Early Access Technical note

Veins and Lymphatics





https://www.pagepressjournals.org/index.php/vl/index

Publisher's disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The **Early Access** service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community. These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

Veins and Lymphatics is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one. The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

All legal disclaimers applicable to the journal apply to this production process as well.

Veins and Lymphatics 2023 [online ahead of print]

To cite this article:

Domenico Baccellieri, Vincenzo Ardita, Sarah Tinaglia. Ultrasound guided full mechanical thrombectomy of a floating thrombus in the common femoral vein. Veins and Lymphatics. 2023;12:12169. doi:10.4081/vl.2023.12169

©The Author(s), 2023 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 1 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Early Access Technical note

Ultrasound guided full mechanical thrombectomy of a floating thrombus in the common

femoral vein

Domenico Baccellieri, Vincenzo Ardita, Sarah Tinaglia

Vein Center, Vascular Surgery Unit, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

Corresponding author: Vincenzo Ardita, Department of Vascular Surgery, San Raffaele Scientific

Institute, Vita-Salute University School of Medicine, via Olgettina 60, 20132 Milan, Italy.

Tel. +39.02.2643.7130. Fax. +39.02.2643.7148.

E-mail: ardita.vincenzo@hsr.it

Key words: Floating Thrombus; ClotTriever; Deep Vein Thrombosis; Intravascular Ultrasound;

percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy.

Authors' contributions: DB, VA, conception and design; DB, VA, ST, writing the manuscript;

DB, VA, critical revision. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript

and agreed to be held accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflict of interest: this manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for

publication elsewhere. All authors have read and approved the manuscript. Domenico Baccellieri has

been paid a consulting fee by Medtronic, Boston Scientific and BD Company.

Funding: none.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Early Access Technical note

Veins and Lymphatics



Ethics approval and consent to participate: the patient has granted permission for the publication of the case details and images.

Availability of data and materials: all data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 3 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Early Access Technical note Abstract



A Floating Venous Thrombus (FVT) in the deep venous system has a high potential to cause pulmonary embolization. There are no defined criteria for treatments described in the literature, which range from anticoagulation and fibrinolytic treatments, through open or endovascular thrombectomies, to more invasive procedures such as surgical interruption with ligation of the venous

system.

Catheter-directed thrombolysis is effective for treatment of venous clots, but it is associated with increased risk of bleeding. Mechanical thrombectomy currently represents a valid therapeutic option without the need for lytic therapy and with excellent short and medium-term results.

We herein present a technical note through an explicative case of a patient with an FVT located in the left common femoral vein who underwent to percutaneous venous mechanical thrombectomy (ClotTriever, Inari Medical, Irvine, CA, USA) under ultrasound guidance without an intravascular ultrasound check. At the end of the treatment, venography and duplex ultrasound scan showed iliofemoral patency without residual thrombus. No further procedures were needed and the patient was discharged two days post-intervention with oral anticoagulation and compression therapy with stockings.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 4 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Early Access Technical note Introduction pagepress

Floating Venous Thrombus (FVT) is often associated with Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) and the

risk of embolization increases when it detaches and travels through the bloodstream to the lungs,

causing a life-threatening PE.¹⁻³

Treatment options for FVT usually implicate anticoagulation therapy to prevent further clot

formation, as well as to stabilize the clot and reduce the risk of embolization. In certain cases,

interventional procedures may be considered to remove the thrombus or facilitate its dissolution.

Catheter-directed thrombolysis is effective for the rapid removal of clots, but it is associated with

bleeding risks and has limited effectiveness in cases of non-acute thrombus.^{4,5} Mechanical

thrombectomy with the ClotTriever System (Inari Medical, Irvine, CA, USA) represents a valid

therapeutic option without the need for lytic therapy, with excellent short- and medium-term results

reported.6

We herein present a technical note through an explicative case of lower extremity FVT treated with

ultrasound typo mechanical thrombectomy. The patient has granted permission for the publication of

the case details and images.

Case Report

The technique in an illustrative case

A 75-year-old woman presented to our vascular department with acute left lower extremity edema

extending from the proximal thigh to the foot with associated pain described as severe, continuous,

and throbbing. The patient had no history of smoking, lower-extremity discomfort and no coagulation

disorder. The patient had started three days before low-molecular-weight heparin therapy upon the

recommendation of the attending physician, without improvement in symptoms in the following 6

days.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 5

Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.



Color Duplex Ultrasound (CDUS) of the lower extremities showed the presence of an FVT in the Left Common Femoral Vein (LCFV) (Figure 1). The FVT was localized immediately after the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) and extended approximately 4 cm towards the femoral vein without involving the Deep Femoral Vein (DFV).

Given the severe symptoms with no improvement and the presence of the FVT on ultrasound, mechanical thrombectomy was pursued after a multidisciplinary evaluation of the case.

Percutaneous access was obtained through the mid femoral vein through a standard 6 Fr introducer sheath (Radiofocus Introducer II; Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). A preliminary venography was performed (Figure 2). The thrombus was crossed using a luminal technique with a 0.035" guidewire (Glidewire Advantage® Terumo Advantage Guide wire), supported by a 5 Fr Ber catheter (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, USA). Once the thrombus was crossed the guidewire was exchanged for an Amplatz stiff guidewire and the introducer sheath was replaced with a 10 Fr sheath. On the stiff guidewire the 13 Fr ClotTriever sheath was inserted, and its catheter was then advanced over the wire beyond the FVT and deployed (Figure 3a). Primary percutaneous mechanical venous thrombectomy of the LCFV was performed using a pullback technique from the left external iliac vein to the femoral vein access site under CDUS guidance to bring any thrombus present into the funnel on the sheath (Figure 3b). One single pass of the device was performed under CDUS, and the entire FVT was removed from the vein (Figure 3c). Completion venography showed excellent results with no residual FVT in the LCFV which was confirmed by CDUS (Figure 4).

Following the procedure, the patient did not require monitoring in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and was discharged from the hospital 2 days after intervention with no symptoms.

Discussion

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 6 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.



Lower extremity FVT is a dangerous phenomenon that carries a high risk of subsequent PE due to the potential of migration or embolization. There are few studies on FVT with varied findings regarding mortality, prevalence, and primary site of occurrence. A study of 44 cases of proximal DVT found an 18% prevalence of FVT, with the highest occurrences at the saphenofemoral junction (38%), the junction of the small saphenous vein (26%), and the external iliac vein (15%). Free-floating venous thrombus may also be present in patients with isolated superficial venous thromboses which can extend to a deep vein.

At present, there is a dearth of literature-based treatment recommendations specifically addressing FVT, leading to significant variations in approaches. These approaches encompass a broad spectrum, ranging from anticoagulation measures, fibrinolytic therapy, and vena cava filters to more invasive interventions like surgical interruption with venous system ligature.

Antithrombotic therapy is the conventional approach for DVT in the lower extremities. However, in cases of FVT, pharmacological intervention alone might not adequately prevent the movement of the blood clot and, as a result, PE.² Fibrinolytic therapy additionally decreases the occurrence of post-thrombotic syndrome by dissolving the blood clot. However, it is linked to hemorrhagic complications and has limited effectiveness in cases of non-acute thrombus.^{4,5}

Surgical procedures, such as ligation or Fogarty, are additional options, but associated with high morbidity and mortality rate.⁹

Recent advances in endovascular methods have significantly improved DVT treatment.

The ClotTriever System is a catheter-based mechanical thrombectomy device shown to successfully used to remove acute, subacute, and chronic DVT with excellent short- and long-term outcomes.^{6,10} The use of this system often eliminates the need for thrombolytic drugs, and patients typically do not require ICU monitoring after the treatment.^{11,12} These advancements offer more effective treatment options for patients with DVT and for those with contraindications to thrombolytic administration.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 7 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.



Recently, Dexter et al, assessed the safety and efficacy of the ClotRetriver device. Their primary effectiveness end point was the complete or near complete (75%) reduction in Marder score that was achieved in 91.2% of patients.¹⁰

In our surgical practice, the use of Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS) is typically employed to confirm the diagnosis, make decisions, verify the appropriate treatment at the end of the procedure, and determine the need for any additional interventions. In this specific case, the FVT was located only within the proximal segment of the common femoral vein, without extension towards the iliac or deep femoral or femoro-popliteal axis, and it was easily identifiable through ultrasound examination alone. For this reason, we decided to perform mechanical thrombectomy, performing thrombus removal under ultrasound guidance, and concluding with a final venography to confirm the absence of any residual thrombotic material. This approach allowed us to avoid the use of IVUS and therefore reduce the costs of the procedure without compromising its safety or effectiveness. Based on this experience, considering the absence of specific guidelines, we have learned that in extremely selective cases, limited removal of a FVT in venous segments where the thrombus is easily accessible, mechanical thrombectomy can be performed without IVUS guidance.

This case aims to shed light on the concept of a FVT, its potential risks, and the importance of early detection and appropriate management to mitigate its adverse effects.

Comprehensive research is essential to advance our understanding of lower extremity FVT, addressing the existing uncertainties in prevalence and optimizing patient care. The varied findings in current studies necessitate a larger, multicenter investigation to establish the true prevalence of FVT and identify potential risk factors associated with its occurrence. Controlled trials are imperative to evaluate the effectiveness of diverse treatment strategies, ranging from conventional anticoagulation to emerging endovascular interventions like the ClotTriever System. Additionally, larger clinical trials with long-term follow-up are crucial to validating the safety and efficacy of these

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 8 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.





emerging endovascular methods, contributing to our understanding of the benefits and potential risks associated with such innovative devices. Ultimately, synthesizing findings from various research studies will pave the way for evidence-based guidelines, standardizing clinical practice and elevating the quality of patient care.

Conclusions

The mechanical thrombectomy of a floating thrombus in the common femoral vein, performed under ultrasound guidance, appears to be a safe and effective approach. Short-term studies have confirmed excellent results, although a further analysis in the medium and long-term is necessary.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. 9 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.



- 1. Sada A, Habermann EB, Dy BM, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism following adrenalectomy: A CESQIP analysis. Am J Surg. 2023:S0002-9610(23)00529-9.
- 2. Jorgensen JO, Hanel KC, Morgan AM, Hunt JM. The incidence of deep venous thrombosis in patients with superficial thrombophlebitis of lower limbs. J Vasc Surg. 1993;18:70-3.
- 3. Baldridge ED, Welling RE, Martin MA. Clinical significance of free-floating venous thrombi.

 J Vasc Surg. 1990;11:62-7.
- Notten P, de Smet AAEA, Tick LW, et al. CAVA (Ultrasound-Accelerated Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis on Preventing Post-Thrombotic Syndrome) Trial: Long-Term Follow-Up Results. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021;10:e018973.
- 5. Enden T, Haig Y, Kløw NE, Slagsvold CE, et al. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2012;379:31-8.
- 6. Shaikh A, Zybulewski A, Paulisin J, et al. Six-Month Outcomes of Mechanical Thrombectomy for Treating Deep Vein Thrombosis: Analysis from the 500-Patient CLOUT Registry. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2023;46:1571-80.
- 7. Voet D, Afschrift M. Floating thrombi: diagnosis and follow-up by duplex ultrasound. Br J Radiol. 1991;64:1010-4.
- 8. Casian D, Gutsu E, Culiuc V. Extraluminal venous interruption for free-floating thrombus in the deep veins of lower limbs. Chirurgia. 2010;105:361-4.
- 9. Dexter D, Kado H, Shaikh A, et al. Safety and Effectiveness of Mechanical Thrombectomy From the Fully Enrolled Multicenter, Prospective CLOUT Registry. JSCAI. 2023;2:100585.
- 10. Watson L, Broderick C, Armon MP. Thrombolysis for acute deep vein thrombosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;11:CD002783.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the author 40 Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Early Access Technical note

Veins and Lymphatics



- 11. Vedantham S, Goldhaber SZ, Julian JA, et al. Pharmacomechanical catheter-directed thrombolysis for deep-vein thrombosis. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2240-52.
- 12. Sato S, Iwai T, Sakurazawa K, et al. Conservative treatment of acute deep vein thrombosis of lower extremities. Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi. 1992;93:1052-4.

The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the author <u>41</u> Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.