



University of Dundee

Predictors of HIV status disclosure among people living with HIV (PLHIV) in Ghana

Alhassan, RK; Gyamerah, A; Nutor, J J; Boakye-Yiadom, E; Kasu, E; Acquah, E

DOI:
[10.1186/s12981-023-00569-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-023-00569-1)

Publication date:
2023

Licence:
CC BY

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Alhassan, RK., Gyamerah, A., Nutor, J. J., Boakye-Yiadom, E., Kasu, E., Acquah, E., & Doe, E. (2023). Predictors of HIV status disclosure among people living with HIV (PLHIV) in Ghana: the disclosure conundrum and its policy implications in resource limited settings. *AIDS research and therapy*, 20, Article 84. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-023-00569-1>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Predictors of HIV status disclosure among people living with HIV (PLHIV) in Ghana: the disclosure conundrum and its policy implications in resource limited settings

Robert Kaba Alhassan^{1*}, Jerry John Nutor², Akua Gyamerah², Emily Boakye-Yiadom³, Emmanuel Kasu^{3,4}, Evelyn Acquah¹ and Emmanuel Doe⁴

Abstract

Background Globally, over 40 million lives have been claimed by HIV/AIDS. In Ghana, more than 350,000 people are living with HIV. Non-disclosure of HIV status is a major barrier to HIV/AIDS eradication; yet, little is known of the determinants of HIV status disclosure in resource limited settings in Africa like Ghana.

Objective Determine the predictors of HIV status disclosure among people living with HIV (PLHIV) and stimulate policy discourse on support systems for self-disclosure in Africa.

Methods This is a descriptive cross-sectional study among PLHIV (n = 181) in sub-Saharan Africa, specifically the Volta region of Ghana. Bivariate probit regression was run to determine factors associated with HIV status disclosure among PLHIV.

Results HIV status self-disclosure was reported by 50% of the respondents; nearly 65% disclosed their status to non-family members and non-partners. Significant correlates of HIV status disclosure either to partners or non-partners were marital status, monthly income, type of occupation, and being divorced due to HIV status ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusions HIV status disclosure remains low in Ghana like many African countries. There is the need for a renewed policy debate on tailored guidelines for HIV status self-disclosure and targeted support systems for PLHIV to ameliorate their predicaments and promote eradication of the epidemic in Africa.

Keywords HIV, AIDS, Status self-disclosure, Antiretroviral therapy, People living with HIV (PLHIV), Policy, Low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs), Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, Ghana

Background

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) [23], over 40 million lives have so far been claimed by HIV/AIDS globally out of over 75 million infected persons. At the end of 2022 an estimated 39 million people were living with HIV and two thirds of those infected lived in the WHO-African region [23]. Per the WHO 2025 target, 95% of all people living with HIV (PLHIV) should have a diagnosis, 95% of those diagnosed should be taking lifesaving antiretroviral treatment (ART) and

*Correspondence:

Robert Kaba Alhassan
ralhassan@uhas.edu.gh

¹ Institute of Health Research, University of Health and Allied Sciences, PMB 31, Ho, Ghana

² University of California San Francisco School of Nursing, San Francisco, USA

³ School of Medicine, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ho, Ghana

⁴ Ho Teaching Hospital, Ministry of Health (MoH), Ho, Ghana



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

95% of PLHIV on treatment achieving a suppressed viral load. However, the current global statistics stand at 86%, 76% and 71%, respectively.

Over the years, substantial number of studies have investigated outcomes of HIV status disclosure [2, 3, 6, 17]. Unfortunately, little is known on the determinants of HIV status self-disclosure among PLHIV. This study investigated the predictors of HIV status self-disclosure among PLHIV to inform national policy discourse on support systems for self-disclosure as a conduit for HIV/AIDS control.

Methods

Study design

The study is a descriptive cross-sectional design that employed survey approach to ascertain status self-disclosure and the predictors among PLHIV.

Study setting and sampling procedure

The study was conducted in the Anti-retroviral Therapy (ART) clinic of a tertiary referral hospital in Ghana. The study population was PLHIV aged 18 years and above who have ever attended the ART clinic at least once for the last 6 months. The sampling strategy was a census of adult PLHIV enrolled in ART and regularly attended the ART clinic.

Sample size determination

Statistically, 60 patients per group (i.e. low and higher ART clinic attendant groups) was assumed to give the researchers the requisite statistical power, assuming a large effect size difference of 1.0 standard deviation units between the high and low ART clinic attendants groups. Subsequently, a conservative $\alpha=0.01$ adjusted from 0.05 was used to account for between 5 and 10 potential comparisons using mean differences (t-tests) or categorical differences (Chi-square) in individual and community factors associated with ART service utilization. In a linear regression model to account for the variance in ART clinic attendance rates, we simulated a standard normal distribution and 100–120 patients (about 10 cases per variable) and assumed to provide adequate power to achieve statistical significance ($\alpha=0.05$) [20]. Following the power calculation of the study, an over-sampling 61 respondents (representing 50%) was done to account for possible non-response or attrition due to the sensitive nature of the study. Thus, a final census of 181 eligible participants was done ($n=181$) [16].

Instruments of data collection and data sources

A structured questionnaire was developed and validated through piloting for the survey. An in-person survey was conducted among 181 PLHIV. For optimal privacy,

one-on-one interviews were done in a private room for the participants. Each interview lasted approximately 45 mins. Data collection was between 14th and 30th June, 2021.

Validity and reliability of test instruments

Computation of internal consistency reliability of the Likert's scale items was done with a Cronbach's alpha test and the mean scale reliability was >0.70 .

Data analysis

The data collected was analysed with the STATA statistical analysis software (version 16.0). All data sets were coded to anonymize the identity of respondents. Descriptive statistics were estimated in frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, and means/standard deviations for continuous variables. Cross-tabulation comparison of background information of respondents was done using Fisher's Exact test. Bivariate probit regression tests were run to test the predictors of HIV status self-disclosure. Regression model outputs were reported in log likelihood ratios. Multicollinearity diagnostics were conducted on all explanatory variables and those with Variance Inflation Factors (VIFs) above 10.0 were excluded from the regression models.

Outcome variables of interest

Main outcome variables were HIV status self-disclosure to a partner (yes = 1, no = 0), and self-disclosure to others (yes = 1, no = 0).

Explanatory variables and co-variables

The socio-demographic explanatory variables were: marital status, education, sex, residence and religion. Other explanatory variables were monthly income, occupation, employment, divorce due to HIV, place of ART attendance and knowledge of ART side effects.

Results

Socio-demographic and economic characteristics of respondents

Total of 181 participants were recruited for the study and successfully interviewed. Females dominated, representing 80% of the respondents while the average age was 47 ± 12.6 . Half of the respondents were urban residents while over 90% were Christians of varying denominations; more than 70% of the respondents either did not have formal education or had at most primary or secondary education. Out of the 44% of respondents who were employed, 40% were in private business employment with an average monthly income below GHC 500 (approximately USD 44.00); 69% of them perceived themselves as

poor and not having enough in terms of their economic status (see Table 1).

A little over 42% of respondents were in a relationship and living with the partner. Over 90% of the respondents had at least a child with the average number of children per respondent being 3 ± 1.67 . Approximately 83% of the respondents indicated none of their children was HIV positive; 42% of the respondents indicated their partner did not test positive for HIV and those whose partners were HIV positive, nearly 91% of them were on ART (see Table 1).

HIV status disclosure among PLHIV

Approximately 50% indicated they have not disclosed their HIV status to their partner while 50% of them said they did. Among respondents who did self-disclosure of their status, nearly 65% of them disclosed to non-family members followed by a partner (50%) and a sibling (29%). The least category of persons disclosures were made to are father (1.1%); aunt/uncle (3.3%); unspecific persons (5.5%); mother (17%), and children (18%) (see Fig. 1).

Predictors of HIV status disclosure

Significant association was found between HIV status disclosure to a partner and socio-demographic factors such as sex ($p=0.046$) and marital status ($p=0.000$). Other significant correlates are: being in private business ($p=0.022$) and divorced due to HIV ($p=0.000$). HIV status self-disclosure to other persons other than the partner was significantly correlated with monthly income ($p=0.022$), being employed ($p=0.027$), being divorced due to HIV ($p=0.017$) and being knowledgeable of ART drugs side effects ($p=0.044$) (see Table 2).

HIV status disclosure to a partner was more likely to occur among married persons (Coef. = 1.25, $p < 0.001$, [95% CI 0.74, 1.76]), but not with non-partners (Coef. = -0.56, $p < 0.001$, [95% CI -0.044, 0-0.076]) (see Table 3).

Persons in lower income brackets were less likely to disclose their HIV status to their partners than those who earn higher monthly incomes (Coef. = -0.45, $p < 0.01$, [95% CI -0.93, 0.035]) (see Table 3). Higher monthly income earners were more likely to disclose their HIV status to others (non-partners) than low-income earners (Coef. = 0.51, $p < 0.005$, [95% CI 0.011, 1.00]) (see Table 3).

Persons engaged in private businesses were more likely to disclose their HIV status to their partners than other forms of employment endeavours (Coef. = 0.43, $p < 0.005$, [95% CI 0.012, 0.84]). Persons who were employed were more likely to disclose their HIV status to others (non-partners) than the unemployed (Coef. = 0.43, $p < 0.01$, [95% CI -0.014, 0.88]) (see Table 3). Finally, persons who were divorced due to HIV were less likely

Table 1 Demographic and socio-economic characteristics of respondents

Characteristics	Statistics	
Socio-demographic variables		
Age (n = 170)	Mean = 46.61, SD = 12.57; min = 18, max = 85	
Gender (n = 126)	Freq.	Percentage
Male	25	19.84
Female	101	80.16
Place of residence (n = 170)		
Rural	36	21.18
Urban	86	50.59
Peri-urban	48	28.24
District (n = 181)		
Adaklu	4	2.21
Adaklu Waya	2	1.10
Agotime-Ziope	11	6.08
Ho	151	83.43
Ho west	10	5.52
Hohoe	1	0.55
Ketu-north	1	0.55
North Dayi	1	0.55
Religious affiliation (n = 170)		
Protestant/Pentecostal	97	57.06
Catholic	21	12.35
Traditional	1	0.59
Other Christians	51	30.00
Education (n = 166)		
None	18	10.65
Primary	82	48.52
Secondary	48	28.40
Diploma/Degree	17	10.06
Marital status (n = 169)		
Widowed	37	21.89
Divorced	27	15.98
In relationship/living with a partner	71	42.01
In relationship/not living with a partner	22	13.02
Unmarried	12	7.10
Polygamous marriage (n = 165)		
Yes	6	3.64
No	159	96.36
Has children (n = 170)		
Yes	154	90.59
No	16	9.41
Number of children (n = 158)	Mean = 2.85, SD = 1.67; min = 1, max = 12	
HIV exposure proxies		
Any of the children has HIV (n = 151)		
Yes	20	13.25
No	125	82.78
Don't know	6	3.97

Table 1 (continued)

Characteristics	Statistics	
Partner has HIV (n = 162)		
Yes	43	26.54
No	68	41.98
Never tested/Don't know	51	31.48
HIV partner on ART (n = 42)		
Yes	34	80.95
No	8	19.05
Economic status proxies		
Occupation (n = 168)		
Student	5	2.98
Private business owner	67	39.88
Government/white collar job	10	5.95
Housewife	3	1.79
Farmer	27	16.07
Other	56	33.333
Employment status (n = 169)		
Employed	74	43.79
Unemployed	95	56.21
Monthly income (n = 155)		
Below 500 GHC	94	60.65
501–1,000 GHC	38	24.52
1,001–2,000 GHC	19	12.26
2,001–3,000 GHC	4	2.58
Perceived financial sufficiency (n = 170)		
Poor/not enough	117	68.82
Enough	53	31.18
Assets ownership (n = 170)		
Owns radio	111	65.29
Owns Television	101	59.76
Access to electricity	160	94.12
Economic status proxies (n = 170)		
Owns a car	16	9.41
Owns refrigerator	79	46.47
Access to potable water	143	84.12
Owns WC toilet	140	82.35
Owns solar electricity	3	1.76

Data source: Field Data (2021); n (valid responses)

ART Anti-retroviral Therapy, HIV Human Immune Virus, SD Standard Deviation

to voluntarily disclose their HIV status to partners (Coef. = -1.34 , $p < 0.001$, [95% CI -1.77 , -0.90]) but more likely to disclose their status to others (non-partners) (Coef. = 0.49 , $p < 0.005$, [95% CI 0.06 , 0.91]) (see Table 3).

Discussion

Ghana, like many HIV endemic countries in Africa, aims to eliminate new HIV infections especially among children by 2020 [22]. It was found in this study that 42% of the PLHIV indicated their partner did not test positive

for HIV. This finding could be due to effective practice of safe sex or perhaps respondents did not truly know their partners status, yet gave a socially desirable response. Moreover, the finding that 91% of the PLHIV were on the ART corroborates the Ghana AIDS Commission (GAC) [5] statistics that 99% of PLHIV are on sustained ART.

An equal proportion (50%) of respondents said they have voluntarily disclosed their HIV status, contrary to 79% disclosure rate among PLHIV in an earlier study conducted in Ghana by Adam et al. [1]. However, it must be clarified that Adam et al. [1] did not distill the responses into categories of family members as examined in this paper.

It was observed that disclosures were predominantly made to non-family members (65%), corroborating earlier studies in Kenya [13], Zimbabwe [8], South Africa [12], Uganda Kairania et al. [7] and other African countries [11]. Perhaps due to stigma, most respondents felt more secured with non-family members for the needed psycho-social support, as confirmed in a study by Mokgatle et al. [12] in South Africa where almost half (45.7%) of the 670 respondents were unwilling to care for family members diagnosed of HIV/AIDS. Mistrust for family members by PLHIV could account for this perception.

Predictors of HIV status disclosure was also explored and it was found that disclosure tendencies were significantly correlated with marital status, educational level, divorce status, monthly income, occupation and having an HIV positive partner. These findings are supported by similar studies in Ghana [1], other African countries [21] and in Canada [9] where socio-economic factors were found to be important correlates of HIV status disclosure. These empirical findings further buttress arguments in the literature that HIV epidemiology and disease coping mechanisms have strong socio-economic and gender underpinnings [21].

Similarly, previous studies have alluded to the strong correlation between economic freedom/self-dependence and health seeking behaviour [10]. HIV/AIDS disproportionately affects more women than men in many African countries including Ghana. As demonstrated in this study, respondents in the high-income bracket (mostly men) were more likely to disclose their HIV status to their partner than their female counterparts as found in Poku et al. [18]. Financial insecurity and fear of divorce with its unpleasant consequences perhaps explain why persons in low-income bracket are reluctant to be the first to disclose their HIV status. Finally, respondents who were found to be adherent to ART also had higher odds of disclosing their HIV status to someone. Studies have showed that persons who are adherent to ART also turn have positive outlook of HIV/AIDS and are more likely to disclose their status to others Nichols et al. [14])




Fig. 1 Key outcome variables of interest (HIV status self-disclosure)

Table 2 Relationship between HIV status self-disclosure and background characteristics of respondents

Independent variables	Disclosure to partner (N = 84)				Disclosure to others (N = 112)			
	Obs	No	Yes	p-value	Obs	No	Yes	p-value
Socio-demographic variables								
Age (Mean ± SD)	169	48 ± 13	45 ± 11	0.2713	173	44 ± 11	47 ± 13	0.0838*
	Obs	f (%)	f (%)	p-value	Obs	f (%)	f (%)	p-value
Females	98	58 (59)	40 (41)	0.046**	101	36 (36)	65 (58)	0.427
In a relationship with partner	73	17 (23)	56 (77)	0.000***	73	31 (42)	42 (58)	0.952
Pentecostal denomination	96	53 (55)	43 (45)	0.095*	99	34 (34)	65 (66)	0.447
Primary education	85	43 (51)	42 (49)	0.500	85	33 (39)	52 (61)	0.226
Urban resident	88	44 (50)	44 (50)	0.529	88	32 (36)	56 (64)	0.441
Economic variables								
Monthly income < GHC 500	93	51 (55)	42 (45)	0.017	96	27 (28)	69 (72)	0.022**
Poor financial situation	114	61 (54)	53 (46)	0.150	118	42 (36)	76 (64)	0.517
In private business	69	26 (38)	41 (59)	0.022**	69	27 (39)	42 (61)	0.227
Employed	92	51 (55)	41 (45)	0.081*	96	27 (28)	69 (72)	0.027**
HIV history variables								
Divorced due to HIV	73	54 (74)	19 (26)	0.000***	74	18 (24)	56 (76)	0.017**
Partner not HIV positive	66	35 (53)	31 (47)	0.271	68	25 (37)	43 (63)	0.421
Partner not on ART	36	2 (6)	34 (94)	0.145	36	18 (50)	18 (50)	0.404
Child not HIV positive	124	65 (52)	59 (48)	0.140	127	42 (33)	85 (67)	0.523
Takes ART at ART centre	128	63 (49)	65 (51)	0.376	131	42 (32)	89 (68)	0.086*
Knowledge of ART	163	81 (50)	82 (50)	0.347	167	58 (35)	109 (65)	0.357
Knowledge of ART side effects	157	80 (51)	77 (49)	0.483	161	53 (33)	108 (67)	0.044**
No fear of ART	151	73 (48)	78 (52)	0.111	154	53 (34)	101 (65)	0.336
ART adherent	166	84 (51)	82 (49)	0.496	170	61 (36)	109 (64)	0.269

Data source: field data (2021)

*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1 (1-sided Fisher's exact test)

Table 3 Bivariate probit regression on predictors of HIV status disclosure

Independent variables	Disclosure to partner (Module 1)			Disclosure to others (Module 2)		
	Coef	[95% CI]		Coef	[95% CI]	
Sex						
Female	-0.511	-1.161	0.138	0.160	-0.441	0.762
Male	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Marital status						
In a relationship with partner	1.246***	0.737	1.755	-0.560**	-1.044	-0.076
Otherwise	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Religion						
Pentecostal	0.086	-0.432	0.604	-0.040	-0.535	0.455
Other religions	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Education						
Primary	-0.102	-0.602	0.399	-0.020	-0.497	0.458
Higher education	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Location						
Urban	0.038	-0.452	0.529	-0.049	-0.518	0.420
Rural	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Constant	-0.242	-0.953	0.469	0.519	-0.169	-0.161
athrho	-0.497***	-0.834	-0.161			
Mean dependent var	0.633					
SD dependent var	0.484					
Number of obs	120					
Chi-square	30.105					
Akaike crit. (AIC)	304.938					
Prob > chi2	0.001					
Independent variables	Disclosure to partner (Module 3)			Disclosure to others (Module 4)		
	Coef	[95% CI]		Coef	[95% CI]	
Income						
< GHC 500	-0.447*	-0.928	0.035	0.507**	0.011	1.004
≤ GHC 500	Ref			Ref		
Financial status						
Poor	0.099	-0.39	0.588	-0.391	-0.909	0.128
Not poor	Ref			Ref		
Occupation						
Private business	0.426**	0.012	0.841	-0.148	-0.574	0.279
Otherwise	Ref					
Employment status						
Employed	-0.084	-0.517	0.348	0.431*	-0.014	0.876
Not employed	Ref			Ref		
Constant	0.129	-0.31	0.568	0.212	-0.232	0.656
athrho	-0.289**	-0.563	-0.015			
Mean dependent var	0.654					
SD dependent var	0.477					
Number of obs	153					
Chi-square	0.029					
Akaike crit. (AIC)	407.852					
Prob > chi2	0.029					

Table 3 (continued)

Independent variables	Disclosure to partner (Module 5)			Disclosure to others (Module 6)		
	Coef	[95% CI]		Coef	[95% CI]	
Divorced due to HIV						
Yes	-1.339***	-1.773	-0.904	0.485**	0.06	0.911
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Place of ART attendance						
ART clinic	0.07	-0.446	0.586	0.351	-0.136	0.838
Otherwise	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Knows ART side effects						
Yes	-0.31	-1.341	0.722	0.519	-0.339	1.377
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Constant	0.942*	-0.134	2.019	-0.547	-1.459	0.364
athrho	-0.25*					
Mean dependent var	0.664					
SD dependent var	0.474					
Number of obs	152					
Chi-square	44.779					
Akaike crit. (AIC)	370.920					
Prob > chi2	0.000					

Data source: field data (2021)

***p < 0.01, **p < 0.05, *p < 0.1

[19]. This observation corroborates findings in previous studies that found that non-adherents of ART are sometimes in perpetual denial stage and not willing to seek treatment and social support in light of their condition Nichols et al. [15] [4]. It is important efforts are intensified to ensure enhanced support systems (including stigma control) for PLHIV. In conclusion, findings of this study could guide policy actors in designing HIV status disclosure support systems for PLHIV in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) with already fragile health systems not resilience enough to support PLHIV in this disclosure conundrum.

Limitations

Responses were self-reported without independent verification for truth. Responses are therefore subject to biases including socially desirable responses given the sensitive nature of the topic. However, the deployment of robust sampling techniques and reliability tests guarantee the results trustworthiness.

Conclusion/policy recommendations

There is the need for policy debates to inform guidelines for HIV status self-disclosure support for PLHIV. Even though guidelines exist on disclosure for minors, there is no tailored framework for self-disclosure among the adult population who record higher prevalence rates. Moreover, since HIV status disclosure

has gender and socio-economic underpinnings, there should be accelerated pre- and post-disclosure support system for PLHIV to ameliorate their plight.

Abbreviations

- AIDS Acquired immune-deficiency syndrome
- ART Antiretroviral therapy
- COVID-19 Coronavirus disease 2009
- GAC Ghana AIDS Commission
- GHS Ghana Health Service
- HIV Human immune virus
- ISAT Internalized Stigma of AIDS Tool
- LMICs Low- and middle-income countries
- MoH Ministry of Health
- NACPL National AIDS control programme
- PLHIV People living with HIV
- PMTCT Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission
- REC Research Ethics Committee
- SDGs Sustainable development goals
- TPB Theory of planned behavior
- UCSF University of California San Francisco
- UHAS University of Health and Allied Sciences
- UN United Nations
- UNAIDS United Nations AIDS
- VIFs Variance inflation factors
- ZDHS Zimbabwe Demographic Health Survey

Acknowledgements

The researchers appreciate and acknowledge the support of the staff and research assistants who were involved in the data collection. All respondents who voluntarily participated in the study are equally acknowledged. Finally, special appreciation goes to Madam Emma Akubia for her immense support and contribution to the research team during the various stages of engagements.

Author contributions

RKA JJN provided conceptualization direction, analysis, review and supervision; RKA JJN AG EB EK EA ED collected data, wrote initial draft; RKA EA ED field data collection, RKA initial draft writing; JJN AG EB EK EA ED review; JJN RKA resource mobilization.

Funding

This project is funded by University of California San Francisco School of Nursing.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Declarations**Ethical approval and consent to participate**

All experimental protocols were approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) of the University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ghana (clearance number: UHAS-RECA.6 [1] 20–2) and the University of California San Francisco Institutional Review Board with reference number 20-32955. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before they were recruited into the study. Data set was coded to anonymize personal information of respondents.

Consent for publication

All authors of this manuscript have consented to publish this work.

Competing interests

Authors declare there is no competing interest.

Received: 10 September 2023 Accepted: 29 September 2023

Published online: 27 November 2023

References

- Adam A, Fusheni A, Ayanore MA, Amuna N, Agbozo F, Kugbey N, Zotor FB. HIV stigma and status disclosure in three municipalities in Ghana. *Ann Glob Health*. 2021;87(1):49.
- Agbeko A, Owusu R, Alhassan Y, Letsa T, Axame WK, Ogom-Alangea D. HIV status disclosure and quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS in the Ho Municipality, Ghana. *Adv Public Health*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6842957>.
- Agyarko-Poku T, Bannor R, Sorvor E, Ankobea-Kokroe F. HIV status disclosure and sexual activity among pregnant women in Ghana. *AIDS Care*. 2023;35(3):385–91.
- Dzansi G, Tornu E, Chippis J. Promoters and inhibitors of treatment adherence among HIV/AIDS patients receiving antiretroviral therapy in Ghana: narratives from an underserved population. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15(3):e0230159.
- Ghana AIDS Commission (GAC). People Living with HIV in Ghana. <https://ghanais.gov.gh/>. Accessed 30 July 2023.
- Kabiriku PC, Anshah EW, Hagan JE Jr. Positive status disclosure and sexual risk behavior changes among people living with HIV in the Northern Region of Ghana. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2023;15(3):255–66.
- Kairania R, Onyango-Ouma W, Ondicho TG, Kagaayi J, Kigozi G. Mummy told me that I have HIV, that is the only thing she told me: Experiences of HIV status disclosure to children in Masaka Region, Uganda. *Uganda Plos one*. 2023;18(5).
- Khan R, Garman EC, Sorsdahl K. Perspectives on self-disclosure of HIV status among HIV-infected adolescents in Harare, Zimbabwe: a qualitative study. *J Child Fam Stud*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-023-02612-1>.
- Krüsi A, Samnani F, Deering DK, King D, Magagula P, Braschel M, Shannon DK. Stigma trajectories, disclosure, access to care, and peer-based supports among African, Caribbean, and Black Im/Migrant Women Living with HIV in Canada. Disclosure, Access to Care, and Peer-Based Supports Among African, Caribbean, and Black Im/Migrant Women Living with HIV in Canada.
- Ma J, Jiao K, Liao M, Wang C, Kang D, Lin Y, Ma W. HIV status disclosure and associated characteristics among HIV-positive msm receiving antiretroviral therapy in Jinan, China. *AIDS Behav*. 2023;27(7):2205–15.
- Mengesha MM, Teshome A, Ajema D, Tura AK, Hallström IK, Jerene D. The association between HIV diagnosis disclosure and adherence to anti-retroviral therapy among adolescents living with HIV in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2023;18(5):e0285571.
- Mokgatle M, Madiba S. Community perceptions of HIV stigma, discriminatory attitudes, and disclosure concerns: a health facility-based study in selected health districts of South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023;20(14):6389.
- Mugo C, Firdawsi O, Wang J, Njuguna IN, Wamalwa DC, Slyker JA, Wagner AD. "When they are all grown, I will tell them": experience and perceptions of parental self-disclosure of HIV status to children in Nairobi, Kenya. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):519.
- Nichols J, Steinmetz A, Paintsil E. Impact of HIV-status disclosure on adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected children in resource-limited settings: A systematic review. *AIDS Behav*. 2017;21(1):59–69.
- Nichols JS, Kyriakides TC, Antwi S, Renner L, Lartey M, Seaneke OA, et al. High prevalence of non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy among undisclosed HIV-infected children in Ghana. *AIDS Care*. 2019;31(1):25–34.
- Nutor JJ, Gyamerah AO, Alhassan RK, Duah HO, Thompson RG, Wilson N, Santos GM. Influence of depression and interpersonal support on adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2023;20(1):42.
- Obiri-Yeboah D, Amoako-Sakyi D, Baidoo I, Adu-Oppong A, Rheinländer T. The 'fears' of disclosing HIV status to sexual partners: a mixed methods study in a counseling setting in Ghana. *AIDS Behav*. 2016;20:126–36.
- Poku RA, Owusu AY, Mullen PD, Markham C, McCurdy SA. Considerations for purposeful HIV status disclosure among women living with HIV in Ghana. *AIDS Care*. 2017;29(5):541–4.
- Riono P, Muhaimin T. Do stigma and disclosure of HIV status are associated with adherence to antiretroviral therapy among men who have sex with men?. *HIV & AIDS review*. *Int J HIV-Relat Probl*. 2021;19(4):244–51.
- Sawilowsky SS. New effect size rules of thumb. *J Mod Appl Stat Methods*. 2009;8(2):26.
- Tessema BT, Bune GT, Mamo ZB. Non-disclosure of HIV-positive serostatus: unmatched case-control study in people living with HIV in Public health facilities of Gedeo Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *HIV/AIDS-Res Palliat Care*. 2023;15:313–24.
- UNAIDS. Country Progress Report-Ghana: Global AIDS Monitoring. 2019. https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents/GHA_2019_countryreport.pdf. Accessed 31 July 2023.
- World Health Organization (WHO). HIV and AIDS: Key Facts, 13th July 2023. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-aids?gclid=CjwKCAjwJimBhAsEiwA1hrp5jhRtv7x1gHw2LC5uLjScgR7VBj6CmUzphXBij13Z8N-9o5fCUehkhoC4xMQAvD_BwE. Accessed 30 July 2023.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

