

Feeding concentrate formulated with native Irish feed ingredients and a low crude protein content to grazing dairy cows has no effect on milk production or milk composition

McKay Z.C.*; Pierce K.M.*; Fahey A.G.*; Wallace M.*; Walsh N.*; Ryan N.J.*; Rice P.*; Ryan A.*; and Mulligan F.J.†

* School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland, D04 V1W81

† School of Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland, D04 V1W81

Key words: grazing; concentrate crude protein; native-feed ingredients; milk production; milk composition

Abstract

Improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) and feeding native feed ingredients offers potential to improve the environmental sustainability of dairy production. However, improving NUE is a key challenge in grass-based systems due to high crude protein (CP) levels in grass and low nitrogen retention by dairy cows. In addition, concentrate feed typically contains imported feed ingredients which contribute to increased carbon footprint. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of concentrate CP level and ingredient source on milk production and composition. Forty-two mixed-parity Holstein-Friesian cows were blocked on parity and balanced on days in milk (DIM), milk production, BCS and Economic Breeding Index (EBI; n=14). Cows grazed full time and were offered a basal diet of perennial ryegrass pasture (average 17 kg DM/cow/day) and fed one of three concentrate supplements at varying levels according to DIM during the main grazing season (153 days). The concentrate treatments (T) were: T1) 14% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients, T2) 12% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients or T3) 12% CP concentrate formulated with native ingredients. Reducing the CP level or formulating with native feed ingredients did not alter milk or milk solids yield (T1: 25.7 kg/day, 2.11 kg/day; T2: 25.3 kg/day, 2.06 kg/day; T3: 24.9 kg/day, 2.01 kg/day respectively). Similarly, no effect of treatment was observed for milk fat or protein percentage (T1: 4.40 %, 3.66 %; T2: 4.44 %, 3.64 %; T3: 4.37 %, 3.66 %, respectively). The results of this study highlight that the sustainability of grass-based dairy may be improved by using a low concentrate CP content (12%) in addition to offering concentrate feed based on native feed ingredients which can result in similar performance to that of dairy cows offered a 14% CP concentrate or a concentrate based on imported ingredients respectively.

Introduction

Agriculture is coming under increasing pressure to reduce its effect on the environment and to increase the sustainability of ruminant production through reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and pollutant loss to water (EPA, 2022). In temperate climates of the world, such as Ireland, the main forage for dairy cows is grazed pasture which offers many advantages in terms of being a low-cost high-quality feed (Finneran et al., 2010). However, as pasture is high in crude protein (CP), grazing cows contribute to high nutrient loss through low nitrogen use efficiency (NUE; Casey and Holden, 2005). The nitrogen retention by dairy cows is low typically ranging from 12 to 25% depending on stage of lactation (Whelan, et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2015; McKay et al., 2019)). In times when pasture is in short supply or to increase milk output, concentrate supplementation is required (Bargo et al., 2003). Imported ingredients such as soya and maize are common ingredients used in concentrate feeds however, these ingredients contribute negatively to the sustainability of the system through increased carbon footprint (Brunschwig et al., 1996). When grazed pasture is the sole feed fed to dairy cows this limits dietary manipulation to increase NUE. However, where concentrate supplementation is offered at pasture this presents an opportunity to alter the protein content of the concentrate. Doran et al. (2022) supplemented grazing dairy cows at pasture with an 18% or a 14% CP concentrate feed during the main grazing season and reported no effect of reducing the CP level to 14% on milk production or milk composition. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of a lower CP concentrate level and ingredient source on milk production and composition.

Methods

Forty-two mixed-parity Holstein-Friesian cows were selected from the spring-calving dairy herd at University College Dublin Lyons Farm. The concentrate treatments (T) offered were: **T1**) 14% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients, **T2**) 12% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients or **T3**) 12% CP concentrate formulated with native ingredients (Table 1). Cows were allocated at random to their treatment

(n=14) after being blocked on parity and balanced on days in milk (DIM; 72.4 ± 16.4), milk production and BCS (3 ± 0.20) before the experiment began. The treatments were offered for the duration of the main grazing season (153 days, 8th May to 8th October 2021). During this period cows grazed full time and were offered a basal diet of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) pasture (average 17 kg DM/cow/day) and fed one of the three concentrate supplements at varying levels according to DIM. Concentrate supplementation was offered in the milking parlour twice daily with levels ranging from 7.4 kg of DM/cow per day at the beginning of the study, eventually decreasing to 3.0 kg DM/cow per day at the end of the study as DIM increased and energy requirements decreased. Cows were milked twice daily at 0700 h and 1500 h. Milk output was recorded and milk sampling was facilitated using the Weighall milk metering and sampling system (Dairymaster, Causeway, Causeway, Ireland). Milk samples for each individual cow were collected and analysed on a weekly basis and on the same occasion for milk composition parameters. Test day milk fat, total protein, fat + protein yield and somatic cell count (SCC) were then determined in a commercial milk laboratory as reported by Doran et al. (2022). Data was analysed using PROC MIXED in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2002). The model included tests for the fixed effects of treatment, week, parity, body weight at the start of the trial, month of calving and their interactions. Repeated measures (week) and a post-hoc Tukey adjustment when comparing all treatment groups were also included in the model.

Table 1: Ingredient composition of concentrate supplementation offered (% inclusion)

	14% CP Non-native ¹	12% CP Non-native ²	12% CP Native ³
Rolled oats	-	-	32
Rolled barley	22.7	22.7	33.7
Ground maize	22.5	22.5	-
Rolled beans	-	-	18
Maize distillers grains	7.5	7.5	-
Unmolassed beet pulp	12.2	12.1	-
Soya hulls	13.1	18.8	-
Soyabean meal 48	10.7	5.1	-
Pollard (wheat feed)	-	-	5
Molasses	4.5	4.5	4.5
Fat added	1.5	1.5	1.5
Acid buff	1	1	1
Limestone	0.8	0.8	0.8
Milling salt	0.9	0.9	0.9
Mono dicalcium phosphate	0.8	0.8	0.8
Cal Mag	0.75	0.75	0.75
Trace element /additive pack	1.05	1.05	1.05

¹ 14% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients

² 12% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients

³ 12% CP concentrate formulated with native ingredient

Results and Discussion

The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of a lower CP concentrate level and ingredient source on milk production and composition. As reported in Table 2 reducing the CP level or formulating with native feed ingredients did not alter milk, milk solids yield or fat or protein percentage. Therefore, offering cows a concentrate feed formulated to 12% CP was sufficient to support levels of milk yield and composition similar to that of cows offered 14% CP during the main grazing season. In agreement, Mulligan et al. (2004) compared

101 the effect of offering a low to high range of CP (90 to 240g CP/kg DM) levels in concentrate supplementation
 102 to grazing dairy cows and observed no effect of the lower level on milk yield or composition. This supports
 103 the hypothesis that the high levels of CP in grazed grass, typically 18.5% (Kopp et al., 2019), is sufficient to
 104 support production requirements during the main grazing season.

105 Direct substitution of imported feed ingredients (maize, soya) for native feed ingredients such as oats, barley
 106 and beans in a pelleted feed was also capable of supporting production requirements of dairy cows during the
 107 main grazing season. To the best of the authors knowledge this is the first study to investigate the use of fully
 108 native Irish ingredients in the formulation of concentrate feed. Mckay et al. (2019a) investigated the effect of
 109 the type of cereal (barley vs maize) used in concentrate feed for grazing dairy cows over a 9-week period. The
 110 study observed that cows offered a barley-based concentrate had increased milk and milk solids yield in
 111 comparison to a maize based concentrate suggesting the potential for increased use of home-grown feeds such
 112 as barley to be used instead of imported maize. A follow up study investigated the potential for the use of oats
 113 in concentrate feed and observed that grazing dairy cows offered a barley or oat-based concentrate feed
 114 produced the same amount of milk yield and milk solids (Mckay et al., 2019b) which also supported the
 115 hypothesis for the use of fully native concentrate feeds. With regard to the source of protein, Tufarelli et al.
 116 (2012) reported that feeding field beans as the protein source in concentrate feed to dairy cows as a supplement
 117 to hay supported lactation performance similar to cows fed a traditional soya bean containing concentrate.
 118

119 **Table 2:** The effect of feeding strategy on milk production and milk composition

	Treatment			P-Value
	T1: 14% CP ¹	12% CP Non-native ²	12% CP Native ³	
Milk yield (kg)	25.7 ±1.1	25.3 ±1.0	24.9 ±1.0	P=0.85
Fat (%)	4.4 ±0.12	4.44 ±0.12	4.37 ±0.12	P=0.91
Protein (%)	3.66 ±0.05	3.64 ±0.05	3.66 ±0.05	P=0.94
F+P (kg)	2.11 ±0.07	2.06 ±0.07	2.01 ±0.17	P=0.59
SCC (× 10 ³ cells/ ml)	46.6 ±7.1	48.3 ±7.1	60.9 ±7.0	P=0.30

120 ¹ 14% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients

121 ² 12% CP concentrate formulated with non-native ingredients

122 ³ 12% CP concentrate formulated with native ingredient

123

124 **Conclusions and/or Implications**

125 The results of this study highlight the potential role of natively sourced concentrate feed with a lower
 126 concentrate CP content (12%) in grass-based dairy. Such a feeding strategy can result in similar performance
 127 to that of dairy cows offered a 14% CP concentrate or a concentrate based on imported ingredients respectively.
 128 These feeding strategies may contribute towards achieving the emissions targets to increase environmental
 129 sustainability of Irish pasture-based milk production systems by reducing the nitrogen lost from dairy systems,
 130 increasing NUE and reducing the carbon footprint.

131 **Acknowledgements**

132 The authors wish to acknowledge and thank Dairy Research Ireland for funding this research project. The
 133 authors also would like to acknowledge the contribution made by the IFA grain committee in support of this
 134 research. Additionally, the authors acknowledge the contributions of the laboratory and farm staff and students
 135 at University College Dublin Lyons Farm.

136 **References**

- 137 Bargo, F., L. Muller, E. Kolver, and J. Delahoy. 2003. Invited review: Production and digestion of supplemented dairy
 138 cows on pasture. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 86: 1- 42
- 139 Brunschwig, P., Cadot, M. and Lemarie, J., 1996. Tourteau de colza pour les bovins. Institut de l'eleveage.
- 140 Casey, J. W., and N. M. Holden. 2005. Analysis of greenhouse gas emissions from the average Irish milk production
 141 system. *Agric. Syst.*, 86: 97–114
- 142 Doran, M.J., Mulligan, F.J., Lynch, M.B., Fahey, A.G., Ryan, N.J., McDonnell, C., McCabe, S. and Pierce, K.M., 2022.
 143 Effect of supplement crude protein concentration on milk production over the main grazing season and on
 144 nitrogen excretion in late-lactation grazing dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 105: 347-360

- 145 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2022. Ireland green house gas emissions projections. Accessed at:
146 <https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/climate-change/air-emissions/EPA-Ireland's-GHG->
147 [Projections-Report-2021-2040v4.pdf](https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/climate-change/air-emissions/EPA-Ireland's-GHG-Projections-Report-2021-2040v4.pdf)
- 148 Finneran, E., P. Crosson, P. O' Kiely, L. Shalloo, D. Forristal and M. Wallace, M. 2010. Simulation modelling of the cost
149 of producing and utilising feeds for ruminants on Irish farms. *J. Farm Manag*, 14: 95-116
- 150 Kopp, K., S. Robertson, and M. Friend. 2019. Barley grain supplementation in late gestation to twin-bearing Merino ewes
151 grazing high biomass and high-quality pasture does not increase lamb survival. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 59: 543–548.
152 <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN17378>
- 153 McKay, Z. C., M. B. Lynch, F. J. Mulligan, G. Rajauria, C. Miller, and K. M. Pierce. 2019a. The effect of concentrate
154 supplementation type on milk production, dry matter intake, rumen fermentation, and nitrogen excretion in late-
155 lactation, spring-calving grazing dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 102: 5042–5053. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15796)
156 [15796](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15796)
- 157 McKay, Z.C., Mulligan, F.J., Lynch, M.B., Rajauria, G., Miller, C. and Pierce, K.M., 2019b. The effects of cereal type
158 and α -tocopherol level on milk production, milk composition, rumen fermentation, and nitrogen excretion of
159 spring-calving dairy cows in late lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 102, 7118-7133
- 160 Mulligan, F. J., P. Dillon, J. J. Callan, M. Rath, and F. P. O'Mara. 2004. Supplementary concentrate type affects nitrogen
161 excretion of grazing dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87:3451–3460. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73480-3)
162 [.3168/jds.S0022-](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73480-3)
[0302\(04\)73480-3](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73480-3)
- 163 Reid, M., M. O'Donovan, J. P. Murphy, C. Fleming, E. Kennedy, and E. Lewis. 2015. The effect of high and low levels
164 of supplementation on milk production, nitrogen utilization efficiency, and milk protein fractions in late-lactation
165 dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 98:5529–5544. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-9016>
- 166 Tufarelli, V., Khan, R.U. and Laudadio, V., 2012. Evaluating the suitability of field beans as a substitute for soybean
167 meal in early-lactating dairy cow: Production and metabolic responses. *Anim. Sci. J.*, 83:136-140
- 168 Whelan, S. J., K. M. Pierce, C. McCarney, B. Flynn, and F. J. Mulligan. 2012. Effect of supplementary concentrate type
169 on nitrogen partitioning in early lactation dairy cows offered perennial ryegrass-based pasture. *J. Dairy Sci.* 95:
170 [4468–4477. https://doi.org/ 10.3168/jds.2011-4689](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4689)