

Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich University Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2023

Higgs Boson Production in Association with a Top-Antitop Quark Pair in Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order QCD

Catani, Stefano ; Devoto, Simone ; Grazzini, Massimiliano ; Kallweit, Stefan ; Mazzitelli, Javier ; Savoini, Chiara

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.130.111902

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-240019 Journal Article **Published Version**



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Catani, Stefano; Devoto, Simone; Grazzini, Massimiliano; Kallweit, Stefan; Mazzitelli, Javier; Savoini, Chiara (2023). Higgs Boson Production in Association with a Top-Antitop Quark Pair in Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order QCD. Physical Review Letters, 130(11):111902.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.130.111902

Higgs Boson Production in Association with a Top-Antitop Quark Pair in Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order QCD

Stefano Catani[®],^{1,*} Simone Devoto[®],^{2,†} Massimiliano Grazzini[®],^{3,‡} Stefan Kallweit[®],^{4,§} Javier Mazzitelli[®],^{5,6,||} and Chiara Savoini[®],^{3,¶}

¹INFN, Sezione di Firenze and Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Firenze,

50019 Sesto Fiorentino. Firenze, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN, Sezione di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy

³Physik Institut, Universität Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

⁴Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca and INFN, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, 20126 Milano, Italy

⁵Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 München, Germany ^bPaul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

(Received 24 October 2022; revised 8 February 2023; accepted 9 February 2023; published 16 March 2023)

The associated production of a Higgs boson with a top-antitop quark pair is a crucial process at the LHC since it allows for a direct measurement of the top-quark Yukawa coupling. We present the computation of the radiative corrections to this process at the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD perturbation theory. This is the very first computation for a $2 \rightarrow 3$ process with massive colored particles at this perturbative order. We develop a soft Higgs boson approximation for loop amplitudes, which enables us to reliably quantify the impact of the yet unknown two-loop contribution. At the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, the NNLO corrections increase the next-to-leading order result for the total cross section by about 4% and lead to a significant reduction of perturbative uncertainties.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.111902

Introduction.-About ten years ago, the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations announced the discovery of a scalar resonance [1,2] whose properties resembled those expected for the Higgs boson predicted by the standard model (SM). By now, the experimental data have significantly sharpened this picture by assembling information from different production and decay channels, and a framework of Higgs boson interactions has emerged that is fully consistent with the SM hypothesis [3,4].

Since the Higgs boson couplings to SM particles are proportional to their masses, a special role is played by the coupling to the top quark. The observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top-antitop quark pair was reported by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations in 2018 [5,6]. This production mode allows for a direct measurement of the top-quark Yukawa coupling. Any deviation from the SM prediction would be a signal of new physics. At present, ATLAS and CMS measure the signal strength in this channel to an accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(20\%)$, but at the end of the high-luminosity phase the uncertainties are expected to reach the $\mathcal{O}(2\%)$ level [7].

The first theoretical studies of the hadroproduction of a top-antitop quark pair and a Higgs boson $(t\bar{t}H)$ in the SM were carried out in Refs. [8,9] at leading order (LO) in QCD perturbation theory and in Refs. [10-15] at next-toleading order (NLO). NLO electroweak (EW) corrections were reported in Refs. [16-18]. The resummation of softgluon contributions close to the partonic threshold was considered in Refs. [19-25]. Full off-shell calculations with decaying top quarks were presented at NLO QCD [26] and NLO QCD + EW [27]. The current theoretical uncertainties of the $t\bar{t}H$ cross section are at the $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ level [28]. To match the experimental precision expected at the end of the high-luminosity phase of the LHC, next-to-next-toleading order (NNLO) predictions in QCD perturbation theory are indispensable.

The NNLO calculation of $t\bar{t}H$ production requires the evaluation of tree-level contributions with two additional unresolved partons in the final state, of one-loop contributions with one unresolved parton, and of purely virtual contributions. The required tree-level and one-loop scattering amplitudes can nowadays be evaluated with automated tools. The two-loop amplitude for $t\bar{t}H$ production is not known and its computation is at the frontier of current possibilities [29,30].

Even with all the required amplitudes available, their implementation in a complete NNLO calculation is a difficult task because of the presence of infrared (IR) divergences at intermediate stages of the calculation.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Various methods have been proposed and used to overcome these difficulties at the NNLO level (see Refs. [29,31–33] and references therein).

In this Letter, we will use the transverse-momentum (q_T) subtraction method [34]. The method uses IR subtraction counterterms that are constructed by considering the q_T distribution of the produced final-state system in the limit $q_T \rightarrow 0$ [35–38]. Originally developed for the production of a color singlet, the method has been extended to heavy-quark production and applied to the NNLO computations of top- and bottom-quark pair production [39–42].

The production of a heavy-quark pair accompanied by a colorless particle does not pose any additional conceptual complications in the context of the q_T subtraction formalism. However, its implementation requires the computation of appropriate soft-parton contributions. The results of this computation at NLO and, partly, at NNLO were presented in Ref. [43], and the evaluation of the NNLO soft terms has been subsequently completed [44,45]. Following the NNLO computation of the off-diagonal partonic channels [43], in this Letter, we present the NNLO result for $t\bar{t}H$ production including all the partonic channels. The two-loop amplitudes are not yet known, and we evaluate them by developing and using a soft Higgs boson approximation. As we will show, this approximation allows us to obtain the NNLO corrections with very small residual uncertainties.

The calculation.—We consider the process

$$c(p_1) + \bar{c}(p_2) \to t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4) + H(k), \qquad c = q, \bar{q}, g,$$
(1)

where the collision of the massless partons of flavors c and \bar{c} and momenta p_1 and p_2 produces a top-antitop quark pair of momenta p_3 and p_4 and a Higgs boson with momentum k. We denote the pole masses of the top quark and the Higgs boson by m_t and m_H , respectively.

The renormalized all-order scattering amplitude for the process in Eq. (1) is denoted as $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}, k)$. In the limit in which the Higgs boson becomes soft $(k \to 0)$, $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}, k)$ fulfils the following factorization formula:

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}, k) \simeq F\left(\alpha_S(\mu_R); \frac{m_t}{\mu_R}\right) \frac{m_t}{v} \sum_{i=3,4} \frac{m_t}{p_i \cdot k} \mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}),$$
(2)

where $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2} = 246.22$ GeV and $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\})$ is the amplitude in which the Higgs boson has been removed. Details on the derivation of Eq. (2) and the explicit expression of the perturbative function $F(\alpha_S(\mu_R); m_t/\mu_R)$ at the second order in the QCD coupling $\alpha_S(\mu_R)$ can be found in the Supplemental Material [46]. Since the virtual amplitudes $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\})$ for the production of a $t\bar{t}$ pair are available up to two-loop order [47], the factorization formula in Eq. (2) can be used to provide an approximation of the virtual $t\bar{t}H$ amplitudes up to the same order.

The cross section for $t\bar{t}H$ production can be written as $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{LO}} + \Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO}} + \Delta\sigma_{\text{NNLO}} + \cdots$, where σ_{LO} is the LO cross section, $\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO}}$ is the NLO QCD correction, $\Delta\sigma_{\text{NNLO}}$ is the NNLO QCD contribution, and so forth.

According to the q_T subtraction formalism [34], the differential cross section $d\sigma$ can be evaluated as

$$d\sigma = \mathcal{H} \otimes d\sigma_{\rm LO} + [d\sigma_R - d\sigma_{\rm CT}]. \tag{3}$$

The first term on the rhs of Eq. (3) corresponds to the $q_T = 0$ contribution. It is obtained through a convolution, with respect to the longitudinal-momentum fractions z_1 and z_2 of the colliding partons, of the perturbative function \mathcal{H} with the LO cross section $d\sigma_{LO}$. The real contribution $d\sigma_R$ is obtained by evaluating the cross section to produce the $t\bar{t}H$ system accompanied by additional QCD radiation. When $d\sigma$ is computed at NNLO, $d\sigma_R$ contains NLO-type singularities and can be evaluated for example by using the dipole subtraction formalism [48–50]. The role of the counterterm $d\sigma_{CT}$ is to cancel the singular behavior of $d\sigma_R$ in the limit $q_T \rightarrow 0$, making the square-bracket term in Eq. (3) finite. The explicit form of $d\sigma_{CT}$ is known up to NNLO and obtained from the perturbative expansion of the q_T resummation formula for $t\bar{t}H$ production [38,43].

Our computation is implemented within the MATRIX framework [51], suitably extended to $t\bar{t}H$ production, along the lines of what has been done for heavy-quark production [40–42]. The required tree-level and one-loop amplitudes are obtained with OPENLOOPS [52–54]. To numerically evaluate the contribution in the square bracket of Eq. (3), a technical cutoff r_{cut} is introduced on the variable q_T/M , where *M* is the invariant mass of the $t\bar{t}H$ system. The final result, which corresponds to the limit $r_{\text{cut}} \rightarrow 0$, is extracted by computing the cross section at fixed values of r_{cut} and performing the $r_{\text{cut}} \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation. More details can be found in Refs. [43,51].

We come back to the first term on the rhs of Eq. (3). The function \mathcal{H} can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H} = H\delta(1 - z_1)\delta(1 - z_2) + \delta\mathcal{H},\tag{4}$$

where the hard coefficient H contains purely virtual contributions and flavor indices are understood. More precisely, we define

$$H\left(\alpha_{S}(\mu_{R});\frac{M}{\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}(\mu_{R})}{2\pi}\right)^{n} H^{(n)}\left(\frac{M}{\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right)$$
(5)

with

$$H^{(n)} = \frac{2\text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}^{(n)}(\mu_{\text{IR}},\mu_R)\mathcal{M}^{(0)*}]}{|\mathcal{M}^{(0)}|^2}\Big|_{\mu_R = M}.$$
 (6)

Here $\mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ is the Born level amplitude for the $c\bar{c} \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ process, while $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}^{(n)}$ are the perturbative coefficients of the finite part $\mathcal{M}_{fin}(\mu_{IR})$ of the renormalized virtual amplitude after subtraction of IR singularities at the scale μ_{IR} . The IR-finite amplitude \mathcal{M}_{fin} is obtained from the all-order renormalized virtual amplitude \mathcal{M} as $|\mathcal{M}_{fin}(\mu_{IR})\rangle =$ $\mathbf{Z}^{-1}(\mu_{\mathrm{IR}})|\mathcal{M}\rangle$, where $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_{\mathrm{IR}})$ is the multiplicative factor that removes the IR ϵ poles of the multiloop amplitude [55]. In the case of n = 2, the definition of $H^{(n)}$ in Eq. (6) allows us to isolate the only unknown contribution to the NNLO cross section in Eq. (3). Indeed at NNLO the square bracket in Eq. (3) is computable for all the partonic channels, and the other contributions, embodied in the function $\delta \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ on the rhs of Eq. (4), are completely known. In particular, at NNLO $\delta \mathcal{H}$ also includes the one-loop squared contribution and the soft-parton contributions [45]. We point out that, if all the perturbative ingredients are available, the dependence on $\mu_{\rm IR}$ exactly cancels out between H and $\delta \mathcal{H}$ in Eq. (4).

In the following, we will use the factorization formula in Eq. (2) to construct approximations of the coefficients $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$. To do so, we need to introduce a prescription that, from an event containing a $t\bar{t}$ pair and a Higgs boson, defines a corresponding event in which the Higgs boson is removed. We will use the q_T recoil prescription [56], where the momenta of the top and the antitop quark are left unchanged and the transverse momentum of the Higgs boson is equally reabsorbed by the initial-state partons. This prescription is used to evaluate the $t\bar{t}$ amplitudes on the rhs of the factorization formula in Eq. (2). We also need to define the subtraction scale μ_{IR} . In the evaluation of the $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ contributions, the subtraction scale μ_{IR} is set to the virtuality of the $t\bar{t}H$ system. In the IR subtracted $t\bar{t}$ amplitudes required to evaluate the factorization formula, we will set μ_{IR} to the virtuality of the $t\bar{t}$ pair. At tree-level and one-loop order, the $t\bar{t}$ amplitudes are obtained with OPENLOOPS [52–54], while at two-loop order we use the results of Ref. [47].

Our numerical results are obtained for proton-proton collisions at the center-of-mass energies between $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. We use the NNLO NNPDF31 [57] parton distribution functions throughout, with the QCD running coupling α_s evaluated at three-loop order. The pole mass of the top quark is $m_t = 173.3$ GeV, the Higgs boson mass $m_H = 125$ GeV, and the Fermi constant $G_F = 1.166 \ 39 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV⁻². The central values of the renormalization and factorization scales μ_R and μ_F are fixed at $\mu_R = \mu_F = (2m_t + m_H)/2$.

To validate our soft Higgs boson approximation, we first study its quality at LO. We compare the LO cross sections σ_{LO} in the gg and $q\bar{q}$ partonic channels with the corresponding approximated results from the soft factorization formula in Eq. (2). In the gg channel, the result obtained in the soft approximation is a factor of 2.3 (2) larger than the

exact result at $\sqrt{s} = 13(100)$ TeV. The situation is better for the $q\bar{q}$ channel, where the soft approximation is only a factor 1.11 (1.06) larger than the exact result. Despite the fact that the physical Higgs boson is far from the kinematical region for which Eq. (2) is derived, the soft approximation gives the right order of magnitude of the LO cross section.

We now move to NLO and compute the contribution $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NLO},H}$ of the coefficient $H^{(1)}$ to the NLO correction and its soft approximation, $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NLO},H}|_{\text{soft}}$. Note that in the soft approximation both numerator and denominator of Eq. (6) are evaluated in the soft limit, i.e., we define

$$H^{(n)}|_{\text{soft}} = \frac{2\text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\text{fin}}^{(n)}(\mu_{\text{IR}},\mu_R)\mathcal{M}^{(0)*}]_{\text{soft}}}{|\mathcal{M}^{(0)}|_{\text{soft}}^2}\Big|_{\mu_R=\tilde{M}}, \quad (7)$$

where \tilde{M} is the virtuality of the $t\bar{t}$ pair. By using this approximation, we are effectively reweighting the exact LO cross section appearing in the first term in Eq. (3). This is expected to be a better approximation than simply computing the numerator in the soft limit, since the effect of the soft approximation largely cancels out in the ratio.

The results are shown in Table I for both the gg and $q\bar{q}$ channels: In the gg channel, the $\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO},H}$ contribution is underestimated in the approximation by just about 30% on the inclusive level at both collider energies. We find that this deviation depends only mildly on kinematic variables, which suggests that the good agreement is not due to some accidental cancellation between different phase space regions. The approximation works even better for the $q\bar{q}$ channel, where the exact result is underestimated by only 5%. The observed deviation for $\Delta\sigma_{\text{NLO},H}$ can be used as an estimate of the uncertainty in our approximation of $\Delta\sigma_{\text{NNLO},H}$.

Our results for $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NNLO},H}|_{\text{soft}}$, which is the contribution of $H^{(2)}$ to the NNLO cross section in the soft approximation, are reported in the last row of Table I. In the gg $(q\bar{q})$ channel, $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NNLO},H}|_{\text{soft}}$ is about 1% (2%–3%) of the LO cross section. Therefore, we can anticipate that at NNLO

TABLE I. Hard contribution to the NLO and NNLO cross sections in the soft approximation. Results are shown for the gg and $q\bar{q}$ partonic channels for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ and $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. Exact results at LO and NLO are shown for comparison.

	$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$		$\sqrt{s} = 100 \text{ TeV}$	
σ (fb)	gg	q ar q	gg	q ar q
$\sigma_{ m LO}$	261.58	129.47	23055	2323.7
$\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{NLO},H} \ \Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{NLO},H} ert_{\mathrm{soft}}$	88.62 61.98	7.826 7.413	8205 5612	217.0 206.0
$\Delta \sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO},H} _{\mathrm{soft}}$	-2.980(3)	2.622(0)	-239.4(4)	65.45(1)

the uncertainties due to the soft approximation will be rather small.

We have repeated our calculation by using other variants of the recoil prescription of Ref. [56], for example, by reabsorbing the transverse momentum of the Higgs boson entirely into one of the initial-state momenta: we find that the results are very close to those obtained with the symmetric prescription, leading to a negligible uncertainty compared to that derived below. We have also varied the infrared subtraction scale μ_{IR} at which the soft approximation is applied, by repeating the computation for $\mu_{IR} =$ M/2 and $\mu_{IR} = 2M$ while adding the exact evolution terms from M/2 and 2M to M, respectively. The NNLO contribution $\Delta\sigma_{NNLO,H}|_{soft}$ changes by $^{+164\%}_{-25\%}$ ($^{+142\%}_{-20\%}$) in the ggchannel and by $^{+4\%}_{-0\%}$ ($^{+3\%}_{-0\%}$) in the $q\bar{q}$ channel at $\sqrt{s} = 13(100)$ TeV.

To provide a conservative estimate of the uncertainty, we start from the NLO results. As discussed above, at NLO the soft approximation underestimates $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NLO},H}$ by 30% in the gg channel and by 5% in the $q\bar{q}$ channel. Therefore, the uncertainty on $\Delta \sigma_{\text{NNLO},H}|_{\text{soft}}$ cannot be expected to be smaller than these values. We multiply this uncertainty by a tolerance factor that is chosen to be 3 for both the gg and the $q\bar{q}$ channels, taking into account the overall quality of the approximation and the effect of the μ_{IR} variations discussed above. To obtain the final uncertainty on the full NNLO cross section, we linearly combine the ensuing uncertainties from the gg and $q\bar{q}$ channels. As we will see, the overall uncertainty on the NNLO cross section estimated in this way is still significantly smaller than the residual perturbative uncertainties.

Results.—We are now ready to present our results for the inclusive $t\bar{t}H$ cross section. In Table II, we report LO, NLO, and NNLO cross sections. The scale uncertainties are obtained through the customary procedure of independently varying the renormalization (μ_R) and factorization (μ_F) scales by a factor of 2 around their central value with the constraint $0.5 \le \mu_R/\mu_F \le 2$. Since, as can be seen from Table II, such scale uncertainties are highly asymmetric, especially at NNLO, in the following we will conservatively consider their symmetrized version as our estimate of perturbative uncertainty. More precisely, we take the

TABLE II. LO, NLO, and NNLO cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ and $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. The errors stated in brackets at NNLO combine numerical errors with the uncertainty due to the soft Higgs boson approximation.

σ (pb)	$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 100 \text{ TeV}$	
$\sigma_{ m LO}$	$0.3910^{+31.3\%}_{-22.2\%}$	$25.38^{+21.1\%}_{-16.0\%}$	
$\sigma_{ m NLO}$	$0.4875^{+5.6\%}_{-9.1\%}$	$36.43^{+9.4\%}_{-8.7\%}$	
$\sigma_{ m NNLO}$	$0.5070(31)^{+0.9\%}_{-3.0\%}$	$37.20(25)^{+0.1\%}_{-2.2\%}$	

maximum among the upward and downward variations, assign it symmetrically and leave the nominal prediction unchanged.

The errors stated in brackets at NNLO are obtained by combining the uncertainty from the soft Higgs boson approximation, estimated as discussed above, with the (much smaller) systematic uncertainty from the subtraction procedure. Comparing NLO and LO results, we see that NLO corrections increase the LO result by 25% at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV and by 44% at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. The impact of NNLO corrections is much smaller: they increase the NLO result by 4% at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and by 2% at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. The NNLO contribution of the offdiagonal channels [43] is below the per mill level at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, while it amounts to about half of the computed correction at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. Perturbative uncertainties are reduced down to the few-percent level. The uncertainty from the soft Higgs boson approximation amounts to about $\pm 0.6\%$ at both values of \sqrt{s} . We point out that this uncertainty, although not negligible, is still significantly smaller than the remaining perturbative uncertainties.

In Fig. 1, we show the LO, NLO, and NNLO cross sections and their perturbative uncertainties as functions of the center-of-mass energy \sqrt{s} . The lower panel illustrates the relative impact of the NNLO corrections with respect



FIG. 1. LO, NLO, and NNLO cross sections with their perturbative uncertainties as functions of the center-of-mass energy. The experimental results from ATLAS [3] and CMS [4] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are also shown. The lower panel illustrates the impact of NNLO corrections with respect to the NLO result. The inner NNLO band denotes the uncertainty from the soft approximation combined with the systematic uncertainty from the subtraction procedure.

to the NLO result. The inner NNLO band denotes the combination of the uncertainty from the soft approximation with the systematic uncertainty from the subtraction procedure. We see that NNLO corrections range from about +4% at low \sqrt{s} to about +2% at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. The perturbative uncertainty is reduced from $\pm 9\%$ at NLO in the entire range of \sqrt{s} to $\pm 3\%$ ($\pm 2\%$) at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV $(\sqrt{s} = 100 \text{ TeV})$. We observe that the NNLO band is fully contained within the NLO band. The experimental results by ATLAS (Fig. 04a in the auxiliary material of Ref. [3]) and CMS [4] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are also shown for reference in Fig. 1. We point out that, for a sensible comparison with experimental data, NLO EW corrections should be considered as well. At $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, NLO EW corrections increase the cross section by 1.7% with respect to the NLO result [28].

Summary.—The associated production of a Higgs boson with a top-antitop quark pair is a crucial process at hadron colliders since it allows for a direct measurement of the topquark Yukawa coupling. In this Letter, we have presented first NNLO QCD results for the $t\bar{t}H$ cross section in proton collisions. The calculation is complete except for the finite part of the two-loop virtual amplitude that is computed by using a soft Higgs boson approximation. Such approximation is constructed by applying a soft factorization formula that is presented here, for the first time, up to NNLO in QCD perturbation theory. This formula will offer strong checks of future exact computations of two-loop amplitudes for processes in which a Higgs boson is produced in association with heavy quarks. Since the quantitative impact of the genuine two-loop contribution in our computation is relatively small, our approximation allows us to control the NNLO $t\bar{t}H$ cross section to better than 1%. The NNLO corrections are moderate and range from about +4% at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV to +2% at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV, while QCD perturbative uncertainties are reduced to the few-percent level. When combined with NLO EW corrections, our calculation allows us to obtain the most advanced perturbative prediction to date for the $t\bar{t}H$ cross section.

We would like to thank Thomas Gehrmann and Gudrun Heinrich for helpful discussions and comments on the Letter. We are most grateful to Jonas Lindert and the OPENLOOPS Collaboration for their continuous assistance during the course of this project. This work is supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) under Contract No. 200020_188464 and by the UZH Forschungskredit Grants FK-21-102 and FK-22-099. The work of S. D. is supported by the Italian Ministero della Università e della Ricerca (Grant No. PRIN201719AVICI 01). The work of S. K. is supported by the ERC Starting Grant No. 714788 REINVENT.

*catani@fi.infn.it *simone.devoto@unimi.it *grazzini@physik.uzh.ch *stefan.kallweit@cern.ch javier.mazzitelli@psi.ch *csavoi@physik.uzh.ch

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2013).
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2013).
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, A detailed map of Higgs boson interactions by the ATLAS experiment ten years after the discovery, Nature (London) **607**, 52 (2022).
- [4] CMS Collaboration, A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery, Nature (London) 607, 60 (2022).
- [5] M. Aaboud *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair at the LHC with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 784, 173 (2018).
- [6] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Observation of *tīH* Production, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 231801 (2018).
- [7] M. Cepeda *et al.*, Higgs physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. 7, 221 (2019).
- [8] J. N. Ng and P. Zakarauskas, QCD-parton calculation of conjoined production of Higgs bosons and heavy flavors in *pp⁻* collisions, Phys. Rev. D 29, 876 (1984).
- [9] Z. Kunszt, Associated production of heavy Higgs Boson with top quarks, Nucl. Phys. B247, 339 (1984).
- [10] W. Beenakker, S. Dittmaier, M. Krämer, B. Plumper, M. Spira, and P. Zerwas, Higgs Radiation Off Top Quarks at the Tevatron and the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 201805 (2001).
- [11] W. Beenakker, S. Dittmaier, M. Krämer, B. Plumper, M. Spira, and P. Zerwas, NLO QCD corrections to t anti-t H production in hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. B653, 151 (2003).
- [12] L. Reina and S. Dawson, Next-to-Leading Order Results for *tīH* Production at the Tevatron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 201804 (2001).
- [13] L. Reina, S. Dawson, and D. Wackeroth, QCD corrections to associated $t\bar{t}H$ production at the Fermilab Tevatron, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 053017 (2002).
- [14] S. Dawson, L. Orr, L. Reina, and D. Wackeroth, Next-toleading order QCD corrections to $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 071503 (2003).
- [15] S. Dawson, C. Jackson, L. Orr, L. Reina, and D. Wackeroth, Associated Higgs boson production with top quarks at the CERN Large Hadron Collider: NLO QCD corrections, Phys. Rev. D 68, 034022 (2003).
- [16] S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, D. Pagani, H. Shao, and M. Zaro, Weak corrections to Higgs hadroproduction in association with a top-quark pair, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 065.
- [17] Y. Zhang, W.-G. Ma, R.-Y. Zhang, C. Chen, and L. Guo, QCD NLO and EW NLO corrections to *tīH* production with top quark decays at hadron collider, Phys. Lett. B **738**, 1 (2014).

- [18] S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, D. Pagani, H. S. Shao, and M. Zaro, Electroweak and QCD corrections to top-pair hadroproduction in association with heavy bosons, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2015) 184.
- [19] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, T. Stebel, and V. Theeuwes, Soft gluon resummation for associated $(t\bar{t}H)$ production at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 065.
- [20] A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, B. D. Pecjak, A. Signer, and L. L. Yang, Associated production of a top pair and a Higgs boson beyond NLO, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 124.
- [21] A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, B. D. Pecjak, and L. L. Yang, NNLL resummation for the associated production of a top pair and a Higgs boson at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2017) 126.
- [22] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, T. Stebel, and V. Theeuwes, Associated $t\bar{t}H$ production at the LHC: Theoretical predictions at NLO + NNLL accuracy, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 114007 (2018).
- [23] A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, R. Frederix, D. Pagani, B. D. Pecjak, and I. Tsinikos, Top-quark pair hadroproduction in association with a heavy boson at NLO + NNLL including EW corrections, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2019) 039.
- [24] W.-L. Ju and L. L. Yang, Resummation of soft and Coulomb corrections for $(t\bar{t}H)$ production at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2019) 050.
- [25] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, D. Schwartländer, T. Stebel, and V. Theeuwes, Associated top quark pair production with a heavy boson: differential cross sections at NLO + NNLL accuracy, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 428 (2020).
- [26] A. Denner and R. Feger,NLO QCD corrections to off-shell top-antitop production with leptonic decays in association with a Higgs boson at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2015) 209.
- [27] A. Denner, J.-N. Lang, M. Pellen, and S. Uccirati, Higgs production in association with off-shell top-antitop pairs at NLO EW and QCD at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2017) 053.
- [28] D. de Florian et al. (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector (CERN, Geneva, 2017), 10.23731/CYRM-2017-002.
- [29] G. Heinrich, Collider Physics at the Precision Frontier, Phys. Rep. 922, 1 (2021).
- [30] J. Chen, C. Ma, G. Wang, L. L. Yang, and X. Ye, Two-loop infrared singularities in the production of a Higgs boson associated with a top-quark pair, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 025.
- [31] J. Andersen *et al.*, Les Houches 2017: Physics at TeV Colliders Standard Model Working Group Report, arXiv: 1803.07977.
- [32] S. Amoroso *et al.*, Les Houches 2019: Physics at TeV Colliders: Standard Model Working Group Report, arXiv: 2003.01700.
- [33] W. J. Torres Bobadilla *et al.*, May the four be with you: Novel IR-subtraction methods to tackle NNLO calculations, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 250 (2021).
- [34] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, Next-to-Next-to-Leading-Order Subtraction Formalism in Hadron Collisions and its Application to Higgs-Boson Production at the Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 222002 (2007).

- [35] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, and M. Grazzini, Universality of transverse-momentum resummation and hard factors at the NNLO, Nucl. Phys. B881, 414 (2014).
- [36] H. X. Zhu, C. S. Li, H. T. Li, D. Y. Shao, and L. L. Yang, Transverse-Momentum Resummation for Top-Quark Pairs at Hadron Colliders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 082001 (2013).
- [37] H. T. Li, C. S. Li, D. Y. Shao, L. L. Yang, and H. X. Zhu, Top quark pair production at small transverse momentum in hadronic collisions, Phys. Rev. D 88, 074004 (2013).
- [38] S. Catani, M. Grazzini, and A. Torre, Transversemomentum resummation for heavy-quark hadroproduction, Nucl. Phys. B890, 518 (2014).
- [39] R. Bonciani, S. Catani, M. Grazzini, H. Sargsyan, and A. Torre, The (q_T) subtraction method for top-quark production at hadron colliders, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 581 (2015).
- [40] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, J. Mazzitelli, and H. Sargsyan, Top-quark pair hadroproduction at next-to-next-to-leading order in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 99, 051501 (2019).
- [41] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, and J. Mazzitelli, Top-quark pair production at the LHC: fully differential QCD predictions at NNLO, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2019) 100.
- [42] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, and J. Mazzitelli, Bottom-quark production at hadron colliders: fully differential predictions in NNLO QCD, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 029.
- [43] S. Catani, I. Fabre, M. Grazzini, and S. Kallweit, (*t*t*H*) production at NNLO: the flavour off-diagonal channels, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 491 (2021).
- [44] S. Catani, S. Devoto, M. Grazzini, and J. Mazzitelli, Softparton contributions to heavy-quark production at low transverse momentum, arXiv:2301.11786.
- [45] S. Devoto and J. Mazzitelli (to be published).
- [46] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.111902 for more details on the derivation of the soft Higgs boson factorization formula.
- [47] P. Bärnreuther, M. Czakon, and P. Fiedler, Virtual amplitudes and threshold behaviour of hadronic top-quark pair-production cross sections, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2014) 078.
- [48] S. Catani and M. H. Seymour, The Dipole formalism for the calculation of QCD jet cross-sections at next-to-leading order, Phys. Lett. B 378, 287 (1996).
- [49] S. Catani and M. H. Seymour, A General algorithm for calculating jet cross-sections in NLO QCD, Nucl. Phys. B485, 291 (1997); B510, 503(E) (1998)],
- [50] S. Catani, S. Dittmaier, M. H. Seymour, and Z. Trocsanyi, The Dipole formalism for next-to-leading order QCD calculations with massive partons, Nucl. Phys. B627, 189 (2002).
- [51] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, and M. Wiesemann, Fully differential NNLO computations with MATRIX, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 537 (2018).
- [52] F. Cascioli, P. Maierhöfer, and S. Pozzorini, Scattering Amplitudes with Open Loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 111601 (2012).
- [53] F. Buccioni, S. Pozzorini, and M. Zoller, On-the-fly reduction of open loops, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 70 (2018).

- [54] F. Buccioni, J.-N. Lang, J. M. Lindert, P. Maierhöfer, S. Pozzorini, H. Zhang, and M. F. Zoller, OPENLOOPS 2, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 866 (2019).
- [55] A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B. D. Pecjak, and L. L. Yang, Two-loop divergences of massive scattering amplitudes in non-abelian gauge theories, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2009) 062.
- [56] S. Catani, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, and M. Grazzini, Vector boson production at hadron colliders: Transversemomentum resummation and leptonic decay, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2015) 047.
- [57] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 663 (2017).