

COVID-19 risk, course and outcome in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analyses

Molero, P.; Reina, G.; Blom, J.D.; Martinez-Gonzalez, M.A.; Reinken, A.K.; De Kloet, E.R.; Molendijk, M.L.

Citation

Molero, P., Reina, G., Blom, J. D., Martinez-Gonzalez, M. A., Reinken, A. K., De Kloet, E. R., & Molendijk, M. L. (2023). COVID-19 risk, course and outcome in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Epidemiology And Psychiatric Sciences*, 32, 1-16. doi:10.1017/S2045796023000719

Version: Publisher's Version

License: <u>Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license</u>
Downloaded from: <u>https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3674376</u>

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences

cambridge.org/eps

Original Article

Cite this article: Molero P, Reina G, Blom JD, Martínez-González MÁ, Reinken A, de Kloet ER, Molendijk ML (2023). COVID-19 risk, course and outcome in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* 32, e61, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796023000719

Received: 11 January 2023 Revised: 24 August 2023 Accepted: 04 September 2023

Keywords:

corona virus disease; COVID-19; disease course; infection risk; long COVID; mental disorders; substance use disorders

Corresponding author: Marc Molendijk; Email: molendijkml@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



COVID-19 risk, course and outcome in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analyses

Patricio Molero^{1,2} , Gabriel Reina^{2,3} , Jan Dirk Blom^{4,5,6}, Miguel Ángel Martínez-González^{2,7,8,9}, Aischa Reinken⁴, E. Ronald de Kloet¹⁰ and Marc L. Molendijk^{4,11}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; ²Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Spain; ³Department of Microbiology, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; ⁴Institute of Psychology, Department of Clinical Psychology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁵Outpatient Clinic for Uncommon Psychiatric Syndromes, Parnassia Psychiatric Institute, The Hague, The Netherlands; ⁶Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁷Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; ⁸CIBER-OBN, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; ⁹Department of Nutrition, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; ¹⁰Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands and ¹¹Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

Aims. It has been suggested that people with mental disorders have an elevated risk to acquire severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and to be disproportionally affected by coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) once infected. We aimed to analyse the COVID-19 infection rate, course and outcome, including mortality and long COVID, in people with anxiety, depressive, neurodevelopmental, schizophrenia spectrum and substance use disorders relative to control subjects without these disorders.

Methods. This study constitutes a preregistered systematic review and random-effects frequentist and Bayesian meta-analyses. Major databases were searched up until 27 June 2023. **Results.** Eighty-one original articles were included reporting 304 cross-sectional and prospective effect size estimates (median *n* per effect-size = 114837) regarding associations of interest. Infection risk was not significantly increased for any mental disorder that we investigated relative to samples of people without these disorders. The course of COVID-19, however, is relatively severe, and long COVID and COVID-19-related hospitalization are more likely in all patient samples that we investigated. The odds of dying from COVID-19 were high in people with most types of mental disorders, except for those with anxiety and neurodevelopmental disorders relative to non-patient samples (pooled ORs range, 1.26–2.57). Bayesian analyses confirmed the findings from the frequentist approach and complemented them with estimates of the strength of evidence.

Conclusions. Once infected, people with pre-existing mental disorders are at an elevated risk for a severe COVID-19 course and outcome, including long COVID and mortality, relative to people without pre-existing mental disorders, despite an infection risk not significantly increased.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a highly transmissible respiratory pathogen (Li *et al.*, 2020) that causes coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19). Although COVID-19, as of May 2023, is not a global emergency anymore (Solis *et al.*, 2020; World Health Organization, 2022), it remains a pandemic (World Health Organization, 2023) causing distress, morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, the condition of long COVID, referring to persistent symptoms such as cognitive impairment, fatigue and low mood, poses an emerging challenge (Suran, 2023). Little is known about the risk factors of long COVID, and the role of mental health in the modulation of that risk is unclear, given that although a pre-existing mental health diagnosis may be an independent risk factor (Lam *et al.*, 2023), it may also mitigate the psychological burden of long COVID (Rastogi *et al.*, 2023).

There are inter-individual differences when it comes to SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility, the course that COVID-19 takes and its outcome. Compromised immune functioning, poor health behaviour, sleep, somatic comorbidities and exposure to chronic stress, all related to infection

risk and disease course, are often present in people with poor mental health (Chireh *et al.*, 2019; Chrousos, 2009). Therefore, they may be susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 and a relatively poor COVID-19 course (Simon *et al.*, 2021).

An early meta-analysis on the potential effects of (pre-existing) mood disorders on SARS-CoV-2 infection risk found no evidence for the existence of this association (Ceban et al., 2021). However, the authors did find that having a pre-existing mood disorder was associated with increased chances of COVID-19-related hospitalization and death. This is in line with 3 other meta-analyses reporting a relatively poor COVID-19 course in people with (preexisting) mental disorders (Fond et al., 2021a; Toubasi et al., 2021; Vai et al., 2021). The meta-analyses unequivocally found high levels of between-study heterogeneity in outcome, which remained unexplained. Given that behavioural parameters related to susceptibility (e.g., poor social distancing) and health behaviour may be different in people with various kinds of mental disorders, we considered an updated meta-analysis stratified by type of mental disorder valuable at this stage. An additional reason for an update is that dozens of primary studies have become available since the publication of the earlier meta-analyses. Therefore, we here aim to optimize and specify the findings of earlier studies using a new and broader systematic review and meta-analysis. We will apply a frequentist (i.e., classical) and Bayesian approach to meta-analysis. The frequentist approach will be used to provide effect-size estimates and for significance testing of the null hypothesis. The Bayesian approach complements this with the strength of evidence estimates for the null and the alternative hypotheses (Heck et al., 2022; Keysers et al., 2020).

We hypothesize that SARS-CoV-2 infection risk, including breakthrough infection, and COVID-19 course, including long COVID, are worse and that mortality rates and the need for COVID-19-related care are high for people with mental disorders relative to people without these disorders. We will explore and review potential explanations, with an emphasis on variables that are open to change such as stress coping and dietary behaviour. Finally, we will discuss the implications for prevention and treatment.

Methods

We followed the MOOSE (Stroup *et al.*, 2000) and PRISMA guidelines (Moher *et al.*, 2009), and drafted and preregistered a review protocol at the website of the Open Science Foundation (https://osf.io/35jhm/registrations).

Identification and selection strategy

Web-based searches were performed in PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science and Google Scholar, which is the optimal database combination for a systematic literature search according to Bramer et al. (2017). Database-specific search strings are presented in the online supplement. The final date for the systematic search was 27 June 2023. Reference lists of reviews and meta-analyses were hand-searched for eligible data. A grey literature search on the preprint servers PsyArXiv.org and MedArXiv.org was also performed. Decisions on eligibility were based on titles and abstracts of candidate papers, and ultimately on full-text assessment. At least two members of the review team made a final decision on the eligibility of these articles, based on the in- and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Articles were included when they (1) reported SARS-CoV-2 infection risk and/or course of COVID-19 for people with pre-existing mental disorders versus controls without these disorders, and (2) were written in English, German, French, Spanish, Arabic or Dutch. Articles were excluded when (1) no relevant outcome data could be extracted, even after we had been in contact (or had made reasonable attempts hereto) with the corresponding author of the article, or when (2) no original data were reported (e.g., opinion pieces). When articles reported on overlapping data sets, we included the article that was most informative for our purposes (see supplementary material, Box S1 for the rules that we set for article selection and the efforts that were undertaken to avoid the multiple inclusion of a single dataset).

Exposure and outcome variables

Exposure variables were pre-existing anxiety, depressive, neurodevelopmental, schizophrenia spectrum and substance use disorders (SUDs) assessed according to diagnostic systems such as Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth or Fifth Edition (DSM-IV or V) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992) or International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) (WHO, 1992). Control conditions were composed of people without the mental disorders of our interest (e.g., the comparison of people with a SUD vs. people without a SUD) and not per se people without any disorder (i.e., the healthy control). Outcome variables included (1) infection risk – including break-through infection –, presented as a percentage of SARS-CoV-2 positive tests/self-reports in the populations under study and (2) COVID-19 course variables, further specified as (a) indicators of the severity of the disease (e.g., symptomatic vs. non-symptomatic, requiring respiratory assistance or not), (b) hospitalization rates, (c) intensive care unit (ICU) admission rates, (d) presence of symptoms of long-COVID/persistent COVID-19 symptoms of any kind (Byrne, 2022) and (e) COVID-19-related mortality rates. Please note that at the time of preregistration at the OSF, we considered the outcome variable long COVID as part of the course variables and not as a separate one.

Data extraction

From included papers, we extracted demographic data (e.g., gender distribution), clinical data (e.g., diagnosis), suspected virus type (estimated from the time frame in which the data were collected), methodological data (e.g., study types) and outcome data (i.e., raw numbers or effect-size estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) on outcome data). Data extraction was performed independently by two members (AR, TY (research assistant), MM) of the review team.

Measures of effect

We extracted odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% CIs as measures of effect. Where reported, we extracted data from analyses that controlled for the largest number of potential confounders or that came from propensity-matched samples. When results were reported as hazard ratios (HRs) or risk ratios (RRs) and raw data were not available, we interpreted these as an OR when the incidence of the reported outcome was <20%. HRs and RRs based

on data reporting on an incidence of the outcome of \geq 20% were transformed (Davies *et al.*, 1998; Grant, 2014; Zhang and Yu, 1998).

Assessment of methodological quality

The methodological quality of input studies was scored by two members of the review team using the *Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-sectional Studies* recommended by the United States National Institutes of Health (2021). The items of this instrument are presented as supplement (Table S1).

Statistical analysis

We performed analyses in Stata version 17 (StataCorp, 2021) and JASP (Jeffreys's Amazing Statistics Program; JASP team, 2017) and created summary tables on the characteristics of the included studies. Random-effects meta-analyses were used to pool the data on SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, breakthrough infection rates, COVID-19 course, hospitalizations, ICU admissions, long COVID and mortality rates to pre-existing mental disorders. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Heterogeneity among studies was quantified using the I^2 measure and assessed for statistical significance using the Q^2 -statistic (Sterne et al., 2001). When heterogeneity in outcome was present, subgroup and meta-regression analyses were performed to identify study characteristics that might explain the heterogeneity. Potential continuous variables that served as candidates were gender (% females), average age, methodological quality scores and sample size (N). Sensitivity analyses were used to assess the potential effects of controlling for confounders and the time frame of diagnostic assessment (e.g., lifetime vs. current) and virus variant (e.g., Alpha vs. Beta). Data on the latter variable were estimated based on the time frame in which the data were gathered and the geographic location where this was done. We followed the advice of the Cochrane handbook to interpret results from sensitivity and moderator only when there were at least 10 studies available per meta-regression analysis (Higgins and Thomas, 2021). Note that analyses on main outcomes (e.g., mortality) were all a priori registered. Most meta-regression analyses and subgroup analyses were not. Publication bias was assessed using visual inspection of funnel plots and the Eggers regression test (Sterne et al., 2001). All frequentist meta-analyses were repeated by making use of a Bayesian random-effects approach to confirm the robustness of results and to present, using a Bayes Factor (BF₁₀), the strength of evidence for the null or the alternative hypothesis. BFs were calculated for an effect-size estimate (logOR) of 0.00 with a standard deviation of 0.50. We used the thresholds suggested by Heck et al. (2022) for the interpretation of the $BF_{10}s$.

Results

Table S2 (online supplement) lists all the articles that were included for full-text assessment as well as reasons for inclusion and exclusion. See Figure 1 for a flow chart and further information on the identification, screening and inclusion of studies. Eighty-one of the 23,194 candidate articles (0.4%) reported data that met the eligibility criteria. Fourteen of the articles reported data from a prospective design (17%) and 68 from a retrospective design (83%) (see Table S3). More than three-quarter of the data included here were not included in any of the four previous meta-analyses (Ceban *et al.*, 2021; Fond *et al.*, 2021a; Toubasi *et al.*, 2021; Vai *et al.*, 2021; see Table S4). The surplus of articles that we report on results from an accretion of primary articles and from differences

in inclusion criteria. In Box S2, we describe some specific actions that were undertaken to avoid data overlap within the analysis.

Table 1 provides demographic and clinical information on the samples from the input studies that we included. Tables S3–S5 provide further information on the method and assessment of predictor variables, outcome variables (S5), control conditions (S3), virus variants (S4), COVID-19 diagnostics (S5) and covariates used in analyses (S3). All except three studies reported on the comparison of people with a specific disorder versus people without this disorder. COVID-19 data were serologically confirmed in all studies, with two exceptions in which the diagnosis was gathered by self-diagnosis or through self-report. Psychiatric diagnostic spectra were most often defined by ICD-10 criteria (World Health Organization, 1992) followed by DSM-IV and V criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 1994, 2013).

The median and mean ages of the included samples ranged between 9 and 81 years. The percentage of females per sample ranged from 16% to 86%. The median sample size per analysis was 114837 (range, 61–73,099,850). The methodological quality of most input studies was moderate to high (see Table S6 for scores per study).

SARS-CoV-2 infection risk

SARS-CoV-2 infection risk was not significantly different in populations of people with a mental disorder versus those in populations without a mental disorder (see Table 2). Subcategories of neurodevelopmental disorders (i.e., autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)) and mood disorders (i.e., depressive disorder and bipolar disorder) were tested with regard to outcome, but neither of these was associated with an increased risk. Bayesian meta-analyses yielded BFs suggesting either anecdotal or moderate evidence favouring the null hypothesis of *no effect of mental disorder on the risk to acquire SARS-CoV-2* (see Table 2).

Between-study heterogeneity in outcome was evident in all analyses (see Table 2). Analysis by time frame of diagnostic assessment (e.g., lifetime vs. past year/current) and statistical control for confounders by input studies (categorical; yes vs. no) yielded similar results. The continuous variables that were tested as effect moderators (i.e., percentage of females, average age, methodological quality and sample size) were not associated with outcome (see Table S8). We found evidence for publication bias in the data on psychosis spectrum disorders and infection risk (see Table 2). Accounting for this using trim-and-fill methods did not result in a different estimate. We estimated per sample the dominant virus variant for each specific study. About 80% of studies investigated the Alpha variant and the remaining studies were either a mix of the Alpha and Beta variant or the Beta variant. There was no evidence that the observed associations were driven by a specific virus variant. Overall, results from analyses on local and nationwide data were similar (see Table S7 and dataset S1).

Two studies specifically compared breakthrough infection risk in people with a mental disorder versus controls without the mental disorders under investigation (Nishimi $et\ al.$, 2022; Wang $et\ al.$, 2022c). Both studies gathered nationwide data in the United States. To avoid pooling of dependent data, statistical analyses were not performed and a narrative review of the results will be presented. Nishimi $et\ al.$ (2022) show, based on data from the department of veteran affairs (N=263,697), a small significant increase in the risk of breakthrough infection in patients with several kinds of mental disorders (range adjusted relative




Figure 1. Flow chart on identification, screening and inclusion of eligible publications.

RRs: 1.03–1.16). Wang *et al.* (2022b) report data on 579,372 individuals from the TriNetX network showing an overall increased breakthrough infection risk in patients with several types of SUDs relative in comparison to propensity-matched controls who were free of SUDs (range HRs: 1.06–2.06).

COVID-19 severity

Consistent over all categories, we find that people with pre-existing mental disorders show higher odds to experience a severe COVID-19 course, once infected, relative to people in control conditions (pooled ORs range, 1.26–2.32; see Table 2). Bayesian meta-analysis aligned with these results and show that the evidence favouring the alternative hypothesis is in the range from anecdotal (for anxiety disorders) to very strong (for SUDs) (see Table 2).

COVID-19-related hospitalization, ICU admission and mortality

Associations with COVID-19-related hospitalization, ICU admission and mortality were also largely consistent over predictor categories, with higher odds for people in the patient categories relative to people in the control condition who were free of the specific diagnosis that we related to outcome (pooled ORs range, 1.05–1.93). Results from Bayesian meta-analys in line with the results from those derived through the classical frequentist approach. The evidence for the hypotheses of the existence of an association between having mental disorders and COVID-19-related hospitalization and morbidity was notably strong in most cases. For the outcome of ICU admission, the level of evidence was only anecdotal or moderate (see Table 2).

Between-study heterogeneity in outcome was evident in most analyses (see Table 2). Results over subcategories (e.g., bipolar and unipolar depression for mood disorders) were largely similar. Moreover, results were not driven by a particular virus variant. The time frame of psychiatric assessment (e.g., lifetime vs. past year/current) and statistical control for confounders by input studies (categorical; yes vs. no) was not differentially associated with changes in outcome. There were two exceptions. One, the association between mood disorders and hospitalization was stronger for people with a current versus a lifetime disorder (z = 2.85, P < 0.01). Two, uncontrolled studies investigating the association between psychosis spectrum disorder and COVID-19-related mortality yielded higher effect-size estimates relative to studies that applied statistical control (e.g., matching, the use of co-variates) (z = 5.83, P < 0.001). Analyses of local and nationwide data yielded consistent results (see Table S9 and dataset S1). Associations were not moderated by geographic location, average age, percentage of females and methodological quality (see Table S10). In a few analyses, we found evidence of publication bias (Table 2). Controlling for this using trim-and-fill procedures did not result in substantially different estimates (data not shown).

Long COVID

Eight studies reported on the association between pre-existing mental disorders and long COVID/persistent symptoms. [Al-Aly et al., 2022; Bellan et al., 2022; de Miranda et al., 2022; Durstenfeld et al., 2023; Hedberg et al., 2023; Jones et al., 2021; Thompson et al., 2022; and Wang et al., 2022a (total N=6,350,939)]. Relative to the other outcomes, these studies on long COVID quite often applied a prospective design (50% vs. 11%). Both Jones et al. and Thompson et al. report on UK nationwide primary care data. To avoid overlapping data, we once ran a meta-analysis with Jones et al. included and Thompson et al. excluded and one with Jones et al. excluded and Thompson et al. included. Al-Aly et al. (2022) and Wang et al. (2022a) report on two large US-based cohorts. These however were

 Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included and samples by outcome

	N	Age	% Female	Predictors	Country	
SARS-CoV-2 infection rate						
Al-Aly et al., 2022	33,940	71 ^{AV.}	8	Several categories	USA	
Allen et al., 2020	188,653	50 ^{MED.}	57	SUD	USA	
Amin et al., 2022	96	26 ^{MED.}	38	Psychosis spectrum	Indonesia	
Azar et al., 2020	14,036	51 ^{AV.}	61	Depression	USA	
Bailey et al., 2021	135,794	9 ^{AV.}	47	Mental disorders	USA	
Canal-Rivero et al., 2021	558,274	48 ^{AV.}	36	Several categories	Spain	
Cohen et al., 2022	64,409	12 ^{AV.}	48	ADHD	Israel	
Dai <i>et al.</i> , 2022	473,958	68 ^{AV.}	55	Mental disorders	UK	
De Vito et al., 2021	382	81 ^{AV.}	63	Mental disorders	Italy	
Egede et al., 2021	30,976	60 ^{MED.}	53	Several categories	USA	
Goldberger et al., 2022	125,273	NK	NK	Psychosis spectrum	Israel	
Haimovich et al., 2020	2182	65 ^{AV.}	48	Several categories	USA	
Lebin <i>et al.</i> , 2020	5419	52 ^{AV.}	16	AUD	USA	
Lee et al., 2021 (1)	48,449	60 ^{AV.}	55	Several categories	South Kore	
Merzon <i>et al.</i> , 2020 (1)	14,022	39 ^{AV.}	48	Several categories	Israel	
Nemani <i>et al.</i> , 2021a (1)	1958	47 ^{MED.}	54	Psychosis spectrum	USA	
Nishimi <i>et al.</i> 2022 ^a	263,697	66 ^{AV.}	9	Several categories	USA	
Orlando et al., 2021	20,855	60 ^{MED.}	44	Several categories	Italy	
Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2020	1970	73 ^{AV.}	55	Depression	USA	
Taquet et al., 2021	1,729,837	50 ^{MED.}	55	Several categories	USA	
Teixeira et al., 2020	2,535,098	44 ^{AV.}	62	Several categories	USA	
Tzur-Bitan et al., 2021	51,078	51 ^{AV.}	61	Psychosis spectrum	Israel	
Varela Rodríguez et al., 2021	188	60 ^{AV.}	29	AUD	Spain	
Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2021a	73,099,850	42 ^{MED.}	54	SUD	USA	
Wang et al., 2021b	473,958	68 ^{AV.}	55	Several categories	UK	
Wang et al., 2022a ^a	597,392	42 ^{MED.}	54	SUD	USA	
Yang et al., 2021	421,014	68 ^{AV.}	55	Several categories	UK	
COVID-19 severity or mortality	,					
Al-Aly et al., 2022 ^b	33,940	71 ^{AV.}	8	Several categories	USA	
Allen <i>et al.</i> , 2020	11830	50 ^{MED.}	57	SUD	USA	
Azar et al., 2020	1052	53 ^{AV.}	51	Depression	USA	
Baillargeon et al., 2021	11,124	54 ^{AV.}	53	SUD	USA	
Barcella et al., 2021	144,321	42 ^{MED.}	55	Several categories	Denmark	
Bayrak and Çadirci, 2021	122	73 ^{AV.}	48	Depression	Denmark Turkey	
Bellan <i>et al.</i> , 2022 ^b	324	60 ^{AV.}	59	Several categories	Italy	
Bhopalwala et al., 2022	1626	64 ^{MED.}	50	SUD	USA	
Canal-Rivero et al., 2021	698	48 ^{AV.}	36	Severe disorders	Spain	
Castro et al., 2021	2988	59 ^{AV.}	47	Several categories	USA	
Catalan <i>et al.</i> , 2023	157,246	44 ^{AV}	53	Several categories	Spain	
Cavallaro et al., 2021	13,954	65 ^{MED.}	41	Serious illness	UK	
Cavallato et ul., 2021	13,934	0.5	41	Serious Ittless	υN	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued.)

	N	Age	% Female	Predictors	Country
Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2021a (1)	1331	47 ^{AV.}	38	Severe illness	UK
Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2021b (2)	7445	79 ^{AV.}	58	Severe illness	UK
Clift et al., 2020	6,083,102	48 ^{MED.}	51	Severe illness	UK
Clouston <i>et al.</i> , 2021	1375	60 ^{AV.}	43	Depression	USA
Cummins et al., 2021	1781	60 ^{MED.}	45	Several categories	UK
Descamps et al., 2022	97,452	57 ^{MED.}	47	Several categories	France
de Miranda <i>et al.</i> , 2022 ^b	646	50 ^{AV.}	54	Depression	Brazil
De Vito <i>et al.</i> , 2021	382	81 ^{AV.}	63	Mental disorders	Italy
Díaz-Simón <i>et al.</i> , 2021	15,034	42 ^{MED.}	40	AUD	Spain
Diez-Quevedo <i>et al.</i> , 2021	2150	61 ^{AV.}	43	Several categories	Spain
Durstenfeld <i>et al.</i> , 2023 ^b	1480	53 ^{AV.}	69	Several categories	USA
Egede <i>et al.</i> , 2021	2103	60 ^{MED.}	53	Several categories	USA
Fond et al., 2021b	50,750	72 ^{MED.}	43	Psychosis spectrum	France
Francis et al., 2021	900	52 ^{AV.}	53	Mental health	UK
Gasnier et al., 2022	177	57 ^{AV.}	31	Mental disorders	France
Giannoglou <i>et al.</i> , 2020	512	60 ^{AV.}	38	Mental disorders	Greece
Goldberger <i>et al.</i> , 2022	125,273	NK	NK	Mental disorders	Israel
Hashemi-Shahri <i>et al.</i> , 2022	413	45 ^{AV.}	45	Mental disorders	Iran
Hedberg <i>et al.</i> , 2023 ^b	204,805	NK	NK	Mental disorders	Sweden
Hirashima <i>et al.</i> , 2021	61	48 ^{AV.}	34	Mental disorders	Japan
Izurieta <i>et al.</i> , 2021	25,333,329	72 ^{MED.}	56	Depression	USA
Jeon <i>et al.</i> , 2020	230,565	55 ^{AV.}	54	Several categories	South Kor
Jones <i>et al.</i> , 2021 ^b	3151	52 ^{AV.}	64	Mental disorders	UK
Kundi <i>et al.</i> , 2020	18,234	74 ^{AV.}	53	Several categories	Turkey
Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021 (2)	814	75 ^{AV.}	51	Several categories	South Kor
Lega <i>et al.</i> , 2021	6607	78 ^{AV.}	35	Several categories	Italy
Li <i>et al.</i> , 2022	205	58 ^{AV.}	48	Mental disorders	China
Maripuu <i>et al.</i> , 2021	7,923,859 ^b	50 ^{MED.}	49	Severe disorders	Sweden
Merzon <i>et al.</i> , 2021 (2)	1870	29 ^{MED.}	51	Several categories	Israel
Musheyev <i>et al.</i> , 2021	317	63 ^{AV.}	47	Mental disorders	USA
Nemani <i>et al.</i> , 2021a (1)	7348	57 ^{MED.}	54	Several categories	USA
Nemani <i>et al.</i> , 2021b (2)	464	57 ^{AV.}	48	Psychosis spectrum	USA
Nilsson et al., 2022	82,171	48 ^{AV.}	47	Several categories	Denmark
Orlando <i>et al.</i> , 2021	3497	60 ^{MED.}	44	Several categories	Italy
Pavarin <i>et al.</i> , 2022	676,082	NK	NK	SUD	Italy
Poblador-Plou <i>et al.</i> , 2020	4412	59 ^{MED}	68	Several categories	Spain
Qeadan <i>et al.</i> , 2021	52,312	53 ^{MED.}	51	SUD	USA
Rodríguez-Molinero et al., 2020	418	65 ^{AV.}	54	Several categories	Spain
Salvatore et al. 2021	2582	45 ^{AV.}	56	Several categories	USA
Sisó-Almirall et al., 2020	322	57 ^{AV.}	50	Depression	
<u> </u>		75 ^{AV.}		<u> </u>	Spain
Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2020	1970	15	60	Depression	USA

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued.)

	N	Age	% Female	Predictors	Country
Thompson et al., 2022 ^b	1,064,491	NK	NK	Mental disorders	UK
Tokuda et al., 2023	67,348	54 ^{AV.}	43	Mental disorders	Japan
Tzur-Bitan et al., 2021	51,078	51 ^{AV.}	61	Psychosis spectrum	Israel
Varela Rodríguez et al., 2021	188	60 ^{AV.}	29	AUD	Spain
Velásquez García et al., 2021	56,874	36 ^{AV.}	NK	Several categories	Canada
Vrotsou et al., 2021	14,197	54 ^{AV.}	61	Several categories	Spain
Wang et al., 2021 (2)	61,783,950	NK	54	Several categories	USA
Wang et al., 2021 (1)	73,099,850	42 ^{MED.}	54	SUD	USA
Wang et al., 2021 (3)	14,877	54 ^{AV.}	45	Several categories	UK
Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2022b and c (1 and 2 ^b)	54,781	54 ^{AV.}	97	Several categories	USA
Welch, 2021	5711	74 ^{MED.}	45	Mental health	Various
Yang et al., 2020	421,014	68 ^{AV.}	55	Several categories	UK
Yanover et al., 2020	4353	34 ^{MED.}	45	Depression	Israel

Abbreviations: AUD = alcohol use disorder; AV = average, MED = median, NK = not known, SUD = substance use disorder; Mental disorders; the study groups and reports on several mental disorders as 1 group; Several categories, the study reports separate associations on several categories of mental disorders.

alnoludes data on breakthrough infection.

not deemed to present overlapping data due to the populations under study, which were composed of veterans and healthcare professionals respectively. All the included data sets showed that pre-existing mental disorders are associated with the presence of long COVID, although between-study heterogeneity in outcome was present (P-values < 0.001). Pooled ORs ranged between 1.68 and 1.75, with corresponding P-values < 0.0001. Bayesian analyses showed that the evidence for the alternative hypothesis of a negative effect of pre-existing mental disorders on long COVID symptoms is extremely strong (BF₁₀ range, 205–421). Due to a lack of data, we were not able to run analyses per type of mental disorder.

Discussion

Our meta-analysis of 81 original studies and a total of 304 effect size estimates shows that people with pre-existing mental disorders are not more likely to acquire SARS-CoV-2 relative to control conditions of people without these disorders. However, people with pre-existing mental disorders have increased morbidity and mortality rates and need for care related to COVID-19 once infected, and long COVID symptoms relative to people without pre-existing mental disorders. Although effect-size estimates are small to medium, Bayesian analyses showed that in many cases the evidence favouring the alternative hypothesis is very strong (e.g., mortality and hospitalization rates for people with psychosis spectrum disorder and SUDs).

Pre-existing mental disorders and susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection

We expected increased SARS-CoV-2 infection risk in people with pre-existing mental disorders relative to people in control conditions without these disorders. Increased susceptibility however was not evident in the data. Results from Bayesian meta-analyses showed either anecdotal or moderate evidence favouring the null hypothesis of *no effect of mental disorder on the risk to acquire SARS-CoV-2* (see Table 2).

A reason for not finding the expected associations could be that infection is less likely in case of loneliness and social deprivation, which are relatively common in people with mental disorders and even more so during the pandemic (Pai and Vella, 2022). Future studies are needed to address the relevance and contributions of these factors and their potential interactions with risk factors for infection that are evident in people with mental disorders, amongst which are poor physical fitness/co-morbid somatic conditions (Barton *et al.*, 2020), malnutrition (Mahboub *et al.*, 2021), smoking (Yuan *et al.*, 2020), risk-taking behaviours and impulsivity (Kreek *et al.*, 2005) and socioeconomic and minority status (Butler, 2021; Mena *et al.*, 2021).

Pre-existing mental disorders and morbidity and mortality rates for COVID-19 and long COVID

Another main finding of the current study is that people with most types of pre-existing mental disorders are disproportionally affected by COVID-19 once infected relative to people without pre-existing mental disorders. Our group found similar associations for pre-existing neurodegenerative diseases (Smadi *et al.*, 2023). Theoretically, this might be a direct result of relatively low socioe-conomic status, especially when it comes to limitations on access to care. An in-depth analysis of COVID-19-related mortality in the city of Santiago, Chile, showed that people with low socioeconomic status had fewer testing opportunities, faced relatively long waiting lists and struggled with delayed test results. These circumstances correlate with increased morbidity and mortality rates (Mena *et al.*, 2021).

A complementary explanation (Wang et al., 2021) may be that people with (especially severe) mental disorders often lack the motivation, energy and/or insight to go out for testing (e.g., due

^bIncludes data on long COVID/persistent symptoms.

Table 2. Results from frequentist and Bayesian meta-analyses

SARS-CoV-2 infection risk	Kª	N	OR (95% CI)	${\sf BF_{10}}$ for ${\sf OR}=1.00^{\sf b}$	<i>l</i> ²	Egger's t
Anxiety disorders	4	3,060,903	1.20 (0.99–1.46)	$0.80 + H_0$	95.0***	8.54
Neurodevelopmental disorders	4	566,411	1.29 (0.87–1.90)	$0.79 + H_0$	85.8***	0.72
Mood disorders	7	9,247,673	0.87 (0.68-1.11)	$0.47 + H_0$	98.3***	12.5
Psychosis spectrum disorder	6	61,783,950	1.06 (0.80-1.41)	$0.72 + H_0$	89.1***	4.85**
Substance use disorder	9	78,236,869	1.09 (0.75–1.59)	$0.32 ++ H_0$	99.6***	1.06
Mix/other	11	7,354,951	1.09 (0.81–1.47)	$0.37 + H_0$	99.2***	-1.62
COVID-19 severity/course	k	N	OR (95% CI)	$\mathrm{BF}_{10} \text{ for OR} = 1.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	I^2	Egger's t
Anxiety disorder	4	100,072	1.23 (1.12–1.36)***	$1.67 + H_1$	24.0	-0.04
Neurodevelopmental disorders	1	1780	1.81 (1.29–2.25)**	No data	No data	No data
Mood disorders	7	250,230	1.65 (1.22-2.23)**	6.93 ++ H ₁	88.3***	-1.30
Psychosis spectrum disorder	5	244,758	1.76 (1.06-2.92)*	$2.88 + H_1$	80.5***	-0.79
Substance use disorder	7	122,592	1.51 (1.24–1.83)***	52.31 ++++ H ₁	64.9**	2.42**
Mix/other	10	258,947	1.35 (1.11–1.64)**	7.16 ++ H ₁	56.9*	0.65
COVID-19 hospitalization	k	N	OR (95% CI)	BF_{10} for $\mathrm{OR}=1.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	l ²	Egger's t
Anxiety disorder	4	633,041	1.44 (1.17–1.78)**	3.99 ++ H ₁	76.7*	-4.63
Neurodevelopmental disorders	1	1780	1.93 (1.06-3.51)*	No data	No data	No data
Mood disorders	6	28983811	1.63 (1.34 to 1.97)***	169.93 ++++ H ₁	92.5***	2.21*
Psychosis spectrum disorder	10	36,522,290	1.86 (1.32-2.62)***	155.98 ++++ H ₁	98.5***	-0.72
Substance use disorder	7	914,933	1.54 (1.44–1.69)***	939.15 ++++ H_1	69.4**	-0.69
Mix/other	5	9,319,916	1.55 (1.16-2.07)**	$3.45 ++ H_1$	98.1***	-0.76
COVID-19 ICU admission	k	N	OR (95% CI)	$\mathrm{BF}_{10} \text{ for OR} = 1.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	I^2	Egger's t
Anxiety disorder	2	100,034	1.08 (0.76–1.54)	No data	No data	No data
Neurodevelopmental disorders	0					
Mood disorders	6	6,246,991	1.11 (0.84–1.46)	$0.32 ++ H_0$	49.9	-0.60
Psychosis spectrum disorder	6	290,253	1.45 (0.98–2.15)	$2.04 + H_0$	93.6**	0.90
Substance use disorder	4	194,035	1.59 (1.11-2.28)*	52.30 +++ H ₁	83.0***	0.02
Mix/other	9	6,384,393	1.34 (1.02–1.75)*	7.16 ++ H ₁	93.7***	-0.26
COVID-19 mortality	k	N	OR (95% CI)	BF_{10} for $\mathrm{OR}=1.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	l ²	Egger's t
Anxiety disorder	6	3,215,223	1.14 (0.72–1.80)	$0.48 + H_0$	91.4***	0.07
Neurodevelopmental disorders	2	4412	1.26 (0.77–2.05)	0.65 + H ₀	0.0	No data
Mood disorders	14	34,395,611	1.50 (1.31-1.71)***	453.81 ++++ H ₁	79.2***	1.67
Psychosis spectrum disorder	13	57,137,783	2.15 (1.68–2.75)***	2923.57 ++++ H ₁	88.4***	-0.08
Substance use disorder	11	1,094,627	1.45 (1.12–1.87)***	13.49 +++ H ₁	86.0***	0.47
Mix/other	20	6,787,842	1.26 (1.08–1.47)***	4.58 ++ H ₁	81.4***	-0.83
P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 ***P < 0.001						

 $^{^*}P < 0.05, ^{**}P < 0.01, ^{***}P < 0.001.$

to disorganization, negative symptoms, cognitive dysfunction or delusional thinking). This may result in late detection and, with that, delayed medical care. Moreover, in this group, too, compromised immune functioning and poor physical fitness probably contribute (Beurel *et al.*, 2020; Chireh *et al.*, 2019; Wei *et al.*, 2020).

Studies indicate that, on average, people with mood, schizophrenia spectrum and SUDs have increased inflammatory markers (Beurel *et al.*, 2020; Dowlati *et al.*, 2010; Fraguas *et al.*, 2019) relative to control conditions of people without these disorders and hence an increased vulnerability to SARS-CoV-2 infection.

^aEstimates come from analyses including nationwide data, at the expense of local data. Estimates from analyses favouring local data (e.g., data from studies performed in North-East London, Manchester and Bristol) over data from the UK Biobank over nationwide data (e.g., the UK Biobank) in different combinations is presented in Tables S6 and S7. In all cases, pooled effect sizes fell within in the 95% CIs when the nationwide data was replaced by more local data.

^bEvidence category for the results from Bayesian analyses: + anecdotal evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; ++ moderate evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; ++++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; +++ very strong evidence for H_0 or H_1 ; ++ very s

Clinical data show that a dysregulated – pro-inflammatory – immune status predicts a poorer course and higher mortality rate in patients with COVID-19. Although the exact mechanisms that underlie this association are vet unknown, it has been suggested that a compensatory inflammatory response that dysregulates the adaptive immune response might play a role here (Henry et al., 2019). Therefore, testing the cellular immune response and blood glucose levels has potential prognostic value in COVID-19 patients with pre-existing mental disorders and/or SUDs. In the chronic pro-neuroinflammatory environment that characterizes at least some subcategories of mental disorders, T cells show maladaptive characteristics in terms of a higher CD4/CD8 ratio, along with a decreased cellular immune response in depressive disorder (Toben and Baune, 2015). A lymphocyte-mediated mechanism with an altered CD4/CD8 ratio has been implicated in the pathogenesis of alcohol-related liver injury (Batey et al., 2002), and an altered CD4/CD8 ratio has been observed in people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (Al-Diwani et al., 2017). Interestingly, an imbalance of the lymphocyte subpopulations, characterized by reduced counts of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and an increase of natural-killer lymphocytes has been implicated as an early marker of mortality in inpatients with COVID-19 (Cantenys-Molina et al., 2020).

Allostatic overload

To contextualize the mechanisms referred to above, the concept of allostatic overload may be useful. Allostatic overload refers to the damage sustained by biological systems due to repeated and/or prolonged stress (McEwen, 2013). Chronic stress plays an important role in the aetiology and disease course of many types of mental disorders (Juster et al., 2010; Spitzer et al., 2009). Compromised immune functioning and poor physical fitness often go hand in hand with exposure to chronic stress through direct neuroendocrine routes or indirect routes through stress effects on health behaviour and sleep (Glaser and Kiecolt-Glaser, 2015; McEwen, 2013). Prolonged stressors and excessive activity of stress mediators are associated with glucocorticoid-receptor resistance, which interferes with the appropriate regulation of inflammation, and this is an important aspect in the onset and progression of a wide range of diseases (Cohen et al., 2012; De Kloet et al., 2005), including COVID-19. Glucocorticoid-receptor resistance is linked to higher levels of stress and inflammatory mediators, and hence a sustained pro-inflammatory state (De Kloet et al., 2018; Glaser and Kiecolt-Glaser, 2015; Keller et al., 2017), including immune dysregulation of brain microglia (Wohleb et al., 2016), a characteristic feature of, in particular, atypical or a hypothesized immune-metabolic form of depression (Lamers et al., 2018).

In addition, high blood glucose levels (e.g., due to metabolic syndrome in people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and major depressive disorders) may be important mediators of COVID-19 progression and severity (Logette et al., 2021). Stress hormones are major and direct determinants of blood glucose levels and as such, stress can set the stage for insulin resistance. Hence, in a worst-case scenario, chronic stress can mediate a COVID-19-vulnerable phenotype (Pal and Bhadada, 2020). Another potentially relevant factor is major histocompatibility complex variation, which has been implicated in the development of schizophrenia spectrum disorders and bipolar disorder (The International Schizophrenia Consortium 2009). This variability may cause dysfunctional T-cell-mediated immune responses, which can contribute to COVID-19 progression, and

hence to higher severity and mortality of the infection (Chen and John Wherry, 2020; Müller and Schwarz, 2010).

Clinical and policy implications

The results of this study have several clinical implications. From a preventive perspective, patients with mental disorders should be considered at high risk for a poor COVID-19 prognosis. This should inform vaccination policies and educational campaigns, especially in areas with limited access to care for these population groups. Given that high levels of blood glucose and pro-inflammatory markers appear to be important mediators of COVID-19 progression and severity (Lamers *et al.*, 2018; Logette *et al.*, 2021), our results are also relevant to the pharmacotherapy of depressive disorders, schizophrenia spectrum disorders and SUDs.

Healthy blood glucose profiles depend at least partly on the type of antidepressant or antipsychotic prescribed. Regarding antidepressants, serotonin reuptake inhibitors exert a moderate beneficial effect on the glucose levels of patients with diabetes mellitus and depression (Baumeister et al., 2012). Likewise, serotoninnoradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (McIntyre et al., 2006), agomelatine and bupropion seem safe (Roopan and Larsen, 2017), whereas tricyclic antidepressants elevate the risk of type-2 diabetes mellitus (Wang et al., 2021c). Since monoaminoxidase inhibitors may promote hypoglycaemia (McIntyre et al., 2006), with these compounds there is a need for optimal control in patients with diabetes. As for antipsychotic drugs, healthier blood glucose profiles can be obtained by prescribing some classic rather than atypical antipsychotics (Libowitz and Nurmi, 2021; Zhang et al., 2013), such as fluphenazine or haloperidol, except for aliphatic phenothiazines (chlorpromazine and levomepromazine (Haupt and Newcomer, 2001). In case atypical antipsychotics are indicated, healthy profiles can be promoted by prescribing aripiprazole (Baker et al., 2009; van Winkel et al., 2008), ziprasidone (Sacher et al., 2008; Simpson et al., 2004) or lurasidone (McEvoy et al., 2013), especially in comparison with olanzapine (Koller and Doraiswamy, 2002), risperidone (Koller et al., 2003), quetiapine (Koller et al., 2001) and clozapine (De Hert et al., 2007). In cases of antipsychotic-related diabetes, the use of standard antidiabetic medication may be helpful (Cernea et al., 2020). This seems especially important in first psychotic episodes and for young patients (Saddichha et al., 2008).

From a nutritional perspective, there is preliminary evidence that ketosis induced by a dietetic intervention may contribute to the mitigation of neuro-inflammation via the inhibition of glutamate activity in astrocytes (Morris *et al.*, 2020). Dietary interventions therefore should also be considered as a protection against COVID-19. Perez-Araluce *et al.* (2021) indicate the relevance of this in a study that reports relatively large risk reductions in people adhering to high-quality Mediterranean diets. A similar point can be made for stress-reduction techniques (Callus *et al.*, 2020).

Our study also underlines the importance of using independent data (Cheung, 2019). A re-analysis that we made of previous studies indicates that Toubasi *et al.* (2021) may have included UK Biobank data twice (Batty and Gale, 2021; Yang *et al.*, 2021). We suspect that Ceban *et al.* (2021) also double-counted UK Biobank data (Van der Meer *et al.*, 2020; Yang *et al.*, 2020), as well as US nationwide data (Taquet *et al.*, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2021d), while Fond *et al.* (2021a) did so with nationwide data from Korea (Jeon *et al.*, 2020; Lee *et al.*, 2020) and Vai *et al.* (2021) in their analysis on mortality (An *et al.*, 2020; Lee *et al.*, 2020). This double-counting data, can give a false impression of precision (Cheung, 2019) and

we put great effort in avoiding this (e.g., see Box S1 in the online supplement).

Strengths and limitations

Our analyses on SARS-CoV-2 infection rate by mental disorder have precedence in the literature, and the findings that we report on COVID-19 course variables are roughly similar to those reported by previous meta-analyses (Ceban *et al.*, 2021; Fond *et al.*, 2021a; Toubasi *et al.*, 2021; Vai *et al.*, 2021). The dataset that we composed and our analytical approach, however, have some strengths over them. First, we present results stratified by mental disorder and do so for different outcomes. Second, we believe that our approach stands out in the extensive efforts that we took to avoid reporting on overlapping data sets.

Our results indicate the existence of associations between various pre-existing mental disorders and a relatively poor COVID-19 course but do not prove these associations, because the underlying data was purely observational and often was cross-sectional. Vaccination status is a key determinant for all outcomes that we report on. On the one hand, studies indicate that people with mental disorders are less likely to have themselves fully vaccinated (Hartonen *et al.*, 2023; Mazereel *et al.*, 2021). On the other hand, some countries, (e.g., the Netherlands) prioritized vaccination of people with mental disorders. Future studies are necessary to investigate the extent to which vaccination moderates the associations between pre-existing mental disorders and COVID-19 course.

The control conditions that were used in most of the input studies also constitute a limitation. All studies (with three exceptions) reported data on convenience samples in which people with a disorder were compared to people without the disorder (e.g., SUD vs. no SUD). The use of such control conditions comes with limitations. The concept of psychiatric comorbidity is ignored. Associations that we now assign to for instance a SUD, can easily reflect associations that are due to a SUD comorbid with depression or psychosis. Data exist that indeed suggests that patterns of comorbidity can be the driving force underlying the relatively poor COVID-19 course observed in people with mental disorders (Schieber *et al.*, 2023).

The publication time frame of the input studies makes that by far most studies that we included investigated the original SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan Alpha virus. This is an important advantage regarding homogeneity, but also a disadvantage because new variants (i.e., Gamma, Delta, Omicron) could not be considered. The predictor categories in our study were more fine-grained than those in earlier meta-analyses (e.g., Toubasi et al., 2021), but still represent heterogeneous categories (Feczko et al., 2019). Possibly, this resulted in at least some of the between-study differences in outcome. Large samples were included, and our meta-analyses were well-powered, but for moderator and subgroup analyses this may have not been the case. The Cochrane handbook advises interpreting the results derived from meta-regression only when there are ≥ 10 studies available per analysis (Higgins and Thomas, 2021). Sometimes, we reported results based on fewer studies. Furthermore, we show that covariate-adjusted and matched analyses yield similar results relative to uncontrolled analyses. However, results from controlled and matched analyses still can be confounded in case the full set of covariates is not considered. A good example here is medication status. The use of many types of psychotropic medication could be related to outcome (see above). This however is not specifically

addressed in most records for us to exclude this as an alternative explanation. It should be noted here that while the analyses on main outcomes (e.g., mortality) were all *a priori* registered, most meta-regression and subgroup analyses were not. Finally, we included studies that were written in English, German, French, Spanish, Arabic or Dutch and may have missed relevant data because they are written and published only in, e.g., Mandarin.

Summary and conclusion

The infection risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection is rather similar in people with mental disorders relative to control conditions. Yet, once infected, a more severe COVID-19 course was observed for all mental disorders that we studied. Hospitalization rates were relatively high in people with mental disorders; moreover, they are more likely to die from COVID-19 relative to controls. We conclude that patients with pre-existing mental disorders are (behaviourally and biologically) disadvantaged when it comes to coping with the disease. This conclusion underscores an eminent element in current definitions of poor mental health: "not having the (full) ability to cope with events and challenges" (Galderisi et al., 2015). The documented increase in the prevalence of mental disorders in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic (the COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators, 2021; Simon et al., 2021; Taquet et al., 2021) is disturbing, but especially so in the light of our findings. Together, they suggest a dangerous interaction loop. Based on our data, we predict that the stress exerted by the COVID-19 pandemic (including interpersonal stress due to lockdowns, constraints imposed by school or work, and loss) (Acuff et al., 2020) will make this loop even more dangerous because of its effects on disease moderators, e.g., allostatic overload. All in all, our findings underline the importance of vaccine priority and health surveillance in people with mental disorders, in the current and possibly a next pandemic or if vaccine escape mutants arise.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796023000719.

Availability of data and materials. The data presented in this manuscript will be made freely available at the OSF webpage dedicated to this project (https://osf.io/35jhm/) upon acceptance.

Acknowledgements. We thank the following authors for providing us with additional data upon request (in alphabetical order): Charles Bailey, Allen Bennett, Massimo Cavallaro, Benedicto Crespo Facorro, Olivera Djuric, Nick Francis, Lars Fritsche, Sang Joon Son, Jaehun Jung, Morgan Katz, David Kolin, Jacob Lebin, Iris Manor, Roy Perlis, Maxwell Salvatore, Maxime Taquet, Jack Tsai, Dana Tzur-Bitan, Carolina Varela, Kalliopi Vrotsou, Carly Welch, Ursula Werneke, and Chen Yanover.

We thank our colleagues at the Universities of Leiden and Navarra and Ari Maite Molendijk for all the discussions and their input on the topic. We acknowledge some assistance by Tugce Yurtsever in data collection, data extraction and methodological quality assessment.

Author contributions. MM had full access to the data and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the results presented in this manuscript.

Concept and design: all authors.

Data acquisition, quality grading, classification and analysis: PM, AR, TY and MM

Drafting of the manuscript: MM.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors.

Financial support. There is no funding for this project

Competing interests. PM reports to have received research grants from the Ministry of Education (Spain), the Government of Navarra (Spain), the Spanish Foundation of Psychiatry and Mental Health, and AstraZeneca; he is a clinical consultant for MedAvanteProPhase and Worldwide Clinical Trials Limited and has received lecture honoraria from or has been a consultant for AB-Biotics, Guidepoint, Janssen, Novumed, Roland Berger, and Scienta. GR declares to have received a research grant or lecture honoraria from Roche, MSD, and GSK. The other authors report not to have any potential conflict of interest.

References

- Acuff SF, Tucker JA and Murphy JG (2020) Behavioral economics of substance use: Understanding and reducing harmful use during the COVID-19 pandemic. Experimental Clinical Psychopharmacoly 29(6), 739–749.
- Al-Aly Z, Bowe B and Xie Y (2022) Long COVID after breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nature Medicine 28, 1461–1467.
- Al-Diwani AAJ, Pollak TA, Irani SR and Lennox BR (2017) Psychosis: An autoimmune disease? *Immunology* 152(3), 388–401.
- Allen B, El Shahawy O, Rogers ES, Hochman S, Khan MR and Krawczyk N (2020) Association of substance use disorders and drug overdose with adverse COVID-19 outcomes in New York City: January-October 2020. *Journal of Public Health (Oxford)* **43**(3), 462–465.
- American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association
- American Psychiatric Association (2013) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Amin MM, Futrawan R and Husada MS (2022) Correlation between schizophrenia and coronavirus disease in North Sumatera, Indonesia: A correlative analytical study. Frontiers in Psychiatry 13, 896623.
- An C, Lim H, Kim DW, Chang JH, Choi YJ and Kim SW (2020) Machine learning prediction for mortality of patients diagnosed with COVID-19: A nationwide Korean cohort study. Scientific Reports 10(1), 18716.
- Azar KMJ, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ, Lockhart SH, Smits K, Robinson S, Brown S and Pressman AR (2020) Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large health care system in California. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 39(7), 1253–1262.
- Bailey LC, Razzaghi H, Burrows EK, Bunnell HT, Camacho PEF, Christakis DA, Eckrich D, Kitzmiller M, Lin SM, Magnusen BC, Newland J, Pajor NM, Ranada D, Rao S, Sofela O, Zahner J, Bruno C and Forrest CB (2021) Assessment of 135 794 pediatric patients tested for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 across the United States. *JAMA Pediatrics* 175(2), 176–184.
- **Baillargeon J, Polychronopoulou E, Kuo YF and Raji MA** (2021) The impact of substance use disorder on COVID-19 outcomes. *Psychiatric Services* **72**(5), 578–581.
- Baker RA, Pikalov A, Tran QV, Kremenets T, Arani RB and Doraiswamy PM (2009) Atypical antipsychotic drugs and diabetes mellitus in the US Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event database: A systematic Bayesian signal detection analysis. *Psychopharmacological Bulletin* 42(1), 11–31.
- Barcella CA, Polcwiartek C, Mohr GH, Hodges G, Søndergaard K, Bang C, Andersen MP, Fosbøl E, Køber L, Schou M, Torp-Pedersen C, Kessing LV, Gislason G and Kragholm K (2021) Severe mental illness is associated with increased mortality and severe course of COVID-19. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 144, 82–91.
- Barton BB, Zagler A, Engl K, Rihs L and Musil R (2020) Prevalence of obesity, metabolic syndrome, diabetes and risk of cardiovascular disease in a psychiatric inpatient sample: Results of the Metabolism in Psychiatry (MiP) Study. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience 270(5), 597–609.
- Batey RG, Cao Q and Gould B (2002) Lymphocyte-mediated liver injury in alcohol-related hepatitis. *Alcohol* 27(1), 37–41.
- Batty GD and Gale CR (2021) Pre-pandemic mental illness and risk of death from COVID-19. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 8(3), 182–183.

- Baumeister H, Hutter N and Bengel J (2012) Psychological and pharmacological interventions for depression in patients with diabetes mellitus and depression. Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews 12, CD008381.
- Bayrak M and Çadirci K (2021) The associations of life quality, depression, and cognitive impairment with mortality in older adults with COVID-19: A prospective, observational study. Acta Clinica Belgica, 77(3), 588–595.
- Bellan M, Apostolo D, Albè A, Crevola M, Errica N, Ratano G, Tonello S, Minisini R, D'Onghia D, Baricich A, Patrucco F, Zeppegno P, Gramaglia C, Balbo PE, Cappellano G, Casella S, Chiocchetti A, Clivati E, Giordano M, Manfredi M, Patti G, Pinato DJ, Puricelli C, Raineri D, Rolla R, Sainaghi PP and Pirisi M (2022) No-More COVID study group. Determinants of long COVID among adults hospitalized for SARS-CoV-2 infection: A prospective cohort study. Frontiers in Immunology 13, 1038227.
- Beurel E, Toups M and Nemeroff CB (2020) The bidirectional relationship of depression and inflammation: Double trouble. *Neuron* **107**(2), 234–256.
- Bhopalwala H, Dewaswala N, Kolagatla S, Wisnieski L, Piercy J, Bhopalwala A and Moka N (2022) Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 in the rural Appalachian region. *International Journal of General Medicine* 15, 2207–2214.
- Bramer WM, Rethlefsen ML, Kleijnen J and Franco OH (2017) Optimal database combinations for literature searches in systematic reviews: A prospective exploratory study. Systematic Reviews 6(1), 245.
- Butler SM (2021) What is the outlook for addressing social determinants of health? *JAMA Health Forum* **2**(9), e213639–e213639.
- Byrne EA (2022) Understanding Long Covid: Nosology, social attitudes and stigma. Brain Behavior and Immunology 99, 17–24.
- Callus E, Bassola B, Fiolo V, Bertoldo EG, Pagliuca S and Lusignani M (2020)
 Stress reduction techniques for health care providers dealing with severe coronavirus infections (SARS, MERS, and COVID-19): A rapid review. Frontiers in Psychology 11, 589698.
- Canal-Rivero M, Catalán-Barragán R, Rubio-García A, Garrido-Torres N, Crespo- Facorro B, Ruiz-Veguilla M and IBIS Translational Psychiatry Group (2021) Lower risk of SARS-CoV2 infection in individuals with severe mental disorders on antipsychotic treatment: A retrospective epidemiological study in a representative Spanish population. *Schizophrenia Research* 229, 53-54
- Cantenys-Molina S, Fernández-Cruz E, Francos P, Lopez Bernaldo de Quirós JC, Muñoz P and Gil-Herrera J (2020) Lymphocyte subsets early predict mortality in a large series of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Spain. Clinical and Experimental Immunology 203(3), 424–432.
- Castro VM, Gunning FM, McCoy TH and Perlis RH (2021) Mood disorders and outcomes of COVID-19 hospitalizations. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 178, 541–547.
- Catalan A, Aymerich C, Bilbao A, Pedruzo B, Pérez JL, Aranguren N, Salazar de Pablo G, Hedges E, Gil P, Segarra R, González-Pinto A, Fernández-Rivas A, Inchausti L, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P and González-Torres MÁ (2023) Psychosis and substance abuse increase the COVID-19 mortality risk. *Psychological Medicine* 53(9), 4236–4244.
- Cavallaro M, Moiz H, Keeling MJ and McCarthy ND (2021) Contrasting factors associated with COVID-19-related ICU admission and death outcomes in hospitalised patients by means of Shapley values. PLoS Computational Biology 17(6), e1009121.
- Ceban F, Nogo D, Carvalho IP, Lee Y, Nasri F, Xiong J, Lui LMW, Subramaniapillai M, Gill H, Liu RN, Joseph P, Teopiz KM, Cao B, Mansur RB, Lin K, Rosenblat JD, Ho RC and McIntyre RS (2021) Association between mood disorders and risk of COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and death: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 78(10), 1079–1091.
- Cernea S, Dima L, Correll CU and Manu P (2020) Pharmacological management of glucose dysregulation in patients treated with second-generation antipsychotics. *Drugs* 80(17), 1763–1781.
- Chang MH, Moonesinghe R and Truman BI (2020) COVID-19 hospitalization by race and ethnicity: Association with chronic conditions among Medicare beneficiaries, January 1-September 30, 2020. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities* 9, 325–334.

- Chen S, Fernandez-Egea E, Jones PB, Lewis JR and Cardinal RN (2021a) Longer-term mortality following SARS-CoV-2 infection in people with severe mental illness: Retrospective case-matched study. *British Journal of Psychiatry Open* 7(6), e201.
- Chen Z and John Wherry E (2020) T cell responses in patients with COVID-19.
 Nature Reviews. Immunology 20(9), 529–536.
- Chen S, Jones PB, Underwood BR, Fernandez-Egea E, Qin P, Lewis JR and Cardinal RN (2021b) Risk factors for excess deaths during lockdown among older users of secondary care mental health services without confirmed COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 36(12), 1899–1907.
- Cheung MW (2019) A guide to conducting a meta-analysis with non-independent effect sizes. Neuropsychology Reviews 29(4), 387–396.
- Chireh B, Li M and D'Arcy C (2019) Diabetes increases the risk of depression: A systematic review, meta-analysis and estimates of population attributable fractions based on prospective studies. *Preventive Medicine Reports* 14, 100822.
- Chrousos GP (2009) Stress and disorders of the stress system. Nature Reviews Endocrinology 5(7), 374–381.
- Clift AK, Coupland CAC, Keogh RH, Diaz-Ordaz K, Williamson E, Harrison EM, Hayward A, Hemingway H, Horby P, Mehta N, Benger J, Khunti K, Spiegelhalter D, Sheikh A, Valabhji J, Lyons RA, Robson J, Semple MG, Kee F, Johnson P, Jebb S, Williams T and Hippisley-Cox J (2020) Living risk prediction algorithm (QCOVID) for risk of hospital admission and mortality from coronavirus 19 in adults: National derivation and validation cohort study. *British Medical Journal* 371, m3731.
- Clouston SAP, Luft BJ and Sun E (2021) Clinical risk factors for mortality in an analysis of 1375 patients admitted for COVID treatment. *scientific Reports* 11(1), 23414.
- Cohen HA, Gerstein M, Yaniv N, Richenberg Y, Jacobson E, Marton S, Hoshen M and Shkalim Zemer V (2022) Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder as a risk factor for COVID-19 infection. *Journal of Attention Disorders* 26(7), 985–990.
- Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D, Doyle WJ, Miller GE, Frank E, Rabin BS and Turner RB (2012) Chronic stress, glucocorticoid receptor resistance, inflammation, and disease risk. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 109(16), 5995–5999.
- COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators (2021) Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet* **398**, P1700–P1712.
- Cummins L, Ebyarimpa I, Cheetham N, Tzortziou Brown V, Brennan K and Panovska-Griffiths J (2021) Factors associated with COVID-19 related hospitalization, critical care admission and mortality using linked primary and secondary care data. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* 15(5), 577–588.
- Dai XJ, Shao Y, Ren L, Tao W and Wang Y (2022) Risk factors of COVID-19 in subjects with and without mental disorders. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **297**, 102–111.
- Davies HT, Crombie IK and Tavakoli M (1998) When can odds ratios mislead? British Medical Journal 316(7136), 989–991.
- De Hert M, Hanssens L, van Winkel R, Wampers M, Van Eyck D, Scheen A and Peuskens J (2007) A case series: Evaluation of the metabolic safety of aripiprazole. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 33(3), 823–830.
- De Kloet ER, Joëls M and Holsboer F (2005) Stress and the brain: From adaptation to disease. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience* **6**(6), 463–475.
- De Kloet ER, Meijer OC, de Nicola AF, de Rijk RH and Joëls M (2018) Importance of the brain corticosteroid receptor balance in metaplasticity, cognitive performance and neuro-inflammation. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology 49, 124–145.
- de Miranda DAP, Gomes SVC, Filgueiras PS, Corsini CA, Almeida NBF, Silva RA, Medeiros MIVARC, Vilela RVR, Fernandes GR and Grenfell RFQ (2022) Long COVID-19 syndrome: A 14-months longitudinal study during the two first epidemic peaks in Southeast Brazil. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 116(11), 1007–1014.
- Descamps A, Frenkiel J, Zarca K, Laidi C, Godin O, Launay O, Leboyer M and Durand-Zaleski I (2022) Association between mental disorders and COVID-19 outcomes among inpatients in France: A retrospective nationwide population-based study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 155, 194–201.

De Vito A, Fiore V, Princic E, Geremia N, Napodano CMP, Muredda AA, Maida I, Madeddu G and Babudieri S (2021) Predictors of infection, symptoms development, and mortality in people with SARS-CoV-2 living in retirement nursing homes. *PLoS One* 16(3), e0248009.

- Díaz-Simón R, Lalueza A, Lora-Tamayo J, Rubio-Rivas M, Mendo CL, Martínez MLT, Méndez CA, Pesqueira Fontán PM, Cruz AF, Cabrera JLR, Rodríguez BC, Rubio AE, de Ávila VSR, García GMG, Osorio LC, González-Fernández M, Noya AG, Wittel MB, Fernandez FA, Sempere VM, Artero A, Loureiro-Amigo J, Huelgas RG, Santos JMA, Lumbreras C and SEMI-COVID-19 Network (2021) Clinical characteristics and risk factors of respiratory failure in a cohort of young patients requiring hospital admission with SARS-CoV2 infection in Spain: Results of the multicenter SEMI-COVID-19 registry. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 36(10), 3080–3087.
- Diez-Quevedo C, Iglesias-Gonzalez M, Giralt-Lopez M, Rangil T, Sanagustin D, Moreira M, López-Ramentol M, Ibáñez-Capparós A, Lorán ME, Bustos-Cardena T, Ménendez-Cuiñas I, Mundo-Cid P, Blanco-Presas L, de Pablo J and Cuevas-Esteban J (2021) Mental disorders, psychopharmacological treatments, and mortality in 2150 COVID-19 Spanish inpatients. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 143(6), 526-534.
- Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu H, Sham L, Reim EK and Lanctôt KL (2010) A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry* **67**(5), 446–457.
- Durstenfeld MS, Peluso MJ, Peyser ND, Lin F, Knight SJ, Djibo A, Khatib R, Kitzman H, O'Brien E, Williams N, Isasi C, Kornak J, Carton TW, Olgin JE, Pletcher MJ, Marcus GM and Beatty AL (2023) Factors associated with long COVID symptoms in an online cohort study. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* 10(2), ofad047.
- Egede C, Dawson AZ, Walker RJ, Garacci E, Campbell JA and Egede LE (2021) Relationship between mental health diagnoses and COVID-19 test positivity, hospitalization, and mortality in Southeast Wisconsin. *Psychological Medicine* **53**(3), 927–935.
- Feczko E, Miranda-Dominguez O, Marr M, Graham AM, Nigg JT and Fair DA (2019) The heterogeneity problem: Approaches to identify psychiatric subtypes. *Trends in Cognitive sciences* 23(7), 584–601.
- Fond G, Nemani K, Etchecopar-Etchart D, Loundou A, Goff DC, Lee SW, Lancon C, Auquier P, Baumstarck K, Llorca PM, Yon DK and Boyer L (2021a) Association between mental health disorders and mortality among patients with COVID-19 in 7 countries: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 78(11), 1208–1217.
- Fond G, Pauly V, Leone M, Llorca PM, Orleans V, Loundou A, Lancon C, Auquier P, Baumstarck K and Boyer L (2021b) Disparities in intensive care unit admission and mortality among patients with schizophrenia and COVID-19: A national cohort. Schizophrenia Bulletin 47(3), 624-634.
- Fraguas D, Díaz-Caneja CM, Ayora M, Hernández-Álvarez F, Rodríguez-Quiroga A, Recio S, Leza JC and Arango C (2019) Oxidative stress and inflammation in first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **45**(4), 742–751.
- Francis NA, Stuart B, Knight M, Vancheeswaran R, Oliver C, Willcox M, Barlow A and Moore M (2021) Predictors of clinical deterioration in patients with suspected COVID-19 managed in a 'virtual hospital' setting: A cohort study. *BMJ Open* 11(3), e045356.
- Galderisi S, Heinz A, Kastrup M, Beezhold J and Sartorius N (2015) Toward a new definition of mental health. *World Psychiatry* 14(2), 231–233.
- Gasnier M, Choucha W, Radiguer F, Faulet T, Chappell K, Bougarel A, Kondarjian C, Thorey P, Baldacci A, Balderini M, Herrero H, Hardy-Leger I, Meyrignac O, Morin L, Lecoq A-L, Pham T, Jollant F, Monnet X, Becquemont L and Corruble E (2022) Comorbidity of long COVID and psychiatric disorders after a hospitalisation for COVID-19: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* 93, 1091–1098.
- Giannoglou D, Meimeti E, Provatopoulou X, Stathopoulos K, Roukas KI and Galanis P (2020) Predictors of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Athens, Greece. *International Journal of Caring Sciences; Nicosia* 13(3), 1689–1698.
- **Glaser R and Kiecolt-Glaser JK** (2015) Stress-induced immune dysfunction: Implications for health. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **5**(3), 243–251.

- Goldberger N, Bergman-Levy T, Haklai Z, Yoffe R, Davidson M, Susser E, Levi L, Elhasid T and Weiser M (2022) COVID-19 and severe mental illness in Israel: Testing, infection, hospitalization, mortality and vaccination rates in a countrywide study. *Molecular Psychiatry* 27(7), 3107–3114.
- **Grant RL** (2014) Converting an odds ratio to a range of plausible relative risks for better communication of research findings. *BMJ* **348**, f7450.
- Haimovich A, Warner F, Young HP, Ravindra NG, Sehanobish A, Gong G, Wilson FP, van Dijk D, Schulz W and Taylor RA (2020) Patient factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 in an admitted emergency department population. *Journal of the American College of Emergency Physicians Open* 1(4), 569–577.
- Hartonen T, Jermy B, Sõnajalg H, Vartiainen P, Krebs K, Vabalas A, Leino T, Nohynek H, Sivelä J, Mägi R, Daly M, Ollila HM, Milani L, Perola M, Ripatti S and Ganna A (2023) Nationwide health, socio-economic and genetic predictors of COVID-19 vaccination status in Finland. Nature Human Behaviour 7(7), 1069–1083.
- Hashemi-Shahri SM, Tabatabaei SMN, Ansari-Moghaddam A, Mohammadi M, Okati-Aliabad H, Tabatabaei SM, Ansari H, Abbasi M, Sheikhzadeh K, Baygi MZ, Sartipi M, Sanei-Sistani S, Khorashad ARS, Ansari-Moghadam F, Torab N, Khalili T and Miri-Aliabad G (2022) Epidemiological and clinical risk factors related to severe COVID-19 in Iran: A multi-center study. BMC Infectious Diseases 22(1), 184.
- Haupt DW and Newcomer JW (2001) Hyperglycemia and antipsychotic medications. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 62 (Suppl 27), 15–26.
- Heck DW, Boehm U, Böing-Messing F, Bürkner PC, Derks K, Dienes Z, Fu Q, Gu X, Karimova D, Kiers HAL, Klugkist I, Kuiper RM, Lee MD, Leenders R, Leplaa HJ, Linde M, Ly A, Meijerink-Bosman M, Moerbeek M, Mulder J, Palfi B, Schönbrodt FD, Tendeiro JN, van den Bergh D, Van Lissa CJ, van Ravenzwaaij D, Vanpaemel W, Wagenmakers EJ, Williams DR, Zondervan-Zwijnenburg M and Hoijtink H (2022) A review of applications of the Bayes factor in psychological research. *Psychological Methods* 28(3), 558.
- Hedberg P, Granath F, Bruchfeld J, Askling J, Sjöholm D, Fored M, Färnert A and Naucler P (2023) Post COVID-19 condition diagnosis: A population-based cohort study of occurrence, associated factors, and healthcare use by severity of acute infection. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 293(2), 246–258.
- Henry BM, Benoit SW, Vikse J, Berger BA, Pulvino C, Hoehn J, Rose J, Santos de Oliveira MH, Lippi G and Benoit JL (2019) The anti-inflammatory cytokine response characterized by elevated interleukin-10 is a stronger predictor of severe disease and poor outcomes than the pro-inflammatory cytokine response in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine 59(3), 599–607.
- Higgins J and Thomas J (2021) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions 6.2. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current (accessed 23 July 2021).
- Hirashima T, Arai T, Kitajima H, Tamura Y, Yamada T, Hashimoto S, Morishita H, Minamoto S, Kawashima K, Kashiwa Y, Kameda M, Takeshita T, Suzuki H, Matsuoka H, Yamaguchi S, Tanaka T and Nagai T (2021) Factors significantly associated with COVID-19 severity in symptomatic patients: A retrospective single-center study. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy* 27(1), 76–82.
- Izurieta HS, Graham DJ, Jiao Y, Hu M, Lu Y, Wu Y, Chillarige Y, Wernecke M, Menis M, Pratt D, Kelman J and Forshee R (2021) Natural history of coronavirus disease 2019: Risk factors for hospitalizations and deaths among >26 million US Medicare beneficiaries. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 223(6), 945–956.
- JASP Team (2017) JASP (version 0.16.2). https://jasp-stats.org/ (accessed 15 December 2022).
- Jeon H-L, Kwon JS, Park S-H and Shin J-Y (2020) Association of mental disorders with SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe health outcomes: A nationwide cohort study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 218(6), 344–351.
- Jones R, Davis A, Stanley B, Julious S, Ryan D, Jackson DJ, Halpin DMG, Hickman K, Pinnock H, Quint JK, Khunti K, Heaney LG, Oliver P, Siddiqui S, Pavord I, Jones DHM, Hyland M, Ritchie L, Young P, Megaw T, Davis S, Walker S, Holgate S, Beecroft S, Kemppinen A, Appiagyei F, Roberts EJ, Preston M, Hardjojo A, Carter V, van Melle M and Price D (2021) Risk predictors and symptom features of long COVID within a broad primary care patient population including both tested and untested patients. Pragmatic and Observational Research 12, 93–104.

- Juster RP, McEwen BS and Lupien SJ (2010) Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, **35**(1), 2–16.
- Keller J, Gomez R, Williams G, Lembke A, Lazzeroni L, Murphy GM Jr, and Schatzberg AF (2017) HPA axis in major depression: Cortisol, clinical symptomatology and genetic variation predict cognition. *Molecular Psychiatry* 22(4), 527–536.
- Keysers C, Gazzola V and Wagenmakers EJ (2020) Using Bayes factor hypothesis testing in neuroscience to establish evidence of absence. *Nature Neuroscience* 23, 788–799.
- Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Derry HM and Fagundes CP (2015) Inflammation: Depression fans the flames and feasts on the heat. American Journal of Psychiatry 172(11), 1075–1091.
- Koller EA, Cross JT, Doraiswamy PM and Schneider BS (2003) Risperidoneassociated diabetes mellitus: A pharmacovigilance study. *Pharmacotherapy* 23(6), 735–744.
- Koller EA and Doraiswamy PM (2002) Olanzapine-associated diabetes mellitus. Pharmacotherapy 22(7), 841–852.
- Koller E, Schneider B, Bennett K and Dubitsky G (2001) Clozapine-associated diabetes. American Journal of Medicine 111(9), 716–723.
- Kreek MJ, Nielsen DA, Butelman ER and LaForge KS (2005) Genetic influences on impulsivity, risk taking, stress responsivity and vulnerability to drug abuse and addiction. *Nature Neuroscience* 8(11), 1450–1457.
- Kundi H, Çetin EHÖ, Canpolat U, Aras S, Celik O, Ata N, Birinci S, Çay S, Özeke Ö, Tanboğa IH and Topaloğlu S (2020) The role of frailty on adverse outcomes among older patients with COVID-19. *Journal of Infection* 81(6), 944–951.
- Lam GY, Damant RW, Ferrara G, Lim RK, Stickland MK, Ogando NS, Power C and Smith MP (2023) Characterizing long-COVID brain fog: A retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Neurology* 270, 4640–4646.
- Lamers F, Milaneschi Y, de Jonge P, Giltay EJ and Penninx BWJH (2018) Metabolic and inflammatory markers: Associations with individual depressive symptoms. *Psychological Medicine* 48(7), 1102–1110.
- Lebin JA, Mudan A and Wu AHB (2020) Chronic alcohol use does not protect against COVID-19 infection. American Journal of Emergency Medicine 45, 640–641.
- Lee SW, Yang JM, Moon SY, Kim N, Ahn YM, Kim JM, Shin JI, Suh DI, Yon DK and Study Authors (2021) Association between mental illness and COVID-19 in South Korea: A post-hoc analysis. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 8(4), 271–272.
- Lee SW, Yang JM, Moon SY, Yoo IK, Ha EK, Kim SY, Park UM, Choi S, Lee SH, Ahn YM, Kim JM, Koh HY and Yon DK (2020) Association between mental illness and COVID-19 susceptibility and clinical outcomes in South Korea: A nationwide cohort study. The Lancet Psychiatry 7(12), 1025–1031.
- Lega I, Nisticò L, Palmieri L, Caroppo E, Lo Noce C, Donfrancesco C, Vanacore N, Scattoni ML, Picardi A, Gigantesco A, Brusaferro S and Onder G (2021) Psychiatric disorders among hospitalized patients deceased with COVID-19 in Italy. *EClinicalMedicine* 35, 100854.
- Libowitz MR and Nurmi EL (2021) The burden of antipsychotic-induced weight gain and metabolic syndrome in children. Frontiers in Psychiatry 12, 623681.
- Li T, Lu H and Zhang W (2020) Clinical observation and management of COVID-19 patients. *Emerging Microbes and Infections* 9(1), 687–690.
- Li T, Zhang L, Cai S, Lu Z, Bao W, Guo Z and Rong S (2022) Association of mental health with clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients with moderate COVID-19. Journal of Affective Disorders Affect Disord 312, 331–336.
- Logette E, Lorin C, Favreau C, Oshurko E, Coggan JS, Casalegno F, Sy MF, Monney C, Bertschy M, Delattre E, Fonta PA, Krepl J, Schmidt S, Keller D, Kerrien S, Scantamburlo E, Kaufmann AK and Markram H (2021) A machine-generated view of the role of blood glucose levels in the severity of COVID-19. Front Public Health 9, 695139.
- Mahboub N, Rizk R, Karavetian M and de Vries N (2021) Nutritional status and eating habits of people who use drugs and/or are undergoing treatment for recovery: A narrative review. Nutrition Reviews 79, 627–635.
- Maripuu M, Bendix M, Öhlund L, Widerström M and Werneke U (2021)

 Death associated with coronavirus (COVID-19) infection in individuals

with severe mental disorders in Sweden during the early months of the outbreak-an exploratory cross-sectional analysis of a population-based register study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **11**, 609579.

- Mazereel V, Van Assche K, Detraux J and De Hert M (2021) COVID-19 vaccination for people with severe mental illness: Why, what, and how? *The Lancet Psychiatry* 8(5), 444–450.
- McEvoy JP, Citrome L, Hernandez D, Cucchiaro J, Hsu J, Pikalov A and Loebel A (2013) Effectiveness of lurasidone in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder switched from other antipsychotics: A randomized, 6-week, open-label study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 74(2), 170–179
- McEwen BS (2013) Allostasis and allostatic load: implications for neuropsychopharmacology. Stress and the Brain, 2–18.
- McIntyre RS, Soczynska JK, Konarski JZ and Kennedy SH (2006) The effect of antidepressants on glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity: Synthesis and mechanisms. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety* 5(1), 157–168.
- Mena G, Martinez PP, Mahmud AS, Marquet PA, Buckee CO and Santillana M (2021) Socioeconomic status determines COVID-19 incidence and related mortality in Santiago, Chile. Science 372(6545), eabg5298.
- Merzon E, Manor I, Rotem A, Schneider T, Vinker S, Golan Cohen A, Lauden A, Weizman A and Green I (2020) ADHD as a risk factor for infection with Covid-19. Journal of Attention Disorders 25(13), 1783-1790.
- Merzon E, Weiss MD, Cortese S, Rotem A, Schneider T, Craig SG, Vinker S, Golan A, Green I, Ashkenazi S, Weizman A and Manor I (2021) The Association between ADHD and the Severity of COVID-19 Infection. *Journal of Attention Disorders* 26(4), 491–501.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG and PRISMA Group (2009)
 Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS medicine* **6**(7), e1000097.
- Morris G, Maes M, Berk M, Carvalho AF and Puri BK (2020) Nutritional ketosis as an intervention to relieve astrogliosis: Possible therapeutic applications in the treatment of neurodegenerative and neuroprogressive disorders. *European Psychiatry* **63**(1), e8.
- Müller N and Schwarz MJ (2010) Immune system and schizophrenia. Current Immunology Reviews 6(3), 213–220.
- Musheyev B, Janowicz R, Borg L, Matarlo M, Boyle H, Hou W and Duong TQ (2021) Characterizing non-critically ill COVID-19 survivors with and without in-hospital rehabilitation. *Scientific Reports* 11(1), 21039.
- Nemani K, Conderino S, Marx J, Thorpe LE and Goff DC (2021b) Association between antipsychotic use and COVID-19 mortality among people with serious mental illness. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**(12), 1391–1393.
- Nemani K, Li C, Olfson M, Blessing EM, Razavian N, Chen J, Petkova E and Goff DC (2021a) Association of psychiatric disorders with mortality among patients with COVID-19. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**(4), 380–386.
- Nilsson SF, Laursen TM, Osler M, Hjorthøj C, Benros ME, Ethelberg S, Mølbak K and Nordentoft M (2022) Adverse SARS-CoV-2-associated outcomes among people experiencing social marginalisation and psychiatric vulnerability: A population-based cohort study among 4,4 million people. The Lancet Regional Health Europe 20, 100421–100421.
- Nishimi K, Neylan TC, Bertenthal D, Seal KH and O'Donovan A (2022) Association of psychiatric disorders with incidence of SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infection among vaccinated adults. JAMA Network Open 5(4), e227287.
- Orlando V, Rea F, Savaré L, Guarino I, Mucherino S, Perrella A, Trama U, Coscioni E, Menditto E and Corrao G (2021) Development and validation of a clinical risk score to predict the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection from administrative data: A population-based cohort study from Italy. PLoS One 16(1), e0237202.
- Pai N and Vella SL (2022) The physical and mental health consequences of social isolation and loneliness in the context of COVID-19. Current Opinion in Psychiatry 35(5), 305–310.
- Pal R and Bhadada SK (2020) COVID-19 and diabetes mellitus: An unholy interaction of two pandemics. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome* 14(4), 513–517.

Pavarin RM, Fabbri C and De Ronchi D (2022) COVID-19 hospitalization rates in individuals with substance or alcohol use disorders. *Psychiatry Research* 311, 114521.

- Perez-Araluce R, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Fernández-Lázaro CI, Bes-Rastrollo M, Gea A and Carlos S (2021) Mediterranean diet and the risk of COVID-19 in the 'Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra' cohort. *Clinical Nutrition* 41(12), 3061–3068.
- Poblador-Plou B, Carmona-Pírez J, Ioakeim-Skoufa I, Poncel-Falcó A, Bliek-Bueno K, Cano-Del Pozo M, Gimeno-Feliú LA, González-Rubio F, Aza-Pascual-Salcedo M, Bandrés-Liso AC, Díez-Manglano J, Marta-Moreno J, Mucherino S, Gimeno-Miguel A, Prados-Torres A and EpiChron Group (2020) Baseline chronic comorbidity and mortality in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases: Results from the PRECOVID study in Spain. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17(14), 5171.
- Qeadan F, Tingey B, Bern R, Porucznik CA, English K, Saeed AI and Madden EF (2021) Opioid use disorder and health service utilization among COVID-19 patients in the US: A nationwide cohort from the Cerner Real-World Data. EClinicalMedicine 37, 100938.
- Rastogi R, Cerda IH, ElTohamy A, Chen JA, Stevens C and Liu CH (2023) Long COVID and psychological distress in young adults: Potential protective effect of a prior mental health diagnosis. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **340**, 639-648
- Rodríguez-Molinero A, Gálvez-Barrón C, Miñarro A, Macho O, López GF, Robles MT, Dapena MD, Martínez S, Milà Ràfols N, Monaco EE, Hidalgo García A and COVID-19 Research Group of CSAPG (2020) Association between COVID-19 prognosis and disease presentation, comorbidities and chronic treatment of hospitalized patients. PLoS One 15(10), e0239571.
- **Roopan S and Larsen ER** (2017) Use of antidepressants in patients with depression and comorbid diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. *Acta Neuropsychiatrica* **29**(3), 127–139.
- Sacher J, Mossaheb N, Spindelegger C, Klein N, Geiss-Granadia T, Sauermann R, Lackner E, Joukhadar C, Müller M and Kasper S (2008) Effects of olanzapine and ziprasidone on glucose tolerance in healthy volunteers. Neuropsychopharmacology 33(7), 1633–1641.
- Saddichha S, Manjunatha N, Ameen S and Akhtar S (2008) Metabolic syndrome in first episode schizophrenia a randomized double-blind controlled, short-term prospective study. *Schizophrenia Research* **101**(1–3), 266–272.
- Salvatore M, Gu T, Mack JA, Sankar SP, Patil S, Valley TS, Singh K, Nallamothu BK, Kheterpal S, Lisabeth L, Fritsche LG and Mukherjeea B (2021) A Phenome-Wide Association Study (PheWAS) of COVID-19 outcomes by race using the electronic health records data in michigan medicine. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 10(7), 1351.
- Schieber LZ, Dunphy C, Schieber RA, Lopes-Cardozo B, Moonesinghe R and Guy GP Jr, (2023) Hospitalization associated with comorbid psychiatric and substance use disorders among adults with COVID-19 Treated in US emergency departments from April 2020 to August 2021. *JAMA Psychiatry* 80(4), 331–341.
- Simon FAJ, Schenk M, Palm D, Faltraco F and Thome J (2021) The collateral damage of the COVID-19 outbreak on mental health and psychiatry. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **18**(9), 4440.
- Simpson GM, Glick ID, Weiden PJ, Romano SJ and Siu CO (2004) Randomized, controlled, double-blind multicenter comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of ziprasidone and olanzapine in acutely ill inpatients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 161(10), 1837–1847.
- Sisó-Almirall A, Kostov B, Mas-Heredia M, Vilanova-Rotllan S, Sequeira-Aymar E, Sans-Corrales M, Sant-Arderiu E, Cayuelas-Redondo L, Martínez-Pérez A, García-Plana N, Anguita-Guimet A and Benavent-Àreu J (2020) Prognostic factors in Spanish COVID-19 patients: A case series from Barcelona. PLoS One 15(8), e0237960.
- Smadi M, Kaburis M, Schnapper Y, Reina G, Molero P and Molendijk ML (2023) SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility and COVID-19 illness course and outcome in people with pre-existing neurodegenerative disorders: Systematic review with frequentist and Bayesian meta-analyses. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 223(2), 348–361.

- Solis J, Franco-Paredes C, Henao-Martínez AF, Krsak M and Zimmer SM (2020) Structural vulnerability in the U.S. revealed in three waves of COVID-19. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **103**(1), 25–27.
- Spitzer C, Barnow S, Völzke H, John U, Freyberger HJ and Grabe HJ (2009) Trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and physical illness: Findings from the general population. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 71(9), 1012–1017.
- StataCorp (2021) Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.
- Sterne JA, Bradburn MJ and Egger M (2001) Meta—Analysis in Stata[™].
 Systematic Reviews in Health Care: Meta-analysis in Context. New York City: John Wiley & Sons, 347–369.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA and Thacker SB (2000) Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: A proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA* 283(15), 2008–2012.
- Suran M (2023) Long COVID linked with unemployment in new analysis. Journal of the American Medical Association 329(9), 701–702.
- Tang O, Bigelow BF, Sheikh F, Peters M, Zenilman JM, Bennett R and Katz MJ (2020) Outcomes of nursing home COVID-19 patients by initial symptoms and comorbidity: Results of universal testing of 1970 residents. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 21(12), 1767–1773.e1.
- Taquet M, Luciano S, Geddes JR and Harrison PJ (2021) Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: Retrospective cohort studies of 62 354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **8**(2), 130–140
- Teixeira AL, Krause TM, Ghosh L, Shahani L, Machado-Vieira R, Lane SD, Boerwinkle E and Soares JC (2020) Analysis of COVID-19 infection and mortality among patients with psychiatric disorders. *JAMA Network Open* **4**(11), e2134969.
- **The International Schizophrenia Consortium** (2009) Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* **460**(7256), 748–752.
- Thompson EJ, Williams DM, Walker AJ, Mitchell RE, Niedzwiedz CL, Yang TC, Huggins CF, Kwong ASF, Silverwood RJ, Di Gessa G, Bowyer RCE, Northstone K, Hou B, Green MJ, Dodgeon B, Doores KJ, Duncan EL, Williams FMK, OpenSAFELY Collaborative, Steptoe A, Porteous DJ, McEachan RRC, Tomlinson L, Goldacre B, Patalay P, Ploubidis GB, Katikireddi SV, Tilling K, Rentsch CT, Timpson NJ, Chaturvedi N and Steves CJ (2022) Long COVID burden and risk factors in 10 UK longitudinal studies and electronic health records. Nature Communications 13(1), 3528.
- **Toben C and Baune BT** (2015) An act of balance between adaptive and maladaptive immunity in depression: A role for T lymphocytes. *Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology* **10**(4), 595–609.
- Tokuda Y, Barnett PB, Sanji S, Takaizumi Y, Tomono M, Tokuda H, Taniguchi K and Shibuya K (2023) Serious mental illness and in-hospital mortality among hospitalized patients with acute COVID-19: A large-database analysis in Japan. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 82, 1–6.
- **Toubasi AA, AbuAnzeh RB, Tawileh HBA, Aldebei RH and Alryalat SAS** (2021) A meta-analysis: The mortality and severity of COVID-19 among patients with mental disorders. *Psychiatry Research* **299**, 113856.
- Tzur-Bitan D, Krieger I, Kridin K, Komantscher D, Scheinman Y, Weinstein O, Cohen AD, Cicurel AA and Feingold D (2021) COVID-19 prevalence and mortality among schizophrenia patients: A large-scale retrospective cohort study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 47, 1211–1217.
- United States National Institutes of Health (2021) Quality assessment tool for cross-sectional studies. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/studyquality-assessment-tools (accessed 14 July 2023).
- Vai B, Mazza MG, Delli Colli C, Foiselle M, Allen B, Benedetti F, Borsini A, Casanova Dias M, Tamouza R, Leboyer M, Benros ME, Branchi I, Fusar-Poli P and De Picker LJ (2021) Mental disorders and risk of COVID-19-related mortality, hospitalisation, and intensive care unit admission: A systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Psychiatry 8(9), 797-812.

- Van der Meer D, Pinzón-Espinosa J, Lin BD, Tijdink JK, Vinkers CH, Guloksuz S and Luykx JJ (2020) Associations between psychiatric disorders, COVID-19 testing probability and COVID-19 testing results: Findings from a population-based study. BJPsych Open 6(5), e87.
- van Winkel R, De Hert M, Wampers M, Van Eyck D, Hanssens L, Scheen A and Peuskens J (2008) Major changes in glucose metabolism, including new-onset diabetes, within 3 months after initiation of or switch to atypical antipsychotic medication in patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 69(3), 472–479.
- Varela Rodríguez C, Arias Horcajadas F, Martín-Arriscado Arroba C, Combarro Ripoll C, Juanes Gonzalez A, Esperesate Pajares M, Rodrigo Holgado I, Cadenas Manceñido Á, Sánchez Rodríguez L, Baselga Penalva B, Marín M and Rubio G (2021) COVID-19-related neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with alcohol abuse conditions during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: A retrospective cohort study using real world data from electronic health records of a tertiary hospital. Frontiers in Neurology 12, 630566.
- Velásquez García HA, Wilton J, Smolina K, Chong M, Rasali D, Otterstatter M, Rose C, Prystajecky N, David S, Galanis E, McKee G, Krajden M and Janjua NZ (2021) Mental health and substance use associated with hospitalization among people with COVID-19: A population-based cohort study. Viruses 13(11), 2196.
- Vrotsou K, Rotaeche R, Mateo-Abad M, Machón M and Vergara I (2021)
 Variables associated with COVID-19 severity: An observational study of non-paediatric confirmed cases from the general population of the Basque Country, Spain. British Medical Journal Open 11(4), e049066.
- Wang PW, Ahorsu DK, Lin CY, Chen IH, Yen CF, Kuo YJ, Griffiths MD and Pakpour AH (2021) Motivation to have COVID-19 vaccination explained using an extended protection motivation theory among university students in China: the role of information sources. *Vaccines*, 9(4), 380.
- Wang QQ, Kaelber DC, Xu R and Volkow ND (2021c) COVID-19 risk and outcomes in patients with substance use disorders: Analyses from electronic health records in the United States. *Molecular Psychiatry* **26**(1), 30–39.
- Wang Y, Liu D, Li X, Liu Y and Wu Y (2021d) Antidepressants use and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal* of Affective Disorders 287, 41–53.
- Wang S, Quan L, Chavarro JE, Slopen N, Kubzansky LD, Koenen KC, Kang JH, Weisskopf MG, Branch-Elliman W and Roberts AL (2022b) Associations of depression, anxiety, worry, perceived stress, and loneliness prior to infection with risk of post-COVID-19 conditions. *JAMA Psychiatry* 79(11), 1081–1091.
- Wang S, Quan L, Ding M, Kang JH, Koenen KC, Kubzansky LD, Branch-Elliman W, Chavarro JE and Roberts AL (2022c) Depression, worry, and loneliness are associated with subsequent risk of hospitalization for COVID-19: A prospective study. *Psychological Medicine* 19, 1–10.
- Wang L, Wang Q, Davis PB, Volkow ND and Xu R (2022a) Increased risk for COVID-19 breakthrough infection in fully vaccinated patients with substance use disorders in the United States between December 2020 and August 2021. World Psychiatry 21(1), 124–132.
- Wang QQ, Xu R and Volkow ND (2021a) Increased risk of COVID-19 infection and mortality in people with mental disorders: Analysis from electronic health records in the United States. *World Psychiatry* **20**(1), 124–130.
- Wang Y, Yang Y, Ren L, Shao Y, Tao W and Dai XJ (2021b) Preexisting mental disorders increase the risk of COVID-19 infection and associated mortality. Frontiers in Public Health 9, 684112.
- Wei ZX, Chen L, Zhang JJ and Cheng Y (2020) Aberrations in peripheral inflammatory cytokine levels in substance use disorders: A meta-analysis of 74 studies. Addiction 115(12), 2257–2267.
- Welch C and for the Geriatric Medicine Research Collaborative; Covid Collaborative (2021) Age and frailty are independently associated with increased COVID-19 mortality and increased care needs in survivors: Results of an international multi-center study. Age and Ageing 50(3), 617–630.
- Wohleb ES, Franklin T, Iwata M and Duman RS (2016) Integrating neuroimmune systems in the neurobiology of depression. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 17(8), 497–511.

World Health Organization (1992) International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10). Geneva: World Health Organization.

- World Health Organization (2022) WHO COVID-19 dashboard. https://covid19.who.int (accessed 24 September 2022).
- World Health Organization (2023) Meeting of the international health regulations emergency committee. https://www.who.int/news/item/30-01-2023-statement-on-the-fourteenth-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-pandemic (accessed 3 August 2023).
- Yang H, Chen W, Hu Y, Chen Y, Zeng Y, Sun Y, Ying Z, He J, Qu Y, Lu D, Fang F, Valdimarsdóttir UA and Song H (2020) Pre-pandemic psychiatric disorders and risk of COVID-19: A UK Biobank cohort analysis. *The Lancet Healthy Longevity* 1(2), e69–e79.
- Yang Y, Li W, Zhang Q, Zhang L, Cheung T, Ng CH and Xiang YT (2021) Should people with severe mental illness be prioritized for the COVID-19

- vaccination? International Journal of Biological Sciences 17(6), 1443-1445.
- Yanover C, Mizrahi B, Kalkstein N, Marcus K, Akiva P, Barer Y, Shalev V and Chodick G (2020) What factors increase the risk of complications in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients? A cohort study in a Nationwide Israeli Health Organization. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 6(3), e20872.
- Yuan S, Yao H and Larsson SC (2020) Associations of cigarette smoking with psychiatric disorders: Evidence from a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Scientific Reports* 10(1), 13807.
- Zhang JP, Gallego JA, Robinson DG, Malhotra AK, Kane JM and Correll CU (2013) Efficacy and safety of individual second-generation vs. firstgeneration antipsychotics in first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacoly* 16(6), 1205–1218.
- **Zhang J and Yu KF** (1998) What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. *JAMA* **280**(19), 1690–1691.