



University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>

Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
www.zora.uzh.ch

---

Year: 2023

---

**Crystal structure of  
2-[(4-bromobenzyl)thio]-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole,  
C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>8</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>**

Al-Omary, Fatmah A M ; Blacque, Olivier ; Alanazi, Fahdah S ; Tiekink, Edward R T ; El-Emam, Ali A

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2023-0270>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-253221>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.


Originally published at:

Al-Omary, Fatmah A M; Blacque, Olivier; Alanazi, Fahdah S; Tiekink, Edward R T; El-Emam, Ali A (2023). Crystal structure of 2-[(4-bromobenzyl)thio]-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>8</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie - New Crystal Structures, 238(5):911-913.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2023-0270>

Fatmah A. M. Al-Omary, Olivier Blacque, Fahdah S. Alanazi, Edward R. T. Tiekink and Ali A. El-Emam\*

# Crystal structure of 2-[(4-bromobenzyl)thio]-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole, $C_{13}H_8Br_2N_2OS_2$



<https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2023-0270>

Received June 5, 2023; accepted June 21, 2023;

published online July 5, 2023

## Abstract

$C_{13}H_8Br_2N_2OS_2$ , monoclinic,  $Pc$  (no. 7),  $a = 13.4050(4)$  Å,  $b = 4.7716(1)$  Å,  $c = 11.7303(4)$  Å,  $\beta = 105.885(3)^\circ$ ,  $V = 721.66(4)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $R_g(F) = 0.0294$ ,  $wR_{ref}(F^2) = 0.0808$ ,  $T = 160$  K.

CCDC no.: 2271238

\*Corresponding author: Ali A. El-Emam, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt, E-mail: elemam@mans.edu.eg. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9325-9497>

Fatmah A. M. Al-Omary and Fahdah S. Alanazi, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia, E-mail: falomary@ksu.edu.sa (F.A.M. Al-Omary), fahdhalenazi@gmail.com (F.S. Alanazi)

Olivier Blacque, Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland, E-mail: olivier.blacque@chem.uzh.ch

Edward R. T. Tiekink, Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Medical and Life Sciences, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia, E-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my

Table 1: Data collection and handling.

Crystal:	Colourless plate
Size:	0.28 × 0.13 × 0.02 mm
Wavelength:	CuK $\alpha$ radiation (1.54184 Å)
$\mu$ :	9.80 mm <sup>-1</sup>
Diffractometer, scan mode:	SuperNova,
$\theta_{max}$ , completeness:	74.4°, >99 %
$N(hkl)_{measured}$ , $N(hkl)_{unique}$ , $R_{int}$ :	13,407, 2803, 0.031
Criterion for $I_{obs}$ , $N(hkl)_{gt}$ :	$I_{obs} > 2\sigma(I_{obs})$ , 2778
$N(param)_{refined}$ :	181
Programs:	CRYALIS <sup>PRO</sup> [1], SHELX [2, 3], WINGX/ORTEP [4]

Table 1 contains crystallographic data and Table 2 contains the list of the atoms including atomic coordinates and displacement parameters.

## 1 Source of material

A mixture of 5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thiol (1.32 g, 5.0 mmol), 4-bromobenzyl bromide (1.25 g, 5.0 mmol) and anhydrous potassium carbonate (0.69 g, 5.0 mmol),

**Table 2:** Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>).

Atom	x	y	z	<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> <sup>*</sup> / <i>U</i> <sub>eq</sub>
Br1	0.92814 (4)	−0.19744 (10)	0.89748 (4)	0.02809 (18)
Br2	0.07189 (3)	0.31074 (10)	0.10251 (4)	0.02696 (18)
S1	0.73153 (11)	0.1726 (3)	0.79808 (14)	0.0260 (3)
S2	0.52009 (10)	1.1099 (3)	0.40031 (12)	0.0251 (3)
O1	0.6360 (3)	0.7405 (8)	0.5411 (4)	0.0224 (7)
N1	0.5406 (3)	0.5644 (10)	0.6516 (4)	0.0269 (9)
N2	0.4800 (4)	0.7651 (11)	0.5729 (5)	0.0272 (9)
C1	0.6294 (4)	0.5567 (11)	0.6288 (5)	0.0226 (10)
C2	0.5401 (4)	0.8612 (12)	0.5114 (5)	0.0228 (9)
C3	0.7198 (4)	0.3877 (12)	0.6770 (5)	0.0233 (10)
C4	0.8511 (4)	0.0619 (10)	0.7885 (5)	0.0238 (10)
C5	0.8805 (5)	0.1814 (11)	0.6980 (6)	0.0282 (12)
H5	0.944134	0.142967	0.680130	0.034 <sup>*</sup>
C6	0.8050 (5)	0.3693 (13)	0.6338 (6)	0.0279 (11)
H6	0.812297	0.473041	0.567425	0.033 <sup>*</sup>
C7	0.3835 (4)	1.1834 (10)	0.3835 (6)	0.0264 (13)
H7A	0.372858	1.207674	0.463122	0.032 <sup>*</sup>
H7B	0.365391	1.362691	0.340305	0.032 <sup>*</sup>
C8	0.3109 (4)	0.9592 (10)	0.3185 (5)	0.0223 (10)
C9	0.2336 (5)	0.8537 (12)	0.3648 (5)	0.0258 (11)
H9	0.229283	0.915919	0.440269	0.031 <sup>*</sup>
C10	0.1622 (5)	0.6576 (12)	0.3020 (5)	0.0263 (11)
H10	0.109364	0.586118	0.333922	0.032 <sup>*</sup>
C11	0.1697 (4)	0.5697 (10)	0.1926 (5)	0.0223 (10)
C12	0.2475 (5)	0.6668 (12)	0.1455 (6)	0.0264 (12)
H12	0.252799	0.599779	0.071139	0.032 <sup>*</sup>
C13	0.3175 (4)	0.8635 (13)	0.2086 (5)	0.0261 (10)
H13	0.370449	0.933436	0.176504	0.031 <sup>*</sup>

in *N,N*-dimethylformamide (5.0 mL), was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Water (10 mL) was then gradually added, and the mixture was stirred for further 10 min and allowed to stand for 1 h. The precipitated crude product was filtered, washed with cold water, dried and crystallised from ethanol/chloroform (2:1, v/v) to yield 1.99 g (92 %) of the title compound (I) as colourless, transparent plates. **M.pt:** 399–401 K. **<sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 700.17 MHz):** δ 4.54 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.44–7.45 (m, 3H, 2 Ar–H & 1 Thiophene–H), 7.54 (d, 2H, Ar–H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.63 (d, 1H, Thiophene–H, *J* = 3.0 Hz). **<sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 176.06 MHz):** δ 35.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 117.85, 125.79, 131.59, 132.68 (Thiophene–C), 121.46, 131.78, 131.93, 136.69 (Ar–C), 160.89, 163.34 (Oxadiazole–C). **ESI–MS, m/z (Rel. Int.):** 430.6 [M + H, 44 %]<sup>+</sup>, 432.6 [M<sup>+</sup> + 2 + H, 100]<sup>+</sup>, 434.6 [M<sup>+</sup> + 4 + H, 26 %]<sup>+</sup>.

## 2 Experimental details

The C-bound H atoms were geometrically placed (C–H = 0.95–0.99 Å) and refined as riding with *U*<sub>iso</sub>(H) = 1.2 *U*<sub>eq</sub>(C). Owing to poor agreement, three reflections, i.e. (12 1 6), (11 1 7) and (11 0 8),

were omitted from the final cycles of refinement. The absolute structure was determined based on differences in Friedel pairs included in the data set.

## 3 Comment

The 1,3,4-oxadiazole nucleus is a biologically-important heterocycle that has numerous and diverse biological activities [5, 6]. Thus, the chemotherapeutic activities of 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivatives are well-established as anti-cancer [7], anti-microbial [8] and anti-viral [9] agents. In addition, the thiophene nucleus represents a crucial motif in several anti-cancer agents [10]. With the above in mind, in the present study, the synthesis and single crystal X-ray characteristics of the title 1,3,4-oxadiazole-thiophene hybrid derivative (I, systematic name: 2-[(4-bromophenyl)methyl]sulfanyl)-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole) are reported.

The molecular structure of (I) comprises a central 1,3,4-oxadiazole ring C1-connected to a 2-thienyl ring and C2-connected to a thioether–S atom, as shown in the upper image of the figure (70 % probability ellipsoids). Within the oxadiazole ring, the C–O bonds are experimentally equivalent to each other [C1–O1 = 1.373(7) Å and C2–O1 = 1.364(6) Å] as are the formally C = N double bonds [C1 = N1 = 1.291 (7) Å and C2 = N2 = 1.304(8) Å]; the N1–N2 bond length = 1.421(7) Å. A twist is noted between the oxadiazole and 2-thienyl rings with a dihedral angle of 8.8(4)°. A near to perpendicular relationship is noted between the oxadiazole and phenyl rings as they form a dihedral angle of 81.53 (17)°; the dihedral angle between the outer rings is 81.99 (16)°. The L-shaped molecule arises from the *syn-clinal* conformation of the C2–S2–C7–C8 torsion angle, i.e. −75.5 (5)°.

There are two closely related derivatives in the literature which differ only in their substitution patterns in the phenyl ring, namely, the 4–F [11] and 3,5–CF<sub>3</sub> [12] derivatives, hereafter (II) and (III), respectively. With the exception of the substituted phenyl rings, the conformations are closely related as evident from the overlay diagram shown in the image; (II) green image and (III) blue image. The obvious difference between the molecular conformations relate to the relative disposition of the phenyl rings. While the dihedral angles between the oxadiazole and phenyl rings are similar for both molecules, i.e. for (II) and (III) the dihedral angles are 76.4 (2)° and 81.4 (2)°, respectively, and resemble that in (I), the C2–S2–C7–C8 torsion angles are −74.8(7) and 174.9 (5)°, respectively, consistent with-*syn-clinal* and +*anti-periplanar* conformations, respectively.

The molecular packing features a number of directional interactions between molecules. The presence of phenyl–C–H...N(oxadiazole) contacts [C13–H13...N2<sup>i</sup>: H13...N2<sup>i</sup> = 2.58 Å,

$C13 \cdots N2^i = 3.510(8) \text{ \AA}$  with angle at  $H13 = 165^\circ$  for symmetry operation (i):  $x, 2 - y, -1/2 + z$  is noted within supramolecular layers in the  $bc$ -plane. The layers are consolidated by methylene–C–H $\cdots\pi$ (phenyl)  $[C7-H7b \cdots Cg(C8-C13)^{ii}]$ :  $H7b \cdots Cg(C8-C13)^{ii} = 2.55 \text{ \AA}$  with angle at  $H7b = 153^\circ$  for (ii):  $x, 1 + y, z$  and side-on C–Br $\cdots\pi$ (thienyl and phenyl)  $[C4-Br1 \cdots Cg(S1,C3-C6)^{iii}]$ :  $Br1 \cdots Cg(S1,C3-C6)^{iii} = 3.575(2) \text{ \AA}$  with angle at  $Br1 = 90.64(16)^\circ$  and  $C11-Br2 \cdots Cg(C8-C13)^{iii}$ :  $Br2 \cdots Cg(C8-C13)^{iii} = 3.599(2) \text{ \AA}$  with angle at  $Br2 = 87.31(16)^\circ$  for (iii)  $x, -1 + y, z$  interactions. The primary interactions between layers along the  $a$ -axis are Br $\cdots$ Br contacts  $[Br1 \cdots Br2^{iv} = 3.5310(7) \text{ \AA}$  and  $Br1 \cdots Br2^v = 3.5834(7) \text{ \AA}$  for (iv):  $1 + x, -1 + y, 1 + z$  and (v):  $1 + x, y, 1 + z$ ] which associate in a zigzag pattern along the  $b$ -axis. The C–Br $\cdots$ Br angles associated with the shorter of the contacts are phenyl– $C11^{iv}-Br2^{iv} \cdots Br1 = 96.41(16)^\circ$  and thienyl– $C4-Br1 \cdots Br2^{iv} = 179.62(16)^\circ$ , and for the longer contact: thienyl– $C4-Br1 \cdots Br2^v = 96.07(16)^\circ$  and  $C11^v-Br2^v \cdots Br1 = 167.59(16)^\circ$ .

In order to understand in more detail the role of the directional interactions involving the bromide atoms upon the molecular packing in (I), the Hirshfeld surfaces and two-dimensional fingerprint plots were calculated using CrystalExplorer [13] and published methods [14]. Calculated Hirshfeld surface contacts involving H amount to 65.4 % of all contacts, indicating significant contributions from other surface contacts. Among the contacts involving H, the most significant contribution of 20.5 % arises from Br $\cdots$ H/H $\cdots$ Br contacts followed by H $\cdots$ H contacts of 17.6 %. Other contacts involving H are: C $\cdots$ H/H $\cdots$ C [12.0 %], N $\cdots$ H/H $\cdots$ N [10.8 %] and S $\cdots$ H/H $\cdots$ S [4.5 %]. Of the other Hirshfeld surface contacts, Br $\cdots$ C/C $\cdots$ Br contacts [7.0 %] are the most dominant followed by S $\cdots$ O/O $\cdots$ S [5.0 %] and Br $\cdots$ Br [4.3 %]. Notable contributions to the surface contacts are also made by S $\cdots$ N/S $\cdots$ N [4.3 %], S $\cdots$ C/C $\cdots$ S [3.9 %], C $\cdots$ C [3.3 %] and O $\cdots$ C/C $\cdots$ O [2.7 %] contacts but at separations beyond the respective sums of the van der Waals radii.

**Author contributions:** All the authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this submitted manuscript and approved submission.

**Research funding:** None declared.

**Conflict of interest statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this article.

## References

1. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction. *CRYSTALS<sup>PRO</sup>*; Rigaku Corporation: Oxford, UK, 2019.
2. Sheldrick G. M. A short history of *SHELX*. *Acta Crystallogr.* 2008, *A64*, 112–122.
3. Sheldrick G. M. Crystal structure refinement with *SHELXL*. *Acta Crystallogr.* 2015, *C71*, 3–8.
4. Farrugia L. J. WinGX and Ortep for windows: an update. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2012, *45*, 849–854.
5. Wang J. J., Sun W., Jia W. D., Bian M., Yu L. J. Research progress on the synthesis and pharmacology of 1,3,4-oxadiazole and 1,2,4-oxadiazole derivatives: a mini review. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2022, *37*, 2304–2319.
6. Desai N., Monapara J., Jethawa A., Khedkar V., Oxadiazole S. B. A highly versatile scaffold in drug discovery. *Arch. Pharm.* 2022, *355*, 2200123.
7. Bukhari A., Nadeem H., Sarwar S., Abbasi I., Khan M. T., Hamid I., Bukhari U. Exploring therapeutic potential of 1,3,4-oxadiazole nucleus as anticancer agents: a mini-review. *Med. Chem.* 2023, *19*, 119–131.
8. Tiwari D., Narang R., Sudhakar K., Singh V., Lal S., Devgun M. 1,3,4-Oxadiazole derivatives as potential antimicrobial agents. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 2022, *100*, 1086–1121.
9. Li Z., Zhan P., Liu X. 1,3,4-Oxadiazole: a privileged structure in antiviral agents. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 2011, *11*, 1130–1142.
10. Archana P. S., Chawla P. A. Thiophene-based derivatives as anticancer agents: an overview on decade's work. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2020, *101*, 104026.
11. Al-Wahaibi L. H., Kumar N. S., El-Emam A. A., Venkataramanan N. S., Ghabbour H. A., Al-Tamimi A. M. S., Percino J., Thamotharan S. Investigation of potential anti-malarial lead candidate 2-(4-fluorobenzylthio)-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole: insights from crystal structure, DFT, QTAIM and hybrid QM/MM binding energy analysis. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2019, *1175*, 230–240.
12. Al-Omary F. A. M., Alanazi F. S., Ghabbour H. A., El-Emam A. A. Crystal structure of 2-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylsulfanyl]-5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole  $C_{15}H_7BrF_6N_2OS_2$ . *Z. Kristallogr. N. Cryst. Struct.* 2017, *232*, 131–133.
13. Spackman P. R., Turner M. J., McKinnon J. J., Wolff S. K., Grimwood D. J., Jayatilaka D., Spackman M. A. CrystalExplorer: a program for Hirshfeld surface analysis, visualization and quantitative analysis of molecular crystals. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2021, *54*, 1006–1011.
14. Tan S. L., Jotani M. M., Tiekink E. R. T. Utilizing Hirshfeld surface calculations, non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots and the calculation of interaction energies in the analysis of molecular packing. *Acta Crystallogr.* 2019, *E75*, 308–318.