

FANCD2 and BRCA1 have differential expression among the FA-BRCA genes in primary breast cancer

Expressão diferencial de *FANCD2* e *BRCA1* no câncer de mama primário

DOI:10.34119/bjhrv3n4-056

Recebimento dos originais:02/06/2020 Aceitação para publicação:10/07/2020

Sarah Franco Vieira de Oliveira Maciel

Doutora em Genética pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Universidade Federal da Fronteira Sul. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biomédicas Endereço: SC 484 - Km 02, Fronteira Sul, Chapecó, 89815-899, Brasil E-mail: sarahfvo@gmail.com

Leandro Tamião Rodrigues Serino

Mestre em Genética pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Universidade Federal do Paraná. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Genética Endereço: Centro Politécnico, Av. Coronel Francisco H. dos Santos, 100, Curitiba, 81531-980, Brasil E-mail: le.serino@gmail.com

Luis Gustavo Sá-Gabriel

Doutor em Genética pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Instituto Mendes de Ensino Superior Endereço: Av. Dr. José Francisco da Silva, 251, Potengi, Natal - RN, 59108-500, Brasil E-mail: lugustavo2@gmail.com

Marcos Euzébio Maciel

Doutor em Genética pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Instituto Federal de Santa Catarina Endereço: Rua Nereu Ramos, 3450D, Chapecó, 89813-000, Brasil E-mail: marcos.e.maciel@gmail.com

Cícero de Andrade Urban

Doutor em Medicina pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Hospital Nossa Senhora das Graças Hospital Endereço: Rua Alcides Munhoz, 433, Curitiba, 80810-040, Brasil E-mail: cicerourban@hotmail.com

Rubens Silveira de Lima

Médico especialista em Oncologia cirúrgica pelo Hospital Nossa Senhora das Graças Instituição: Hospital Nossa Senhora das Graças Hospital Endereço: Rua Alcides Munhoz, 433, Curitiba, 80810-040, Brasil E-mail: rubens@cdm.med.br

Iglenir João Cavalli

Doutor em Genética e Biologia Molecular pela Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Instituição: Universidade Federal do Paraná. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Genética Endereço: Centro Politécnico, Av. Coronel Francisco H. dos Santos, 100, Curitiba, 81531-980, Brasil E-mail: cavalli@ufpr.br

Enilze Maria de Souza Fonseca Ribeiro Doutora em Genética pela Universidade Federal do Paraná Instituição: Universidade Federal do Paraná. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Genética Endereço: Centro Politécnico, Av. Coronel Francisco H. dos Santos, 100, Curitiba, 81531-980, Brasil E-mail: enilzeribeiro@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Sarah Franco Vieira de Oliveira Maciel (ORCID: 0000-0002-5746-7109) Federal University of Fronteira Sul, Chapecó, SC, Brazil, SC 484 - Km 02, Fronteira Sul, 89815-899, +55(49) 2049-2600, E-mail address: sarahfvo@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The molecular pathways of DNA repair in tumors may play a role in tailoring patient therapy. The Fanconi anemia DNA repair pathway operates in the repair of DNA interstrand crosslink induced by several chemotherapeutic drugs. In this study we evaluated the expression of Fanconi anemia DNA repair genes (FANCA, C, D2, F, BRCA1 and PALB2) in 46 primary breast tumors and ten non-compromised breast samples, by Real-Time Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR, and to correlated gene expression with breast cancer subtypes and clinico-pathological parameters. Tumor samples were classified in subtypes based on immunohistochemistry markers, and clinico-pathological parameters were obtained from the medical reports. FANCD2 was twice more expressed in tumors than in the non-compromised group (p=0.02). BRCA1 showed a differential expression in the luminal group, three times less expressed in Luminal-B than in Luminal-A group (p=0.01). In conclusion, the higher level of expression of FANCD2 in tumors may indicate activation of the Fanconi anemia DNA repair pathway, which has been implicated in breast carcinogenesis and in chemotherapeutic resistance. The loss of BRCA1 expression in the Luminal-B group may indicate that the use of cisplatin-based neo/adjuvant therapies is preferable, and that the use of taxol-based therapies should be avoided due to the risk of drug resistance.

Keywords: DNA repair, FANCD2, BRCA1, gene expression, breast cancer.

RESUMO

As vias moleculares de reparo do DNA em tumores podem desempenhar um papel na adaptação da terapia do paciente. A via de reparo do DNA da anemia de Fanconi opera no reparo da reticulação entre cadeias de DNA induzida por várias drogas quimioterapêuticas. Neste estudo, avaliamos a expressão dos genes de reparo do DNA da anemia de Fanconi (*FANCA, C, D2, F, BRCA1 e PALB2*) em 46 tumores primários de mama e dez amostras de

mama não comprometidas, por PCR de transcrição reversa quantitativa em tempo real, e para expressão gênica correlacionada com subtipos de câncer de mama e parâmetros clínico-patológicos. As amostras de tumor foram classificadas em subtipos com base em marcadores imuno-histoquímicos e os parâmetros clínico-patológicos foram obtidos nos relatórios médicos. O FANCD2 foi duas vezes mais expresso em tumores do que no grupo não comprometido (p = 0,02). O *BRCA1* mostrou uma expressão diferencial no grupo luminal, três vezes menos expresso no Luminal-B do que no grupo Luminal-A (p = 0,01). Em conclusão, o maior nível de expressão de *FANCD2* em tumores pode indicar a ativação da via de reparo do DNA da anemia de Fanconi, que tem sido implicada na carcinogênese da mama e na resistência quimioterapêutica. A perda da expressão de *BRCA1* no grupo Luminal-B pode indicar que o uso de terapias neo / adjuvantes à base de cisplatina é preferível e que o uso de terapias à base de taxol deve ser evitado devido ao risco de resistência aos medicamentos.

Palavras-chave: reparo de DNA, FANCD2, BRCA1, expressão genética, câncer de mama.

1 INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer, and the leading cause of cancer death in women worldwide (Torre et al., 2015; Bray et al., 2018) and also in Brazil, with an estimated 61 cases for every 100 thousand inhabitants (Inca, 2020). Among the genetic alterations involved in the development of breast cancer, lack of expression of tumor suppressor genes (TSG) is of great importance, because they are involved in cell cycle control and DNA damage repair pathways. Some known causes for the inactivation of TSG are deletions, allelic imbalances, mutations and gene promoter hypermethylation (Kim et al., 2011).

Expression analyses of DNA repair genes, such as the Fanconi Anemia DNA repair pathway (*FA-BRCA*), often result in clinically relevant data, highlighting mechanisms related to tumor progression and anticipating drug response. So, this information can be used to develop appropriate therapeutic strategies. Upregulation of these genes may suggest the use of chemotherapeutic drugs acting in another pathway, preventing the repair of the desirable DNA lesions. Moreover, the downregulation when comparing with non-tumor tissues, shed light in one of the mechanisms contributing to tumor instability, which may be useful in developing new molecular therapies that are more specific and efficient with lower side effects (Altieri et al., 2008).

Twenty-two (22) *FA-BRCA* genes are known: *FANCA-C*, *D1*, *D2*, *E-G*, *I*, *J*, *L-V* and *W* (Nepal et al., 2017; Nalepa and Clapp, 2018). These genes are related to homologous recombination (HR) process, and act specifically during the repair of DNA interstrand

crosslink (ICL), which are a consequence of agents that may or may not generate large structural distortions in DNA, such as mitomycin C (MMC) and cisplatin (Noll et al., 2006; Smeaton et al., 2008). ICL that causes DNA distortions are recognizable by the DNA repair machinery, however the detection of non-distorting ICL depends on genomic transactions that occur in their vicinity, once they act as barriers to processes that require translocation along the DNA (Jones et al., 2012).

FANCA-C, E-G, L, M and T proteins, and the accessory components FAAP20, 24, 100 and MHF1/2, form the core-complex, which recognizes DNA lesions through FANCM and is required to monoubiquitylation of the FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer. Once the FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer becomes monoubiquitylated by FANCL (RING-finger containing E3 ubiquitin ligase) and FANCT (E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, UBE2T), FAN1 (FANCD2 associated nuclease) binds and localizes the heterodimer with the downstream effectors FANCN (PALB2), J (BRIP1), O (RAD51C), D1 (BRCA2), P (SLX4), Q (ERCC4), R (RAD51), S (BRCA1), U (XRCC2) and V (REV7/MAD2L2) (Doane et al., 2006; Nalepa and Clapp, 2018).

Patients lacking FANCS and D1 proteins (BRCA1/2) are more sensitive to crosslinking agents. BRCA2 act as a regulator of RAD51, an essential protein for HR repair (Deans and West, 2011). Although BRCA1 and BRCA2 are mainly involved in hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (Dorsman et al., 2007; Castralli and Bayer, 2019), they have also been implicated in sporadic breast cancer (Durkin and Glover, 2007; Ganzinelli et al., 2011).

The present study aims to evaluate the expression profile of *FA-BRCA* genes in a well characterized group of primary invasive breast tumors comparing to a group of noncompromised (NC) tissues from the contralateral breast, and to correlate gene expression with immunohistochemical (IHC) breast cancer subtypes and clinico-pathological parameters.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental samples: Forty-six (46) samples from primary breast tumors were obtained from 46 patients undergoing partial or complete mastectomies at *Hospital Nossa Senhora das Graças* and *Hospital das Clínicas*, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil. The NC tissues were obtained from the contralateral breast from ten (10) patients who suffered mastectomy and mammoplasty simultaneously for breast symmetrization. Tumor and NC samples were conserved in *RNAlater*[®] (*Ambion Inc. Applied Biosystems*) immediately after surgery and

were stored at 4 °C prior to RNA isolation. Clinico-pathological data were obtained from the pathology records of the hospitals (Table 1). The parameters analyzed were age at diagnosis, histological subtype, IHC subtype, grade, tumor size, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR) and HER2 (ERBB2) amplification. The patients had no family history of breast or ovarian cancer. The average age of patients was 59.3 ± 16.3 years and ranged from 31 to 97 years old. Patients had received neither neoadjuvant chemotherapy nor radiation. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The local Ethics Committee approved the study.

The IHC breast cancer subtype classification was performed based on the 13th St. Gallen Guideline (Goldhirsch et al., 2013), which defines breast tumors based on IHC staining of ER, PgR, HER2 and Ki-67 markers. Four subtypes are defined: a) luminal-A - ER positive, PgR positive, HER2 negative and low Ki-67; b) luminal-B - ER positive, PgR negative or low, HER2 negative and high Ki-67; or ER positive, any PgR, HER2 positive and any Ki-67; c) HER2 overexpression (HER2+) - ER negative, PgR negative and HER2 positive; d) Triple negative (TNBC) - ER negative, PgR negative and HER2 negative (Silva et al., 2020).

RNA isolation and RT-qPCR: Tumor fragments were homogenized using an RNA lysis buffer, and RNA was purified using the RNAeasy[®] Kit (*Qiagen*) with DNAse digestion. The RNA integrity was evaluated on a 1% agarose gel, and RNA concentrations were measured in NanoDropTM 2000 spectrophotometer (*Thermo Scientific*). Retro-transcription (1,200 ng of RNA) was performed with High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription[®] Kit (*Applied Biosystems*). Optimal primer pairs spanning splice junctions were chosen using the PRIMER-3 and *Oligo Analyzer* software programs (Table 2). The specificity was verified *in silico* and by analyzing PCR products (single-band amplicons). Actin (*ACTB*) and β-2-microglobulin (*B2M*) were used as internal references (housekeeping genes). They were chosen using the TaqMan[®] Human Endogenous Control Array microfluidic card (*Applied Biosystems*).

Relative gene expression was determined by Real-Time Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) on a Mastercycler ep RealPlex system (*Eppendorf*). Standard curves were included for efficiency reaction analyses, necessary for the comparative method $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$. Briefly, 2 µl of cDNA template (15 ng), 2 pmol of forward and reverse primers, 5 µl of SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (*Applied Biosystems*) were added in a total volume reaction of 10 µl. The sets of primers are shown in Table 2. The

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

following PCR program was performed: two minutes at 50 °C, ten minutes at 95 °C (initial denaturation), 15 seconds at 95 °C and one minute at the annealing temperature. These steps were repeated a total of 40 times. The PCR reactions were evaluated using a melting curve analysis according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analyses: Normalization was conducted using the relative expression of the housekeeping genes. The normalized values were evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test, which exhibited a non-normal distribution. A non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was chosen to analyze the differences in gene expression between the groups (tumor vs. NC samples, positive lymph nodes vs. negative lymph nodes, histological grade I/II vs. III, ER positive vs. ER negative, PgR positive vs. PgR negative and HER2 positive vs. HER2 negative), and Pearson's R correlation coefficient test was used to associate gene expression with age at diagnosis and tumor size. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 version 5.04 (*GraphPad Software Inc.*). A two tailed *p*-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3 RESULTS

To perform gene expression analyses, breast tumors were classified based on histological subtype of invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC, n= 36), and based on the IHC subtype classification (n= 42). The relative expression of *FA-BRCA* genes in IDC and in NC breast tissues are shown in Table 3. *FANCD2* expression was 1.96 higher in IDC than in NC tissues (p= 0.02).

Not significant differences were found between gene expression (*FANCA*, *C*, *D2*, *F*, *PALB2*) and the clinico-pathological parameters, such as lymph node invasion, tumor grade (I/II vs. III), hormonal receptor status (ER/PgR) and HER2 amplification (positive or negative). *BRCA1* expression was 3.17 higher in PgR positive than in PgR negative tumors (p= 0.03). No correlations were found between gene expression and age at diagnosis and tumor size.

When the samples were classified based on the IHC subtypes, only the analysis between the Luminal-A and Luminal-B groups could be performed due to the limited number of samples in HER2+ and TNBC groups. *BRCA1* expression was 2.66 higher in Luminal-A than in Luminal-B tumors (p= 0.01) (Table 4). *BRCA1* expression was even lower in the TNBC (relative expression= 2.6) and HER2+ (relative expression= 1.7) groups, although statistical analysis was not possible. There is a slight negative correlation between

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

Luminal-A and TNBC groups in relation to *BRCA1* expression (r=-0,99; p=0,049). The differences in expression among the other genes were not statistically significant (Table 4).

4 DISCUSSION

Our data indicate that FANCD2 expression is nearly two times higher in IDC than in NC samples. Once FANCD2 protein is monoubiquitylated by FA core-complex, it translocates to chromatin and co-localizes with BRCA1, BRCA2 and RAD51 in DNA damage sites (Rudland et al., 2010). Ozawa et al. (2010) observed that FANCD2 expression was significantly higher in colorectal tumors than in normal samples of five patients. In addition, when 30 resected colon cancer specimens were analyzed, 70% presented higher FANCD2 protein expression than in the corresponding normal tissue. Higher FANCD2 expression in patients (n= 133) with lymph node and liver metastases or recurrence was also observed. Kao et al. (2011) observed that the FA genes expression were significantly elevated in malignant melanomas (n = 40) when compared to normal skin. The melanomaspecific signature was provided by the assembled expression of FANCL and FANCD2, which was at least 50% higher than in normal skin samples. These results indicated that activation of the FA-BRCA pathway may contribute to carcinogenesis and resistance to chemotherapy, suggesting a potential therapeutic strategy for melanoma. Ganzinelli et al. (2011) observed that the FA-BRCA genes (BRCA1, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2 and FANCF) expression in ovarian cancer was significantly higher in carcinoma stage I (n=77) than in borderline stage I (n= 13), suggesting that cancer development is associated with upregulation of genes involved in DNA repair and in the maintenance of genomic stability. Our results agree with Ozawa et al. (2010), Kao et al. (2010) and Ganzinelli et al. (2011), suggesting a possible mechanism of FA-BRCA genes also in breast cancer.

However, some studies indicated that a failure to express *FANCD2* may have a role in the process of sporadic breast cancer development (Mathew, 2006; Pejovic et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010). These studies suggested that loss of *FANCD2* expression causes chromosome instability and inhibition of normal cell differentiation, playing an important role in malignant transformation and tumorigenesis. Duan et al. (2013) suggested a method based on western blotting to evaluate FANCD2 protein monoubiquitination in tumors. The ratio of FANCD2 foci-negative breast tumors was 29.4%. They hypothesized that if FAdefective tumors are sensitive to ICL agents and PARP inhibitors, this simple test may have widespread applications.

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

There were no correlations between *FANCD2* expression and the clinicopathological parameters. Also, Zhang et al. (2010) did not find any association between *FANCD2* expression and patient characteristics and tumor markers (age, ER, PgR, tumor size and lymph node metastasis) in 162 breast cancer patients.

Some studies have reported that *FA-BRCA* pathway activity is closely correlated with the levels of FA gene transcripts (Taniguchi et al., 2002; Hoskins et al., 2008). In addition, FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer association is a well-established hallmark for pathway activation (Kee and D'Andrea, 2010). These reports suggest that the *FA-BRCA* pathway may activate oncogenesis, in addition to its role as TSG (Condie et al., 2002; Nitta et al., 2010). This pathway activation provides resistance to increased endogenous DNA damage, commonly observed in oncogenic states (Chen et al., 2007), and confers survival advantages to tumor cells. In agreement with this hypothesis, activation of the *FA-BRCA* pathway was associated to resistance to DNA-damaging agents, such as cyclophosphamide (Yarde et al., 2009). One possible explanation for the observed *FANCD2* upregulation in the present study comes from a melanoma pathogenesis analysis, in which the E2F transcription factor and NF-kB seemed to activate *FANCD2* by binding to promoter or consensus promoter response elements (Hoskins et al., 2008; Yarde et al., 2009).

We observed *BRCA1* gene expression 3.17 times higher in PgR positive than in PgR negative breast tumors. This result is in accordance with Verma et al. (2018), who observed a positive significant association between BRCA1 nuclear protein (IHC) expression and PgR status (p= 0.001). However, Zhang et al. (2012) found no correlation among BRCA1 protein expression (IHC) and ER, PgR, HER2, WWOX (WW domain containing oxidoreductase) expression, Ki-67 proliferation rate and the histological grade (P> 0.05). Also, Kumar et al. (2017) described no statistical association among BRCA1 reduced/moderate to strong protein expression and PgR expression (p< 0.083) in a study with 114 breast cancer patients.

In our study, *BRCA1* gene presented the highest level of expression from all evaluated genes in both tumor and NC samples (Table 3), although the differences in the expression between these two groups were not statistically significant. Additionally, we observed a 2.7-fold loss of expression of *BRCA1* in the Luminal-B (relative expression= 2.89) group compared to the Luminal-A (relative expression= 7.70) group (Table 4). BRCA1 expression was even lower in the TNBC (relative expression= 2.6) and HER2+ (relative expression= 1.7) groups, with a slight negative correlation between Luminal-A and

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

TNBC groups (r= -0,99; p= 0,049) (Fig. 1). These results are in accordance with Ganzinelli et al. (2011), which revealed a down-regulation of *BRCA1* in more aggressive stages of ovarian tumors. Also, in a previous study (Ribeiro et al., 2013), we observed a lower expression of *BRCA1* in a group of 80 TNBC in comparison to 70 Luminal-A tumors. Taken together, these results suggest that *BRCA1* downregulation occurs in the most aggressive subtypes of the disease, which may be responsible for increased genomic instability. We did not observe any difference in the expression of the other FA genes between the luminal groups.

BRCA1 mRNA expression can be exploited for the treatment of breast tumors with different classes of drugs. Just as in FA cells, Van der Groep et al. (2008) suggested the hypersensitivity of cells lacking BRCA1/2 proteins to DNA cross-linking agents, such as cisplatin. BRCA1 mutations or BRCA1 reduced expression may maintain sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy. Thus, BRCA1 may favorably influence prognosis (Lambie et al., 2003). Alan and D'Andrea (2010) delineated that tumors with BRCA1/2 mutations depend to a large degree on the Base Excision Repair (BER), and the enzyme Poly-ADP ribose polymerase 1 (PARP1) is critical for BER process. Thus, tumors defectives in homologous recombination genes (such as FA-BRCA genes) are also hypersensitive to PARP inhibitors (Bryant and Helleday, 2006; Fong et al., 2009), expanding the utility of this class of drugs to non BRCA1-mutated patients (Edwards et al., 2008). Gao et al. (2013) observed that BRCA1 upregulation is associated with DNA repair-mediated resistance to cisplatin, and that BRCA1 downregulation confers resistance to taxanes, such as docetaxel and paclitaxel. They have also clinically confirmed that BRCA1 mutations or expression alterations affect the response to chemotherapy based on cisplatin or paclitaxel and have a survival influence in a number of different types of cancer, including breast cancer. Therefore, BRCA1 downregulation in Luminal-B breast cancer patients may be a valid indicator of the success of cisplatin-based treatment. In addition, docetaxel-based therapy should be avoided, and Luminal-B patients may benefit from treatment with PARP inhibitors. Yuanming et al. (2013) found that the decreased expression of the ERCC1 (excision repair cross-complementation group 1) and BRCA1 proteins is associated with poor prognosis in metastatic colorectal cancer and that these proteins could be used as prognostic biomarkers.

Among the 36 IDC patients, 19 (53%) received some type of cyclophosphamidebased adjuvant regimen (which may confer resistance in these patients), 13 (36%) received

a hormonal therapy (tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors) alone, and 4 (11%) did not received any adjuvant therapy. In addition, four Luminal-B patients received adjuvant paclitaxelbased treatments, which may result in the development of resistance. It is of importance to analyze the expression of DNA repair genes in breast cancer patients, such as the *FA-BRCA* pathway, because these genes may interfere with the response to treatment and, consequently, improve the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS).

There is a need for new predictive biomarkers in breast cancer, especially for more aggressive tumors (such as Luminal-B, HER2+ and TNBC), with the aim of better distinguishing which patients will benefit from standard chemotherapy regimens. The *FA-BRCA* pathway is an important source of new predictive biomarkers for breast cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr Giovanna Damia, Dr Massimo Broggini, Dr Monica Ganzinelli and Alessandra Rovida for suggestions that contributed to the improvement of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Fundação Araucária/CNPq [PRONEX convênio 251/2013 protocolo 24652] to EMSFR and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) [Bolsista CAPES – Processo 4623/11] to SFVOM.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethical approval

This research has been approved by Ethical Committee of Federal University of Paraná. All procedures performed in the study involving human participants, were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

SFVOM: collected clinical information from the medical records; performed molecular tests and statistical analysis; article writing and submission.

LS: performed molecular tests; assisted during article writing and submission.

LGS: performed molecular tests and statistical analysis; assisted during article writing and submission.

MEM: performed molecular tests; assisted during article writing and submission.

CAU: selected patients and collected biological samples; obtained informed consent from patients.

RSL: selected patients and collected biological samples; obtained informed consent from patients.

IJC: assisted during article writing and submission.

EMSFR: assisted during article writing and submission; coordinated the research group.

REFERENCES

Alan, D., D'Andrea, M.D. (2010) The Fanconi Anemia and Breast Cancer Susceptibility Pathways. *N Engl J Med*, 362, 1909–1919.

Altieri, F., Grillo, C., Maceroni, M., Chichiarelli, S. (2008). DNA Damage and Repair: From Molecular Mechanisms to Health Implications. *Antioxidants Redox Signaling*, 10, 891-937.

Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R.L., Torre, L.A., Jemal, A. (2018). Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*, 0, 1-31.

Bryant, H.E., Helleday, T. (2006). Inhibition of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase activates ATM which is required for subsequent homologous recombination repair. *Nucleic acids res*, 34, 1685–1691.

Castralli, H.A., Bayer, V.M.L. (2019). Breast cancer with genetic etiology of mutation in BRCA1 and BRCA2: a synthesis of the literature. *Braz. J. Hea. Rev.*, 2, 2215-2224.

Chen, C.C., Taniguchi, T., D'Andrea, A. (2007). The Fanconi anemia (FA) pathway confers glioma resistance to DNA alkylating agents. *J Mol Med*, 85, 497–509.

Condie, A., Powles, R.L., Hudson, C.D., et al. (2002). Analysis of the Fanconi anaemia complementation group A gene in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*, 43, 1849–1853.

Deans, A.J., West, S.C. (2011). DNA interstrand crosslink repair and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*, 11, 467–480.

Doane, A.S., Danso, M., Lal, P., et al. (2006). An estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer subset characterized by a hormonally regulated transcriptional program and response to androgen. *Oncogene*, 25, 3994–4008.

Dorsman, J.C., Levitus, M., Rockx, D., et al. (2007). Identification of the Fanconi anemia complementation group I gene, FANCI. *Cell Oncol*, 29, 211–218.

Duan, W., Gao, L., Zhao, W., et al. (2013). Assessment of FANCD2 nuclear foci formation in paraffin-embedded tumors: a potential patient- enrichment strategy for treatment with DNA interstrand crosslinking agents. *Translational Research*, 161, 156–164.

Durkin, S.G., Glover, T.W. (2007). Chromosome fragile sites. *Annu Rev Genet*, 41, 169–192.

Edwards, S.L., Brough, R., Lord, C.J., et al. (2008). Resistance to therapy caused by intragenic deletion in BRCA2. *Nature*, 451, 1111–1115.

Fong, P.C., Boss, D.S., Yap, T.A., et al. (2009). Inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in tumors from BRCA mutation carriers. *N Engl J Med*, 361, 123–134.

Ganzinelli, M., Mariani, P., Cattaneo, D., et al. (2011). Expression of DNA repair genes in ovarian cancer samples: Biological and clinical considerations. *Eur J Cancer*, 47, 1086-1094.

Gao, Y., Zhu, J., Zhang, X., et al. (2013). BRCA1 mRNA Expression as a Predictive and Prognostic Marker in Advanced Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma Treated with Cisplatin- or Docetaxel-Based Chemotherapy/Chemoradiotherapy. *PloS ONE*, 8, e52589

Goldhirsch, A., Winer, E.P., Coates, A.S., et al. (2013). Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013. *Annals of Oncology*, 24, 2206–2223.

Hoskins, E.E., Gunawardena, R.W., Habash, K.B., et al. (2008). Coordinate regulation of Fanconi anemia gene expression occurs through the Rb/E2F pathway. *Oncogene*, 27, 4798–4808.

Instituto Nacional do Cancer – INCA (2020, February 28). Retrieved from http://www.inca.gov.br/estimativa/2020/sintese-de-resultados-comentarios.asp

Jones, R.L., Constantinidou, A., Reis-Filho, J.S. (2012). Molecular Classification of Breast Cancer. *Surgical Pathology*, 5, 701–717.

Kao, W.H., Riker, A.I., Kushwaha, D.S., et al. (2011). Upregulation of Fanconi Anemia DNA Repair Genes in Melanoma Compared to Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer. *J Invest Dermatol*, 10, 2139–2142.

Kee, Y., D'Andrea, A.D. (2010). Expanded roles of the Fanconi anemia pathway in preserving genomic stability. Genes Dev, 24, 1680–1694.

Kim, J., Kim, M.A., Min, S.Y., Jee, C.D., Lee, H.E., Kim, W.H. (2011). Downregulation of Methylthioadenosina Phosphorylase by Homozygous Deletion in Gastric Carcinoma. *Genes, Chromosomes Cancer*, 50, 421-433.

Kumar, M., Sahu, R.K., Goyal, A., et al. (2017). BRCA1 Promoter Methylation and Expression - Associations with ER+, PR+ and HER2+ Subtypes of Breast Carcinoma. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 18, 3293-3299.

Lambie, H., Miremadi, A., Pinder, S.E., et al. (2003). Prognostic significance of BRCA1 expression in sporadic breast carcinomas. *J Pathol*, 200, 207–213.

7923

Brazilian Journal of health Review

Mathew, C.G. (2006). Fanconi anaemia genes and susceptibility to cancer. *Oncogene*, 25, 5875–5884.

Nalepa, G., Clapp, D.W. (2018). Fanconi anaemia and cancer: an intricate relationship. *Nat Rev Can*, 3, 168-185.

Nepal, M., Che, R., Zhang, J., Ma, C., Fei, P. (2017). Fanconi Anemia Signaling and Cancer. *Trends Cancer*, 3, 840–856.

Nitta, M., Kozono, D., Kennedy, R., et al. (2010). Targeting EGFR induced oxidative stress by PARP1 inhibition in glioblastoma therapy. *PloS ONE*, 5, e10767.

Noll, D.M., Mason, T.M., Miller, P.S. (2006). Formation and repair of interstrand crosslinks in DNA. *Chem Rev*, 106, 277–301.

Ozawa, H., Iwatsuki, M., Mimori, K., et al. (2010). FANCD2 mRNA Overexpression is a Bona Fide Indicator of Lymph Node Metastasis in Human Colorectal Cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 17, 2341–2348.

Pejovic, T., Yates, J.E., Liu, H.Y., et al. (2006). Cytogenetic instability in ovarian epithelial cells from women at risk of ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res*, 66, 9017–9025.

Ribeiro, E., Ganzinelli, M., Andreis, D., et al. (2013). Triple Negative Breast Cancers Have a Reduced Expression of DNA Repair Genes. *PloS ONE*, 8, e66243.

Rudland, P.S., Platt-Higgins, A.M., Davies, L.M., et al. (2010). Significance of the Fanconi Anemia FANCD2 Protein in Sporadic and Metastatic Human Breast Cancer. *Am J Pathol*, 176, 2935-2947.

Silva, W.S., Bacciotti, A.M., Almeida, E.R.N., Rocha, F.S. (2020). Immunohistochemical profile and treatments performed in patients with breast cancer care at a reference hospital in the north region. *Braz. J. Hea. Rev.*, 3, 6811-6822.

Smeaton, M.B., Hlavin, E.M., McGregor, M.T., Noronha, A.M., Wilds, C.J., Miller, P.S. (2008). Distortion-dependent unhooking of interstrand cross-links in mammalian cell extracts. *Biochemistry*, 47, 9920–9930.

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

Taniguchi, T., Garcia-Higuera, I., Andreassen, P.R., Gregory, R.C., Grompe, M., D'Andrea, A.D. (2002). S-phase-specific interaction of the Fanconi anemia protein, FANCD2, with BRCA1 and RAD51. *Blood*, 100, 2414–2420.

Torre, L.A., Bray, F.; Siegel, R.L., Ferlay, J., Lortet-Tieulent, J., Jemal, A. (2015). Global Cancer Statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin*, 65, 87-108.

Van der Groep, P., Hoelzel, M., Buerger, H., Joenje, H., De Winter, J.P., Van Diest, P.J. (2008). Loss of expression of FANCD2 protein in sporadic and hereditary breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 107, 41–47.

Verma, D., Agarwal, D., Tudu, S.K. (2018). Expression of breast cancer type 1 and its relation with expression of estrogen receptors, progesterone receptors, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2/neu in breast carcinoma on trucut biopsy specimens. *Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology*, 1, 31-38.

Yarde, D.N., Oliveira, V., Mathews, L., et al. (2009). Targeting the Fanconi anemia/ BRCA pathway circumvents drug resistance in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Res*, 69, 9367–9375.

Yuanming, L., Lineng, Z., Baorong, S., Junjie, P., Sanjun, C. (2013). BRCA1 and ERCC1 mRNA levels are associated with lymph node metastasis in Chinese patients with colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer*, 13, 103.

Zhang, B., Chen, R., Lu, J., Shi, Q., Zhang, X., Chen, J. (2010). Expression of FANCD2 in Sporadic Breast Cancer and Clinicopathological Analysis. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol*, 3, 322-325.

Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., Cong, H., Zhang, X. (2012). The ectopic expression of BRCA1 is associated with genesis, progression, and prognosis of breast cancer in young patients. *Diagnostic Pathology*, 7, 181.

Parameter	Number of Patients	% 100	
Age	46 (mean 59,3 years)		
Histological subtypes			
IDC	36	78.26	
ILC	3	6.52	
Mixed (IDC + ILC)	4	8.70	
Others ^a	3	6.52	
IHC subtypes			
Luminal-A	23	54.80	
Luminal-B	14	33.30	
HER2 +	2	4.80	
TNBC	3	7.14	
Not-informed	4	-	
Lymph node metastasis			
Present	21	48.84	
Absent	22	51.16	
Not-informed	3	-	
Tumor grade			
Ι	6	13.64	
П	28	63.64	
III	10	22.72	
Not-informed	2	-	
Tumor size			
$\leq 20 \text{ mm}$	20	45.45	
> 20 mm	24	54.55	
Not-informed	02	-	
Estrogen receptor (ER)			
Positive	37	84.09	
Negative	7	15.91	
Not-informed	2	-	
Progesterone receptor (PgR)			

Table 1 – Clinico-pathological information.

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

Parameter	Number of Patients		
Positive	38	86.36	
Negative	6	13.64	
Not-informed	2	-	
HER2 amplification			
Positive	9	21.43	
Negative	33	78.57	
Not-informed	4	-	

Legend: IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; ILC, invasive lobular carcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemical; TNBC, triple negative breast cancer; mm, millimeters; ^{*a*}, mucinous carcinoma, tubular-lobular carcinoma, pleomorphic carcinoma.

Table 2 – Characteristics of primers used in RT-qPCR.					
Gene	Sense	Sequence	Amplicon Length (bp)		
ACTB	F	5'-GATGCAGAAGGAGATCACTGC			
	R	5'-AGTACTTGCGCTCAGGAGGA	75		
B2M	F	5'-TGACTTTGTCACAGCCCAAGATA			
	R	5'-CGGCATCTTCAAACCTCCA	77		
BRCA1	F	5'- GCCAGAAAACACCACATCAC	00		
	R	5'- CAGTGTCCGTTCACACACAA	99		
FANCA	F	5'- GAGGTTCTTCAGTCATACCCTGA	94		
	R	5'- TCTCTCTGCATCTGAACAGCA	84		
FANCC	F	5'- CCAGCCAGAGTTCTTTGAGG	00		
	R	5'- CGAAGCCAGAGGCAGACTAC	90		
FANCD2	F	5'- CCCATCTGCTATGATGATGAA	01		
	R	5'- CGTATTTGCTGAGGGGATATG	81		
FANCF	F	5'- GCTAGTCCACTGGCTTCTGG	05		
	R	5'- GGTGGCGGCTAGTCACTAAA	95		
PALB2	F	5'- TGGGACCCTTTCTGATCAAC	92		
	R	5'- GGGGCATCAAAAATTGGTTT	83		
		Legend: F, forward; R, reverse; bp, base pairs.			

Braz. J. Hea. Rev., Curitiba, v. 3, n. 4, p.7910-7927 jul./aug. 2020. ISSN 2595-6825

	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCF	BRCA1	PALB2
IDC	3.12 (34)	1.50 (34)	1.23 (35)	0.80 (34)	5.15 (34)	0.94 (35)
NC	1.32 (8)	1.36 (10)	0.63 (10)	0.74 (8)	2.30 (10)	0.75 (10)
IDC/NC	2.36	1.10	1.96^{*}	1.08	2.24	1.25

Table 3 – Relative expression of FA-BRCA genes in the IDC and NC breast tissue samples

Legend: IDC, invasive ductal carcinomas; NC, non-compromised breast samples; (), number of samples; *, statistical significance: p = 0.02.

Table 4 – FA-BRCA gene expression between luminal immunohistochemical subtypes.						
	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCF	BRCA1	PALB2
Luminal-A	5.33 (21)	1.47 (21)	1.77 (22)	1.44 (21)	7.70 (21)	1.01 (22)
Luminal-B	2.98 (14)	1.54 (14)	1.13 (14)	0.69 (14)	2.89 (14)	0.87 (14)
LA/LB	1.79	0.95	1.57	2.09	2.66^{*}	1.16

Legend: (), number of samples; LA/LB, Luminal-A/Luminal-B; *, statistical significance: p = 0.01.