

Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich University Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2024

Acidification is required for calcium and magnesium concentration measurements in equine urine

Lapsina, Sandra ; Stirn, Martina ; Hofmann-Lehmann, Regina ; Schoster, Angelika ; Riond, Barbara

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-023-03848-1

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-253942 Journal Article Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Lapsina, Sandra; Stirn, Martina; Hofmann-Lehmann, Regina; Schoster, Angelika; Riond, Barbara (2024). Acidification is required for calcium and magnesium concentration measurements in equine urine. BMC Veterinary Research, 20(1):21.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-023-03848-1

RESEARCH

Open Access



Sandra Lapsina¹, Martina Stirn¹, Regina Hofmann-Lehmann¹, Angelika Schoster² and Barbara Riond^{1*}

Abstract

Background Acidification of equine urine to promote dissociation of ion complexes is a common practice for urine ion concentration measurements. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of acidification and storage after acidification on calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and phosphate (P) concentrations and on fractional excretion (FE) of these electrolytes. Thirty-two fresh equine urine samples were analysed between December 2016 and July 2020. Complete urinalysis (stick and sediment) was performed on all samples. Ca, Mg, P and creatinine concentrations were measured in supernatant of centrifuged native urine, urine directly centrifuged after acidification and urine centrifuged 1 hour after acidification. Urine was acidified with hydrochloric acid to reach a pH of 1–2. Ca, Mg, P and creatinine concentrations were also measured in blood plasma, and fractional excretion of each electrolyte was calculated. Equality of medians was tested with Friedman tests and Bland-Altman bias plots were used to show the agreement between conditions.

Results Acidification had a statistically significant effect on Ca and Mg concentrations, FE_{Ca} and FE_{Mg} . Bland-Altman plot revealed a strong positive proportional bias between Ca concentration in native and acidified urine with a mean bias of 17.6 mmol/l. For Mg concentration, the difference between native and acidified urine was small with a mean bias of 1.8 mmol/l. The increase in FE_{Ca} was clinically relevant. Storage of acidified urine had no effect on any of the measured ion concentrations. All P concentrations in native urine samples were below the detection limit of the assay and statistical analysis and calculation of FE_P was not possible.

Conclusions Urine acidification is essential for accurate measurement of Ca and Mg concentrations and therefore FE calculations in equine urine. Storage time of 1 hour after acidification does not significantly change Ca and Mg concentrations.

Keywords Phosphate, Fractional excretion of calcium and magnesium, Hydrochloric acid

*Correspondence:

Barbara Riond

briond@vetclinics.uzh.ch

¹ Clinical Laboratory, Department for Clinical Diagnostics and Services, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

² Clinic for Equine Medicine, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Background

While the serum concentrations of Ca, Mg and P are part of a routine diagnostic bloodwork in horses, it is less well known that the urinary excretion measurements of these electrolytes can also serve diagnostic purposes [1, 2]. Physiologically, horses absorb up to 75% of dietary Ca, while adult humans, dogs, sheep and other domesticated animal species absorb only up to 55% of dietary Ca [3–6]. The main feed of horses is grass or hay which contains large amounts of Ca.



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

However, horses also excrete rather large amounts of the absorbed Ca (approximating 30%) via kidneys, and their physiologically alkaline urine of pH7.9 usually contains different amounts of crystals of which the most common are: Ca carbonate (CaCO₃), Ca oxalate (CaC₂O₄·(H2O)_x), triple phosphate (NH₄MgPO₄·6H₂O) and Ca phosphate (Ca₃ (PO₄)₂) [7–9]. The common urine crystals in horses contain Ca, Mg and P. The proportional distribution of each crystal type has not been studied in horses.

Since the concentration of each electrolyte in urine is influenced by the changes in water excretion rate, calculation of urinary fractional excretion (FE) for each electrolyte is considered more accurate [7, 10]. Fractional excretion of calcium in horses (FE_{C_2}) varies between 7 to 33% depending on the breed, level of activity and diet of the horse [11]. Increased FE_{Ca} can indicate acute renal disease, as well as a Ca rich diet, while decreased values correspondingly have been associated with a diet low in Ca concentration and can also be observed in case of chronic renal failure [7, 12]. Fractional excretion of magnesium (FE_{Mg}) usually parallels FE_{Ca} and varies between 15 to 53% with documented decreased values in case of chronic myositis [7, 11, 13]. FE_p in horses with adequate diet has been documented to be between 0 and 0.5 and < 4%, increased values being associated with diets high in P concentration, as well as such conditions as rhabdomyolysis, primary and pseudohyperthyroidism, nutritional secondary hyperparathyroidism, renal tubular disease and *P*-wasting nephropathy [14–17].

In human medicine the preanalytical acidification of urine samples has been used to dissolve Ca, Mg and P precipitates and thus obtain more accurate measurements of the said electrolyte concentrations. However, the acidification itself is a topic of divided opinion in human medicine: there are studies demonstrating its necessity [18–20] while some other studies claim this procedure unnecessary [21–24].

In veterinary diagnostics, the preanalytical acidification of equine urine samples prior to Ca, Mg and P measurement even though being common practice, to the authors` knowledge, has not been evaluated for its necessity. Nevertheless, there are some authors emphasizing inaccurate FE_{Ca} measurements without acidification [10].

We hypothesized that to accurately measure the concentration of common urine crystal components, such as Ca, Mg and P, the crystals need to be dissolved first. We wanted to assess what effect 1) acidification and 2) storage after acidification has on the Ca, Mg and P concentration measurements in equine urine and in turn on FE_{Ca} and FE_{Mg} .

Results

Urinalysis

Complete urinalysis was available for 21/32 samples, while from 11 urine samples reagent strip analysis was not made. Results from urine specific gravity, reagent strip analysis and urine sediment examination are displayed in Supplementary file 1.

Electrolytes and creatinine

pH, amount of crystals, Ca and Mg concentrations and FE_{Ca} and FE_{Mg} are displayed in Supplementary file 2 for each sample. The minimum, median and maximum values of Ca, Mg and creatinine (Crea) concentrations in the groups of native and acidified urine samples with and without storage are displayed in Table 1. The measurements of the acidified samples are corrected for the dilution factor for all parameters.

Crea concentrations were not significantly different between the samples of native and acidified urine either without storage (mean difference 0.39 [LoA -3.00 to 3.76], (*p*-value=0.29)) (Fig. 1) or with storage (mean difference 0.23 mmol/L [LoA -3.40 to 4.68], (*p*-value=0.72)) (Fig. 2). Moreover, Crea concentrations did not change significantly in the acidified samples with storage (mean difference -0.15 [LoA -1.08 to 0.78], (*p*-value=0.077)) (Fig. 3). Bland-Altman difference plots showed very small biases with random distribution without a systematic error (Figs. 1, 2 and 3B).

In contrast, Ca concentrations were significantly different in native urine samples when compared to acidified samples (mean difference 17.60 mmol/L [LoA – 21.16 to 56.36]; *p*-valued: <0.0001; Fig. 4). This was also the case for stored samples where Ca concentrations were afterwards determined in native and acidified samples (mean difference 17.37 mmol/L [LoA – 20.67 to 55.40], *p*-value <0.0001; Fig. 5). In both cases a strong positive proportional bias was observed in the Bland-Altman difference plot (Figs. 4B and 5B). No statistically significant change in Ca concentration was found in acidified samples due to storage (*p*-value=0.72; Fig. 6A). The Bland-Altman difference plot showed a very small bias (mean difference – 0.23 mmol/L [LoA – 2.38 to 1.91]), with random distribution without a systematic error (Fig. 6B).

 FE_{Ca} was calculated for both native and acidified urine samples without storage to better assess the Ca excretion. Calculations were possible only in 27/32 samples, since for the remaining 5 horses plasma was not available and, thus, for the equation needed blood plasma Ca and Crea concentrations could not be obtained. The FE_{Ca} significantly increased with acidification (mean difference 5.07% [LoA -7.74 to 17.88]; *p*-value=0.0003) with a positive proportional mean bias observed in the

Parameter	Minimum value	Median value	Maximum value
Ca native (mmol/L)	0.87	3.57	31.07
Ca acidified (mmol/L)* ^{vs Ca native}	0.60	16.98	67.38
Ca acidified stored (mmol/L)*vs Ca native	0.56	16.98	66.38
Mg native (mmol/L)	1.33	16.01	35.59
Mg acidified (mmol/L)* ^{vs Mg native}	1.40	18.21	44.12
Mg acidified stored (mmol/L)** ^{vs Mg native}	1.30	18.35	44.35
Crea native (mmol/L)	1.03	12.43	28.57
Crea acidified (mmol/L) ^{n.s. vs Crea native}	0.99	13.49	28.92
Crea acidified stored (mmol/L) ^{n.s. vs Crea native}	0.98	13.71	27.52
FE _{Ca} native (%)	0.24	1.42	15.20
FE _{Ca} acidified (%)*** ^{vs FE} Ca ^{native}	0.13	3.98	29.03
FE _{Mg} native (%)	2.94	21.67	44.26
FE _{Ma} acidified (%)**** vs FE _{Ma} native	2.82	21.52	45.85

Table 1 Minimum, median and maximum values for Ca, Mg and Crea concentrations and fractional excretions of calcium and magnesium

Ca calcium, *Crea* creatinine, FE_{Ca} fractional excretion of calcium, FE_{Mg} fractional excretion of magnesium, Mg magnesium, *p < .0001, **p = 0.0015, ***p = 0.0003, ***p = 0.0039



Fig. 1 Comparison of the Crea (creatinine) concentration in native and acidified urine samples. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Crea concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit. The values after acidification were already corrected for the dilution factor. **B** Bland-Altman difference plot for the agreement of the Crea concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 0.39 (-0.24 to 1.01)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -2.99 (-4.06 to -1.92)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 3.76 (2.69 to 4.83)*

Bland-Altman difference plot (Fig. 7). In 3/27 of the native urine samples and in 11/27 of the acidified urine samples without storage the FE_{Ca} was within reference intervals (7 to 33%). 24/27 samples of the native urine and 16/27 acidified urine samples showed FE_{Ca} below the lower limit of the reference interval. In none of the groups increased values FE_{Ca} for were found.

Semiquantitative assessment of the quantity of crystals in the urine sediment revealed 4 samples (12.5%) with small amounts of crystals, 5 samples (15.6%) with medium amounts and 23 samples (71.9%) with large amounts of crystals. Moderate correlation ($r_s = 0.42$, p = 0.008) was observed between the amount of crystals seen in the sediment of the native samples and the acidified samples with significantly higher Ca concentration



Fig. 2 Comparison of the Crea (creatinine) concentration in native and acidified urine samples with storage. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Crea concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y=x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Crea concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 0.23 (-0.39 to 0.86)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -3.14 (-4.21 to -2.07)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 3.608 (2.54 to 4.68)*



Fig. 3 Comparison of the Crea (creatinine) concentration in acidified urine samples with and without storage. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Crea concentration in acidified urine (x-axis) and acidified urine after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y=x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Crea concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is $-0.15 (-0.32 \text{ to } 0.02)^*$, the Lower Limit of Agreement is $-1.08 (-1.37 \text{ to } -0.78)^*$, the Upper Limit of Agreement is $0.78 (0.48 \text{ to } 1.07)^*$

(Fig. 8). While in most cases the increase of the Ca concentration after acidification was proportional to the noted crystal amount in the native sample, several samples did not follow this pattern: 5 samples with large amounts of crystals showed lower Ca concentration after acidification than a sample with medium amounts of crystals; there were also samples where the Ca concentration after acidification hardly changed or even decreased, including two samples with large amounts of crystals.

Mg concentration was significantly different between native and acidified urine samples determined either without storage (mean difference 1.79 mmol/L [LoA



Fig. 4 Comparison of the Ca (calcium) concentration in native and acidified urine samples. A Passing Bablok regression plot for Ca concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y=x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). B Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Ca concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 17.60 (10.47 to 24.73)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -21.16 (-33.47 to -8.84)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 56.36 (44.05 to 68.67)*



А

Fig. 5 Comparison of the Ca (calcium) concentration in native and acidified urine samples with storage. A Passing Bablok regression plot for Ca concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). B Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Ca concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 17.37 (10.37 to 24.36)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -20.67 (-32.75 to -8.59)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 55.40 (43.32 to 67.48)*



Fig. 6 Comparison of the Ca (calcium) concentration in acidified urine samples with and without storage. A Passing Bablok regression plot for Ca concentration in acidified urine (x-axis) and acidified urine after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y=x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). B Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Ca concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is -0.23 (-0.63to 0.16)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -2.38 (-3.06 to -1.70)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 1.91 (1.23 to 2.59)*



А

Fig. 7 Comparison of the FE_{Ca} (fractional excretion of calcium) in native and acidified urine samples without storage. A Passing Bablok regression plot for FE_{Ca} (%) in native urine (x-axis) and FE_{Ca} in acidified urine (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). B Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the FE_{Ca} calculations in native and acidified urine samples without storage. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 5.07 (2.48 to 7.65)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -7.74 (-12.21 to -3.27)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 17.88 (13.40 to 22.35)*



1 – small amount of crystals 2– medium amount of crystals 3– large amount of crystals

Fig. 8 Depiction of the Ca (calcium) concentration in native and acidified urine samples correlated with semi-quantitative urine sediment crystal assessment. Each individual calcium measurement is depicted in both groups, connecting the results of the same sample with a grey line and labelling them with certain geometric shape and color according to the amounts of crystals observed in native sample: green rectangle – small amount of crystals, blue triangle – medium amount of crystals and red circle – large amount of crystals (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor)

from -4.14 to 7.72], (*p*-value = 0.0001)) (Fig. 9A) or with storage (mean difference 1.62 mmol/L [LoA from -4.46 to 7.70], (*p*-value = 0.0015)) (Fig. 10A). In the Bland-Altman difference plots a small bias with random distribution without systematic difference was observed (Figs. 9B and 10B). Similar to Ca concentrations, no significant difference was found between the Mg concentrations in acidified urine with and without storage (mean difference -0.17 mmol/L [LoA from -1.64 to 1.78]); (*p*-value = 1.00); (Fig. 11A). Bland-Altman difference plot showed very small bias with random distribution without systematic difference (Fig. 11B).

 FE_{Mg} was calculated in both native and acidified urine without storage. In 27/32 samples these calculations were possible, while this was not possible for the remaining 5 horses due to unavailability of the corresponding blood plasma Mg and Crea concentrations. There was a significant difference between FE_{Mg} using native compared to acidified urine samples (Fig. 12A). Bland-Altmann difference plot showed a small bias with random distribution without systematic difference (mean difference 1.98 [LoA from -5.39 to 9.35]), (*p*-value = 0.0039)) (Fig. 12B). In 17/27 of the native urine samples and in 18/27 of the acidified urine samples without storage the FE_{Mg} was within the reference intervals (15 to 53%). In 10/27 of the native urine samples and in 9/27 of the acidified urine samples without storage the FE_{Mg} was below the reference intervals. In none of the groups increased values for FE_{Mg} were found.

For the measurements of the P concentration all native samples were under the lower limit of quantification of the assay (1.1 mmol/l). After acidification, 3 samples were mildly above the lower limit of quantification in the group without and with storage (values between 1.33– 2.42 mmol/l. Statistical analysis was not possible due to the low number of samples.

Discussion

This is the first study evaluating the effect of acidification and storage after acidification on Ca, Mg, P concentrations, FE_{Ca} and FE_{Mg} in equine urine from horses presented to the clinic at the University Animal Hospital Zurich. Our data demonstrated significantly higher Ca and Mg concentrations after urine acidification and in



Fig. 9 Comparison of the Mg (magnesium) concentration in native and acidified urine samples. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Mg concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Mg concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 1.79 (0.70 to 2.88)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -4.14 (-6.03 to -2.26)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 7.72 (5.83 to 9.60)*



Fig. 10 Comparison of the Mg (magnesium) concentration in native and acidified urine samples with storage. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Mg concentration in native urine (x-axis) and acidified urine samples after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Mg concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 1.62 (0.50 to 2.74)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -4.46 (-6.39 to -2.53)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 7.70 (5.77 to 9.63)*



Fig. 11 Comparison of the Mg (magnesium) concentration in acidified urine samples with and without storage. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for Mg concentration in acidified urine samples (x-axis) and acidified urine samples after storage (y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y=x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the Mg concentration measurements in native and acidified urine samples. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is -0.17 (-0.44 to 0.10)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -1.64 (-2.11 to -1.17)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 1.31 (0.84 to 1.78)*



Fig. 12 Comparison of the FE_{Mg} (fractional excretion of magnesium) in native and acidified urine samples without storage. **A** Passing Bablok regression plot for FE_{Mg} in native urine samples (x-axis) and acidified urine samples(y-axis). The thin grey line is the line of identity (y = x) and the thick red line is the line of best fit (the values after acidification are already corrected for the dilution factor). **B** Bland-Altman difference plot showing agreement of the FE_{Mg} calculations in native and acidified urine samples without storage. The thin horizontal grey line (0 at the y-axis) is the line of identity, and the thick black line indicates the bias (mean difference between methods), with its confidence intervals as thin dashed lines. The thick dashed horizontal lines are the 95% limits of agreement with their 95% confidence intervals as the thin dashed lines. The mean difference is 1.98 (0.49 to 3.47)*, the Lower Limit of Agreement is -5.39 (-7.97 to -2.82)*, the Upper Limit of Agreement is 9.35 (6.78 to 11.92)*. Abbreviations: Ca = calcium; Mg = magnesium; P = phosphate; HCL = hydrochloric acid. *Numbers in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals

turn significantly higher FE of these electrolytes. P concentrations could not be evaluated as only 9% of all acidified and none of the native samples had a concentration above the detectable limit. One hour storage after acidification did not lead to significant changes in any electrolyte concentrations or FE.

The increase in Ca and Mg concentrations after acidification of urine with hydrochloric acid (HCI) can be explained by the dissolution of urine crystals, thereby enabling the measurement of the Ca and Mg ions previously confined in crystals. This assumption seems plausible since it is well known that the most commonly observed crystals in equine urine are Ca carbonate, Ca oxalate, triple phosphate and Ca phosphate and they all contain Ca, with the triple phosphates also containing Mg [7, 8, 25]. As demonstrated in previous studies, alkaline pH crystals such as Ca carbonate, Ca phosphate and hydroxyapatite are already dissolving at pH5-6, while acidification to pH1-2 should ensure complete dissolution [26-28]. Further evidence can be provided by the fact that the majority (12/19) of samples with large amounts of crystals showed an increase in Ca concentration in the acidified urine of \geq 30%. However, statistical correlation between the amount of crystals and the increase in Ca concentration after acidification was only moderate, since some samples with moderate amounts of crystals showed higher Ca concentration after acidification than some samples with large amounts of crystals. Moreover, a few samples even showed a decrease in Ca concentration after acidification, including 2 samples with large amounts of crystals. Reasons for different reactions of different samples when subjected to acidification can be diverse. Different crystals are expected to yield different amounts of Ca ions when dissolved. Also, ultrasmall crystals could have been present in the native urine sample, which would be observed in the nucleation phase of the crystal forming; they would unlikely be detected by light microscopy [29] but contribute to ion concentrations. Moreover, incomplete dissolution after acidification could not be ruled out because sediment analysis of the acidified sample was not performed. The growth and dissolution of crystals depends on pH which slightly fluctuates depending on the time of the day, feeding regime, diet and time of the year. According to one study, the urine pH is slightly lower during summer months, at 8 am and for horses fed with grain [9]. The samples for this study were collected during different times of the day and months of the year. Finally, errors in processing the urine samples, such as insufficient mixing of the samples resulting in an uneven distribution of crystals in the native and acidified sample as well as inter-observer variation during semi-quantitative assessment of the amount of crystals by different laboratory technicians need to be considered; the latter procedure is known to be a subjective procedure.

Within this study, also the effect of acidification on urine Crea concentration has been investigated since urine Crea concentration is needed for calculation of the FE. There was no difference between Crea concentrations from native and acidified equine urine samples. Crea concentration in the acidified samples was corrected for the dilution factor.

Storage of an acidified urine sample for 1 hour at room temperature had no significant impact on either Ca or Mg concentration measurements. The 1-hour storage was chosen to imitate delays in the processing of an acidified urine sample. Since the acidification procedure might be a problem for equine practitioners in the stable, further studies should be performed with longer storage periods to mimic over-night shipment of native and acidified urine to get more preanalytical data on storage of equine urine for electrolyte measurement.

Only 3 study samples showed P concentration values mildly above the lower limit of quantification of the used assay. Published reference intervals for FE_p are very low and, therefore our results match those in previous studies [10, 30]. Increased P concentration in urine as well as increased FE_p is a rather rare occurrence in horses and was also not present in our study population. To further evaluate the necessity of acidification for P measurement in equine urine, samples from horses with higher P concentrations are needed.

For FE_{Ca} , 24/27 samples showed FE values below the RI, and 3/27 samples had FE within RI in the native urine sample (RI 7–33%). After acidification, 8 samples shifted from decreased FE_{Ca} to normal FE_{Ca} values, whereas the remaining 16 samples had still decreased FE_{Ca} . The three samples which showed already in native urine normal FE_{Ca} stayed within normal ranges even after acidification. The clinical interpretation of the FE_{Ca} values using published reference intervals (7–33%) differed therefore in 30% of all samples after acidification of the urine. Based on these observations it can be concluded that the difference in FE_{Ca} after acidification is not only statistically significant but also of clinical importance since measurement of native – non acidified urine samples could lead to misinterpretation.

For FE_{Mg}, 10/27 native urine samples showed FE values below the RI, whereas 17/27 samples had FE within RI (15–53%). After acidification only 1 sample (4% of all samples) originally with decreased FE in the native sample showed FE within RI. In all other samples acidification did not change the interpretation outcome.

Based on this study, Ca concentration and FE_{Ca} were more affected than Mg and FE_{Mg} by acidification. This might be due to the higher amounts of Ca present in the crystals compared to Mg. Furthermore the wide RI for $\rm FE_{Mg}$ might impact the smaller clinical differences compared to $\rm FE_{Ca}$.

Therefore, it can be stated, that for Ca and FE_{Ca} interpretation, acidification of equine urine prior to analysis is crucial and of high clinically relevance. For Mg and FE_{Mg} measurements, acidification is at least recommended, since statistically significant changes and clinical differences were observed within this study.

There were several limitations to this study. First, complete urinalysis could not be performed in some of the urine samples as data for pH, specific gravity and reagent strip were missing. Second, the present study population did not contain samples with FE_{Ca} and FE_{Mg} values above the upper reference interval. Therefore, only shifts from low FE results to unremarkable FE results could be observed after acidification. However, since reference limits for FE have a wide range for both Ca and Mg, one should give great attention to the observed shifts from one to the other category. Further investigations should be done with samples of increased FE after acidification. In addition, it would have been of interest to use ion selective potentiometry for Ca and Mg determination. Moreover, the study would be strengthened if the mechanism for acidification on increasing urine Ca and Mg concentration was explored further. Finally, incomplete dissolution of the crystals after acidification could not be ruled out as sediment analysis of the acidified samples was not performed.

Conclusion

Urine acidification is necessary for accurate measurements of Ca and Mg concentrations and subsequent FE calculations in equine urine. Especially for Ca and FE_{Ca} interpretation, marked and clinically relevant differences between native and acidified samples were observed thus shifting interpretation from low concentrations to normal levels. Storage time of 1 hour after acidification does not significantly change Ca and Mg concentrations. It is important to consider that acidified urine samples are not adequate for urine reagent strip analysis, therefore urine needs to be analyzed in two tubes, one with and one without acidification, whenever Ca, Mg or FE measurements are required.

Methods

Materials

The study was conducted as a prospective study with samples collected between December 2016 and July 2020. In total 22 client-owned horses were involved in the study. The horses were presented at the Equine Department of the Vetsuisse Faculty of the University of Zurich for various reasons. Blood sampling was approved by the local animal welfare committee of the Veterinary Office, Canton Zurich, Switzerland (ZH042/15; ZH057/19). Furthermore, informed owner consent was obtained for all horses sampled within this study.

Four out of the 22 horses were sampled multiple times (three to five times). In total 32 fresh free-catch equine urine samples were collected in plain tubes (Sarstedt AG & Co., Nürmbrecht, Germany). Urine sampling and a corresponding blood sample from the jugular vein were collected from 17 horses in tri-potassium ethylen-ediamine tetraacetic acid (K_3 EDTA) and lithium heparin tubes. All samples were analysed by laboratory technicians within 2 hours after collection.

Clinical blood biochemistry

Plasma concentrations of Crea, Ca, Mg, and P were determined in 17/22 horses at the first sampling of each horse in lithium heparin plasma according to the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines using the biochemistry analyser Cobas c501 (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) and reference intervals developed in the Clinical Laboratory of the Vetsuisse Faculty of the University of Zurich from 63 adult horses. Lithium heparin tubes were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1862 g with Hettich Rotanta 460s centrifuge (Hettich AG, Bäch, Switzerland) to collect heparin plasma. Internal QC was performed daily prior to processing the routine samples using 2 levels of the QC PreciControl ClinChem Multi (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany to assess accuracy and precision of Crea, Ca, Mg and P measurements Level 1 had target concentrations within the lower concentration range whereas level 2 had target concentrations within the normal range of concentration.

Urinalysis and urine clinical biochemistry measurements

From each horse, 15 ml of fresh native urine were used for the study. The urine was thoroughly mixed and then separated into two tubes – the first tube (5 ml) was used for the complete urinalysis as well as for the determination of Ca, Mg and P ion concentrations directly after sampling. The second tube (10 ml) was acidified with HCl (1 M) to pH1-2 using a pipette to exactly measure the added HCI volume and pH indicator paper to document the pH value. This second acidified tube was immediately and equally further separated into two tubes, 5 ml each. The first tube was used for Ca, Mg and P concentration measurements directly after acidification, while the second one was stored at room temperature $(+22^0 \text{ C})$ for 1 hour before the measurements of Ca, Mg and P concentration. Ca, Mg and P ion concentration were determined on a Cobas C501 biochemistry analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) using the following photometric methods: 5-Nitro-5'-methyl-BAPTA (NM-BAPTA) assay for Ca ion concentration, colorimetric end-point assay for Mg ion concentration and ammonium molybdate assay for P ion concentration. Internal QC was performed daily prior to processing the routine samples using 2 levels of the QC Lyphochek Quantitative Urine Control 1 and 2 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, United States). The volume of HCl used to reach a pH of 1–2 was noted for each acidified sample and afterwards each measurement was corrected for the dilution factor. FE was calculated for each electrolyte using the equation:

$$FEx = \frac{[x]urine}{[x]serum} X \frac{Crea \ serum}{Crea \ urine} X \ 100$$

Where x = electrolyte under investigation.

[]urine = urinary concentration of the substance.

[]serum = serum concentration of the substance.

A complete urinalysis was performed according to the standard operating procedure of the laboratory, which included macroscopic examination, determination of urine specific gravity by refractometry using Atago URICON-NE refractometer (Atago, Tokyo, Japan), reagent strip analysis for protein, glucose, ketones, bilirubin and blood using Combur 10-Test M strips (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) and Cobas U 411 urine analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). pH measurements were obtained by using pH-indicator paper (Merck Millipore, Billerica, USA). The Liquicheck Urinalysis Control (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, United States) was used daily as an internal quality control prior to daily urine sample analyses on Cobas U 411.

For the urine sediment assessment, 5 ml of the native urine sample were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 400 g using a Hettich Rotanta 460S centrifuge (Hettich, Kirchlengern, Germany). Afterwards the supernatant was poured off to be used for the quantification of Ca, Mg and P concentration. An unstained sediment microscopy was performed by a laboratory technician using a Leitz Dialux 20 microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) in phase-contrast and dark-field microscopy in 100x and 400x magnification. The type and amount of the observed crystals were noted and the samples were classified as containing either *small, medium* or *large* amounts of crystals.

Statistical analysis The data was processed with MS Excel and Analyse-it[®] (Analyse-it Software, Leeds, United Kingdom). Friedman test was used to compare the medians of electrolytes and urine creatinine across groups. Passing-Bablok regression analysis and Bland-Altman difference plots were used to assess agreement

between native and acidified urine samples. Statistical significance were defined as *p*-value <0.05. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r_s) and descriptive statistics was made for correlation of amount of crystals and concentration of Ca after acidification without storage.

Abbreviations

Ca	Calcium
Ca/Crea	Calcium/creatinine ratio
CI	Confidence intervals
Crea	Creatinine
FE	Fractional excretion
FE _{Ca}	Fractional excretion of calcium
FE _{Ma}	Fractional excretion of magnesium
FEp	Fractional excretion of phosphate
HCI	Hydrochloric acid
K3EDTA	Tri-potassium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
LoA	Limits of agreement
Mg	Magnesium
NM-BAPTA	5-Nitro-5'-methyl-BAPTA
Ρ	Phosphate

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12917-023-03848-1.

```
Additional file 1.
Additional file 2.
```

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the laboratory technicians for their excellent assistance with the measurements, as well as Dr. Nadine Studer for helping with urine collection.

Animal ethics

Blood sampling was approved by the local animal welfare committee of the Veterinary Office, Canton Zurich, Switzerland (licence numbers ZH042/15; ZH057/19). In Switzerland, the Veterinary Office of the Canton of Zurich is the competent authority and ethical review has been performed prior to approval of the study. Furthermore, informed owner consent were obtained for all horses sampled within this study.

Authors' contributions

Sandra Lapsina performed the statistical analysis and wrote the paper. Martina Stirn and Barbara Riond conceived and designed the study, collected the data, performed the statistical analysis and revised and improved the manuscript. Regina Hofmann-Lehmann revised and improved the manuscript. Angelika Schoster provided the samples, revised and improved the manuscript.

Funding

The authors received no special funding for this work.

Availability of data and materials

The authors confirm that the main data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article. The complete raw data are available from the corresponding author, Barbara Riond, upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 7 February 2023 Accepted: 5 December 2023 Published online: 10 January 2024

References

- 1. McGowan C. Clinical pathology in the racing horse: the role of clinical pathology in assessing fitness and performance in the racehorse. Vet Clin N Am Equine Pract. 2008;24(2):405–21.
- McKenzie EC. 42 hematology and serum biochemistry of the equine athlete. In: Hinchcliff KW, Kaneps AJ, editors. Equine sports medicine and surgery. 2nd ed. Geor RJ: W.B. Saunders; 2014. p. 921–9.
- 3. Avioli LV. Intestinal absorption of calcium. Arch Intern Med. 1972;129(2):345–55.
- Tryfonidou MA, van den Broek J, van den Brom WE, Hazewinkel HA. Intestinal calcium absorption in growing dogs is influenced by calcium intake and age but not by growth rate. J Nutr. 2002;132(11):3363–8.
- Hyde ML, Wilkens MR, Fraser DR. In vivo measurement of strontium absorption from the rumen of dairy cows as an index of calcium absorption capacity. J Dairy Sci. 2019;102(6):5699–705.
- Wilkens MR, Marholt L, Eigendorf N, Muscher-Banse AS, Feige K, Schroder B, Breves G, Cehak A. Trans- and paracellular calcium transport along the small and large intestine in horses. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2017;204:157–63.
- Caple IW, Doake PA, Ellis PG. Assessment of the calcium and phosphorusnutrition in horses by analysis of urine. Aust Vet J. 1982;58(4):125–31.
- Mair TS, Osborn RS. Crystalline composition of equine urinary calculi. Res Vet Sci. 1986;40(3):288–91.
- Wood T, Weckman TJ, Henry PA, Chang SL, Blake JW, Tobin T. Equine urine pH: normal population distributions and methods of acidification. Equine Vet J. 1990;22(2):118–21.
- 10. King C. Practical use of urinary fractional excretion. J Equine Vet Sci. 1994;14(9):464–8.
- 11. Gray J, Harris P, Snow DH. Preliminary investigations into the calcium and magnesium status of the horse. Anim Clin Biochem Future. 1988:307–17.
- Morris DD, Divers TJ, Whitlock RH. Renal clearance and fractional excretion of electrolytes over a 24-hour period in horses. Am J Vet Res. 1984;45(11):2431–5.
- 13. Harris PA. Preliminary investigations into factors that affect plasma aldosterone concentrations in horses. Res Vet Sci. 1993;54(3):319–28.
- Harris P, Colles C. The use of creatinine clearance ratios in the prevention of equine rhabdomyolysis: a report of four cases. Equine Vet J. 1988;20(6):459–63.
- 15. Coffman J. Percent creatinine clearance ratios. Vet Med Small Anim Clin. 1980;75(4):671–6.
- Ronen N, van Heerden J, van Amstel SR. Clinical and biochemistry findings, and parathyroid hormone concentrations in three horses with secondary hyperparathyroidism. J S Afr Vet Assoc. 1992;63(3):134–6.
- Traver DS, Coffman JR, Moore JN, Salem CA, et al. Urine clearance ratios as a diagnostic aid in equine metabolic disease. Proc Am Assoc Equine Pract. 1976;22:177–83.
- Hodgkinson A. Sampling errors in the determination of urine calcium and oxalate: solubility of calcium oxalate in HCI-urine mixtures. Clin Chim Acta. 1981;109(2):239–44.
- Pratumvinit B, Reesukumal K, Wongkrajang P, Khejonnit V, Klinbua C, Dangneawnoi W. Should acidification of urine be performed before

the analysis of calcium, phosphate and magnesium in the presence of crystals? Clin Chim Acta. 2013;426:46–50.

- van Gammeren AJ, van Haperen C, Kuypers AWHM. The effect of acidification and oxalate concentration on urine calcium measurements in EQAS materials and patient samples. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2012;50(2):375–7.
- Sodi R, Bailey LB, Glaysher J, Allars L, Roberts NB, Marks EM, Fraser WD. Acidification and urine calcium: is it a preanalytical necessity? Ann Clin Biochem. 2009;46:484–7.
- 22. Sodi R, Godber IM. Effect of refrigeration, centrifugation, acidification, heat treatment and storage on urine calcium, magnesium and phosphate. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2016;54(12):E379–81.
- Nicar MJ, Hsu MC, Johnson T, Pak CY. The preservation of urine samples for determination of renal stone risk factors. Lab Med. 1987;18(6):382–4.
- 24. Salek T, Musil P, Psencik M, Palicka V. Post-collection acidification of spot urine sample is not needed before measurement of electrolytes. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2022;32(2):020702.
- 25. Walton RM, Cowell RL, Valenciano AC. Equine hematology, cytology, and clinical chemistry. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2020.
- de Moel PJ, van der Helm AWC, van Rijn M, van Dijk JC, van der Meer WGJ. Assessment of calculation methods for calcium carbonate saturation in drinking water for DIN 38404-10 compliance. Drink Water Eng Sci. 2013;6(2):115–24.
- Baumann JM, Affolter B. From crystalluria to kidney stones, some physicochemical aspects of calcium nephrolithiasis. World J Nephrol. 2014;3(4):256–67.
- 28. Tiselius HG, Fornander A, Nilsson M. Studies on the crystallization process following addition of calcium phosphate crystals to solutions with a composition corresponding to that in the collecting duct. In: Conference proceedings. 1999.
- Espinosa-Ortiz EJ, Eisner BH, Lange D, Gerlach R. Current insights into the mechanisms and management of infection stones. Nat Rev Urol. 2019;16(1):35–53.
- 30. Coffman J. Clinical chemistry and pathophysiology of horses percent creatinine clearance ratios. Vet Med Small Anim Clin. 1980:671–6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

