



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2023

Observation of Collider Muon Neutrinos with the SND@LHC Experiment

Serra, N ; Ferrillo, M ; de Simone, D ; Betancourt, C ; Asada, T ; Battilana, C ; Bay, A ; Betancourt, C ; Biswas, R ; Blanco Castro, A ; Bogomilov, M ; Bonacorsi, D ; Bonivento, W M ; Bordalo, P ; Boyarsky, A ; Buontempo, S ; Campanelli, M ; Camporesi, T ; Canale, V ; Castro, A ; Centanni, D ; Cerutti, F ; Chernyavskiy, M ; Choi, K-Y ; Cholak, S ; Cindolo, F ; Climescu, M ; Conaboy, A P ; Dallavalle, G M ; Davino, D ; et al

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.131.031802>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-240116>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Serra, N; Ferrillo, M; de Simone, D; Betancourt, C; Asada, T; Battilana, C; Bay, A; Betancourt, C; Biswas, R; Blanco Castro, A; Bogomilov, M; Bonacorsi, D; Bonivento, W M; Bordalo, P; Boyarsky, A; Buontempo, S; Campanelli, M; Camporesi, T; Canale, V; Castro, A; Centanni, D; Cerutti, F; Chernyavskiy, M; Choi, K-Y; Cholak, S; Cindolo, F; Climescu, M; Conaboy, A P; Dallavalle, G M; Davino, D; et al (2023). Observation of Collider Muon Neutrinos with the SND@LHC Experiment. *Physical Review Letters*, 131(3):031802.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.131.031802>

Observation of Collider Muon Neutrinos with the SND@LHC Experiment

R. Albanese^{1,2}, A. Alexandrov¹, F. Alicante^{1,2}, A. Anokhina³, T. Asada^{1,2}, C. Battilana^{4,5}, A. Bay⁶, C. Betancourt⁷, R. Biswas⁸, A. Blanco Castro⁹, M. Bogomilov¹⁰, D. Bonacorsi^{4,5}, W. M. Bonivento¹¹, P. Bordalo⁹, A. Boyarsky^{12,13}, S. Buontempo¹, M. Campanelli¹⁴, T. Camporesi⁸, V. Canale^{1,2}, A. Castro^{4,5}, D. Centanni^{1,15}, F. Cerutti⁸, M. Chernyavskiy³, K.-Y. Choi¹⁶, S. Cholak⁶, F. Cindolo⁴, M. Climescu¹⁷, A. P. Conaboy¹⁸, G. M. Dallavalle⁴, D. Davino^{1,19}, P. T. de Bryas⁶, G. De Lellis^{1,2}, M. De Magistris^{1,15}, A. De Roeck⁸, A. De Rújula⁸, M. De Serio^{20,21}, D. De Simone⁷, A. Di Crescenzo^{1,2}, R. Donà^{4,5}, O. Durhan²², F. Fabbri⁴, F. Fedotovs¹⁴, M. Ferrillo⁷, M. Ferro-Luzzi⁸, R. A. Fini²⁰, A. Fiorillo^{1,2}, R. Fresa^{1,23}, W. Funk⁸, F. M. Garay Walls²⁴, A. Golovatiuk^{1,2}, A. Golutvin²⁵, E. Graverini⁶, A. M. Guler²², V. Guliaeva³, G. J. Haefeli⁶, J. C. Helo Herrera^{26,27}, E. van Herwijnen²⁵, P. Iengo¹, S. Ilieva^{1,2,10}, A. Infantino⁸, A. Iuliano^{1,2}, R. Jacobsson⁸, C. Kamiscioglu^{22,28}, A. M. Kauniskangas⁶, E. Khalikov³, S. H. Kim²⁹, Y. G. Kim³⁰, G. Klioutchnikov⁸, M. Komatsu³¹, N. Konovalova³, S. Kovalenko^{26,32}, S. Kuleshov^{26,32}, H. M. Lacker¹⁸, O. Lantwin³, F. Lasagni Manghi⁴, A. Lauria^{1,2}, K. Y. Lee²⁹, K. S. Lee³³, S. Lo Meo⁴, V. P. Loschiavo^{1,19}, S. Marcellini⁴, A. Margiotta^{4,5}, A. Mascellani⁶, A. Miano^{1,2}, A. Mikulenko¹², M. C. Montesi^{1,2}, F. L. Navarria^{4,5}, S. Ogawa³⁴, N. Okateva³, M. Ovchinnikov¹², G. Paggi^{4,5}, B. D. Park²⁹, A. Pastore²⁰, A. Perrotta⁴, D. Podgrudkov³, N. Polukhina³, A. Prota^{1,2}, A. Quercia^{1,2}, S. Ramos⁹, A. Reghunath¹⁸, T. Roganova³, F. Ronchetti⁶, T. Rovelli^{4,5}, O. Ruchayskiy³⁵, T. Ruf⁸, M. Sabate Gilarte⁸, M. Samoilov³, V. Scalera^{1,15}, O. Schneider⁶, G. Sekhniaidze¹, N. Serra⁷, M. Shaposhnikov⁶, V. Shevchenko³, T. Shchedrina³, L. Shchutska⁶, H. Shibuya^{34,36,†}, S. Simone^{20,21}, G. P. Siroli^{4,5}, G. Sirri⁴, G. Soares⁹, O. J. Soto Sandoval^{26,27}, M. Spurio^{4,5}, N. Starkov³, I. Timiryasov³⁵, V. Tioukov¹, F. Tramontano¹, C. Trippl⁶, E. Ursov³, A. Ustyuzhanin^{1,36}, G. Vankova-Kirilova¹⁰, V. Verguilov¹⁰, N. Viegas Guerreiro Leonardo⁹, C. Vilela^{9,*}, C. Visone^{1,2}, R. Wanke¹⁷, E. Yaman²², C. Yazici²², C. S. Yoon²⁹, E. Zaffaroni⁶, and J. Zamora Saa^{26,32}

(SND@LHC Collaboration)

¹Sezione INFN di Napoli, 80126 Napoli, Italy

²Università di Napoli "Federico II", 80126 Napoli, Italy

³Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁴Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

⁵Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

⁶Institute of Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

⁷Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

⁸European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), 1211 Geneva, Switzerland

⁹Laboratory of Instrumentation and Experimental Particle Physics (LIP), 1649-003 Lisbon, Portugal

¹⁰Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

¹¹Università degli Studi di Cagliari, 09124 Cagliari, Italy

¹²University of Leiden, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

¹³Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01033 Kyiv, Ukraine

¹⁴University College London, WC1E 6BT London, United Kingdom

¹⁵Università di Napoli Parthenope, 80143 Napoli, Italy

¹⁶Sungkyunkwan University, 16419 Suwon-si, Gyeong Gi-do, Korea

¹⁷Institut für Physik and PRISMA Cluster of Excellence, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

¹⁸Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12489 Berlin, Germany

¹⁹Università del Sannio, 82100 Benevento, Italy

²⁰Sezione INFN di Bari, 70126 Bari, Italy

²¹Università di Bari, 70126 Bari, Italy

²²Middle East Technical University (METU), 06800 Ankara, Turkey

²³Università della Basilicata, 85100 Potenza, Italy


²⁴Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, 4860 Santiago, Chile

²⁵Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom

²⁶Millennium Institute for Subatomic physics at high energy frontier-SAPHIR, Fernandez Concha 700, 7591538 Santiago, Chile

²⁷Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Serena, Avenida Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile

²⁸Ankara University, 06100 Ankara, Turkey

²⁹*Department of Physics Education and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, 52828 Jinju, Korea*³⁰*Gwangju National University of Education, 61204 Gwangju, Korea*³¹*Nagoya University, 464-8602 Nagoya, Japan*³²*Center for Theoretical and Experimental Particle Physics, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Fernandez Concha 700, Santiago, Chile*³³*Korea University, 02841 Seoul, Korea*³⁴*Toho University, 274-8510 Funabashi, Chiba, Japan*³⁵*Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*³⁶*Constructor University, Campus Ring 1, Bremen 28759, Germany* (Received 17 May 2023; revised 13 June 2023; accepted 20 June 2023; published 19 July 2023)

We report the direct observation of muon neutrino interactions with the SND@LHC detector at the Large Hadron Collider. A dataset of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV collected by SND@LHC in 2022 is used, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.8 fb^{-1} . The search is based on information from the active electronic components of the SND@LHC detector, which covers the pseudorapidity region of $7.2 < \eta < 8.4$, inaccessible to the other experiments at the collider. Muon neutrino candidates are identified through their charged-current interaction topology, with a track propagating through the entire length of the muon detector. After selection cuts, 8 ν_μ interaction candidate events remain with an estimated background of 0.086 events, yielding a significance of about 7 standard deviations for the observed ν_μ signal.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.031802)

Introduction.—The use of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) as a neutrino factory was first envisaged about 30 years ago [1–3] in particular for the then undiscovered ν_τ [4]. Those studies suggest a detector intercepting the very forward flux ($\eta > 7$) of neutrinos (about 5% have τ flavor) from b and c decays [5]. The physics potential of a detector to study neutrinos was underlined in Ref. [6]. The role of an off-axis setup, which enhances the neutrino flux from charmed particle decays, was emphasized in Ref. [7].

Proton-proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13.6 TeV during LHC run 3, with an expected integrated luminosity of 250 fb^{-1} , will produce a high-intensity beam yielding $\mathcal{O}(10^{12})$ neutrinos in the far forward direction with energies up to a few TeV [8].

Neutrinos allow precise tests of the standard model (SM) [9–14] and are a probe for new physics [15,16]. Measurements of the neutrino cross section in the last decades were mainly performed at low energies. The region between 350 GeV and 10 TeV is currently unexplored [17].

SND@LHC [18] was designed to perform measurements with high-energy neutrinos (100 GeV to a few TeV) produced at the LHC in the pseudo-rapidity region $7.2 < \eta < 8.4$. It is a compact, stand-alone experiment located in the TI18 unused LEP transfer tunnel (480 m away of the ATLAS interaction point, IP1 [19]) where it is shielded from collision debris by around 100 m of rock and

concrete. The detector is capable of identifying all three neutrino flavors with high efficiency. The off-axis location of the detector results in contributions of charmed hadron decays to the fluxes of all neutrino flavors. This enables measurements of charm production using electron neutrino events, and the partial cancellation of production uncertainties when measuring ratios of different flavor neutrino cross sections to search for lepton flavor universality violation [8].

The detector was installed in TI18 in 2021 during the long shutdown 2 and has collected data since the beginning of the LHC run 3 in April 2022. The experiment will run throughout the whole run 3, during which a total of two thousand high-energy neutrino interactions of all flavors are expected to occur in the detector target.

In this Letter, we report the detection of ν_μ charged-current (CC) interactions using only data that was taken by the electronic detectors in 2022.

Recently the observation of neutrino interactions has also been reported with the analysis of the 2022 data by the FASER Collaboration [20,21] at a complementary pseudorapidity range, $\eta > 8.5$, following a report of candidate events in a 2018 pilot run of the same experiment [22]. We note that a significant component of the flux of muon neutrinos at the SND@LHC off-axis location originates in the decay of promptly produced charmed hadrons, while this contribution is negligible at the FASER on-axis location [23]. Taken together, these highly complementary observations herald a new era of physics measurements using LHC neutrinos.

Detector.—The SND@LHC detector consists of a hybrid system with a ~ 830 kg target made of tungsten plates interleaved with nuclear emulsions and electronic

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.




FIG. 1. Schematic layout of the SND@LHC detector front view (left) and side view (right). The side view includes an illustration of a ν_μ charged-current interaction in the target with a hadronic shower sampled in the emulsion detector, target trackers, and hadronic calorimeter, and a muon track visible in the muon system.

trackers, followed by a hadronic calorimeter and a muon system (see Fig. 1). The electronic detectors provide the time stamp of the neutrino interaction, preselect the interaction region, tag muons and measure the electromagnetic and hadronic energy, while the emulsion detectors provide excellent vertex reconstruction.

A right-handed coordinate system is used, with z along the nominal collision axis and pointing away from IP1, x pointing away from the centre of the LHC, and y vertically aligned and pointing upwards.

The detector consists of three parts: the veto system, the target section, and the hadronic calorimeter and muon system.

The veto system is located upstream of the target region and comprises two parallel planes, located 4.3 cm apart, of scintillating bars read out on both ends by silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs). Each plane consists of seven $1 \times 6 \times 42$ cm³ stacked bars of EJ-200 scintillator [24]. The number of photons generated by a minimum-ionising particle crossing 1 cm scintillator is of the order of 10^4 . The bars are wrapped in aluminized BoPET foil to ensure light tightness and therefore isolate them from light in adjacent bars. This system is used to tag muons and other charged particles entering the detector from the IP1 direction.

The target section contains five walls. Each wall consists of four units of emulsion cloud chambers (ECC [25]) and is followed by a scintillating fiber (SciFi [26]) station for tracking and electromagnetic calorimetry.

The submicrometric spatial resolution of the nuclear emulsions allows for very efficient tracking of all the charged particles produced in high energy neutrino interactions, despite their small angular separation due to the large boost. This also allows for efficient tracking of the tau lepton and its decay vertex which in turn is a key element in the identification of tau leptons and hence the tagging of tau neutrino interactions. The efficiency for detecting tau neutrino interactions based on a separation of more than 10 μm between the primary and secondary vertices is expected to be around 50% [8].

Each ECC unit is a sequence of 60 nuclear emulsion films, 19.2×19.2 cm² and approximately 300 μm , interleaved with 59 tungsten plates, 1 mm thick. Its weight is approximately 41.5 kg, adding up to about 830 kg for the total target mass.

Each SciFi station consists of two 40×40 cm² planes, alternating x and y views. Each view comprises six densely packed staggered layers of 250 μm diameter polystyrene-based scintillating fibres read out by SiPM arrays. The single particle spatial resolution in one view is of order of ~ 150 μm and the time resolution for a particle crossing both x and y views of one plane is about 250 ps.

The muon system and hadronic calorimeter consists of two parts: upstream (US), the first five stations, and downstream (DS), the last three stations (see Fig. 1). Each US station consists of 10 stacked horizontal scintillator bars of $82.5 \times 6 \times 1$ cm³, similar to the veto detector, resulting in a coarse y view. A DS station consists of two layers of thinner $82.5 \times 1 \times 1$ cm³ bars arranged in alternating x and y views, allowing for a spatial resolution in each view of less than 1 cm. The time resolution for a single DS detector bar is ~ 120 ps. The eight scintillator planes are interleaved with 20 cm thick iron blocks. In combination with SciFi, the muon system and hadronic calorimeter acts as a coarse sampling calorimeter ($\sim 9.5 \lambda_{\text{int}}$ in the US detector), providing the energy measurement of hadronic jets with an expected resolution around 20% [8]. The finer spatial resolution of the DS detector allows for the identification of muon tracks exiting the detector.

All signals exceeding preset thresholds are read out by the front-end electronics and clustered in time to form events. A software noise filter is applied to the events online, resulting in negligible detector deadtime or loss in signal efficiency. Events satisfying certain topological criteria, such as the presence of hits in several detector planes, are read out at a rate of around 5.4 kHz at the highest instantaneous luminosity achieved in 2022 of 2.5×10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹.

Data and simulated samples.—In this Letter, we analyze the data collected during 2022, with pp collisions at center of mass energy of 13.6 TeV. The delivered integrated luminosity during this period, as estimated by the ATLAS Collaboration [19,27], was 38.7 fb^{-1} , of which 36.8 fb^{-1} were recorded, corresponding to a detector uptime of 95%. The dataset comprises a total of 8.3×10^9 events.

The analysis developed for the first observation of ν_μ CC interactions from LHC collisions is conducted solely using the data from the electronic detectors, as information from the emulsion detector is currently being processed.

In SND@LHC the dominant CC process occurring for ν_μ s is deep inelastic scattering (CCDIS), given the high energy of neutrinos within the detector acceptance [8]. The signature of these interactions includes an isolated muon track in the muon system, associated with a hadronic shower detected in the SciFi and hadronic calorimeter. In Fig. 1 the distinctive topology of ν_μ CCDIS interactions is shown.

Neutrino production in pp collisions at the LHC is simulated with the FLUKA Monte Carlo code [28,29]. DPMJET3 (Dual Parton Model, including charm) [30,31] is used for the pp event generation, and FLUKA performs the particle propagation towards the SND@LHC detector with the help of a detailed simulation of LHC accelerator elements [32]. FLUKA also takes care of simulating the production of neutrinos from decays of long-lived products of the pp collisions and of particles produced in reinteractions with the surrounding material. GENIE [33] is then used to simulate neutrino interactions with the detector material. The propagation of particles through the TI18 tunnel and the SND@LHC detector is simulated with GEANT4. A total of around 160 thousand simulated neutrino events and 30×10^6 background events were generated for the analysis described in this publication.

Given the total mass of the tungsten target during the 2022 run ($\sim 800 \text{ kg}$), about $157 \pm 37 \nu_\mu$ CCDIS interactions are expected in the full target in the analyzed dataset, where the range in the expectation is given by the difference between the predictions of the ν_μ flux at SND@LHC using DPMJET3 and SIBYLL obtained in Ref. [23].

Analysis.—Observing the rare neutrino signal over the prevailing background implies adopting a selection with strong rejection power, designed to yield a clean set of events.

The signal selection proceeds in two steps. The first step aims at identifying events happening in a fiducial region of the target, while rejecting backgrounds due to charged particles entering from the front and sides of the detector. Cuts are applied on the hit multiplicity in the veto and SciFi planes to select events that are located in the 3rd or 4th target wall and consistent with a neutral particle interaction. The exclusion of events starting in the two most upstream target walls enhances the rejection power for muon-induced backgrounds while reducing the expected signal by around 60%. Excluding events starting in the

most downstream wall ensures the neutrino-induced showers are sampled by at least two SciFi planes. The average SciFi channel and DS bar number are used to discard events with hits at the edges of detectors' sensitive areas, resulting in a fiducial cross-sectional area in the xy plane of $25 \times 26 \text{ cm}^2$. The efficiency of fiducial region cuts on simulated neutrino interactions in the target is 7.5%.

The second step selects signal-like signature patterns using a cut-based procedure. ν_μ CCDIS interactions are associated to a large hadronic activity in the calorimetric system, with a clean outgoing muon track reconstructed in the muon system, and hit time distribution consistent with an event originating from the IP1 direction. The muon track is defined by a set of muon system hits in a straight-line pattern spanning at least three detector planes in both zx and zy views. Events with a large number of hits in the muon system are rejected to ensure cleanly reconstructed tracks.

The achieved reduction factor on the data for the total selection (fiducial and neutrino identification cuts) amounts to 1.0×10^9 , while the overall efficiency on the ν_μ CCDIS Monte Carlo sample is 2.7%.

As a result of the full selection, 8 ν_μ CCDIS candidates are identified, while 4.2 are expected. The number of data and simulated signal events passing each section of the event selection criteria is given in Table I. The contribution of other neutrino flavors and neutral current interactions to the selected sample is less than 1% of the expected ν_μ CCDIS yield. One of the selected candidates is shown in Fig. 2. The distribution of the number of hits in the SciFi detector for the selected events is consistent with the neutrino signal expectation, as shown in Fig. 3.

Background.—Muons reaching the detector location are the main source of background for the neutrino search. They can either enter in the fiducial volume without being vetoed and generate showers via bremsstrahlung or deep inelastic scattering, or interact in the surrounding material and produce neutral particles that can then mimic neutrino interactions in the target.

The estimate of the penetrating muon background is based on the expected flux in the fiducial volume and on the inefficiency of detector planes used as veto: the veto system and the two most upstream SciFi planes.

TABLE I. Number of events passing the selection cuts in the data and signal simulation.

	Data	Signal simulation
All	8.4×10^9	157
Fiducial volume	4.9×10^5	11.9
One muonlike track	17	6.1
Large SciFi activity	13	5.1
Large hadronic activity	12	4.7
Low muon system activity	8	4.2




FIG. 2. Display of a ν_μ CC candidate event. Hits in the SciFi, and hadronic calorimeter and muon system are shown as blue markers and black bars, respectively, and the line represents the reconstructed muon track.

The muon flux at the detector location is estimated by the CERN SY-STI team with simulations of pp interactions at IP1 and the transport of the resulting charged pions and kaons along the LHC straight section until their decay using FLUKA [28,29]. The simulation includes both the effects of the accelerator optics and of the material traversed by the particles before reaching the detector. The muons are recorded at a scoring plane, $1.8 \times 1.8 \text{ m}^2$, located about




FIG. 3. Distribution of SciFi hits for candidate events, along with the expectation from the neutrino signal. The dashed line shows the background-only hypothesis scaled up to a deviation from the nominal expectation at a level of 5 standard deviations. The vertical bars represent 68.3% confidence intervals of the Poisson means.

60 m upstream of SND@LHC, 419 m from IP1. The transport of muons from the scoring plane to the detector and their interactions along the way are modelled with a GEANT4 simulation of SND@LHC and its surroundings. The FLUKA simulation consists of 50×10^6 pp collisions with LHC run 3 beam conditions and a downward crossing angle of $-160 \mu\text{rad}$ on the vertical plane, corresponding to the collider configuration in 2022.

The expected muon flux in the fiducial area used in the present analysis ($25 \times 26 \text{ cm}^2$) is $1.69 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{fb}^{-1}$. The measured rate in the same area during the 2022 run amounting to $[2.07 \pm 0.01(\text{stat}) \pm 0.10(\text{syst})] \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{fb}^{-1}$, within 22% of the prediction, thus validating the Monte Carlo simulation [34]. The corresponding total number of muons integrated in 36.8 fb^{-1} therefore amounts to 5.0×10^8 , with 4.0×10^8 muons expected.

The inefficiency of the veto system planes is estimated from data by using good quality tracks reconstructed in the SciFi detector and validated with a track segment in the DS detector. The tracks are extrapolated to the veto detector fiducial volume. All tracks are identified as muons due to the large number of interaction lengths traversed; tracks entering the detector from the downstream end are excluded by timing measurements. For the first period of data taking amounting to 23.1 fb^{-1} , the applied time alignment procedure was relatively rough, leading to some physical events being split into two different recorded events. If one of the two does not contain enough hits to pass the online noise filter, this results in an apparent inefficiency of the detector. Therefore, for this period the measured inefficiency of a single plane is 8×10^{-4} , dominated by this effect. The problem was fixed at the end of October 2022 and the single-plane inefficiency dropped to 4×10^{-5} [35]. With the same method we have also estimated the inefficiency of the coincidence of the two veto detector planes, amounting to 7.1×10^{-4} in the first period (23.1 fb^{-1}) and 2.5×10^{-6} in the second period (13.7 fb^{-1}). The measured inefficiency of the double layer does not scale as the square of the single plane. The apparent correlation between the inefficiency of the two veto detector planes may be due to tracking imperfections in the inefficiency measurement or residual effects of the noise filter, both of which are expected to improve in the future. The overall veto system inefficiency during the 2022 run therefore amounts to 4.5×10^{-4} .

The SciFi detector inefficiency is estimated with a similar method used for the veto detector, using reconstructed SciFi tracks confirmed with a DS track and hits in the veto system. The presence of all SciFi stations is not required in the reconstruction, therefore the inefficiency of the first or second SciFi stations can be extracted. The inefficiency found for each station is 1.1×10^{-4} . The combined inefficiency of the veto system and the two most upstream SciFi planes is therefore 5.3×10^{-12} , thus making

the background induced by muons entering the fiducial volume negligible.

Neutral particles (mainly neutrons and K_L^0 's) originating from primary muons interacting in rock and concrete in front of the detector can potentially mimic a neutrino interaction since they do not leave any incoming trace in the electronic detectors, and can create a shower in the target associated with a DS track produced by punchthrough or decay-in-flight π^\pm and K^\pm . Although they are mainly rejected due to accompanying charged particles originating from the primary muon interaction, they constitute the main background source for the neutrino search.

PYTHIA v6.4 [36] was used to simulate interactions of μ^+ and μ^- on protons or neutrons at rest using the muon spectrum expected at the detector location. These events are placed along the muon flight direction according to the material density, and the secondary particles are transported by GEANT4 in the detector surroundings. Neutral particles induced by muon DIS interact in the rock and concrete and only a small fraction of the particles leaves the tunnel wall and enters the detector. The energy spectrum of neutral hadrons entering the detector is shown in Fig. 4, where the suppression achieved by rejecting events in which accompanying charged particles produce hits in the veto detector is also shown.

To estimate the yield of neutral particles passing the event selection criteria, we simulate the highest energy neutral hadrons entering the target region in a given muon DIS interaction using GEANT4 [37]. The events are simulated with energies within [5, 200] GeV and uniformly distributed across the front face of the detector's target. As shown in Fig. 4, the rate of neutral-hadron events with




FIG. 4. Energy spectrum of neutral hadrons produced by muon interactions in the rock and concrete entering the SND@LHC acceptance. The shaded area shows the spectrum after rejecting events with hits in the veto detector.

energies above 100 GeV is heavily suppressed by using the veto system to tag the accompanying charged particles (most often the scattered muon). Below 5 GeV the minimum ionizing particles resulting from the neutral hadron interactions do not have enough energy to produce a track exiting the downstream end of the detector.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, the lower energy of the neutral hadrons compared to the neutrino signal results in fewer hits in SciFi. We note that while this variable has not been used to reduce the neutral-hadron contamination in the present analysis, it is shown to be a powerful discriminant against this background.

The background yield after the selection amounts to $(8.6 \pm 3.8) \times 10^{-2}$ and is dominated by neutrons and K_L^0 s. The systematic uncertainty of 44% on the expected background yield is the combined effect of three sources. Since the neutral hadrons are produced in interactions of muons in the rock, we take as the uncertainty on the muon flux the 22% difference between the simulated and measured flux of muons traversing detector. To estimate the impact of the hadron interaction model on the selection efficiency of these background events, we compare the results of simulations using two GEANT4 physics lists, QGSP_BERT_HP_PEN and FTFP_BERT, corresponding to two rather different hadron-nucleus interaction models, which differ by 31%. Finally, the contribution to the systematic uncertainty due to the available statistics in the simulations is 21%.

Significance evaluation.—The significance of the observation of 8 candidates with an expected background yield of 8.6×10^{-2} is quantified in terms of the exclusion of the null hypothesis, defined by setting the neutrino signal strength, μ to zero. The one-sided profile likelihood ratio test $\lambda(\mu)$ was used as test statistic. The significance is evaluated by comparing $\lambda_{\text{data}}(\mu = 0)$ with the sampling distribution of $\lambda(\mu = 0)$. The likelihood, which includes a Gaussian factor to account for the background uncertainties, is

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Poisson}(n|\mu s + \beta) \text{Gauss}(\beta|b, \sigma_b),$$

where n is the number of observed events, s is the expected number of signal events and β is the number of background events given by the Gaussian model, having a mean value b and an uncertainty σ_b . The implementation of the method based on RooStats [38] results in a p value of 7.15×10^{-12} , corresponding to an exclusion of the background-only hypothesis at the level of 6.8 standard deviations.

Conclusions.—A search for high energy neutrinos originating from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV is presented using data taken by the electronic detectors of SND@LHC. We observe 8 candidate events consistent with ν_μ CC interactions. Our muon-induced and neutral-hadron backgrounds for the analyzed dataset amount to $(8.6 \pm 3.8) \times 10^{-2}$ events, which implies an excess of ν_μ CC signal events

over the background-only hypothesis of about seven standard deviations.

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other SND@LHC institutes for their contributions to the success of the SND@LHC effort. In addition, we acknowledge the support for the construction and operation of the SND@LHC detector provided by the following funding agencies: CERN; the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science within the National Roadmap for Research Infrastructures 2020–2027 (object CERN); ANID–Millennium Program—ICN2019_044 (Chile); the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN); JSPS, MEXT, the Global COE program of Nagoya University, the Promotion and Mutual Aid Corporation for Private Schools of Japan for Japan; the National Research Foundation of Korea with Grants No. 2021R1A2C2011003, No. 2020R1A2C1099546, No. 2021R1F1A1061717, and No. 2022R1A2C100505; Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, FCT (Portugal), CERN/FIS-INS/0028/2021; the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF); TENMAK for Turkey (Grant No. 2022TENMAK(CERN) A5.H3.F2-1). M. Climescu, H. Lacker, and R. Wanke are funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), Project 496466340. We acknowledge the funding of individuals by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, FCT (Portugal) with Grants No. CEECIND/01334/2018, No. CEECINST/00032/2021, and No. PRT/BD/153351/2021. We thank Luis Lopes, Jakob Paul Schmidt, and Maik Daniels for their help during the construction.

*c.vilela@cern.ch

†Present address: Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Yokohama, Japan.

- [1] A. De Rújula and R. Ruckl, Neutrino and muon physics in the collider mode of future accelerators, in *Proceedings of the SSC Workshop: Superconducting Super Collider Fixed Target Physics* (1984) pp. 571–596, [10.5170/CERN-1984-010-V-2.571](https://cds.cern.ch/record/253670); Report No. CERN-90-10-V-2.
- [2] A. De Rújula, E. Fernandez, and J. Gomez-Cadenas, Neutrino fluxes at future hadron colliders, *Nucl. Phys.* **B405**, 80 (1993).
- [3] F. Vannucci, Neutrino physics at LHC/SSC, Technical Report No. LPNHE-93-03, University of Paris, Paris, 1993, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/253670>.
- [4] *Large Hadron Collider Workshop: Aachen, Germany 1990*, edited by G. Jarlskog and D. Rein (CERN, Geneva, 1990), [10.5170/CERN-1990-010-V-2](https://cds.cern.ch/record/253670).
- [5] S. Buontempo, G. M. Dallavalle, G. De Lellis, D. Lazic, and F. L. Navarria, CMS-XSEN: LHC neutrinos at CMS. Experiment feasibility study, Technical Reports No. CMS NOTE 2018/001, No. CMS-NOTE-2018-001, No. CERN-CMS-NOTE-2018-001, CERN, Geneva, 2018, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2309742>.
- [6] N. Beni *et al.*, Physics potential of an experiment using LHC neutrinos, *J. Phys. G* **46**, 115008 (2019).
- [7] N. Beni *et al.*, Further studies on the physics potential of an experiment using LHC neutrinos, *J. Phys. G* **47**, 125004 (2020).
- [8] C. Ahdida *et al.*, SND@LHC—scattering and neutrino detector at the LHC, Technical Reports No. CERN-LHCC-2021-003, No. LHCC-P-016, CERN, Geneva, 2021, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2750060/files/LHCC-P-016.pdf>.
- [9] R. Brock *et al.*, Handbook of perturbative QCD, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **67**, 157 (1995).
- [10] J. Conrad, M. Shaevitz, and T. Bolton, Precision measurements with high-energy neutrino beams, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1341 (1998).
- [11] J. A. Formaggio and G. P. Zeller, From eV to EeV: Neutrino cross sections across energy scales, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1307 (2012).
- [12] G. De Lellis, P. Migliozi, and P. Santorelli, Charm physics with neutrinos, *Phys. Rep.* **399**, 227 (2004).
- [13] S. Alekhin *et al.*, A facility to search for hidden particles at the CERN SPS: the SHiP physics case, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **79**, 124201 (2016).
- [14] H. Abreu *et al.* (FASER Collaboration), Detecting and studying high-energy collider neutrinos with FASER at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 61 (2020).
- [15] D. Marfatia, D. McKay, and T. Weiler, New physics with ultra-high-energy neutrinos, *Phys. Lett. B* **748**, 113 (2015).
- [16] C. Argüelles *et al.*, New opportunities at the next-generation neutrino experiments I: BSM neutrino physics and dark matter, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **83**, 124201 (2020).
- [17] M. Bustamante and A. Connolly, Extracting the Energy-Dependent Neutrino-Nucleon Cross Section above 10 TeV Using IceCube Showers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 041101 (2019).
- [18] SND@LHC Collaboration, SND@LHC: The Scattering and Neutrino Detector at the LHC, [arXiv:2210.02784](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02784).
- [19] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 653 (2016).
- [20] H. Abreu *et al.* (FASER Collaboration), preceding Letter, First Direct Observation of Collider Neutrinos with FASER at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 031801 (2023).
- [21] H. Abreu *et al.* (FASER Collaboration), The FASER Detector, [arXiv:2207.11427](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11427).
- [22] H. Abreu *et al.* (FASER Collaboration), First neutrino interaction candidates at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, L091101 (2021).
- [23] F. Kling and L. J. Nevay, Forward neutrino fluxes at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 113008 (2021).
- [24] ELJen, EJ-200, GENERAL PURPOSE EJ-200, EJ-204, EJ-208, EJ-212, <https://eljentechnology.com/products/plastic-scintillators/ej-200-ej-204-ej-208-ej-212> (2022).
- [25] A. Ariga, T. Ariga, G. De Lellis, A. Ereditato, and K. Niwa, Nuclear emulsions, in *Particle Physics Reference Library: Volume 2: Detectors for Particles and Radiation*, edited by C. W. Fabjan and H. Schopper (Elsevier, New York, 2020), pp. 383–438.

- [26] LHCb Collaboration, LHCb tracker upgrade technical design report, Technical Reports No. CERN-LHCC-2014-001, No. LHCb-TDR-015, CERN, Geneva, 2014, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1647400>.
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, [arXiv:2212.09379](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09379).
- [28] G. Battistoni *et al.*, Overview of the FLUKA code, *Ann. Nucl. Energy* **82**, 10 (2015).
- [29] C. Ahdida *et al.*, New Capabilities of the FLUKA Multi-Purpose Code, *Front. Phys.* **9**, 788253 (2022).
- [30] S. Roesler, R. Engel, and J. Ranft, The Monte Carlo Event Generator DPMJET-III, in *Advanced Monte Carlo for Radiation Physics, Particle Transport Simulation and Applications. Proceedings, Conference, MC2000, Lisbon, Portugal, 2000* (2000), p. 1033, [arXiv:hep-ph/0012252](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012252).
- [31] A. Fedynitch, Cascade equations and hadronic interactions at very high energies, Report No. CERN-THESIS-2015-371, KIT, Karlsruhe, 2015, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2231593>.
- [32] V. Boccone *et al.*, Beam-machine Interaction at the CERN LHC, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **120**, 215 (2014).
- [33] C. Andreopoulos *et al.*, The GENIE neutrino Monte Carlo generator, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **614**, 87 (2010).
- [34] S. Ilieva, Muon flux measurement with electronic detectors, Technical Report No. CERN-SND@LHC-NOTE-2023-001, CERN, Geneva, 2023.
- [35] T. Ruf, SciFi Veto detector inefficiency, Technical Report No. CERN-SND@LHC-ANA-2023-003, CERN, Geneva, 2023 (to be published).
- [36] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P.Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 026.
- [37] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Geant4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [38] L. Moneta *et al.*, The RooStats project, *Proc. Sci. ACAT2010* (**2010**) 057 [[arXiv:1009.1003](https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1003)].