

Michigan Technological University [Digital Commons @ Michigan Tech](https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/)

[Michigan Tech Publications, Part 2](https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2)

1-27-2023

Reactivity of Bromine Radical with Dissolved Organic Matter Moieties and Monochloramine: Effect on Bromate Formation during Ozonation

Sungeun Lim Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology

Benjamin Barrios Michigan Technological University, barriosc@mtu.edu

Daisuke Minakata Michigan Technological University, dminakat@mtu.edu

Urs Von Guten Swiss Federal Insitute of Aquatic Science and Technology

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2](https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2?utm_source=digitalcommons.mtu.edu%2Fmichigantech-p2%2F301&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Lim, S., Barrios, B., Minakata, D., & Von Guten, U. (2023). Reactivity of Bromine Radical with Dissolved Organic Matter Moieties and Monochloramine: Effect on Bromate Formation during Ozonation. Environmental Science and Technology, 57(47), 18658-18667. [http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694) [acs.est.2c07694](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694)

Retrieved from: https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2/301

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2](https://digitalcommons.mtu.edu/michigantech-p2?utm_source=digitalcommons.mtu.edu%2Fmichigantech-p2%2F301&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Reactivity of Bromine Radical with Dissolved Organic Matter Moieties and Monochloramine: Effect on Bromate Formation during Ozonation

[Sungeun](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sungeun+Lim"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Lim, [Benjamin](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Benjamin+Barrios"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Barrios, Daisuke [Minakata,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Daisuke+Minakata"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Urs von [Gunten](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Urs+von+Gunten"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-8-0)

determined the second-order rate constant (*k*) of the reactions of selected organic model compounds, a DOM isolate, and monochloramine (NH₂Cl) with Br[•] using *γ*-radiolysis. The $k_{\text{Br} \bullet}$ of all model compounds were high ($k_{\text{Br}\bullet} > 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and well correlated with quantum-chemically computed free energies of activation, indicating a selectivity of Br[•] toward electron-rich compounds, governed by electron transfer. The reaction of phenol (a representative DOM moiety) with Br• yielded *p*-benzoquinone as a major product with a

yield of 59% per consumed phenol, suggesting an electron transfer mechanism. Finally, the potential of NH₂Cl to quench Br $^{\bullet}$ was tested based on the fast reaction ($k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$, _{NH2Cl} = 4.4 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, this study), resulting in reduced bromate formation of up to 77% during ozonation of bromide-containing lake water. Overall, our study demonstrated that Br[•] quenching by NH₂Cl can substantially suppress bromate formation, especially in waters containing low DOC concentrations (1−2 mgC/L).

KEYWORDS: *bromine radical, bromate, dissolved organic matter, model compounds, reaction kinetics, ozone*

1. INTRODUCTION

Bromide is ubiquitously present in fresh waters in 10−1000 μ g/L^{1,2} and plays an important role in most oxidative water treatment processes.³ During oxidation processes, bromide is converted to reactive bromine species such as hypobromous acid $(\textrm{HOBr})^4$ $(\textrm{HOBr})^4$ and/or bromine(-containing) radicals (e.g., $\textrm{Br}^{\bullet},$ Br₂^{•-}, BrO[•]).^{[5](#page-9-0)-[7](#page-9-0)} HOBr reacts with dissolved organic matter (DOM) to produce potentially harmful brominated disinfec-tion byproducts (Br-DBPs).^{[8](#page-9-0),[9](#page-9-0)} Bromine radicals can influence micropollutant abatement^{[5](#page-9-0),[10](#page-9-0)−[12](#page-9-0)} and algal toxin degradation.^{15,14} A special feature of ozonation is the oxidation of bromide to bromate,^{[15](#page-9-0)} which is a probable human carcinogen with a drinking water standard of 10 μ g/L.^{16,[17](#page-9-0)} Its formation mechanism during ozonation has received considerable research efforts for decades.¹⁸ It is formed by a complex interplay between ozone, hydroxyl radical (• OH), and various reactive bromine species, 18 characterized by two initial pathways: oxidation of bromide (a) to hypobromite (OBr[−]) by ozone (eq $1)^{19,20}$ $1)^{19,20}$ $1)^{19,20}$ or (b) to Br $^{\bullet}$ by $^{\bullet}$ OH (eqs 2 and 3). 21,22 21,22 21,22 The primary products, OBr[−]/HOBr and Br• , serve as key intermediates by subsequently reacting with ozone or • OH to ultimately form bromate. 7,18

$$
Br^{-} + O_3 \rightarrow OBr^{-} + O_2
$$

\n $k_1 = 1.6 \times 10^2 \text{ or } 2.6 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (1)

$$
Br^{-} + {}^{•}OH \leftrightarrow BrOH^{•-}
$$

\n $k_{+2} = 1.1 \times 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1}$
\n $k_{-2} = 3.3 \times 10^{7} s^{-1}$ (2)

$$
BrOH•- \leftrightarrow Br• + OH-
$$

\n $k_{+3} = 4.2 \times 10^6 s^{-1}$
\n $k_{-3} = 1.3 \times 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ (3)

Special Issue: Oxidative Water [Treatment:](https://pubs.acs.org/toc/esthag/57/47?ref=pdf) The Track [Ahead](https://pubs.acs.org/toc/esthag/57/47?ref=pdf)

Received: October 19, 2022 Revised: December 29, 2022 Accepted: December 29, 2022 Published: January 27, 2023

However, the subsequent reactions to bromate can be interrupted by DOM. HOBr reacts partially with residual electron-rich moieties of DOM, which remain after ozone attack, with second-order rate constants (k) ranging from $10³$ to 10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹.^{[4](#page-9-0)} Likewise, Br[•] can react fast with DOM moieties with $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of 10⁴ to 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.^{[23](#page-9-0)-[25](#page-9-0)} Recently, $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of standard DOM were measured in a range of $(0.5-4.2) \times 10^8$ M_c^{-1} s⁻¹.^{[26](#page-9-0)} During ozonation, the Br[•] reaction with DOM is in competition to ozone with $k_{\text{Br}\bullet, O3} \approx 1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.^{[7](#page-9-0)} A wide range of $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ has been reported for micropollutants $(10^8$ -10^{11} -10^{11} -10^{11} M⁻¹ s⁻¹),¹⁰ which implies selectivity of Br[•] to organic compounds. Nevertheless, a systematic investigation on the Br• reactivity with organic moieties including quantitative structure−activity relationship (QSAR) is lacking to date.

Among diverse bromate mitigation strategies, a sequential addition of chlorine and ammonia prior to ozonation has shown good performance.^{[18](#page-9-0)} Direct addition of monochloramine (NH_2Cl) prior to ozonation was also effective to reduce bromate, $27,28$ but questions remain about the underlying mechanism. $NH₂Cl$ was suggested to mitigate bromate by reacting with [•]OH, HOBr, or bromide.^{[27,28](#page-9-0)} However, such reactions are either insufficient to account for a substantial mitigation 29 or too slow. 30 30 30

This study aims to understand the role of Br• in bromate formation during ozonation, especially with regard to its reactions with DOM and $NH₂Cl$, with the specific objectives to (1) determine the $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of organic model compounds for DOM and establish QSAR, (2) identify products formed during the reaction of phenol (a representative DOM moiety) with Br• and elucidate the underlying reaction mechanisms, and (3) investigate the effect of quenching Br^{\bullet} by NH₂Cl and DOM on bromate formation during ozonation of bromidecontaining water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Reagents. Details for chemicals and Lake Zurich water composition are provided in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S1 (Supporting Information). Preparation of DOM and chloride-free $NH₂Cl$ stock solutions is described in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S2 and [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S3, respectively.

2.2. *γ***-Radiolysis.** *γ*-radiolysis was carried out by a 60Co *γ*radiation source (Gammacell 220, Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd.) with a dose rate of 0.13 kGy/h (yielding a Br^{\bullet} formation rate of 9.7 nM/s) determined by a dosimetry in a formate solution [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S4).^{[7](#page-9-0)} Br[•] was formed by the reaction of 1,2dibromoethane with e^- , according to eqs 4 and 5.^{[23](#page-9-0),[25,31.32](#page-9-0)}

BrCH₂CH₂Br + e⁻ → BrCH₂CH₂[•] + Br⁻
\n
$$
k = 1.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}
$$
 (4)

$$
BrCH2CH2* \to CH2CH2 + Br*
$$

\n
$$
k = (0.6 - 3.8) \times 10^{6} s^{-1}
$$
 (5)

Samples for determining $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of the organic model compounds were prepared as described in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S5 and [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) [S4](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf). Briefly, mixed solutions containing 3.4 *μ*M ibuprofen (as a competitor), 3.4 μ M of a model compound, 0.7 mM 1,2dibromoethane (for generating Br•), 4 mM *t*-butanol (for scavenging • OH), 50 mM buffer (phosphate for pH 7.1 or borate for pH 10.2), and ~50 *μ*M dissolved O₂ (for scavenging C-centered radicals [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S6)) were prepared and subjected to *γ*-radiolysis for 0−20 min. Before and during *γ*-radiolysis, silver nitrate solution was added for masking bromide which is

formed from the reaction of 1,2-dibromomethane with solvated electrons ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S7). The scavenging rates of the reactive species (e.g., e[−], [●]OH, Br[●]) in the applied *γ*-radiolysis condition were estimated based on kinetic information, as shown in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S10. After a predetermined time point, the sample was taken out from the *γ*-radiation source and sodium chloride was added to precipitate residual $Ag⁺$ as AgCl. Samples for identifying products for the reaction of phenol with Br[•] were prepared as described in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S9. Solutions in these vials contained 22 *μ*M phenol, 0.7 mM 1,2-dibromoethane, 40 mM *t*-butanol, and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.1).

2.3. Competition Kinetics. k_{Br} of organic model compounds were determined by competition kinetics with ibuprofen as a competitor based on eq 6 where M and C indicate an organic model compound and a competitor (ibuprofen), respectively. $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of ibuprofen was 3.8 \times 10⁹ M^{-1} s⁻¹ (see [section](#page-3-0) 3.1.1).

$$
\ln\left(\frac{[M]}{[M]_0}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{[C]}{[C]_0}\right) \frac{k_{\text{Br}^\bullet, M}}{k_{\text{Br}^\bullet, C}}\tag{6}
$$

 $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ for DOM and NH₂Cl was determined by measuring the change in ibuprofen concentration over *γ*-radiolysis time, by applying varying concentration ratios of ibuprofen (1 *μ*M) and DOM (2–15 mgC/L) or NH₂Cl (0.01–0.4 mM). Details to derive $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ under this adapted method are provided in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S8. All competition kinetics plots are provided in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S5 and [S6](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf).

2.4. Analyses. High-performance liquid chromatography coupled with a diode array or fluorescence detector (HPLC) and LC coupled with high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS/MS) were used for analyzing organic compounds. Reference standards were compared for identified products during the phenol-Br• reaction. For suspected products by LC-HRMS/MS, the confidence level system (level 1 to 5 with level 5 as the lowest level providing only an exact mass) 33 was used to categorize the MS results. Ion chromatography coupled with a conductivity detector (IC) was used for analyzing chloride and bromate [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S10).

2.5. Ozonation. Ozone stock solutions were prepared and standardized as described in [Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S11. For ozonation experiments, filtered Lake Zurich water was spiked with 2 μ M bromide, 1 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6), and 5 μ M *p*chlorobenzoic acid (*p*CBA), as mixed concentrations. Additionally, either 10 *μ*M formate, or 4 *μ*M ammonium, or 7 *μ*M $NH₂Cl$, or 15 μ M NH₂Cl was added to the spiked Lake Zurich water to assess different quenching scenarios. An aliquot of the ozone stock solution (ozone dose 60 *μ*M) was added to the Lake Zurich waters to initiate ozonation. Samples were taken at predetermined reaction times (30 s – 1 h) and analyzed for residual ozone by indigo,^{[34](#page-10-0)} p CBA by HPLC, and bromate by IC. R_{ct} , the ratio of concentrations of °OH and ozone, was determined as described previously 35 and used as a control parameter for comparing the different reaction conditions.

2.6. Quantum Chemical Computation. Aqueous-phase free energy (G_{aq}) of all species were obtained by the sum of electronic energy of a species solvated by explicit water molecules $(E_{0,gas})$, solvation free energy $(\Delta G_{solv,calc})$, and gaseous-phase correction for the explicit water molecules $(G_{\text{corr,gas}})$ ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S12). $E_{0,\text{gas}}$ was calculated at the level of M06- $2X/A$ ug-cc-pVTZ,^{[36](#page-10-0)} while $\Delta G_{\text{solv,calc}}$ and $G_{\text{corr,gas}}$ were calculated at the level of M06-2X/Aug-cc-pVDZ with an implicit

Table 1. Measured Apparent Second-Order Rate Constants at Indicated pH for the Reactions of the Selected Organic Model Compounds, Monochloramine, and a DOM Isolate with Br[•] ($k_{\rm Bre}$, M⁻¹ s⁻¹ or (mgC/L)⁻¹ s⁻¹ for DOM) and Theoretically Calculated Free Energies of Activation (ΔG^{act}_{aq,SET,} kcal mol^{−1}) for the Reactions of Organic Model Compounds and Monochloramine with Br[•] by Electron Transfer

a Most *k*Br• were determined in competition with ibuprofen or unless otherwise indicated. *k*Br• are shown as an average and standard deviation (s.d.) of duplicates except benzene and toluene. The corresponding competition kinetics plots are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S5. *^b* Single measurement with a poor linearity of the competition kinetics plot $(R^2 = 0.67$, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) SS). $c_{B_{\text{re}}}$ of ibuprofen was determined in competition with 4-iodophenol with $k_{B_{\text{re}}}$ of 4- $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{$ estimated after a structural approximation by Lee and von Gunten.^{[41](#page-10-0)}

solvation model $(SMD)^{37}$ $(SMD)^{37}$ $(SMD)^{37}$ and a continuum solvation method.³⁸ Methods were validated by experimentally determined reduction potentials for halides and aromatic compounds [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S13). The aqueous free energy of reaction $(\Delta G^{\text{react}}_{\text{aq,SET}})$ was determined based on G_{aq} of reactants and products of the reaction, which was subsequently used for calculating free energy of activation $(\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq}})$ by the Marcus theory³⁹ ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S14).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Reaction Kinetics. 3.1.1. Determination of k_{Br} for *Organic Model Compounds. All* $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ *for organic model* compounds, DOM, and $NH₂Cl$ were determined by competition kinetics using ibuprofen as a competitor. $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of ibuprofen was determined separately by competition kinetics with 4-iodophenol as a competitor. The reference $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of 4iodophenol was $(6.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ based on the previous values determined by indirect methods using pulse radiolysis or laser flash photolysis.^{[10,23](#page-9-0)} The obtained k_{Bre} of ibuprofen was $(4.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (duplicates), higher than the previously reported value of 2.2 \times 10⁹ M^{−1} s^{−[112](#page-9-0)} by a factor of 2. The final $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of ibuprofen used as the reference was $(3.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, an average of our measurements and the reported value. The relative standard deviation in the reference value is relatively large (48%), which systematically affects the results in this study. Table 1 shows that the selected organic model compounds have generally

high reactivity toward Br^{\bullet} with $k_{Br^{\bullet}} > 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The determined $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of anisole, benzoic acid, and phenol agree well to the reported values^{[10](#page-9-0)} within a factor of <3, which is a typical error range for different kinetic studies. For some of the dissociating compounds, $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ was measured under two pH conditions (pH $\overline{7}$ and 10) to evaluate the effect of speciation on $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$. The species with higher electron density (e.g., phenolate and neutral amine) show a 5−20 times higher $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ than their protonated forms.

3.1.2. QSAR Models of kBr• *for Organic Model Compounds with* σ_p^+ *or* $\Delta G^{act}_{aq,SET}$. To further validate the selectivity of Br[•], a QSAR was assessed for the measured $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of the aromatic model compounds based on Hammett constant (specifically σ_p ⁺).^{40,41} Quantum-chemically (QC) computed free energies of activation, $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$, were also tested by assuming a single electron transfer as a reaction mechanism. The QSAR assessment results in a good correlation for both molecular descriptors ($R^2 = 0.82$ for σ_p^+ [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S7a) and $R^2 = 0.92$ for $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$ ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S7b)) for the aromatic group. Next, the QSAR approach was expanded to the other model compounds beyond aromatic groups and to the aromatic compounds for which Hammett constants are not available, by calculating $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$. The corresponding results are summarized in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) [S8](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf). An overall good QSAR for all model compounds including aromatic compounds (except *p*-benzoquinone), amines, olefins, and NH₂Cl was obtained ($R^2 = 0.77$, [Figure](#page-4-0) 1). Four literature-reported $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of phenol, ibuprofen, anisole, and

Figure 1. Quantitative structure−activity relationship of the measured second-order rate constants for the reactions of all selected model compounds (except *p*-benzoquinone) with Br• and the computed free energies of activation for electron transfer reactions (see [Table](#page-3-0) 1). The aromatic compounds with reported $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ in the literature^{10,[12](#page-9-0)} are labeled as "(ref)" and are also shown for comparison. The literature values were not included in the regression.

benzoic acid^{10,12} with our theoretically calculated $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$ values were included in Figure 1 to compare the correlation and they had only an insignificant impact on the overall trend. Because the QSAR was developed based on the $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$ assuming a single electron transfer, it supports a single electron transfer mechanism as the rate-determining step. Only *p*benzoquinone appears to undergo a different reaction mechanism based on an exceedingly high $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$ value of 41.2 kcal/mol, significantly higher than for all the other compounds. Instead, *p*-benzoquinone seems to favor a Br• addition mechanism to the aromatic ring, according to a lower $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq},\text{addition}}$ of 4.8 kcal/mol.

3.1.3. k_{Br} *and Calculated* ΔG^{act} _{*aq,SET*} *for lbuprofen.* In addition to the simple organic model compounds, micropollutants also likely react by a single electron transfer mechanism, according to the result of ibuprofen agreeing well with the QSAR trend (Figure 1). The Δ*G*^{act}_{aq,SET} for ibuprofen was calculated as 6.2 kcal/mol, higher than the recently reported value (1.35 kcal/mol) ,^{[12](#page-9-0)} despite the use of the same DFT method (with a similar basis set) and the implicit solvation model. The discrepancy may have resulted from the accuracy of solvation energies for Br[−] and Br• , which is critical to obtain an accurate $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$. Our calculation method was validated by calculating the one electron reduction potential of Br• /Br[−] with various DFT and *ab initio* methods and comparing the result with experimental values as a benchmark ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S13). Such a validation process was not reported in the previous study.[12,26](#page-9-0) Another source of uncertainty is the treatment of the solvent reorganization energies in the Marcus theory calculations. Including both outer- and inner-sphere solvent reorganization energies is important because of the potential impacts of both reactants and surrounding water to the overall structure.

3.1.4. kBr• *and Calculated* Δ*Gactaq,SET for NH2Cl and Mechanistic Interpretation*. NH₂Cl reacts fast with Br[•] with a $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of 4.4 × 10⁹ M^{−1} s^{−1} [\(Table](#page-3-0) 1), higher than the reactivity of NH₂Cl with other radical species such as \degree OH (k_{\bullet} _{OH,NH2Cl} = 5.2×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ or 5.7×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹).^{[42](#page-10-0),[43](#page-10-0)} Previous studies on the reaction of NH2Cl with • OH reported H-atom or Clatom abstraction as the main reaction mechanism. However, according to our computation results, for the reaction of $\mathrm{NH}_2\mathrm{Cl}$ with Br $^\bullet$, a single electron transfer shows a clearly lower energy barrier than H-atom or Cl-atom abstraction ($\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq}} =$ 3.8, 9.4, and 19.2 kcal/mol, for electron transfer, H-atom abstraction, and Cl-atom abstraction, respectively). The good agreement of the experimental $k_{\texttt{Br}\bullet\texttt{NH2Cl}}$ with the regression of the QSAR model built upon Δ*G*actaq,SET (Figure 1) also strongly supports a single electron transfer for the reaction of $NH₂Cl$ with Br^{\bullet} . A single electron transfer reaction would form NH₂Cl^{•+} and Br[−] as products, of which the former can dissociate to NHCl[•]. NHCl[•] is also formed in the reaction of $NH₂Cl$ with $^{\bullet}OH$ where self-decay or quenching reaction by dissolved oxygen were suggested as follow-up reactions. 42

3.1.5. Formation of Transient Adduct from Br• *Reactions.* Quantum-chemical computations additionally predicted the formation of an energetically stable transient adduct in the reaction coordinate to the oxidized target compound and Br[−] ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S8). The formation of the adduct may not be a ratedetermining step, but there is still a reasonable correlation between free energy of adduct formation $(\Delta G^{\text{adduct}}_{\text{aa}})$ and $k_{\text{Br} \bullet}$ ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S9). In most cases, the most energetically stable adduct among all possible conformers results from the interaction of a bromine atom (Br•) with a benzene ring [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S7). Exceptions are the neutral forms of amine model compounds (benzylamine and *N,N*-dimethylbenzylamine) where Br• preferably interacts with the neutral amine-nitrogen over the benzene ring (nitrogen benzylamine-Br adduct $\Delta G^{\text{adduct}}_{\text{ad}}$ = −8.4 kcal/mol; adduct on the benzene ring: −1.1 kcal/mol). The preference on the amine-nitrogen was also illustrated by the spin density distribution of the protonated and deprotonated forms of amines [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S9). For the protonated amines, the spin density is delocalized over the entire structure, indicating less favorable formation of an adduct $(\Delta G^{\text{adduct}}_{\text{aq}} =$ -0.4 kcal/mol for the benzylamine-Br adduct). The Δ*G*^{adduct}_{aq} value of *p*-benzoquinone is higher than for other model compounds with similar k_{Bre} (e.g., $\Delta G^{\text{adduct}}_{\text{aq}} = 1.2 \text{ kcal/mol}$ for *p*-benzoquinone vs −1.9 kcal/mol for phenol [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S7)), as observed also for $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$. The positive $\Delta G^{\text{adduct}}_{\text{aq}}$ value of *p*benzoquinone indicates unfavorable interaction between Br• and the quinone structure due to the oxidized form of this compound.

3.1.6. Kinetics of Br• *Reaction with DOM.* The *k*Br• for the DOM isolate SRFA II was determined as $(1.7 \pm 0.01) \times 10^4$ $(mgC/L)^{-1}$ s⁻¹ ([Table](#page-3-0) 1), matching to the previously reported value within a factor of < two ($k_{\text{Br}\bullet} = 2.6 \times 10^4 \text{ (mgC/L)}^{-1}$ s^{-1}).^{[26](#page-9-0)} The determined value is close to the k_{\bullet OH of various types of DOM with an average value of $(2.2 \pm 0.8) \times 10^4$ $(\text{mgC/L})^{-1}$ s^{-1,[44](#page-10-0)-[46](#page-10-0)} implying a similar DOM scavenging rate for Br[•] and [•]OH. $k_{\text{Br} \bullet}$ of preozonated SRFA II was also determined to evaluate the effect of DOM oxidation during ozonation. Ozone targets electron-rich moieties of DOM (e.g., phenols),^{[47](#page-10-0)−[49](#page-10-0)} which are also reactive sites for Br[•] attack. The extent of preoxidation was controlled by measuring electron donating capacity (EDC), which characterizes antioxidant properties of DOM.^{[47](#page-10-0)} The oxidation of DOM with specific ozone doses of 0.8 $gO₃/gC$ and 1.5 $gO₃/gC$ led to a decrease

in EDC by $(31 \pm 5)\%$ and $(40 \pm 4)\%$, respectively [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S2), agreeing with a previous observation.^{49,50} Nevertheless, k_{Br} of non- and preozonated DOM remained in a similar range ([Table](#page-3-0) 1), suggesting that DOM oxidation by ozone has a limited effect on its reactivity with Br• and its scavenging rate during ozonation. This is exemplified by *p*-benzoquinone, a major product of phenol oxidation by ozone,^{[51](#page-10-0)} for which $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ is similar as for phenol ([Table](#page-3-0) 1).

3.2. Product Formation and Reaction Mechanisms for the Reactions of Phenol with Br• **.** *3.2.1. Identified Products.* Phenols are important DOM moieties and therefore phenol was selected to investigate product formation from the reaction with Br• . [47](#page-10-0) Its transformation products after reaction with Br• were investigated by LC-HRMS/MS and HPLC. Over a *γ*-irradiation time of 40 min (theoretically forming 22 μ M Br $^{\bullet}$, according to the dose rate), phenol was degraded by Br• from 21.7 *μ*M to 17.6 *μ*M, suggesting a 1:5 (phenol:Br•) reaction stoichiometry [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S10). The reaction led to 2.4 *μ*M *p*-benzoquinone, 0.5 *μ*M hydroquinone, and 0.2 *μ*M 4 bromophenol, as identified products. The sum of the residual concentrations of phenol and the concentrations of the identified products accounted for 96−98% of the initial phenol concentration. *p*-Benzoquinone was the major product with a yield of 59% per degraded phenol at 40 min, followed by hydroquinone (14%) and 4-bromophenol (4%) (Figure 2). A small concentration of catechol was also identified at the beginning of the reaction $(0.1\% \text{ at } 12 \text{ min})$, but not for >12 min.

Figure 2. Relative product yields per degraded phenol as a function of the *γ*-radiolysis time during the reaction of phenol with Br• , for the condition with 22 *μ*M phenol, 0.7 mM 1,2-dibromoethane, 40 mM *t*butanol, and 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.1). Concentrations of phenol and the products as a function of time are provided in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) [S10](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf).

3.2.2. Suspected Products. In addition to the identified products with reference standards, some products were detected by nontargeted screenings of LC-HRMS/MS data where peaks with unique retention time and exact mass were extracted by comparing chromatograms of blank samples (containing phenol, no reaction) with those from *γ*-radiolysis samples (containing phenol, reaction with Br^{*}). Because the mass balance was almost complete by the identified products, they were minor products with yields <4%. Two suspected products featuring molecular formula of $C_6H_3BrO_3$ and $C_6H_5BrO_3$ were detected with a confidence level 3 ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) [S15\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf). They showed a gradual increase over time during *γ*-

radiolysis experiments with phenol and also *p*-benzoquinone ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S12), suggesting a presence of a quinone structure. For C6H3BrO3, *p*-benzoquinone substituted by a hydroxy group and a Br was suggested as a possible molecular structure ([Scheme](#page-6-0) 1) based on a comparison of MS spectra with a synthesized isomer [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S15). The other suspected products consistently detected in the *γ*-radiolysis sample were: $C_6H_4O_3$, $C_{12}H_{10}O_2$, $C_6H_6O_3$, and $C_6H_6O_4$. $C_6H_4O_3$ is suspected as hydroxy-benzoquinone or benzoquinone-epoxide [\(Scheme](#page-6-0) 1) with a confidence level 3, which could be a precursor of $C_6H_3BrO_3$ and $C_6H_5BrO_3$. MS² spectra of $C_6H_4O_3$ featured a unique fragmentation pattern [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S14) but not specific enough to differentiate the two suspected structures [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) [S15\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf). $C_{12}H_{10}O_2$ is likely to be dihydroxybiphenyl with a confidence level 2, based on the matching $MS²$ pattern with a spectrum included in the MassBank spectral database [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) $S16$.^{[52](#page-10-0)} C₆H₆O₃ and C₆H₆O₄ are suspected as 6-oxo-2,4hexydienoic acid and muconic acid, respectively, but without sufficient evidence to confirm these structures (confidence level 4).

3.2.3. Phenol-Br• *Reaction Mechanism.* Based on the identified and suspected products, a reaction pathway of the reaction of phenol with Br• is proposed as in [Scheme](#page-6-0) 1. Phenol is initially transformed by Br• to phenoxyl radical (PhO•), analogously to its reaction with halogen dimer radical anions, $X_2^{\bullet-}$ (X = Cl, Br, I).^{[53](#page-10-0)} The presence of PhO[•] was supported by the detection of $C_{12}H_{10}O_2$ suspected to be dihydroxybiphenyl, often formed by dimerization of PhO• . [53](#page-10-0) The formation of PhO[•] can occur via electron transfer,^{10,[54](#page-10-0)} H-abstraction,^{[23,25](#page-9-0)} and Br-addition, 25 as depicted in [Scheme](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S1. The QSARs of $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ determined by this study ([Figure](#page-4-0) 1) supports an electron transfer reaction. After the initial step to PhO• , the reaction pathway further branches to three reactions, resulting in hydroquinone, catechol, or 4-bromophenol, respectively, according to the identified products. Hydroquinone is the major product (>70%), based on the sum of the product yields of hydroquinone and *p*-benzoquinone (a subsequent oxidation product of hydroquinone). This is likely to further undergo hydroxylation and/or bromination reactions, leading to the suspected products $(C_6H_4O_3, C_6H_5BrO_3)$ and $C_6H_3BrO_3$). The second pathway is a very minor pathway forming catechol (0.1% yield), a stereoisomer of hydroquinone which was only detected at a short reaction time (12 min). It may be further oxidized to *o*-benzoquinone, which is difficult to confirm because of its poor stability in aqueous solution.^{[55](#page-10-0)} The third pathway with 4% yield of 4-bromophenol may imply a possible addition mechanism comparable to the oxidation of phenol by •OH ([Scheme](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) $S1(3)$)^{[56](#page-10-0)} or a radical−radical coupling mechanism of PhO• and Br• confirmed by calculated thermodynamically favorable $\Delta G^{\text{react}}_{\text{aq}}$ ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S16). In addition to ring products, ring-opening products such as 6-oxo-2,4 hexydienoic acid and muconic acid are also suspected ([Scheme](#page-6-0) [1](#page-6-0)). Such short-chain organic acids (up to C_6) were identified during oxidation of phenol by $\overline{O}H^{57,58}$ $\overline{O}H^{57,58}$ $\overline{O}H^{57,58}$ $\overline{O}H^{57,58}$ $\overline{O}H^{57,58}$ and ozone.^{[59](#page-10-0)} Dicarbonyl compounds were also reported as ring-opening products from the reaction of phenol with chlorine and • \bullet OH,^{[60](#page-10-0),[61](#page-10-0)} but they could not be detected by the analytical method applied in this study.

3.3. Mitigation of Bromate Formation by Monochloramine during Ozonation: Role of Br• **.** As a proven strategy to mitigate bromate during ozonation, 18 the chlorine-ammonia pretreatment blocks the initial steps of bromate formation by masking bromide and scavenging • OH ([Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S17). As an

Scheme 1. Reaction Pathway for the Reaction of Phenol with Br• Based on the Identified (in squares) and Suspected Products*^a*

a The initial step of forming phenoxyl radical is described in detail in [Scheme](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S1.

alternative, a simpler strategy has been applied, which entails the direct addition of $NH₂Cl$ before ozonation. It has an advantage over the chlorine-ammonia process by forming less chlorinated DBPs by avoiding free chlorine contact time. Quenching of \bullet OH by NH₂Cl is similar to the chlorineammonia process. However, an additional benefit such as bromide masking is not expected, because the reaction of NH₂Cl with bromide is slow ($k = 0.14$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at pH 7).³⁰ According to our measurement, $NH₂Cl$ reacts fast with $Br[•]$ with $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of 4.4 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and may therefore be a quencher for this transient species. Additionally, $NH₂Cl$ reacts with HOBr with $k = 2.8 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH $7.^{4,62}$ $7.^{4,62}$ $7.^{4,62}$ $7.^{4,62}$ $7.^{4,62}$ This may also add to bromate mitigation, however, only when HOBr significantly builds up during ozonation.

To assess the effect of $NH₂Cl$ in quenching $Br[•]$, the fraction of Br $^{\bullet}$ reacting with a compound X, $f(\text{Br}^{\bullet}+\text{X})$, was calculated based on the measured and reported values for $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ of NH₂Cl, DOM, ozone, and bromide, which are considered the main Br[•] consumers during ozonation [\(Text](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S18). Figure 3 shows the calculated $f(Br^{\bullet}+X)$ in absence or presence of NH₂Cl for low dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and Br[−] levels as in typical Swiss surface waters. Without NH₂Cl, most Br $^{\bullet}$ was quenched by DOM (Figure 3a). The low DOC concentration (1 mgC/ L) was yet high enough to quench at least 84% of Br• for a range of specific ozone doses of $0.5-1.0 \,\text{mgO}_3/\text{mgC}$, typically applied in drinking water treatment in Switzerland (shaded area in Figure 3). For the same conditions, $f(Br^{\bullet} + O_3)$ and f(Br• +Br[−]) were 8−15% and 0.03%, respectively. The result was markedly changed in the presence of 15 μM NH₂Cl where NH2Cl became the major consumer scavenging at least 70% of Br• even for the highest ozone dose of 60 *μ*M. Under these conditions, f(Br• +DOM) was reduced to 20% and more importantly $f(Br^{\bullet} + O_3)$ was reduced to 2–4%. This demonstrates, that the $Br^{\bullet} + O_3$ reaction is significantly suppressed by

Figure 3. Calculated fractions (see text) of Br• reacting with DOM (green line), ozone (red line), bromide (blue line), or $NH₂Cl$ (orange line) as a function of ozone concentration in the (a) absence or (b) presence of NH₂Cl. The selected concentrations were 1 mgC/L DOC, 0.2 μM Br[−] (16 μg/L Br[−]), and 15 μM NH₂Cl. Shaded areas indicate a typical range of ozone doses applied in drinking water treatment in Switzerland (0.5−1.0 mgO₃/mgC).

a factor of 4. Conditions with higher DOC and Br[−] levels simulating wastewater were also assessed and the results are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S18. For these conditions, DOM plays an important role even in the presence of 15 μ M NH₂Cl, quenching 53-57% of Br[•] for the entire range of ozone concentrations. Therefore, the quenching effect by $NH₂Cl$ in the water with high DOC levels is not expected as significant as in the water with low DOC concentrations. Nevertheless, the $f(Br^{\bullet} + O_3)$ decreases by a factor of 2, which still mitigates the reaction between Br• and ozone significantly. Br[−] does not influence the Br $^{\bullet}$ concentration based on the low f(Br $^{\bullet}$ +Br $^-$) of 0.1−0.2%, even with the higher Br[−] level of 1.3 *μ*M (or 100 μ g/L). The importance of DOM relative to the other consumers (ozone and Br[−]) is additionally illustrated by

Figure 4. (a) Bromate formation as a function of the ozone exposure for five ozonation conditions. Unaltered Lake Zurich water contained 1.4 mgC/L DOC, 2 *μ*M bromide, 1 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6), 5 *μ*M pCBA, and a 60 *μ*M ozone dose (black four pointed stars). The other conditions additionally contained 10 *μM* formate (orange circles), 4 *μM* ammonium (blue diamonds), 7 *μM* NH₂Cl (green squares), or 15 *μM* NH₂Cl (red triangles), respectively. (b) A close-up for a low range of ozone exposures (dotted rectangle in a). The slopes of the first three data points are provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Conditions of Ozonation Experiments with Lake Zurich Water (1.4 mgC/L DOC, 2.7 mM Alkalinity, 2 *μ*M Bromide (spiked), 0.5 *μ*M pCBA (spiked), 1 mM Phosphate Buffer at pH 7.6 (spiked)) and the Determined *R*ct (Figure 20a), the Bromate Formation Slopes Expressed As a Function of Ozone Exposure, And the Change in Bromate Formation with Regard to the Reference Conditions

no.	condition	comment	$R_{\rm ct}$	slope $(\mu M)/(M)$ $(s))^a$	change in bromate formation b (%)	reference condition
	Lake Zurich water (unaltered)	reference for low R_{ct}	3.7×10^{-9}	29.5 ± 6.8		
2	Lake Zurich water + 10 μ M formate	reference for high R_{ct}	7.4×10^{-9}	33.8 ± 3.9	$+15$	
3	Lake Zurich water + 4 μ M NH ₄ ⁺	$NH4+$ as HOBr quencher	4.3×10^{-9}	18.9 ± 0.8	-36	
$\overline{4}$	Lake Zurich water + 7 μ M NH ₂ Cl	NH ₂ Cl as HOBr/Br [•] quencher	5.6×10^{-9}	11.8 ± 1.1	-60	
5	Lake Zurich water + 15 μ M NH ₂ Cl	NH ₂ Cl as HOBr/Br [•] quencher	9.9×10^{-9}	$7.9 + 0.7$	-73	
					-77	
					-58	
$a_{\alpha1}$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}$, and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}$, and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}$, and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}$			$c = 1 - 1$	$\sqrt{2}$

²Slopes were obtained from linear regression of a bromate concentration plot as a function of ozone exposure for the initial phase (Figure 4b). "Slopes were obtained from linear regression of a bromate concentration plot as a function of ozone exposure for the initial phase (Figure 4b).
"Changes in bromate formation were obtained by comparing the slope of a condit column.

plotting f(Br• +NH2Cl) as a function of bromide, ozone, or DOC concentration. [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S19 shows that $f(Br^{\bullet} + NH_2Cl)$ remains almost constant throughout the range of bromide and ozone concentrations, whereas it changes as a function of the DOC concentration.

The Br $^{\bullet}$ quenching effect by NH₂Cl was further assessed experimentally by measuring bromate formation during ozonation in presence or absence of $NH₂Cl$ in a lake water. Figure 4 shows the bromate formation during ozonation of Lake Zurich water containing 1.4 mgC/L DOC, spiked with 2 μ M of bromide, with a 60 μ M ozone dose at pH 7.6 as a function of the ozone exposure (black four pointed stars). Additional experiments are shown for addition of $NH₂Cl$ (green squares (7 *μ*M), red triangles (15 *μ*M)), formate (orange circles), or ammonium (blue diamonds) to Lake Zurich water. Fifteen μM NH₂Cl theoretically quenches 66% of Br[•], based on the calculated $f(Br^{\bullet} + NH_2Cl)$, shown by the red asterisk in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S19. Oxidant exposures (ozone and • OH) of the different conditions were assessed by measuring $R_{\rm ct}$, the ratio of $^{\bullet} \rm OH$ exposure to ozone exposure.³⁵ The corresponding R_{ct} values for each condition are provided in Table 2 and [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S20a and the ozone decay curves are shown

in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf) S20b. To compare bromate formation among the different conditions, slopes of the bromate formation curves were obtained by linear regression of the initial formation (Figure 4b). The reduction in bromate formation of a quenching condition was calculated by comparing the slope of a quenching condition with the slope of a reference condition (Table 2). The addition of 7 μ M NH₂Cl reduced bromate by 60% compared to the unaltered Lake Zurich water. Doubling the NH₂Cl concentration to 15 μ M enhanced the reduction of bromate formation to 73%. However, for 15 *μ*M NH₂Cl, the *R*_{ct} increased from 5.6 \times 10⁻⁹ to 9.9 \times 10⁻⁹ (Table 2), due to additional consumption of ozone and • OH by NH₂Cl ($k_{\text{Q}3} = 26 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{\bullet\text{OH}} = 5.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ or 5.7 \times 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹).^{[19](#page-9-0)[,42](#page-10-0),[43](#page-10-0)} To mimic this change in $R_{\rm ct}$ another reference condition was tested by using 10 *μ*M formate, which promotes ozone decay by forming O_2 ^{•–} and thereby increases $R_{\rm ct}$ ^{[63](#page-10-0)} The $R_{\rm ct}$ of the new reference condition with formate was 7.4 × 10^{-9} , very close to the 15 μ M NH₂Cl condition. Based on this comparison, the bromate mitigation for 15 μ M NH₂Cl was updated to 77% relative to the formate addition. The bromate formation of the reference condition with formate was augmented by 15% relative to the unaltered Lake Zurich water. This can be explained by the higher R_{ct} in the presence of

formate (similar to 15 μ M NH₂Cl), indicating higher $^{\bullet}$ OH exposure at a given ozone exposure, resulting in higher overall oxidant exposure and bromate. In contrast, an increase in R_{ct} from 7 μ M to 15 μ M NH₂Cl suppressed the bromate formation, in agreement with the Br• quenching effect by $NH₂Cl.$

In addition to the NH₂Cl experiment, ammonium $(\mathrm{NH_4}^+)$ was tested to further confirm the effect of quenching Br• / HOBr on bromate formation. $NH₂Cl$ quenches HOBr as well as Br[•] ($k_{\text{Br}^{\bullet}} = 4.4 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ([Table](#page-3-0) 1) and $k_{\text{HOBr}} = 2.8 \times$ 10^5 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at pH 7),^{4,[62](#page-10-0)} NH₄⁺/NH₃ only quenches HOBr $(k_{\text{Br}}$ • ≪ 9.7 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, assumed based on $k_{\bullet\text{OH}}$ of NH₃ (generally more reactive species than NH₄^{+)[64](#page-10-0)} and $k_{\text{HOBr}} \sim 10^6$ M^{-1} s⁻¹ at pH 7–8).^{[4](#page-9-0)} An NH₄⁺ concentration of 4 μ M was selected to obtain a similar HOBr quenching rate as for 15 *μ*M $NH₂Cl$. The condition with 4 μ M NH₄⁺ (blue diamonds) leads to a reduction of bromate formation by 36%, mainly due to quenching of HOBr. Therefore, from the 73% bromate mitigation observed for 15 μM NH₂Cl, roughly similar contributions can be attributed to the quenching of HOBr and Br• , respectively. The reduction of bromate formation by 15 μ M NH₂Cl compared to by NH₄⁺ was 58% (by taking the NH4 ⁺ condition as reference) and occurred mainly during the initial phase of the ozonation [\(Figure](#page-7-0) 4b). During this phase, an enhanced Br• formation is expected from the reaction of bromide with • OH, which are formed in high concentrations during the initial phase of an ozonation.^{[29](#page-9-0)[,65](#page-10-0)} Therefore, quenching of Br^{\bullet} by NH₂Cl during the initial phase slows down bromate formation by reducing the transient Br• concentrations.

3.4. Practical Implications. The good correlation of the experimentally determined $k_{\text{Br}\bullet}$ with the molecular descriptors (Hammett constants and the computed $\Delta G^{\text{act}}_{\text{aq,SET}}$) for diverse functional groups (aromatics, amines, olefins, and $NH₂Cl$) enables a prediction of $k_{\text{Br} \bullet}$ for a wider range of compounds. As shown by the case of *p*-benzoquinone, some compounds react with Br[•] by different mechanisms than common aromatic rings (e.g., addition instead of electron transfer), which needs further investigation to improve prediction capability for such compounds. The predominant formation of hydroquinone (and subsequently *p*-benzoquinone) during the phenol-Br• reaction raises concern related to mutagenicity. Their formation is comparable to the phenol reaction with other oxidants (e.g., ozone, • OH) and likely to follow similar subsequent reactions to ring opening compounds. In addition to quinones, brominated phenol was identified during the phenol-Br• reaction but as a minor product only. Accordingly, only a small fraction of Br• will react with DOM to form Br-DBPs, which is likely to be outweighed by other sources of Br-DBP such as bromination of DOM by HOBr. During conventional ozonation, Br• plays a key role in bromate formation by its further reaction with ozone. According to our kinetic result, this reaction can be partially inhibited by the fast reaction of Br• with DOM. For a typical Swiss surface waters with the DOC concentration of ~1 mgC/L, the majority of Br[•] is quenched by DOM and the rest reacts with ozone. Despite the generally small fraction, the oxidation of Br• by ozone can lead to a substantial portion of formed bromate, especially during an initial phase of ozonation where • OH exposure is high and Br• becomes an important bromate precursor. This bromate formation pathway involving Br• can be suppressed by NH2Cl, according to our theoretical and experimental assessment. It was demonstrated that the majority of Br• can

be quenched by 15 *μ*M NH₂Cl for the condition with DOC concentrations on the order of 1−2 mgC/L. Accordingly, bromate reduction of 70−80% was achieved during ozonation of real Lake water even with the excess ozone dose applied in this study (corresponding to \sim 2 gO3/gC). About a half of the reduction was related to quenching of Br• and the other was linked to quenching of HOBr. Overall, $NH₂Cl$ can interfere in both the • OH (forming Br•) and ozone pathway (forming HOBr), serving as an efficient quenching agent throughout the course of ozonation. Additional benefits of the $NH₂Cl$ treatment over the chlorine-ammonia treatment prior to ozonation is mitigating the formation of chlorinated and brominated DBPs, because of a lower reactivity of $NH₂Cl$ with DOM and with Br[−]. The NH₂Cl pretreatment would be less efficient for waters with higher DOC concentrations (∼5 mg/ L) such as wastewater, but the fraction of Br• oxidized by ozone can be suppressed by a factor of 2, which results in a significant reduction in bromate. If the advanced oxidation processes UV/H₂O₂ is applied in Br⁻-containing water, Br⁻ can scavenge • OH to form Br• . However, even for wastewater featuring elevated Br[−] levels, DOM still quenches about 99% of the [•]OH (based on 1 μ M Br[−], 4 mgC/L DOC and k_{\bullet _{OH} = (2.2) \pm 0.8) × 10⁴ (mgC/L)⁻¹ s⁻¹ for DOM^{44-[46](#page-10-0)} and 1.1 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s^{-1} for Br[•] to Br[•]).^{[21](#page-9-0)} Because only a minor fraction of Br[•] leads to Br-DBPs, small changes are expected in the process performance.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694?goto=supporting-info).

Supplementary texts, figures, tables, and scheme to further describe the [materials](#page-2-0) and methods and corroborate results and discussion ([PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694/suppl_file/es2c07694_si_001.pdf)

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Urs von Gunten − *Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Duebendorf 8600, Switzerland; School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), E*́ *cole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne 1015, Switzerland*; Email: vongunten@eawag.ch

Authors

- Sungeun Lim − *Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Duebendorf 8600, Switzerland;* Present Address: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA 94305, United States
- Benjamin Barrios − *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, United States*
- Daisuke Minakata − *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3055-3880) [3055-3880](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3055-3880)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c07694?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (project no. 200021-181975). We thank Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) for access to the *γ*-radiolysis facility, Viktor Boutellier at PSI for technical support, Jakob Helbing at Zurich Water Supply for help in collecting Lake Zurich water, the AuA Laboratory at Eawag for analyses of general water quality parameters, Samuel Derrer at Eawag for chemical synthesis, and Elisabeth Muck at Eawag for laboratory support. B.B. and D.M. were partially supported by NSF CHM-1808052. D.M. acknowledges the support by Eawag during his sabbatical.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Soltermann, F.; Abegglen, C.; Götz, C.; von Gunten, U. [Bromide](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Sources and Loads in Swiss Surface Waters and Their [Relevance](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for Bromate Formation during [Wastewater](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ozonation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50* (18), 9825−9834.

(2) Flury, M.; Papritz, A. Bromide in the Natural [Environment:](https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1993.00472425002200040017x) [Occurrence](https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1993.00472425002200040017x) and Toxicity. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 1993, *22* (4), 747−758.

(3) von Gunten, U. Oxidation Processes in Water [Treatment:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00586?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Are We on [Track?](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00586?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52* (9), 5062−5075.

(4) Heeb, M. B.; Criquet, J.; Zimmermann-Steffens, S. G.; von Gunten, U. Oxidative Treatment of [Bromide-Containing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.030) Waters: [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.030) of Bromine and Its Reactions with Inorganic and Organic [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.030) � A Critical Review. *Water Res.* 2014, *48*, 15−42.

(5) Grebel, J. E.; Pignatello, J. J.; Mitch, W. A. Effect of [Halide](https://doi.org/10.1021/es1010225?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ions and Carbonates on Organic [Contaminant](https://doi.org/10.1021/es1010225?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Degradation by Hydroxyl [Radical-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/es1010225?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Advanced Oxidation Processes in Saline Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, *44* (17), 6822−6828.

(6) Lee, W.; Lee, Y.; Allard, S.; Ra, J.; Han, S.; Lee, Y. [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Kinetic [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the UV254 Photolysis of Chlorine and Bromine Species in Water and Formation of [Oxyhalides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02698?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54* (18), 11546−11555.

(7) von Gunten, U.; Oliveras, Y. Advanced [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es970477j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Bromide-Containing Waters: Bromate Formation [Mechanisms.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es970477j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1998, *32* (1), 63−70.

(8) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D.; Rav-Acha, C.; Groisman, L.; Popilevsky, I.; Juraev, O.; Glezer, V.; McKague, A. B.; Plewa, M. J.; Wagner, E. D. [Tribromopyrrole,](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030339w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Brominated Acids, and Other [Disinfection](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030339w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Byproducts Produced by Disinfection of Drinking Water Rich in [Bromide.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es030339w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, *37* (17), 3782−3793.

(9) Langsa, M.; Heitz, A.; Joll, C. A.; von Gunten, U.; Allard, S. [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00691?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Aspects of the Formation of Adsorbable Organic Bromine during Chlorination of [Bromide-Containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00691?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Synthetic [Waters.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00691?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51* (9), 5146−5155.

(10) Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Yu, Y.; Li, K.; Li, Z.; Cheng, S.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. Rate Constants and [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02313?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for Reactions of Bromine Radicals with Trace Organic [Contaminants.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02313?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *55* (15), 10502−10513.

(11) Cheng, S.; Zhang, X.; Yang, X.; Shang, C.; Song, W.; Fang, J.; Pan, Y. The Multiple Role of Bromide Ion in PPCPs [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03268?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) under [UV/Chlorine](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03268?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52* (4), 1806−1816.

(12) Guo, K.; Zheng, S.; Zhang, X.; Zhao, L.; Ji, S.; Chen, C.; Wu, Z.; Wang, D.; Fang, J. Roles of Bromine Radicals and [Hydroxyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00723?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Radicals in the Degradation of [Micropollutants](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00723?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by the UV/Bromine [Process.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00723?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54* (10), 6415−6426.

(13) Parker, K. M.; Mitch, W. A. Halogen Radicals [Contribute](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602595113) to [Photooxidation](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602595113) in Coastal and Estuarine Waters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2016, *113* (21), 5868−5873.

(14) Parker, K. M.; Reichwaldt, E. S.; Ghadouani, A.; Mitch, W. A. Halogen Radicals Promote the [Photodegradation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01801?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Microcystins in [Estuarine](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01801?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50* (16), 8505−8513.

(15) von Gunten, U.; Hoigne, J. Bromate [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00056a009?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) during Ozonization of [Bromide-Containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00056a009?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Waters: Interaction of Ozone and Hydroxyl Radical [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00056a009?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1994, *28* (7), 1234−1242.

(16) *National Primary Drinking Water Regulations*. U.S. EPA. [https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national](https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national-primary-drinking-water-regulations)[primary-drinking-water-regulations](https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national-primary-drinking-water-regulations) (accessed 2022-09-05).

(17) EU. Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption (Recast). *Official Journal of the European Union* 2020, *L435*, 1−62.

(18) von Sonntag, C.; von Gunten, U. *Chemistry of Ozone in Water and Wastewater Treatment*; IWA Publishing, 2012.

(19) Haag, W. R.; Hoigné, J. Ozonation of [Bromide-Containing](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00111a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Waters: Kinetics of Formation of [Hypobromous](https://doi.org/10.1021/es00111a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Acid and Bromate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1983, *17* (5), 261−267.

(20) Liu, Q.; Schurter, L. M.; Muller, C. E.; Aloisio, S.; Francisco, J. S.; Margerum, D. W. Kinetics and [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic000919j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Aqueous Ozone Reactions with Bromide, Sulfite, [Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic000919j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Sulfite, Iodide, and Nitrite [Ions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic000919j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, *40* (17), 4436−4442.

(21) Zehavi, D.; Rabani, J. [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100647a006?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Aqueous Bromide Ions by Hydroxyl Radicals. Pulse Radiolytic [Investigation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100647a006?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem.* 1972, *76* (3), 312−319.

(22) Kläning, U. K.; Wolff, T. Laser Flash [Photolysis](https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19850890309) of HCIO, CIO-, HBrO, and BrO- in Aqueous Solution. [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19850890309) of Cl- and Br-[Atoms.](https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19850890309) *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft fu*̈*r physikalische Chemie* 1985, *89* (3), 243−245.

(23) Merényi, G.; Lind, J. Reaction [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00096a050?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Hydrogen [Abstraction](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00096a050?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by the Bromine Atom in Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, *116* (17), 7872−7876.

(24) Scaiano, J. C.; Barra, M.; Krzywinski, M.; Sinta, R.; Calabrese, G. Laser Flash Photolysis [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00071a048?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Absolute Rate Constants for [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00071a048?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Bromine Atoms in Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, *115* (18), 8340−8344.

(25) Guha, S. N.; Schoneich, C.; Asmus, K. D. Free [Radical](https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1993.1402) Reductive Degradation of [Vic-Dibromoalkanes](https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1993.1402) and Reaction of Bromine Atoms with [Polyunsaturated](https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1993.1402) Fatty Acids: Possible Involvement of Br. in the [1,2-Dibromoethane-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1993.1402) Lipid-[Peroxidation.](https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1993.1402) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1993, *305* (1), 132−140.

(26) Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Westerhoff, P.; Tong, X.; Ren, J.; Zhou, Y.; Cheng, S.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. [Bromine](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c00549?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Radical (Br• and Br2•-) Reactivity with Dissolved Organic Matter and [Brominated](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c00549?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Organic Byproduct [Formation.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c00549?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *56* (8), 5189− 5199.

(27) Pearce, R.; Hogard, S.; Buehlmann, P.; Salazar-Benites, G.; Wilson, C.; Bott, C. Evaluation of Preformed [Monochloramine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118049) for Bromate Control in [Ozonation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118049) for Potable Reuse. *Water Res.* 2022, *211*, 118049.

(28) Ling, L.; Deng, Z.; Fang, J.; Shang, C. [Bromate](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123447) Control during Ozonation by Ammonia-Chlorine and [Chlorine-Ammonia](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123447) Pretreatment: Roles of [Bromine-Containing](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123447) Haloamines. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 2020, *389*, 123447.

(29) Pinkernell, U.; von Gunten, U. Bromate [Minimization](https://doi.org/10.1021/es001502f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) during Ozonation: Mechanistic [Considerations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es001502f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2001, *35* (12), 2525−2531.

(30) Luh, J.; Mariñas, B. J. Kinetics of [Bromochloramine](https://doi.org/10.1021/es4036754?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Formation and [Decomposition.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es4036754?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48* (5), 2843−2852.

(31) Lal, M.; Mahal, H. S. Reactions of [Alkylbromides](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(92)90134-2) with Free Radicals in Aqueous [Solutions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(92)90134-2) *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* 1992, *40* (1), 23−26.

(32) Lal, M.; Mönig, J.; Asmus, K.-D.; Wardman, P. Free [Radical](https://doi.org/10.3109/10715768609051633) Induced Degradation of 1, [2-Dibromoethane.](https://doi.org/10.3109/10715768609051633) Generation of Free Br+ [Atoms.](https://doi.org/10.3109/10715768609051633) *Free Radical Res. Commun.* 1986, *1* (4), 235−241.

(33) Schymanski, E. L.; Jeon, J.; Gulde, R.; Fenner, K.; Ruff, M.; Singer, H. P.; Hollender, J. [Identifying](https://doi.org/10.1021/es5002105?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Small Molecules via High Resolution Mass Spectrometry: [Communicating](https://doi.org/10.1021/es5002105?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Confidence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48* (4), 2097−2098.

(34) Bader, H.; Hoigné, J. [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(81)90054-3) of Ozone in Water by the Indigo [Method.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(81)90054-3) *Water Res.* 1981, *15* (4), 449−456.

(35) Elovitz, M. S.; von Gunten, U. Hydroxyl [Radical/Ozone](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519908547239) Ratios During [Ozonation](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519908547239) Processes. I. The Rct Concept. *Ozone: Science & Engineering* 1999, *21* (3), 239−260.

(36) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 Suite of Density [Functionals](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-007-0310-x) for Main Group [Thermochemistry,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-007-0310-x) Thermochemical Kinetics, [Noncovalent](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-007-0310-x) Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New [Functionals](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-007-0310-x) and Systematic Testing of Four M06-Class Functionals and 12 Other [Functionals.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-007-0310-x) *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2008, *120* (1), 215−241.

(37) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. [Universal](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810292n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Solvation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810292n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Model Based on Solute Electron Density and on a [Continuum](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810292n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface [Tensions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810292n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2009, *113* (18), 6378−6396.

(38) Bryantsev, V. S.; Diallo, M. S.; Goddard, W. A., III [Calculation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802665d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Solvation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802665d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Free Energies of Charged Solutes Using Mixed Cluster/ [Continuum](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802665d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Models. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2008, *112* (32), 9709−9719.

(39) Marcus, R. A. Chemical and Electrochemical [Electron-Transfer](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.15.100164.001103) [Theory.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.15.100164.001103) *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 1964, *15* (1), 155−196.

(40) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A Survey of [Hammett](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00002a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field [Parameters.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00002a004?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 1991, *91* (2), 165−195.

(41) Lee, Y.; von Gunten, U. Quantitative [Structure-Activity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.006) Relationships (QSARs) for the [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.006) of Organic Micropollutants during Oxidative Water [Treatment.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.006) *Water Res.* 2012, *46* (19), 6177−6195.

(42) Poskrebyshev, G. A.; Huie, R. E.; Neta, P. [Radiolytic](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp030198k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reactions of [Monochloramine](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp030198k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Aqueous Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2003, *107* (38), 7423−7428.

(43) Gleason, J. M.; McKay, G.; Ishida, K. P.; Mezyk, S. P. [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.08.053) Dependence of Hydroxyl Radical Reactions with [Chloramine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.08.053) Species in Aqueous Solution. *Chemosphere* 2017, *187*, 123−129.

(44) Brezonik, P. L.; Fulkerson-Brekken, J. [Nitrate-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9802908?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Photolysis in Natural Waters: Controls on [Concentrations](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9802908?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Hydroxyl Radical [Photo-Intermediates](https://doi.org/10.1021/es9802908?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Natural Scavenging Agents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1998, *32* (19), 3004−3010.

(45) Katsoyiannis, I. A.; Canonica, S.; von Gunten, U. [Efficiency](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.04.038) and Energy Requirements for the [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.04.038) of Organic Micropollutants by Ozone, O3/H2O2 and [UV/H2O2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.04.038) *Water Res.* 2011, *45* (13), 3811−3822.

(46) Westerhoff, P.; Mezyk, S. P.; Cooper, W. J.; Minakata, D. Electron Pulse Radiolysis [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1021/es062529n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Hydroxyl Radical Rate Constants with [Suwannee](https://doi.org/10.1021/es062529n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) River Fulvic Acid and Other Dissolved Organic Matter [Isolates.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es062529n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2007, *41* (13), 4640− 4646.

(47) Houska, J.; Salhi, E.; Walpen, N.; von Gunten, U. [Oxidant-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117790)Reactive [Carbonous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117790) Moieties in Dissolved Organic Matter: Selective [Quantification](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117790) by Oxidative Titration Using Chlorine Dioxide and [Ozone.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117790) *Water Res.* 2021, *207*, 117790.

(48) Lim, S.; Shi, J. L.; von Gunten, U.; McCurry, D. L. [Ozonation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118053) of Organic [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118053) in Water and Wastewater: A Critical Review. *Water Res.* 2022, *213*, 118053.

(49) Ö nnby, L.; Salhi, E.; McKay, G.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L.; von Gunten, U. Ozone and Chlorine [Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.059) with Dissolved Organic Matter - Assessment of [Oxidant-Reactive](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.059) Moieties by Optical [Measurements](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.059) and the Electron Donating Capacities. *Water Res.* 2018, *144*, 64−75.

(50) Rougé, V.; von Gunten, U.; Allard, S. [Efficiency](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116418) of Pre-Oxidation of Natural Organic Matter for the [Mitigation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116418) of [Disinfection](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116418) Byproducts: Electron Donating Capacity and UV [Absorbance](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116418) as Surrogate Parameters. *Water Res.* 2020, *187*, 116418. (51) Ramseier, M. K.; von Gunten, U. [Mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510902740477) of Phenol [Ozonation](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510902740477)-Kinetics of Formation of Primary and Secondary Reaction [Products.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510902740477) *Ozone: Science & Engineering* 2009, *31* (3), 201−215.

(52) Schulze, T.; Meier, R.; Alygizakis, N.; Schymanski, E.; Bach, E.; Li, D. H.; Lauperbe; Raalizadeh; Tanaka, S.; Witting, M. *MassBank/ MassBank-Data: Release Version 2021.12*.

(53) Steenken, S.; Neta, P. Transient Phenoxyl Radicals: [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470857277.ch16) and [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470857277.ch16) in Aqueous Solutions. In *The Chemistry of Phenols*; John Wiley & Sons, 2003; pp 1107−1152.

(54) Zhang, K.; Parker, K. M. Halogen Radical [Oxidants](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02219?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Natural and [Engineered](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02219?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Aquatic Systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52* (17), 9579−9594.

(55) Liao, C.-C.; Peddinti, R. K. Masked [O-Benzoquinones](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar000194n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Organic [Synthesis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar000194n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2002, *35* (10), 856−866.

(56) Raghavan, N. V.; Steenken, S. [Electrophilic](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00530a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reaction of the Hydroxyl Radical with Phenol. [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00530a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Distribution of Isomeric [Dihydroxycyclohexadienyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00530a031?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Radicals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, *102* (10), 3495−3499.

(57) Alnaizy, R.; Akgerman, A. Advanced [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(00)00024-1) of Phenolic [Compounds.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(00)00024-1) *Advances in Environmental Research* 2000, *4* (3), 233− 244.

(58) Zazo, J. A.; Casas, J. A.; Mohedano, A. F.; Gilarranz, M. A.; Rodríguez, J. J. Chemical Pathway and Kinetics of Phenol [Oxidation](https://doi.org/10.1021/es050452h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by [Fenton's](https://doi.org/10.1021/es050452h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Reagent. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, *39* (23), 9295− 9302.

(59) Mvula, E.; von Sonntag, C. [Ozonolysis](https://doi.org/10.1039/b301824p) of Phenols in Aqueous [Solution.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b301824p) *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2003, *1* (10), 1749−1756.

(60) Prasse, C.; von Gunten, U.; Sedlak, D. L. [Chlorination](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04926?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Phenols Revisited: Unexpected Formation of *α*,*β*[-Unsaturated](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04926?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) C4- [Dicarbonyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04926?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ring Cleavage Products. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, *54* (2), 826−834.

(61) Prasse, C.; Ford, B.; Nomura, D. K.; Sedlak, D. L. [Unexpected](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715821115) [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715821115) of Dissolved Phenols to Toxic Dicarbonyls by [Hydroxyl](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715821115) Radicals and UV Light. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2018, *115* (10), 2311−2316.

(62) Gazda, M.; Margerum, D. W. Reactions of [Monochloramine](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00079a022?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Bromine, Tribromide, [Hypobromous](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00079a022?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Acid and Hypobromite: Formation of [Bromochloramines.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00079a022?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33* (1), 118− 123.

(63) Reisz, E.; Fischbacher, A.; Naumov, S.; von Sonntag, C.; Schmidt, T. C. Hydride Transfer: A [Dominating](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2014.891436) Reaction of Ozone with Tertiary Butanol and Formate Ion in Aqueous [Solution.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2014.891436) *Ozone-Sci. Eng.* 2014, *36* (6), 532−539.

(64) Hickel, B.; Sehested, K. Reaction of [Hydroxyl](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(92)90244-A) Radicals with Ammonia in Liquid Water at Elevated [Temperatures.](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-0197(92)90244-A) *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part C. Radiation Physics and Chemistry* 1992, *39* (4), 355−357.

(65) Buffle, M.-O.; Schumacher, J.; Salhi, E.; Jekel, M.; von Gunten, U. Measurement of the Initial Phase of Ozone [Decomposition](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.02.026) in Water and Wastewater by Means of a Continuous [Quench-Flow](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.02.026) System: Application to Disinfection and [Pharmaceutical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.02.026) Oxidation. *Water Res.* 2006, *40* (9), 1884−1894.