Dien, S. J., Imaizumi, A., Shimbo, K., ... & Matsui, K. (2010). Dynamic modeling of Escherichia coli metabolic and regulatory systems for amino-acid production. *Journal of biotechnology*, 147(1), 17-30.
- Varma, A., & Palsson, B. O. (1993). Metabolic capabilities of Escherichia coli: I. Synthesis of biosynthetic precursors and cofactors. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 165(4), 477-502.
- Villaverde, A. F., Egea, J. A., & Banga, J. R. (2012). A cooperative strategy for parameter estimation in large scale systems biology models. *BMC systems biology*, 6(1), 1-17.
- Villaverde, A. F., & Banga, J. R. (2017). Structural properties of dynamic systems biology models: Identifiability, reachability, and initial conditions. *Processes*, 5(2), 29.
- Villaverde, A. F., Fröhlich, F., Weindl, D., Hasenauer, J., & Banga, J. R. (2019). Benchmarking optimization methods for parameter estimation in large kinetic models. *Bioinformatics*, 35(5), 830-838.
- Villaverde, A. F., Bongard, S., Mauch, K., Balsa-Canto, E., & Banga, J. R. (2016). Metabolic engineering with multi-objective optimization of kinetic models. *Journal of biotechnology*, 222, 1-8.
- Villaverde, A. F., Henriques, D., Smallbone, K., Bongard, S., Schmid, J., Cicin-Sain, D.,

- ... & Banga, J. R. (2015). BioPreDyn-bench: a suite of benchmark problems for dynamic modelling in systems biology. *BMC systems biology*, 9(1), 1-15.
- Villaverde, A. F., Bongard, S., Mauch, K., Balsa-Canto, E., & Banga, J. R. (2016). Metabolic engineering with multi-objective optimization of kinetic models. *Journal of biotechnology*, 222, 1-8.
- Wang, H., Guo, Z., & Chen, W. (2019). Conjugate direction particle swarm optimization based approach to determine kinetic parameters from differential scanning calorimetric data. *Thermochimica Acta*, 676, 271-275.
- Wang, S., Zhou, F., & Wang, F. (2013). Effect of Inertia Weight ω on PSO-SA Algorithm. *International Journal of Online Engineering*, 9.
- Ward, V. C., Chatzivasileiou, A. O., & Stephanopoulos, G. (2018). Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for the production of isoprenoids. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 365(10), fny079.
- Won, W., Park, C., Lee, S. Y., Lee, K. S., & Lee, J. (2011). Parameter estimation and dynamic control analysis of central carbon metabolism in Escherichia coli. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 16(2), 216-228.
- Wright, B. E., Butler, M. H., & Albe, K. R. (1992). Systems analysis of the tricarboxylic acid cycle in Dictyostelium discoideum. I. The basis for model construction. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 267(5), 3101-3105.
- Wu, W., Chen, A. Y., Zhao, L., & Corso, J. J. (2014). Brain tumor detection and segmentation in a CRF (conditional random fields) framework with pixel-pairwise affinity and superpixel-level features. *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, 9(2), 241-253.
- Xin, J., Chen, G., & Hai, Y. (2009). A particle swarm optimizer with multi-stage linearly-decreasing inertia weight. In *2009 International Joint Conference on Computational Sciences and Optimization* (Vol. 1, pp. 505-508). IEEE.
- Xu, M., Yang, J., & Gao, Z. (2009). Using one-at-a-time sensitivity analysis designs for genetic algorithm solving continuous network design problems. In *2009 International Joint Conference on Computational Sciences and Optimization* (Vol. 2, pp. 114-118). IEEE.
- Yang, C., Hua, Q., Baba, T., Mori, H., & Shimizu, K. (2003). Analysis of Escherichia coli anaerobic metabolism and its regulation mechanisms from the metabolic responses to altered dilution rates and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase knockout. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 84(2), 129-144.
- Yazici, B., & Cavus, M. (2021). A comparative study of computation approaches of the generalized F-test. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 1-14.
- Yim, H., Haselbeck, R., Niu, W., Pujol-Baxley, C., Burgard, A., Boldt, J., ... & Van Dien, S. (2011). Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for direct production of 1, 4-butanediol. *Nature chemical biology*, 7(7), 445-452.

- Yin, P. Y., Yu, S. S., Wang, P. P., & Wang, Y. T. (2006). A hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm for optimal task assignment in distributed systems. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 28(4), 441-450.
- Zhao, J., & Shimizu, K. (2003). Metabolic flux analysis of Escherichia coli K12 grown on 13C-labeled acetate and glucose using GC-MS and powerful flux calculation method. *Journal of biotechnology*, 101(2), 101-117.
- Zhao, S., Jones, J. A., Lachance, D. M., Bhan, N., Khalidi, O., Venkataraman, S., ... & Koffas, M. A. (2015). Improvement of catechin production in Escherichia coli through combinatorial metabolic engineering. *Metabolic Engineering*, 28, 43-53.