



University of Groningen

Pulmonary embolism

Van Beek, Edwin J.R.; Oudkerk, Matthijs

Published in: Imaging Decisions MRI

DOI:

10.1046/j.1617-0830.2002.60301.x

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2002

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Van Beek, E. J. R., & Oudkerk, M. (2002). Pulmonary embolism: The discussions continue. *Imaging Decisions MRI*, *6*(3), 2. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1617-0830.2002.60301.x

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 01-02-2024

Pulmonary Embolism: The Discussions Continue

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is an extremely common clinical entity, with one in 1000 inhabitants diagnosed each year. However, the clinical suspicion is about three-fold more common. Traditionally, the fear of missing the diagnosis has been most prevalent and over-treatment was the norm. As a result, many patients have received anticoagulants inappropriately, sometimes with devastating results due to haemorrhagic complications.

The diagnostic arsenal for PE has steadily increased over the years. Furthermore, this increase has focussed on the development of non-invasive diagnostic techniques to try to avoid the "dangerous" pulmonary angiogram. Unfortunately, not all techniques have delivered what was promised: a fast, non-invasive, reliable technique, which could be used to either prove or exclude venous thromboembolic disease.

Furthermore, strategies have been presented that are complicated, demanding and difficult to implement in routine practice.

The current issue is devoted to the diagnostic management of PE. An overview is presented to show the diagnostic modalities that have paved the way. Newer technologies, such as CT-pulmonary angiography and MR imaging methods give a glimpse of current and future developments. Finally, various diagnostic strategies are discussed with a view to what should be current practice. This issue is part of the continuing discussions on PE, and aims to give an interim overview. Much more is to follow, and we look forward to these new data already!

Edwin JR van Beek, guest-editor Matthijs Oudkerk, editor-in-chief