Open access Original research

BMJ Open NSAID prescribing and adverse outcomes in common infections: a population-based cohort study

Beth Stuart , 1,2 Roderick Venekamp , 3 Hilda Hounkpatin , 2 Sam Wilding, 4 Michael Moore , 2 Paul Little , 2 Martin C Gulliford , 5

To cite: Stuart B, Venekamp R, Hounkpatin H, *et al.* NSAID prescribing and adverse outcomes in common infections: a population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2024;**14**:e077365. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-077365

▶ Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-077365).

Received 03 July 2023 Accepted 19 November 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK ²Primary Care Research Centre, University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, Southampton, UK ³Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care. University Medical Center, Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands ⁴Southampton Clinical Trials Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ⁵King's College London, UK, London, UK

Correspondence to Professor Beth Stuart; b.l.stuart@qmul.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objectives Infections in primary care are often treated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). This study evaluates whether NSAID prescribing is associated with adverse outcomes for respiratory (RTIs) or urinary track (UTI) infections.

Objectives To determine whether there is an association between NSAID prescribing and the rate of adverse outcomes for infections for individual consulting in primary care

Design Cohort study of electronic health records. **Setting** 87 general practices in the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink GOLD.

Participants 142 925 patients consulting with RTI or UTI. Primary and secondary outcome measures Repeat consultations, hospitalisation or death within 30 days of the initial consultation for RTI or UTI. Poisson models estimated the associations between NSAID exposure and outcome. Rate ratios were adjusted for gender, age, ethnicity, deprivation, antibiotic use, seasonal influenza vaccination status, comorbidities and general practice. Since prescribing variations by practice are not explained by case mix—hence, less impacted by confounding by indication—both individual-level and practice-level analyses are included.

Results There was an increase in hospital admission/death for acute NSAID prescriptions (RR 2.73, 95% CI 2.10 to 3.56) and repeated NSAID prescriptions (6.47, 4.46–9.39) in RTI patients, and for acute NSAID prescriptions for UTI (RR 3.03; 1.92 to 4.76). Practice-level analysis, controlling for practice population characteristics, found that for each percentage point increase in NSAID prescription, the percentages of hospital admission/death within 30 days increased by 0.32 percentage points (95% CI 0.16 to 0.47).

Conclusions In this non-randomised study, prescription of NSAIDs at consultations for RTI or UTIs in primary care is infrequent but may be associated with increased risk of hospital admission. This supports other observational and limited trial data that NSAID prescribing might be associated with worse outcomes following acute infection and should be prescribed with caution.

BACKGROUND

Consultations for respiratory tract infections (RTIs) are common in primary care. A recent study using Clinical Practice

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study uses a large and representative primary care database, validated for quality and accuracy of coding.
- ⇒ We were able to explore associations at both the individual and practice levels.
- There is a potential for missed cases of infection, since this study only include those unwell enough to consult their General Practitioner.
- ⇒ While we have controlled for potential confounding factors available in the dataset, there is a possibility for residual confounding, particularly with respect to the severity of illness at the initial consultation, which is not routinely coded.
- ⇒ Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) prescribing data can only be expected to detect a signal and not provide a full picture of the impact of NSAIDs since patients can access NSAIDs over-the-counter themselves.

Research Datalink (CPRD) practices found a consulting rate of 217 consultations per 1000 person years. Urinary tract infection (UTI) are also common, with 11% of women experiencing at least one episode each year.² Most patients are be advised to self-manage infections at home and many use analgesics such as paracetamol and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for symptom relief.³ ⁴However, observational suggest that the use of NSAIDs during RTIs may be associated with increased risk of acute cardiovascular events,⁵ and cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors or non-selective NSAIDs may be associated with a further increase in risk.⁶ There is also some evidence that exposure to NSAIDs during an episode of an acute infection may result in an increased risk of adverse outcomes such as hospitalisation or longer duration of illness.^{8–13¹} This association has also been observed in two trials, which found a longer duration of illness and higher rate of repeat consultation in patients who used NSAIDs. 14 15



This evidence, however, derives from trials with small sample sizes or retrospective case–control studies. With complications from RTI and UTI generally occurring infrequently, ¹⁶ ¹⁷ a large observational cohort is better suited to exploring whether there is an association between the exposure and outcome and whether the effect varies across age and comorbidity groups.

For most medicine prescribing, including antibiotic prescribing for RTIs, variations by practice are very large and cannot be fully explained by case mix. ^{18–20} Practice-level data, therefore, provide a useful 'natural experiment' and can provide a useful addition to individual-level analyses where it is more difficult to control fully for case mix.

This study, therefore, aimed to determine whether there is an association between NSAID prescribing and the rate of adverse outcomes including repeat consultation, hospital admission and death, in patients consulting primary care for RTI or UTI. We used both individual-level and practice-level data.

METHODS

Study design and population

We performed a retrospective cohort study in the CPRD GOLD,²¹ an anonymised research database of records from participating general practices across the UK. CPRD is broadly representative of the UK population with approximately 4.7% of the UK general population and 4.6% of UK general practices contributing data. 21 For this study, we included those general practices in England eligible for linkage to Hospital Episodes Statistics (HES) for outcomes as well as individual patient-level deprivation data.²² We sampled all patients who consulted with one or more RTI or UTI episodes between 1 April 2018 and 31 March 2019. Analysis included all consultations for RTI or UTI that these patients had over this timeframe. RTI and UTI consultations were identified using Read codes in CPRD clinical and referral records (see online supplemental appendix 1).²³

The protocol for this study was approved by the CPRD Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC protocol 20_058R). This study is reported as per the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guideline.²⁴

Definition of the exposure

NSAID prescriptions were identified from product codes for NSAIDs listed in the British National Formulary (Chapter 10, section 1.1, online supplemental appendix 2). The exposure was classified into three groups: NSAID prescribed on the same day as an RTI/UTI consultation ('acute NSAID'); no NSAID prescribed on the day of the consultation; and repeat NSAID prescribing, where two or more prescriptions for an NSAID medication had been issued to the consulting patient in the 6 months prior to the RTI/UTI consultation.

Definition of the outcome

Adverse outcomes within 30 days of the original RTI or UTI consultation were defined as a binary outcome based

on having one of (1) repeat consultation with the same illness, (2) hospital admission for any cause identified from linked HES data, or death.

Covariates

The analysis included covariates including: age; gender; ethnicity (categorised as Bangladeshi, Black African, Black Caribbean, Black Other, Chinese, Indian, Pakistani, Other Asian, White, Mixed, Other and Unknown); deprivation as measured by the Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 corresponding to the patient's postcode in five categories from least deprived to most deprived; the seasonal influenza vaccination status in each year; the Elixhauser Comorbidity Index²⁵ in each year over the preceding 10 years; and whether an antibiotic was prescribed at the consultation. The Elixhauser Comorbidity Index is a measure of comorbidity based on 31 comorbidity categories identified in CPRD by Read codes.²⁷ Antibiotic prescriptions were identified based on the BNF produce codes in section 5.1, excluding methanamine and drugs for tuberculosis and leprosy as per a previous publication (see online supplemental appendix 3). 23 Multiple antibiotic prescriptions on the same day were considered as a single prescription.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to characterise the cohort. We evaluated the association between NSAID prescribing and adverse outcomes using mixed Poisson models with general practice as a random intercept and the persontime at risk as the exposure variable in Stata. Person time was calculated from the consultation date to the earlier of the end in cohort (death/end of registration/end of study period) or 30 days. Modelling allowing for the clustering of multiple consultations within patients. Covariates included in the model were age, sex, individual-level Index of Multiple Deprivation in five groups, ethnicity, influenza vaccination status, Elixhauser index and whether an antibiotic was prescribed at the initial consultation. Age and Elixhauser index were treated as continuous covariates; all other variables were treated as categorical. The analysis was of complete cases.

Practice-level analysis (see online supplemental appendix 4 for more detail). A linear regression model for controlled for the practice level values of the covariates listed in the individual level analyses.

Sensitivity and subgroup analyses

To explore the possibility that antibiotics may be more likely to modify the outcome in UTI than in RTI, we planned to conduct a sensitivity analysis where the exposure was categorised as 'no prescription/NSAID only/Antibiotic only/NSAID and Antibiotic'. On examination of the data, there was only a sufficient number of cases to allow this to be explored in the RTI data.

As the extremes of age and those with more comorbid conditions may be more vulnerable, we evaluated effect modification by age (<15 years, 16–64 years and 65 year



and over) and Elixhauser Index by testing the interactions with NSAID prescribing, and proceeding to evaluate subgroup effects if a significant interaction term was present at the 5% level.

All analyses were conducted in Stata V.16.0.

Patient and public involvement

We discussed the plans for this study with two members of the public who had experienced infections and used both antibiotics and self-management approaches in the past. They provided feedback on the proposed exposures, including the inclusion of a repeat NSAID user group, the appropriate outcomes to measure and the lay summary for the funding application. We incorporated this feedback as far as the available data allowed.

RESULTS

Cohort characteristics

The cohort included 142925 patients from 87 general practices contributing 355477 consultation episodes over the 1-year period. NSAID prescribing at RTI/UTI consultations was infrequent, with 2354 prescriptions representing 1.2% of RTI/UTI consultations. Of these 1.1% were for a single, acute NSAID prescription and 0.1% for repeated NSAID prescriptions.

Patients who received a single NSAID prescription were generally younger, with a higher proportion of children, more likely to be from a non-white ethnic group, in the most deprived quintile, and had less comorbidity. Repeated prescriptions tended to be issued in consultations with those who were women, older and had greater comorbidity (see table 1).

Repeat consultation within 30 days occurred in $66\,080$ (18.6%), hospital admission in $15\,422$ (4.3%) and death in 3922 (1.1%) of all RTI/UTI episodes. Repeat consultation

| | Whole cohort (n=142925)* | No NSAID (n=353796) | Acute NSAID (n=1587) | Repeated NSAID (n=94) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Female | 86646 (60.6%) | 219549 (62.1%) | 948 (59.7%) | 59 (62.8%) |
| Mean age (SD) | 39.3 (27.08) | 38.6 (28.85) | 19.5 (24.13) | 58.75 (19.40) |
| Deprivation quintiles | | | | |
| 1 (least deprived) | 39993 (28.0%) | 93, 472 (26.4%) | 172 (10.8%) | 18 (19.2%) |
| 2 | 29147 (20.4%) | 71 151 (20.1%) | 188 (11.9%) | 16 (17.0%) |
| 3 | 26946 (18.9%) | 66512 (18.8%) | 266 (16.8%) | 19 (20.2%) |
| 4 | 23545 (16.5%) | 60 008 (17.0%) | 364 (22.9%) | 19 (20.2%) |
| 5 (most deprived) | 23254 (16.3%) | 62 578 (17.7%) | 597 (37.6%) | 22 (23.4%) |
| Ethnicity† | | | | |
| Bangladeshi | 556 (0.4%) | 1401 (0.4%) | 18 (1.2%) | 0 |
| Black African | 1598 (1.3%) | 3295 (1.0%) | 61 (4.2%) | 0 |
| Black Caribbean | 755 (0.6%) | 1560 (0.5%) | 15 (1.0%) | 2 (2.2%) |
| Black Other | 522 (0.4%) | 1199 (0.4%) | 15 (1.0%) | 0 |
| Chinese | 410 (0.3%) | 850 (0.3%) | 7 (0.5%) | 0 |
| Indian | 2435 (2.0%) | 6164 (1.9%) | 38 (2.6%) | 4 (4.4%) |
| Pakistani | 1710 (1.4%) | 4593 (1.4%) | 54 (3.7%) | 1 (1.1%) |
| Other Asian | 1568 (1.3%) | 3913 (1.2%) | 31 (2.1%) | 1 (1.1%) |
| White | 106 689 (85.2%) | 276 489 (86.2%) | 1085 (73.9%) | 82 (91.1%) |
| Mixed | 2525 (2.0%) | 6988 (2.2%) | 58 (4.0%) | 0 |
| Other | 2377 (1.9%) | 5651 (1.8%) | 51 (3.5%) | 0 |
| Unknown | 4015 (3.2%) | 8628 (2.7%) | 36 (2.5%) | 0 |
| Mean Elixhauser Index (SD) | 3.1 (5.89) | 3.9 (6.68) | 2.0 (5.36) | 3.3 (6.25) |
| Repeat consultation within 30 days | N/A | 65 782 (18.6%) | 274 (17.3%) | 24 (25.5%) |
| Hospital admission within 30 days | N/A | 15283 (4.3%) | 106 (6.7%) | 33 (35.1%) |
| Death within 30 days | N/A | 3827 (1.1%) | 69 (4.4%) | 26 (27.7%) |

^{*}Figures for the whole cohort are as at 2018/2019. Figures for NSAID prescribing are over all consultations. †Ethnicity only available for 125160 patients.

NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.



Table 2 Unadjusted and adjusted rate ratios for the association of adverse outcome, repeat consultation and hospital admission/death within 30 days with NSAID prescribing exposure

| | Repeat consultation within 30 days | | Hospital admission or death within 30 days | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | Unadjusted rate ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted rate ratio* (95% CI) | Unadjusted rate ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted rate ratio* (95% CI) |
| RTI only | | | | |
| No NSAID | REF | REF | REF | REF |
| Acute NSAID | 1.03 (0.92 to 1.16) | 0.99 (0.80 to 1.22) | 1.38 (1.12 to 1.71) | 2.73 (2.10 to 3.56) |
| Repeated NSAID | 1.40 (0.94 to 2.09) | 1.61 (1.09 to 2.41) | 8.56 (5.98 to 12.27) | 6.47 (4.46 to 9.39) |
| UTI only | | | | |
| No NSAID | REF | | REF | REF |
| Acute NSAID | 0.95 (0.36 to 2.53) | 1.12 (0.42 to 3.00) | 2.26 (1.92 to 2.69) | 3.03 (1.92 to 4.76) |
| Repeated NSAID | NA | NA | NA | NA |

^{*}Adjusted for age, sex, individual level Index of Multiple Deprivation, ethnicity, influenza vaccination status, Elixhauser index and antibiotic co-prescription.

occurred in 24 consultations (25.5%) for repeated NSAID prescriptions, 65 782 consultations (18.6%) for no NSAID prescription and 274 (17.3%) for an acute prescription. There were 33 consultations (35.1%) in the repeat NSAID group, leading to a hospital admission, 15 283 (4.3%) in the no NSAID group and 106 (6.7%) in the acute NSAID group. Twenty-six (27.7%) resulted in death within 30 days in the repeated NSAID group, 3827 (1.1%) in the no NSAID group and 69 (4.3%) in the acute NSAID group. Eighty-three per cent of deaths took place in hospitalised cases.

Risk of adverse event

There was an increased risk of repeat consultation with repeated NSAID prescribing for RTI. This was not estimable for UTI as there was no repeat consultation in patients with a repeat NSAID prescription. Although the number of events was small, there was evidence that hospital admission or death was higher for both acute and repeat NSAID prescriptions for both RTI and UTI (table 2).

There was an increased risk associated with NSAID prescribing alone or in combination with antibiotics, and a protective effect of antibiotics (table 3) for RTI patients for hospitalisation and death within 30 days. There was no effect on repeat consultations of NSAID prescriptions and a slightly elevated risk with antibiotic prescribing. It was not possible to estimate these models for UTI patients as there was only one repeat consultation for a patient with an NSAID prescription only.

Subgroup analyses

NSAID prescribing was very low for UTI in children. Only 1 child under 15 had a repeated NSAID prescription and 10 had an acute NSAID prescription at the time of a UTI consultation. There were no repeat consultations in those aged 65 and over for UTI with repeated NSAIDs.

There was no evidence for an interaction with age and acute NSAID prescribing in those aged 16–64 (interaction term:1.19, 95% CI 0.79 to 1.82 for acute NSAID prescription and 0.85; 95% CI 0.48 to 1.50 for repeat

Table 3 Unadjusted and adjusted rate ratios for the association of repeat consultation and hospital admission/death within 30 days with NSAID and antibiotic prescribing exposure for RTI patients

| | Repeat consultation within 30 days | | Hospital admission or death within 30 days | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | Unadjusted rate ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted rate ratio* (95% CI) | Unadjusted rate ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted rate ratio* (95% CI) |
| RTI only | | | | |
| No prescription | REF | REF | REF | REF |
| NSAID only | 1.15 (0.99 to 1.34) | 1.04 (0.81 to 1.33) | 2.07 (1.64 to 2.61) | 3.19 (2.42 to 4.23) |
| Antibiotic only | 0.91 (0.90 to 0.93) | 1.03 (1.01 to 1.06) | 0.77 (0.74 to 0.80) | 0.89 (0.95 to 0.93) |
| NSAID+antibiotic | 1.17 (0.98 to 1.40) | 1.21 (0.91 to 1.62) | 1.80 (1.34 to 2.41) | 3.63 (2.59 to 5.09) |

^{*}Adjusted for age, sex, individual level Index of Multiple Deprivation, ethnicity, influenza vaccination status, Elixhauser index. NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; RTI, respiratory tract infection; UTI, urinary tract infection.

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; RTI, respiratory tract infection; UTI, urinary tract infection.



NSAID prescription) and 65+ years (interaction term: 1.18, 95% CI 0.73 to 1.90).

There was no statistically significant interaction with the Elixhauser Index (interaction terms 1.00, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.01 for acute NSAID prescription and 1.00, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.02 for repeated NSAID prescription).

Practice-level analyses (see online supplemental appendix 4 for fuller details)

The range in the percentage of patients experiencing hospital admission or death within 30 days was between 2.7% and 7.7% (median 4.7%, lower quartile 3.9%, upper quartile 5.2%). Higher NSAID prescribing rates and higher rates of hospital admission/death within 30 days were correlated (r=0.30). For each one percentage point increase in the percentage receiving an NSAID prescription, the percentage experiencing hospital admission/death within 30 days increased by 0.32 percentage points (95% CI 0.16, to 0.47).

DISCUSSION Main findings

In this large cohort study of electronic health record data, NSAID prescribing for RTI or UTI was associated with an increased risk of hospital admission or death within 30 days in both practice and individual-level analyses. There was also a higher risk of repeat consultation within those with a repeated NSAID prescription, albeit in small numbers of patients. The lack of significant interactions with age and comorbidity suggests that the relationship is not modified by these factors.

Comparison with other studies

An individual patient data meta-analysis found that although the absolute excess risk was small, compared with placebo some NSAIDS (coxib and diclofenac) caused three major vascular events per 1000 patients per year, of which one event was fatal. There is increased risk of acute kidney injury in those over 65 with NSAID use. Our study is consistent with these findings, with a clear increase in all hospital admissions in this large routine data set. The point estimates of effect were larger than previously observed in the literature perhaps as they relate to a relatively small population prescribed NSAIDs over a 1-year period, even within this large cohort. There was no significant interaction with age over 65, however, suggesting that the risk may be present in younger adults as well.

In contrast to some trial evidence in both RTI^{15 30} and UTI,³¹ this study did not show an increased risk of repeat consultation except in those prescribed repeated NSAIDs. It is not clear why these results differ but it may be due to the different advice and/or patient behaviour in a trial context with respect to repeat consultation, the dilution of the signal due to over the counter use, smaller sample sizes or different patient populations.

Strengths and limitations

The strength of this study is that it is a large cohort conducted using CPRD and linked HES data, largely representative of UK general practice, and validated for the quality and accuracy of coding.

There may be some limitations in generalisability, however, as only the 87 general practices in England, which provide linked data were available for this analysis. Recent papers have suggested that in England, patient-level measures of deprivation and ethnicity were broadly similar to the general population. ³² ³³ However, the data included here were limited to general practices in England and different prescribing patterns and associations might be observed in a broader data set more representative of the UK population, especially as there are no prescription charges in devolved nations, which may encourage more patients to seek prescriptions for medications for self-management, such as NSAIDs, rather than purchasing them over the counter.

The individual-level analyses cannot control for all aspects of case mix, particularly the severity of the illness at the time of the initial consultation as measures of illness severity are not routinely coded by GPs. Patients prescribed NSAIDs may be at higher risk of hospital admission or death and there is potential that the results reflect residual confounding in respect of this and other unmeasured confounders. However, since case mix does not fully explain practice-level variation in prescribing for infections once overall population characteristics are controlled for the problem of confounding by indication due to case mix is less for practice-level analyses. Thus, the similarity of our findings in the practice-level analyses suggests that confounding by indication is not likely to completely explain the individual-level results.

There is potential for missing mild cases of UTI and RTI, since this study only includes those patients ill enough to consult a GP. Moreover, the analysis has used complete cases only with no allowance for missing data. GPs may possibly not code milder cases so this may somewhat limit the generalisability of these findings, but consultation with a GP for both RTI and UTI remains common, even in those with relatively mild symptoms, and, therefore, these results are likely to still be informative in clinical practice. In using this routine data, we may have included a small number of patients who could not be prescribed NSAIDs due to allergy or hypersensitivity as well as a small proportion who were coprescribed proton pump inhibitors or probiotics, which might have lessened any impact of NSAID prescribing. These small numbers are unlikely to have impacted on the inferences.

NSAID prescribing data can only be expected to detect a signal and not provide a full picture of the impact of NSAIDs since patients can access NSAIDs over-the-counter themselves. NSAID use is common with 50% of people with RTI and 20% of women with UTI reporting NSAID use during an episode of infection. ^{34 35} The prescribing rates observed in this study were considerably lower than this and it is likely that there is some misclassification, with a proportion of the 'no NSAID' group using NSAIDs. It is also possible that patients who are prescribed NSAIDs may not fill their prescriptions or may not take them.



Such misclassification would be more likely to render the signal undetectable and this suggests that the associations detected in our study may represent an underestimate.

Finally, this study was undertaken during a period of time prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. There is some evidence that the use of NSAIDs in those with COVID-19 infection does not lead to higher mortality or increased severity^{36–39} and a clinical trial of ibuprofen use in COVID-19 is underway.⁴⁰ It has been hypothesised that this lack of association may be because the release of cytokines associated with more severe COVID-19 is moderated by NSAID treatment.^{38 41 42} It has also been hypothesised that adverse events associated with NSAID use in RTI may be specific to bacterial infections.³⁷ Our findings, therefore cannot be generalised to the recent pandemic.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

The prescribing of NSAIDs during non-pandemic years may be associated with worse outcomes following acute infection. This routine data support other observational data and the limited trial data that prescribing of NSAIDs during non-pandemic years are likely to be associated with worse outcomes following acute infection, and they, therefore, should be prescribed with care.

Twitter Sam Wilding @GeogWilding

Acknowledgements MCG was supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' Hospitals and King's College London.

Contributors BS, RV, MM, PL and MCG contributed to the study design, securing funding and application to CPRD for access to the data. BS, MCG, HH and SW contributed to the analysis of the data. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the analyses and reviewed and approved the manuscript. BS will act as quarantor for the manuscript

Funding This study/project is funded by the National Institute for Health and Social Care Research (NIHR) School for Primary Care Research (project reference 486). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The CPRD holds over-arching Research Ethics Committee approval for observational studies using fully anonymised data. The study was based on analysis of fully anonymised data, and individual consent therefore not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer-reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. Data cannot be shared publicly because they are analysed under licence. The data are available from the CPRD Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (contact viaisac@mhra.gov.uk) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. The data underlying the results presented in the study are available from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (cprdenquiries@mhra. gov.uk).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines,

terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Beth Stuart http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5432-7437 Roderick Venekamp http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1446-9614 Hilda Hounkpatin http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1360-1791 Michael Moore http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5127-4509 Paul Little http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3664-1873 Martin C Gulliford http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1898-9075

REFERENCES

- 1 Gulliford MC, Dregan A, Moore MV, et al. Continued high rates of antibiotic prescribing to adults with respiratory tract infection: survey of 568 UK general practices. BMJ Open 2014;4:e006245.
- 2 Butler CC, Hawking MKD, Quigley A, et al. Incidence, severity, help seeking, and management of uncomplicated urinary tract infection: a population-based survey. Br J Gen Pract 2015;65:e702–7.
- 3 NHS. Respiratory tract infections (RTIs). Available: https://www.nhs. uk/conditions/respiratory-tract-infection/ [Accessed 12 Aug 2021].
- 4 NHS. Urinary tract infections (UTIs). Available: https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/urinary-tract-infections-utis/ [Accessed 12 Aug 2021].
- 5 Smeeth L, Thomas SL, Hall AJ, et al. Risk of myocardial infarction and stroke after acute infection or vaccination. N Engl J Med 2004;351:2611–8.
- 6 Wen Y-C, Hsiao F-Y, Lin Z-F, et al. Risk of stroke associated with use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs during acute respiratory infection episode. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2018;27:645–51.
- 7 Wen Y-C, Hsiao F-Y, Chan KA, et al. Acute respiratory infection and use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on risk of acute myocardial infarction: a nationwide case-crossover study. J Infect Dis 2017;215:503–9.
- 8 Voiriot G, Philippot Q, Elabbadi A, et al. Risks related to the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in community-acquired pneumonia in adult and pediatric patients. J Clin Med 2019;8:786.
- 9 Basille D, Trouve C, Plouvier N, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may worsen the course of community-acquired pneumonia: a cohort study. Lung 2017;195:201–8.
- 10 Demeslay J, De Bonnecaze G, Vairel B, et al. Possible role of antiinflammatory drugs in complications of pharyngitis. a retrospective analysis of 163 cases. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis 2014:131:299–303.
- 11 Le Bourgeois M, Ferroni A, Leruez-Ville M, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug without antibiotics for acute viral infection increases the empyema risk in children: a matched case-control study. J Pediatr 2016;175:47–53.
- 12 Piroulas C, Devillers L, Souty C, et al. Non-steroids anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of peritonsillar abscess in pharyngitis: a French longitudinal study in primary care†. Fam Pract 2019;36:425–30.
- 13 Kotsiou OS, Zarogiannis SG, Gourgoulianis KI. Prehospital NSAIDs use prolong hospitalization in patients with pleuro-pulmonary infection. *Respir Med* 2017;123:28–33.
- 14 Little P, Moore M, Kelly J, et al. Ibuprofen, paracetamol, and steam for patients with respiratory tract infections in primary care: pragmatic randomised factorial trial. BMJ 2013;347:f6041.
- 15 Little P, Stuart B, Andreou P, et al. Primary care randomised controlled trial of a tailored interactive website for the selfmanagement of respiratory infections (internet doctor). BMJ Open 2016;6:e009769.
- 16 Cars T, Eriksson I, Granath A, et al. Antibiotic use and bacterial complications following upper respiratory tract infections: a population-based study. BMJ Open 2017;7:e016221.
- 17 Gulliford MC, Moore MV, Little P, et al. Safety of reduced antibiotic prescribing for self limiting respiratory tract infections in primary care: cohort study using electronic health records. BMJ 2016;354:i3410.
- 18 Stuart B, Brotherwood H, Van't Hoff C, et al. Exploring the appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing for common respiratory tract infections in UK primary care. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020;75:236–42.



- 19 Butler CC, Hood K, Verheij T, et al. Variation in antibiotic prescribing and its impact on recovery in patients with acute cough in primary care: prospective study in 13 countries. BMJ 2009;338:b2242.
- 20 Palin V, Mölter A, Belmonte M, et al. Antibiotic prescribing for common infections in UK general practice: variability and drivers. J Antimicrob Chemother 2019;74:2440–50.
- 21 MHRA, CPRD. Release notes: CPRD GOLD April 2021. CPRD GOLD April 2020 dataset. n.d. 202.
- 22 MHRA. Hospital episode Statistics (HES) outpatient care and CPRD primary care data documentation (set 18); 2019.
- 23 Gulliford MC, Charlton J, Winter JR, et al. Probability of sepsis after infection consultations in primary care in the United Kingdom in 2002–2017: population-based cohort study and decision analytic model. PLoS Med 2020;17:e1003202.
- 24 Vandenbroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med 2007;4:e297.
- 25 Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, et al. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. Med Care 1998;36:8–27.
- 26 van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, et al. A modification of the elixhauser comorbidity measures into a point system for hospital death using administrative data. Med Care 2009;47:626–33.
- 27 Metcalfe D, Masters J, Delmestri A, et al. Coding algorithms for defining charlson and elixhauser co-morbidities in read-coded databases. BMC Med Res Methodol 2019;19:115.
- 28 Bhala N, Emberson J, Merhi A, et al. Vascular and upper gastrointestinal effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: meta-analyses of individual participant data from randomised trials. Lancet 2013;382:769–79.
- 29 Schneider V, Lévesque LE, Zhang B, et al. Association of selective and conventional nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs with acute renal failure: a population-based, nested case-control analysis. Am J Epidemiol 2006;164:881–9.
- 30 Little P, Moore M, Kelly J, et al. Delayed antibiotic prescribing strategies for respiratory tract infections in primary care: pragmatic, factorial, randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2014;348:g1606.
- 31 Vik I, Bollestad M, Grude N, et al. Ibuprofen versus pivmecillinam for uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women—a double-blind, randomized non-inferiority trial. PLoS Med 2018;15:e1002569.

- 32 Mahadevan P, Harley M, Fordyce S, et al. Completeness and representativeness of small area socioeconomic data linked with the UK clinical practice research datalink (CPRD). J Epidemiol Community Health 2022:76:880–6.
- 33 Shiekh SI, Harley M, Ghosh RE, et al. Completeness, agreement, and representativeness of ethnicity recording in the United Kingdom's clinical practice research datalink (CPRD) and linked hospital episode statistics (HES). Popul Health Metr 2023;21:3.
- 34 Butler CC, Hawking MK, Quigley A, et al. Incidence, severity, help seeking, and management of uncomplicated urinary tract infection: a population-based survey. Br J Gen Pract 2015;65:e702–7.
- 35 McNulty CAM, Nichols T, French DP, et al. Expectations for consultations and antibiotics for respiratory tract infection in primary care: the RTI clinical iceberg. Br J Gen Pract 2013:63:e429–36.
- 36 Meier CR, Schmitz S, Jick H. Association between acetaminophen or nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and risk of developing ovarian, breast, or colon cancer. *Pharmacotherapy* 2002;22:303–9.
- 37 Wong AY, MacKenna B, Morton CE, et al. Use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of death from COVID-19: an opensafely cohort analysis based on two cohorts. Ann Rheum Dis 2021:80:943–51.
- 38 Lund LC, Kristensen KB, Reilev M, et al. Adverse outcomes and mortality in users of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs who tested positive for SARS-Cov-2: a danish nationwide cohort study. PLoS Med 2020;17:e1003308.
- 39 Drake TM, Fairfield CJ, Pius R, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and outcomes of COVID-19 in the ISARIC clinical characterisation protocol UK cohort: a matched, prospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2021;3:e498–506.
- 40 LIBERATE trial in COVID-19 full text view Clinicaltrials.Gov. Available: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04334629?cond=covid-19+ibuprofen&draw=2&rank=3 [Accessed 11 Jan 2022].
- 41 Chen Y, Wang J, Liu C, et al. IP-10 and MCP-1 as biomarkers associated with disease severity of COVID-19. Mol Med 2020;26:97.
- 42 Herold T, Jurinovic V, Arnreich C, et al. Elevated levels of IL-6 and CRP predict the need for mechanical ventilation in COVID-19.

 J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020;146:128–36.