

ON BASES OF \mathfrak{g} -INVARIANT ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS

JING-SONG HUANG AND YUFENG ZHAO

To Marko Tadić for his 70th birthday.

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra. Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let V_λ be the finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ . Our main result is a criterion of the existence of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -bases for the \mathfrak{g} -invariant endomorphism algebra $R_\lambda =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, U(\mathfrak{g}))$. Then we obtain a Clifford algebra analogue, namely a criterion of the existence $C(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -bases for $R_\lambda^C =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, C(\mathfrak{g}))$. We also describe a criterion of the existence of bases generated by powers of the Casimir element for $R_{\lambda, \nu} =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, \text{End } V_\nu)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra with a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Suppose that $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End } W$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . Regarding $\text{End } W$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module, the space of \mathfrak{g} -homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End } W)$ is called the space of adjoint operators in type $\text{End } W$ by physicists [9] (the definition of adjoint operators is given in [9, Definition 1.1], but it will not be needed here). In case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the Wigner-Eckart theorem states that [1, Theorem C. 4]:

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})}(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}), \text{End } W) \leq 1.$$

This formula was generalized to any simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by Okubo and Myung [9], as they showed that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End } W) \leq r,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E47; Secondary 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Simple Lie algebra, Casimir operator, invariant endomorphism algebra.

The research is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11271460), Guangdong Province (grant 2023A1515012186), Shenzhen City (grant 2022373357) and the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (grant 16302521).

where $r = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h}$. Suppose that the highest weight ν of a finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -module V_ν is expressed

$$(1.1) \quad \nu = m_1\omega_1 + \cdots + m_r\omega_r,$$

with fundamental weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ and non-negative integers m_1, \dots, m_r . Then it is shown [9, Theorem 3.1]

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End } V_\nu) = n(\nu),$$

where $n(\nu)$ is the number of nonzero m_i 's in (1.1). In particular, it implies that the adjoint representation of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} always occurs in $\text{End } W$ for any nontrivial \mathfrak{g} -module W .

The above formula is better understood in the framework of \mathfrak{g} -invariant endomorphism algebras which we explain now. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . There is a surjective homomorphism of algebras

$$\pi_\nu: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\nu.$$

Then π_ν induces a surjective linear map from the space of universal adjoint operators to the space of adjoint operators in type $\text{End } V_\nu$:

$$A(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End } V_\nu).$$

Since there is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda$ for any nontrivial simple \mathfrak{g} -module V_λ , we consider the following algebras of \mathfrak{g} -endomorphisms:

$$R_\lambda =: (\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, U(\mathfrak{g})),$$

and

$$R_{\lambda, \nu} =: (\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \text{End } V_\nu)^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, \text{End } V_\nu).$$

Let V be a \mathfrak{g} -module (possibly infinite-dimensional) with an infinitesimal character χ_ν . Kostant [7] proves that the tensor product of $V_\lambda \otimes V$ is of finite length, hence a direct sum of modules with generalized infinitesimal character. Moreover, the occurring characters are of form $\chi_{\nu+\mu_i}$ with μ_i being some weights of V_λ . In Kostant's proof, R_λ and $R_{\lambda, \nu}$ play pivotal roles.

The aim of this paper is to describe bases of R_λ and $R_{\lambda, \nu}$ generated by a Casimir element C , and equivalently by a certain matrix valued element $M_\lambda(C)$ to be defined in the following. Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Kostant [6, Theorem 21] showed that there is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule E of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the multiplication

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes E \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a \mathfrak{g} -module isomorphism. It follows that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and R_λ are free $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Consider the map

$$\delta_\lambda: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by

$$\delta_\lambda(x) = \pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras. If $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\delta(u)$ is in R_λ .

Let B be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Let x_i be a basis of \mathfrak{g} and x_i^* be the dual basis with respect to B . The Casimir element C defined by

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i x_i^*$$

is in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, and clearly it is independent of choice of the basis x_i . It follows that

$$\delta_\lambda(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes x_i^* + \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i^*) \otimes x_i + 1 \otimes C.$$

We set

$$M_\lambda(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes x_i^*.$$

It is readily checked that $M_\lambda(C)$ is also independent of choice of the basis x_i , and thus it equals $\sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i^*) \otimes x_i$. Then

$$\delta_\lambda(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + 2M_\lambda(C) + 1 \otimes C.$$

We write d_λ for $\dim V_\lambda$. Recall that a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} is a three-dimensional subalgebra spanned by $\{X, H, Y\}$ in \mathfrak{g} such that

$$[H, X] = 2X, [H, Y] = -2Y, [X, Y] = H$$

and the orbit of X under the adjoint group of \mathfrak{g} is the principal nilpotent orbit. By a conjugation, we may and will assume that H is in the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} .

Theorem A (Theorem 3.1). *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $1, \delta_\lambda(C), \dots, \delta_\lambda(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module R_λ .
- (ii) $1, M_\lambda(C), \dots, M_\lambda(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module R_λ .
- (iii) V_λ is irreducible when restricted to a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} .

In Section 3 we obtain a complete list of V_λ 's satisfying Condition (iii). In these cases, we get bases of $\text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ consisting of m_i -th powers of either $\delta_\lambda(C)$ or $M_\lambda(C)$, where m_i 's are the exponents of \mathfrak{g} (cf. Section 3 for the definition of exponents).

By a theorem of Kostant [8, Theorem D], the Clifford algebra $C(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} decomposes into the tensor product

$$C(\mathfrak{g}) = J \otimes E,$$

where $J = C(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$ and $E = \text{End } V_\rho$. Here (π_ρ, E_ρ) is the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight ρ . We set the Clifford algebra analogue R_λ^C to

be the invariant endomorphism algebra

$$R_\lambda^C := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, C(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Then R_λ^C is a free J -module of rank equal to $\dim R_{\lambda,\rho}$. Note that

$$\rho = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_r.$$

For the irreducible representation (π_ρ, E_ρ) of the highest weight ρ , we define the map

$$\delta_{\lambda,\rho} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \text{End } V_\rho$$

by

$$\delta_{\lambda,\rho}(x) = \pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \pi_\rho(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras. Then

$$\delta_{\lambda,\rho}(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + 2M_{\lambda,\rho}(C) + 1 \otimes \pi_\rho(C),$$

where

$$M_{\lambda,\rho}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes \pi_\rho(x_i^*).$$

Recall that a simple \mathfrak{g} -module V_λ is said to be minuscule if $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0, -1, 1$ for all roots α (cf. Section 4 for the list of the minuscule representations).

Theorem B (Theorem 4.3). *Assume that λ is minuscule. Then R_λ^C is a free J -module of rank d_λ . Moreover, the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) $1, \delta_{\lambda,\rho}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\rho}(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a J -basis of R_λ^C .

(ii) $1, M_{\lambda,\rho}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda,\rho}(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a J -basis of R_λ^C .

(iii) $\delta_{\lambda,\rho}(C)$ acts on the distinct irreducible summands of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\rho$ with distinct eigenvalues.

Now we consider the map

$$\delta_{\lambda,\nu} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \text{End } V_\nu$$

defined by

$$\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(x) = \pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \pi_\nu(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras. Then

$$\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + 2M_{\lambda,\nu}(C) + 1 \otimes \pi_\nu(C),$$

where

$$M_{\lambda,\nu}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes \pi_\nu(x_i^*).$$

Theorem C (Theorem 4.4). *Let d be a positive integer. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.

(ii) $1, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.

(iii) $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_{\gamma_i}$ decomposes into a direct sum of d non-isomorphic simple \mathfrak{g} -modules with distinct $\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)$ -eigenvalues.

We note that the algebra R_λ was investigated from a different perspective by Kirillov [4, 5] as ‘quantum family algebra’. There was following up work on commutativity of R_λ and existence of certain M -type bases for R_λ by Rozhkovskaya [11]. Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the symmetric algebra of \mathfrak{g} . The related associated algebra $(\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes S(\mathfrak{g}))^\mathfrak{g}$ is called ‘classical family algebra’ by Kirillov and it appeared in Panyushev’s work on determination of the Dynkin polynomials and calculation of equivariant cohomology [10]. Their work inspired us to find the main result of this paper.

Our paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic properties of the algebras of \mathfrak{g} -endomorphisms due to Kostant. In Section 3 we prove our main theorem on $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -bases for R_λ . In Section 4 we describe the bases for $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ and the Clifford analogue of our main theorem that is proved in Section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON R_λ AND $R_{\lambda,\nu}$

Fix a finite-dimensional simple \mathfrak{g} -module V_λ with highest weight λ . Let

$$\pi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\pi$$

be an arbitrary \mathfrak{g} -module having an infinitesimal character. In describing the infinitesimal characters of the tensor product $V_\lambda \otimes V_\pi$, Kostant [7] introduced the following algebras

$$R_\lambda = (\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^\mathfrak{g}$$

and

$$R_{\lambda,\pi} = (\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \pi[U(\mathfrak{g})])^\mathfrak{g}.$$

Kostant used the notation R and R_π for these two algebras [7]. Our notation indicates their dependence on λ . In particular, if π_ν is any finite-dimensional simple module with highest weight ν , then we use simpler notation $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ for R_{λ,π_ν} , namely

$$R_{\lambda,\nu} = (\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \text{End } V_\nu)^\mathfrak{g} \cong \text{End}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu).$$

Consider the map

$$\delta: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by

$$\delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associated algebras.

By composing with π_λ on the first factor, we have the map

$$\delta_\lambda: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by

$$\delta_\lambda(x) = \pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras. Then R_λ is the commutant of $\delta(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ in $\text{End } V_\lambda \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, $\delta(u)$ is in R_λ . Thus, $\delta(Z(\mathfrak{g}))$ is in the center of R_λ .

As shown in [6, Theorem 21] there is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule E of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the multiplication

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes E \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a \mathfrak{g} -isomorphism. It follows that R_λ is a free $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Let $\Delta_\lambda = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$ be the set of weights of V_λ and d_i be the multiplicity of μ_i . In other words, we have the weight space decomposition

$$V_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{\oplus d_i}.$$

Following Kostant we make the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. *We say that λ is totally subordinate to ν if the number of irreducible constituents in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ is equal to $d_\lambda := \dim V_\lambda$.*

PROPOSITION 2.2. [7, Theorem 4.7] *If λ is totally subordinate to ν , then there is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$R_{\lambda,\nu} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. [7, Theorem 4.8] *R_λ is a free $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module of rank r , where $r = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2$.*

PROPOSITION 2.4. [7, Theorem 4.9] *Suppose $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is not a constant. Then there exists a monic polynomial $P_{u,\lambda}(X)$ of degree k with coefficients in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, such that $P_{u,\lambda}(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\delta_\lambda(u)$.*

REMARK 2.5. The minimal polynomial of $\delta_\lambda(u)$ can be obtained from u by using the Harish-Chandra isomorphism [7, (4.9.4)- (4.9.6)].

THEOREM 2.6. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) R_λ is commutative.
- (ii) V_λ has simple \mathfrak{h} -spectrum (every $d_i = 1$).
- (iii) For any non-constant $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, $1, \delta(u), \dots, \delta(u)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of R_λ over the fractional field $K(\mathfrak{g})$ of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii): Commutativity of R_λ implies that $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ is commutative for any ν . Take a ν so that λ is totally subordinate to ν . By Proposition 2.1 there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$R_{\lambda,\nu} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Thus, (ii) follows from (i).

(ii) \implies (iii): It follows from Proposition 2.2 that $1, \delta(u), \dots, \delta(u)^{k-1}$ are linearly independent over $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, and thus they form a basis of R_λ over the $K(\mathfrak{g})$.

(iii) \implies (i) is obvious. □

REMARK 2.7. *The following is a complete list of irreducible representations of simple Lie algebras with simple \mathfrak{h} -spectrum. This list is well-known to experts. For instance, it appears in Howe’s 1992 Schur Lecture Notes [2].*

\mathfrak{g}	λ the highest weight
$A_n (n \geq 1)$	$\omega_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ $k\omega_1, k\omega_n, k = 1, 2, \dots$
$B_n (n \geq 2)$	ω_1 ω_n (spin representation)
$C_n (n \geq 3)$	ω_1
C_3	ω_3
$D_n (n \geq 4)$	ω_1 ω_{n-1}, ω_n (spin representations)
G_2	ω_1 (dim = 7)
E_6	ω_1 (dim = 27) ω_6 (dim = 27)
E_7	ω_1 (dim = 56)

3. $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -BASES OF R_λ

We see from Theorem 2.5 that any $\delta(u)$ ($u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ not a constant) generates R_λ over $K(\mathfrak{g})$. In this section we seek u so that $\delta(u)$ generates R_λ over $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Naturally, it has to be the element of the smallest positive degree, namely the Casimir element

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i x_i^*.$$

We have

$$(3.1) \quad \delta_\lambda(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + 2M_\lambda(C) + 1 \otimes C,$$

where

$$M_\lambda(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes x_i^*.$$

Clearly, as $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, R_λ is generated by powers of $\delta_\lambda(C)$ if and only if it is generated by powers of $M_\lambda(C)$.

To prove our main result Theorem 3.1 we first recall the concept of generalised exponents [6, Page 394] and a remarkable theorem of Kostant [6]. Let $I(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$. We identify $I(\mathfrak{g})$ with the algebra $P(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\mathfrak{g}$ of \mathfrak{g} -invariant polynomials on \mathfrak{g}^* . Let $I^+(\mathfrak{g})$ be the augmentation ideal in $I(\mathfrak{g})$, namely the

ideal of polynomials vanishing at the origin. Denote by $J(\mathfrak{g})$ the ideal in $S(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $I^+(\mathfrak{g})$. The space $H(\mathfrak{g})$ of harmonic polynomials on \mathfrak{g}^* is defined as the orthogonal complement to $J(\mathfrak{g})$ in $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Kostant showed that there is an isomorphism of graded \mathfrak{g} -modules:

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) \cong I(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Moreover, each irreducible representation π_λ has finite multiplicity in $H(\mathfrak{g})$. More precisely, if $s = m_\lambda(0)$ is the multiplicity of the zero weight in V_λ , then there exist numbers $e_1(\lambda), \dots, e_s(\lambda)$ (not necessarily distinct) such that π_λ occurs in the homogeneous components $H^{e_1(\lambda)}(\mathfrak{g}), \dots, H^{e_s(\lambda)}(\mathfrak{g})$. The numbers $e_1(\lambda), \dots, e_s(\lambda)$ are called the generalised exponents related to the representation π_λ . Since $H(\mathfrak{g})$ is a self-dual \mathfrak{g} -module, the generalised exponents are the same for λ and λ^* . For the adjoint representation of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the generalised exponents coincide with the exponents of \mathfrak{g} .

We list of the exponents of simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . This list will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.3.

\mathfrak{g}	exponents
$A_n(n \geq 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, n$
$B_n(n \geq 2)$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n - 1$
$C_n(n \geq 3)$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n - 1$
$D_n(n \geq 4)$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n - 3, n - 1$
E_6	$1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$
E_7	$1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17$
E_8	$1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$
F_4	$1, 5, 7, 11$
G_2	$1, 5$

Recall that a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} is a three-dimensional subalgebra spanned by a triple $\{X, H, Y\}$ in \mathfrak{g} such that

$$[H, X] = 2X, [H, Y] = -2Y, [X, Y] = H$$

and the orbit of X under the adjoint group of \mathfrak{g} is the principal nilpotent orbit. It turns out that there is one conjugacy class of principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 's for which the semisimple element H is conjugate to

$$2\rho^\vee = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha^\vee,$$

where Φ^+ is a fixed system of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} and $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ is the dual root in \mathfrak{h} .

Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be the set of simple roots. We choose the simple root vectors X_1, \dots, X_r and let Y_1, \dots, Y_r be the corresponding root vectors for the negatives of the simple roots normalized by the condition

$$[X_i, Y_i] = H_i := \alpha_i^\vee.$$

Since the difference of simple roots is never a root, we have $[X_i, Y_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Set $c_i = \langle \omega_i, \rho^\vee \rangle$ where ω_i are the fundamental weights. Then $\rho^\vee = \sum c_i \alpha_i^\vee$, and

$$X = X_1 + \dots + X_r, \quad H = 2\rho^\vee = c_1 H_1 + \dots + c_r H_r, \quad Y = Y_1 + \dots + Y_r$$

form a basis of a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 . The height of λ is defined by

$$\text{ht}(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \rho^\vee \rangle.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $1, \delta_\lambda(C), \dots, \delta_\lambda(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of the $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module R_λ .
- (ii) $1, M_\lambda(C), \dots, M_\lambda(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of the $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module R_λ .
- (iii) V_λ is irreducible when restricted to a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} .

PROOF. Note that $\delta_\lambda(C) = |\lambda + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2$ is a scalar. It follows from (3.1) that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. A necessary condition for R_λ having a basis generated by powers of one element is that R_λ is commutative. By Theorem 2.6, V_λ is multiplicity free. It follows that the rank of the free $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -module R_λ is $d_\lambda = \dim V_\lambda$. The condition (ii) is equivalent to that the generalised exponents of $\text{End } V_\lambda$ are $0, 1, \dots, d_\lambda - 1$. Note that the largest possible exponent of $\text{End } V_\lambda$ is $2\text{ht}(\lambda)$. Here $\text{ht}(\lambda)$ is the height of λ , which is equal to the highest weight of the principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Thus, condition (ii) is equivalent to that $2\text{ht}(\lambda) = d_\lambda - 1$, which is equivalent to that V_λ is an irreducible module for the principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 . \square

We note that in Theorem 3.1 the set of integers $\{1, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ that appeared as the powers of $\delta_\lambda(C)$ or $M_\lambda(C)$ is exactly the union of the sets of generalised exponents of all irreducible constituents V_{γ_i} 's in

$$\text{End } V_\lambda \cong V_\lambda^* \otimes V_\lambda = \bigoplus V_{\gamma_i}.$$

Consequently, in Proposition 3.3 below the integers (i for type A_n and $2i - 1$ for others) appeared as the powers of $\delta_{\omega_1}(C)$ or $M_{\omega_1}(C)$ exactly the exponents for the corresponding simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Here is the list of simple \mathfrak{g} -modules that are irreducible when restricted to a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} .*

\mathfrak{g}	λ the highest weight
A_n	ω_1, ω_n
A_1	$k\omega_1, k = 1, 2, \dots$
B_n	ω_1
B_2	ω_2
C_n	ω_1
G_2	ω_1 ($\dim = 7$)

PROOF. By Theorem 3.1, R_λ is commutative. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that V_λ is of simple \mathfrak{h} -spectrum. Then it is readily checked that the list in Remark 2.7 implies the conclusion. \square

Now we consider a special case when $\lambda = \omega_1$, the fundamental weight corresponding to the natural representation for a classical simple Lie algebra or the 7-dimensional irreducible representation for G_2 . Denote by d the dimension of V_{ω_1} . Then

$$d = \begin{cases} n, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ or } \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ 2n, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \\ 7, & \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } G_2. \end{cases}$$

By the natural embedding of

$$\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{End}V_{\omega_1},$$

we have the embedding

$$A(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \hookrightarrow (\text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

As a consequence, we have the following proposition. Given a matrix M , let M^T denote the transpose of M .

PROPOSITION 3.3. *One has following $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -bases for $A(\mathfrak{g})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n: & M_{\omega_1}(C)^i - \frac{\text{tr}M_{\omega_1}(C)^i}{n+1}I_{n+1} \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ B_n: & M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ C_n: & M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ G_2: & M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (M_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T \text{ with } i = 1, 3. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This is verified case by case. First, we consider the case \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n . Then we have $\text{End}V_{\omega_1} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, and clearly a basis is given as above.

In the second case when \mathfrak{g} is either of type B_n or of type C_n , we have the following decomposition into irreducible representations

$$\text{End}V_{\omega_1} \cong \text{Sym}^2V_{\omega_1} \oplus \wedge^2V_{\omega_1} \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{2\omega_1}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here the adjoint representation \mathfrak{g} has highest weight ω_2 and is contained in $\wedge^2V_{\omega_1}$. Then we readily verify by checking the exponents that the corresponding expressions of $M_{\omega_1}(C)$ with appropriate powers are in $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$ inside $R_{\omega_1} = (\text{End}V_{\omega_1} \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In the last case when \mathfrak{g} is of type G_2 , we have

$$\text{End}V_{\omega_1} \cong \text{Sym}^2V_{\omega_1} \oplus \wedge^2V_{\omega_1} \cong (\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{2\omega_2}) \oplus (V_{\omega_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}).$$

Here the adjoint representation \mathfrak{g} has highest weight ω_2 and is contained in $\wedge^2V_{\omega_1}$. Again we readily verify by checking the exponents that the corresponding expressions of $M_{\omega_1}(C)$ with appropriate powers are in $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$ inside $R_{\omega_1} = (\text{End}V_{\omega_1} \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *It follows from Theorem 3.1 that one gets the following $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -bases for $A(\mathfrak{g})$:*

- $A_n: \delta_{\omega_1}(C)^i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- $B_n: \delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (\delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- $C_n: \delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (\delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- $G_2: \delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1} - (\delta_{\omega_1}(C)^{2i-1})^T$ with $i = 1, 3$.

4. BASES FOR $R_{\lambda,\nu}$

Recall that the map

$$\delta_{\lambda,\nu} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } V_\lambda \otimes \text{End } V_\nu$$

is defined by

$$\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(x) = \pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \pi_\nu(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

which extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras. We set

$$M_{\lambda,\nu}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_\lambda(x_i) \otimes \pi_\nu(x_i^*).$$

Then

$$(4.1) \quad \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C) = \pi_\lambda(C) \otimes 1 + 2M_{\lambda,\nu}(C) + 1 \otimes \pi_\nu(C).$$

We also recall from Section 2 that $\Delta_\lambda = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$ is the set of weights of V_λ and d_i the multiplicity of μ_i . If λ is totally subordinate to ν , then we have an isomorphism

$$R_{\lambda,\nu} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.6 that we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Assume that V_λ has simple \mathfrak{h} -spectrum and λ is totally subordinate to ν . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.
- (ii) $1, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d_\lambda-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.
- (iii) $\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)$ acts on the distinct irreducible summands of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ with distinct eigenvalues.

PROOF. It follows from (4.1) that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Clearly, the equivalence (iii) and (i) is due to the expression of the determinant for the corresponding Vandermonde matrix. □

Now we deal with the minuscule representations V_λ . Recall that V_λ is said to be minuscule if $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 0, -1, 1$ for all roots α . Here is the list of the minuscule representations (cf. [3, Page 72, Exercise 13]).

\mathfrak{g}	λ the highest weight
$A_n(n \geq 1)$	$\omega_k, k = 1, \dots, n$
$B_n(n \geq 2)$	ω_n (spin representation)
$C_n(n \geq 3)$	ω_1
$D_n(n \geq 4)$	ω_1 ω_{n-1}, ω_n (spin representations)
E_6	ω_1 (dim = 27) ω_6 (dim = 27)
E_7	ω_1 (dim = 56)

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose that λ is minuscule. Assume that $n(\nu) = r$ (= rank \mathfrak{g}). Then λ is totally subordinate to ν . As a consequence of Proposition 4.1, one has the following equivalent statements:*

- (i) $1, \delta_{\lambda, \nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda, \nu}(C)^{d_\lambda - 1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda, \nu}$.
- (ii) $1, M_{\lambda, \nu}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda, \nu}(C)^{d_\lambda - 1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda, \nu}$.
- (iii) $\delta_{\lambda, \nu}(C)$ acts on the distinct irreducible summands of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ with distinct eigenvalues.

PROOF. Let α be a simple root of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the fixed system of positive roots. Then $|\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle| \leq 1$, since λ is minuscule, and $|\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle| \leq 1$ for all weights μ of V_λ . On the other hand, we have $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle \geq 1$ due to $n(\nu) = r$. Thus, $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ decomposes into d_λ (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations with highest weights $\nu + \mu_i$, where μ_i are the weights of V_λ . Therefore, λ is totally subordinate to ν . The rest of the conclusions follow from Proposition 4.1. \square

By a theorem of Kostant [8, Theorem D], the Clifford algebra $C(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} decomposes into the tensor product

$$C(\mathfrak{g}) = J \otimes E,$$

where $J = C(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$ and $E = \text{End } V_\rho$. We set the Clifford algebra analogue R_λ^C to be the invariant endomorphism algebra

$$R_\lambda^C := \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(\text{End } V_\lambda, C(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Then R_λ^C is a free J -module of rank equal to $\dim R_{\lambda, \rho}$. Note that

$$\rho = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r.$$

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2.

THEOREM 4.3. *Assume that λ is minuscule. Then R_λ^C is a free J -module of rank d_λ . Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $1, \delta_{\lambda, \rho}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda, \rho}(C)^{d_\lambda - 1}$ form a J -basis of R_λ^C .
- (ii) $1, M_{\lambda, \rho}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda, \rho}(C)^{d_\lambda - 1}$ form a J -basis of R_λ^C .
- (iii) $\delta_{\lambda, \rho}(C)$ acts on the distinct irreducible summands of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\rho$ with distinct eigenvalues.

In the remaining part of this section, we deal with the general situation for any λ, ν . Clearly, if $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ decomposes into a direct sum of d non-isomorphic irreducible representations

$$V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_{\gamma_i},$$

then $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ is a commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra and $\dim R_{\lambda,\nu} = d$.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let d be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.
- (ii) $1, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, M_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$.
- (iii) $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_{\gamma_i}$ decomposes into a direct sum of d non-isomorphic simple \mathfrak{g} -modules with distinct $\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)$ -eigenvalues.

PROOF. It follows from (4.1) that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. We now show that (i) and (iii) are equivalent. Either (i) or (iii) implies that $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ is commutative which is equivalent to $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ decomposing into a direct sum of d distinct simple \mathfrak{g} -modules. Under the assumption that $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu$ decomposes into a direct sum of d non-isomorphic simple \mathfrak{g} -modules

$$V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_{\gamma_i},$$

we have $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ is commutative algebra with $\dim R_{\lambda,\nu} \leq d$. Thus, Condition (i) holds (namely $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$) if and only that $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)^{d-1}$ are linear independent. This is in turn equivalent to that the determinant of the following Vandermonde matrix is nonzero:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (|\gamma_1 + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2) & \cdots & (|\gamma_1 + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^{d-1} \\ 1 & (|\gamma_2 + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2) & \cdots & (|\gamma_2 + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^{d-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & (|\gamma_d + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2) & \cdots & (|\gamma_d + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^{d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to the condition that $\delta_{\lambda,\nu}(C)$ -eigenvalues $|\gamma_i + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2$ on the irreducible constituents V_{γ_i} are distinct. □

REMARK 4.5. Suppose that $V_\lambda \otimes V_\nu = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_{\gamma_i}$ decomposes into a direct sum of d non-isomorphic simple \mathfrak{g} -modules. Then the irreducible constituents V_{γ_i} have distinct infinitesimal characters $\chi_{\gamma_i + \rho}$. For almost all $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, one has

$$(4.2) \quad \chi_{\gamma_i + \rho}(u) \neq \chi_{\gamma_j + \rho}(u), \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Such $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying the above Condition (4.2) are called generic with respect to λ and ν . It follows from Theorem 4.4 that $1, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(u), \dots, \delta_{\lambda,\nu}(u)^{d-1}$ form a basis of $R_{\lambda,\nu}$ provided $u \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generic with respect to λ and ν .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Representations*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **222**, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [2] R. Howe, *Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond*, The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv), Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 1995, pp. 1–182.
- [3] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [4] A. A. Kirillov, *Family algebras*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (2000), 7–20.
- [5] A. A. Kirillov, *Introduction to family algebras*, Mosc. Math. J. **1** (2001), 49–63.
- [6] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.
- [7] B. Kostant, *On the tensor product of a finite and an infinite dimensional representation*, J. Functional Analysis **20** (1975), 257–285.
- [8] B. Kostant, *Clifford algebra analogue of the Hopf - Koszul - Samelson Theorem, the ρ -decomposition $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{End} V_\rho \otimes C(P)$, and the \mathfrak{g} -Module Structure of $\wedge \mathfrak{g}$* , Adv. Math. **125** (1997), 275–350.
- [9] S. Okubo, H. C. Myung, *Adjoint operators in Lie algebras and the classification of simple flexible Lie-admissible algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **264** (1981), 459–472.
- [10] D. Panyushev, *Weight multiplicity free representations, \mathfrak{g} -endomorphism algebras, and Dynkin polynomials*, J. London. Math. Soc. (2) **69** (2004), 273–290.
- [11] N. Rozhkovskaya, *Commutativity of quantum family algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **63** (2003), 87–103.

O bazama \mathfrak{g} -invarijantnih algebr endmorfizama*Jing-Song Huang i Yufeng Zhao*

SAŽETAK. Neka je \mathfrak{g} kompleksna prosta Liejeva algebra. Neka je $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ centar univerzalne omotačke algebre $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Neka je V_λ konačno-dimenzionalni ireducibilan \mathfrak{g} -modul najveće visine λ . Glavni rezultat ovog rada je kriterij postojanja za $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -baze \mathfrak{g} -invarijantnih algebr endmorfizama $R_\lambda =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, U(\mathfrak{g}))$. Nadalje, dokazujemo Clifford algebra analog tj. kriterij egzistencije $C(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -baze za $R_\lambda^C =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, C(\mathfrak{g}))$. Osim toga, opisujemo kriterij egzistencije baza generiranih potencijama Casimirovog elementa za $R_{\lambda, \nu} =: \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{End } V_\lambda, \text{End } V_\nu)$.

Jing-Song Huang

Mathematics Research Center, School of Science and Engineering

The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

E-mail: huangjingsong@cuhk.edu.cn

Yufeng Zhao

Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing, China

E-mail: Zhaoyufeng@math.pku.edu.cn*Received*: 4.6.2023.*Revised*: 14.8.2023.*Accepted*: 19.9.2023.