# **Pace University**

# DigitalCommons@Pace

The Henry George Research Program

Lubin School of Business

3-1-1985

# Henry George and labor unions.

Frank C. Genovese

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pace.edu/hgrp

#### **Recommended Citation**

Genovese, Frank C., "Henry George and labor unions." (1985). *The Henry George Research Program.* 4. https://digitalcommons.pace.edu/hgrp/4

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Lubin School of Business at DigitalCommons@Pace. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Henry George Research Program by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Pace. For more information, please contact nmcguire@pace.edu.

# THE HENRY GEORGE RESEARCH PROGRAM

MONOGRAPH

No. 5 March 1985

**HENRY GEORGE AND LABOR UNIONS** 

by Frank C. Genovese, Ph.D. Professor of Economics Babson College Wellesley, Massachusetts

in Business, Economics and Taxation

THE LUBIN SCHOOLS OF BUSINESS





PAGE UNIVERSITY

11 500h . 7363 110.5



# HENRY GEORGE AND LABOR UNIONS

by

Frank C. Genovese, Ph.D.

HF 5006 .P365 no.5

Genovese, Frank C.

Henry George and the labor unions

# PACE UNIVERSITY MORTOLA LIBRARY Pleasantville, N.Y. 10570 Telephone (914) 773-3380

#### TO THE BORROWER:

The use of this book is governed by rules established in the broad interest of the university community. It is your responsibility to know these rules: Please inquire at the circulation desk.

Dr. Frank C. Genovese is Professor of Economics at Babson College in Wellesley, Massachusetts. He was the Dean of the Graduate School and founded the Evening MBA Program and the School of Continuing Management Education at Babson. He has served as Advisor to the Central Bank of Jordan and authored many articles and books.

## Henry George's Relationship to Labor Unions

The aim of these monographs is to assess the applicability of Henry George's thoughts to the world of the present and the future. The question thus expands into several questions: Are his ideas applicable as he stated them in the last century? Do some or all of them need some modification and if they do, what modification? How might they (perhaps as amended) be better promulgated and implemented? Are there particular publics, or groups, to which they should be particularly appealing?

It was towards this last point that my interest was particularly attracted. George dealt intimately with the labor leaders of his day. He had close associations with Terence V. Powderly, the head of the Knights of Labor, and Samuel Gompers, who came to lead the American Federation of Labor. His audiences and readers were far too numerous to include only leaders of thought about the world, although the list of such persons whom he influenced is substantial. The audience was mainly the ordinary people, certainly not the landlords of his day. It was these people whom he sought to help. Although they were not all members of labor organizations, it was organized labor that in the main sought him as their candidate for Mayor of New York in 1886 and furnished his main support in this endeavor.

His was a mass appeal elicited by statements such as:

Give labor a free field and its full earnings; take for the benefit of the whole community that fund which the growth of the community creates, and want and fear of want would be gone. The springs of production would be set free, and the enormous increase of wealth would give the poorest ample comfort.

It is clear that George was concerned with the miserable condition of labor, organized and unorganized, but he felt the remedy was in his proposal to tax away land and other income rather than in trade unionism. Indeed, while sympathetic to the goals of the unions, he tended to associate them with socialism and protectionism, both of which he felt were inimical to the free functioning of a democratic and competitive order. He regarded as laudable, however, the aim of many socialists to alleviate social ills, the most important of which was poverty.

In Progress and Poverty, George went to some pains to defeat the notions of Malthusianism and the wages-fund doctrine but, under the heading of "Insufficiency of Remedies Currently Advocated," he explicitly dismissed the "remedy from combinations of workmen for the advance of wages." He did, however, accept the idea that, once rent of land emerged, wages were set (at the margin) "at which the poorest paid class will be just able to live and reproduce, and thus wages are forced to a minimum fixed by what is called the standard of comfort -- that is, the amount of necessaries and comforts which habit leads the working classes to demand as the lowest on which they will consent to maintain their numbers." One might wonder why the wage would be sufficient to maintain their numbers but, to leave this aside, it is worthwhile here to point out that this notion of conventional standards of living was the basis for his position on Chinese immigration. He stated, "If, under existing conditions, American mechanics would come down to the Chinese standard of living, they would ultimately have to come down to the Chinese standard of waqes."2

George insisted that political economy demonstrated that if combinations were possible, wages could be raised "and this not at the expense of other workmen . . . nor yet at the expense of capital." Other conclusions, he said, "are ideas that spring from the erroneous notion that wages are drawn from capital." But, and it is an important "but," he strongly argued that such combinations were not possible; "so great are the difficulties in the way of effective combinations of laborers, that the good that can be accomplished by them is extremely limited, while there are inherent disadvantages in the process."

He felt that the union effort was fundamentally directed against capital, which would be its ally against the landlord. Furthermore, he felt the ability of landlords to combine and hold out in a strike exceeded such ability by capital and by labor. He also understood that strikes were "necessarily destructive" and argued that to be effective in a strike a union must be "tyrannical." Thus, despite, one is convinced, his sincere statement, "I speak without prejudice, for I am still an honorary member of the union which, while working at my trade, I always loyally supported," it is hard to assume union members and leaders would find any ringing endorsement for unionism in his statement:

These combinations are, therefore, necessarily destructive of the very things which workmen seek to gain through them -- wealth and freedom.

The foregoing brief review of George's views on wages and unionism was presented so that the rest of this paper may be made more applicable to today's issues.

The "practical idealism" of George, to use Dewey's phrase, was always a cry for legislative action and, in the United States, for a constitutional amendment. Local governments, state legislatures, and central governments would be required to act if the single-tax idea was to be implemented. In democratic countries the idea had to be introduced through the political process so that legislative action could be taken.<sup>6</sup>

And George had direct involvement with the political process. As a reporter and editor he covered and commented upon political questions, tariff, immigration, the Australian ballot, etc. The newspaper he started in 1879 was called The State. was involved personally, as a correspondent, with the troubles in Ireland. While in California he held a political appointment as Inspector of Gas Meters. He also was a delegate to the convention to amend the California state constitution. Nordhoff asked him to run for Congress in 1883. Twice he ran for Mayor of New York City and once for Secretary of State of New York. On the basis of incomplete information, he even wrote a "law and order" piece concerning the Haymarket riots. occurred when he was in the thick of attempting to build a permanent party and, thus, served to alienate some erstwhile supporters. On the other hand, it may have reassured others who worried about the possibility that his movement was anarchistic. Obviously, he was no stranger to politics.

And yet, when he was swept up into the promising race for mayor in 1886, and in the later attempts to form a durable party, he may have lost an opportunity given to few people. The significance of this opportunity is indicated in the final vote

tally (in which George belived he was counted out). Hewitt received 91,215, George 68,242, and Roosevelt 60,597.

In his classic book, Professor Perlman set forth the thesis that when labor is relatively free it tends to select its own leaders from its own ranks and to concentrate upon workday matters of immediate concern, e.g., wages, hours, safety, and the like. But when labor is not free to organize and bargain for its betterment, it tends to become radicalized and to be led by intellectuals from outside its ranks who seek to capture or overthrow government. In the one case, the workers tend to form open organizations, while in the other the organizations tend to be clandestine.

Henry George was the one intellectual whose influence on the labor movement, though short-lived, can be at all described in European terms. When he ran for mayor of New York . . . he may be said to have held the labor movement in the palm of his hand. But he never really understood trade unionism which to him was altogether 'narrow' and a mere palliative.

While the U.S. unions did not have to fight free from intellectuals as was done in Europe, they had a problem in overcoming both the abundance of opportunity concept and a tendency toward individualism. There was a strong inclination to attibute the problems which existed as the outcome of "monopoly." Since he naturally looked to a political solution, George sought to use his selection as the candidate of the unions in 1886 as the basis for advancing his own program, to the exclusion of theirs. Perlman comments with some sympathy for George:

Henry George's philosophy never was official philosophy of the American labor movement, except during a brief episode in the eighties, and even then mostly by the sheerest of accidents. But no other American 'anti-monopoly' philosophy was so fortunate as to have for chief expounder a person with a theoretical acumen and gapacity for lucid statement of Henry George.

This "most representative ideologist" had started his career as a printer but, while he supported many labor causes, he was not a product of the unions. Indeed, he was an employer. Peter Alexander Speeks, in his seminal monograph, points out that:

Although he was for many years a wage-earner . . . (George) never considered himself as belonging to the wage-earner class, of the existence of which as a feature of our industrial system he seemed unconscious. To be a wage-earner seemed to him, and perhaps to the majority of the American wage-earners of that time, only a temporary necessity, a stepping stone towards an opportunity to start an independent enterprise which would lead to fortune. 10

What was the "sheerest of accidents" that delivered labor into "the palm of his hand" in 1886? Some history must be reviewed to answer this question. A panic in 1873 lead to five years of very depressed economic conditions. In 1887 Reconstruction ended and troops put down railroad strikes.

But the strikes of 1877 and the butality with which they were crushed produced a determination in the labor movement to elect officials who would defend the workers' rights. These parties soon formed an alliance with the Greenbackers, and early in 1878 the National Greenback-Labor party was launched. Blaming the depression on legislative dictation by the moneylenders, bankers, and bondholders, the party's platform emphasized currency reform, but also demanded legislaton to reduce the hours of labor, the establishment of state bureaus of labor statistics, the prohibition of convict

labor, and the end to the importation of 'servile labor."11

While workers all over the country had great cause for discontent because of unemployment and had tried political action, it was events abroad which precipitated their formation of a strong organization in New York City. The events abroad concerned the maltreatment of Irish peasants. Terrorism arose in Ireland in 1882, following their eviction from the land by English landlords. The Central Labor Union (CLU) sprang up out of a sympathy meeting in 1881 for the Irish tenants. Henry George was one of the "popular speakers" at this meeting. 12

The CLU had real purpose and impressive numbers. It attempted to settle jurisdictional battles between unions and to arbitrate labor-management disputes and to help striking unions where it had sanctioned the strike. It tolerated all philosophies that had sympathy for labor and its causes. One hundred and twenty unions were members by July 1886. In that year its constituent organizations probably embraced about 50,000 members. 13

An important part of George's support came from the Knights of Labor (K of L). They had been set up as a secret organization in December 1869 in Philadelphia by Uriah S. Stephens. After the abandonment of secrecy in 1878, they grew rapidly to 50,000. The depression of 1883 increased their numbers, and in 1886, they had nearly 700,000 members nationally with perhaps 68,000 in New York City.

But then there was a sudden political success in Milwaukee, although the Greenback movement had almost disappeared by 1880.

The success followed economic disturbances of 1886, some disastrous strikes, convictions of union members, hostile labor legislation, the Haymarket bombing, and public fears that the K of L and the unions were anarchists. With many non-unionists included within the K of L membership, the situation arose that they only could use the polls for their economic betterment. 14

Since the socialists were an important part of the membership, the CLU issued a radical declaration that remained unchanged until the end of the 1880s. It said in part:

... there can be no harmony between capital and labour under the present industrial system, for the simple reason that capital, in its modern character, consists very largely of rent, interest, and profits wrongfully extorted from the producer. 15

Although labor's political efforts in 1882 failed, the boycotts they subsequently tried were successful. They faced the question: Should they try to gain and hold the balance of power or form their own party? While 1882 was a bad business year, the 1886 Haymarket bombing had hurt their eight-hour-day campaign.

But, in response to their successes, the unions were being attacked by police and their pickets jailed for conspiracy. Extortion was charged in connection with the boycotting and picketing related to the Theiss Dance Hall case and several pickets were jailed as felons. Included was George Harris, who later became a vice president of the soon to be formed American Federation of Labor. Judge Barrett sentenced the boycotters on July 2, 1886. This set off a swift parade of events.

Delegates from several unions and the Socialist Labor Party called a mass meeting on July 7th at Cooper Union, where a strong

sentiment for political action emerged. Another meeting was called and the Central Labor Union became involved. At a meeting on July 11th, a motion was passed to plan the establishment of an independent Labor Party and a newspaper. Delegates were selected to attend an August 5th conference at Clarendon Hall.

The organization talked to all groups. It included unions, the K of L, Greenbackers, Socialist-Labor, Land-Reformers, and Anti-Monopolist. The socialists were prominent in these efforts. The conference on August 5th included 402 delegates from 165 labor organizations with a membership of 50,000. They voted overwhelmingly "yes" to forming a political party.

Another meeting of the conference on August 19th of 508 delegates, from 115 trade organizations, was held for the purpose of forming the Independent Labor Party. A platform was outlined:

. . . the 'free soil' idea was advocated; a demand was made that the laborers should share in the products of labor. Among other things asked for were a law forbidding the employment of children under fourteen years of age, the enforcement of the eight hour law, the abolition of the convict labor system, equal pay for equal work, the repeal of the conspiracy and tramp laws, a law declaring speculation in food products a criminal act; the abolition of the property qualification for jurors, and the abolition of tenement-house cigarmaking. 16

George was asked on August 20th if he would accept the party's nomination to run for Mayor of New York and at an August 26th meeting of the conference his reply was read. George, knowingly or unknowingly, had made a masterful political stroke in specifying that he would only accept the nomination if 30,000 people would sign a document assuring him of their support. This gave the organizers an immediate task and brought attention to

his candidacy. If the signatures were secured the new party would be a force to be reckoned with.

On September 2nd, a speech in his favor was enthusiastically received and \$1 was assessed from each delegate and 25 cents from each labor union member.

The next meeting of the conference on September 23rd at Clarendon Hall nominated George for mayor and accepted the platform as rewritten by him with the consultation of the committee on the platform of the conference. Its main plank was the single tax, but certain labor demands of labor unions, and the K of L, and other labor organizations were included. The Socialists accepted the labor demands and confiscation of public utilities and land value. The Greenbackers agreed to its tone. It was a complete platform.

The fact that there was great general dissatisfaction with governmental corruption furnished the labor movement with additional supporters and backers. On October 1st radical members of the middle class met at Chickering Hall and endorsed George. Some 2300 people were present. There was a great deal of irritation with Tammany Hall and its corruption. In addition, a splinter group of anti-Tammany Democrats, The Irving Hall Democrats also supported George.

At the formal acceptance by George, which occurred on October 5th at Cooper Union, some 34,000 signatures had been collected and more were still coming in. The CLU held the first Labor Day on the first Monday in September. George reviewed the parade.

Besides union contributions, funds were obtained from gifts and by passing the hat and from friends of George such as Thomas G. Shearman and Tom L. Johnson. A daily newspaper, The Leader, was created with labor-union contributions. It had 30 to 50 thousand circulation. He received support from the Irish World and Volkszeitung, both lacking the influence of several other dailies which supported the Democrats and Republicans, however. These attacked the movement with phony charges of anarchism and ignored George's denials. Actually, an anarchists paper rejected him.

The Democrats were so frightened by George as the Labor candidate, that the Tammany and non-Tammany factions got together under the term "United Democracy" and choose a candidate from the non-Tammany group, Abram S. Hewitt, a congressman. They invited the Republicans to join them against George. They also sought to secure his withdrawal by offering him a congressional seat.

Hewitt (of Hewitt & Company, an iron manufacturer) in his acceptance speech said, "It behooves the people of ths (sic) city to pass sentence of condemnation in no uncertain tones upon the effort to array class against class and to unsettle the foundations upon which its business and security rest."17

Theodore Roosevelt, the Republican candidate, did not wage a vigorous campaign but spoke of the labor theories as "crude, vicious and un-American." He denied the existence of classes in America and that things were as bad for the workers as Labor claimed. Because of these anti-labor statements, George probably picked up 25,000 normally Republican votes.

The strong and important support for George lead by Father Edward McGlynn frightened the Democrats. So they sought the help of Thomas S. Preston, the Vicar-General. He stated in a widely circulated letter of October 25th that, "The great majority of the Catholic clergy in this city are opposed to the candidacy of Mr. George. They think his principles unsound and unsafe, and contrary to the teachings of the church. . . . His principles . . . would prove the ruin of the workingman he professes to befriend."

Election day was November 2nd. In a few short months, a campaign which could have won had been put together. While George's 68,000 against Hewitt's 90,000 and Roosevelt's 60,000 were remarkable in New York City, the campaign had national ramifications. The United Labor Party in Illinois elected one state senator and seven representatives. It also did well in Chicago and Cincinatti. 18

This "unique" campaign was largely a class campaign of Labor although it had some outside support from liberal professionals and reformers and a small number of radical merchants and manufacturers. It united peoples of widely different cultures and varying ideologies behind a popular leader and this in spite of the opposition of the Catholic Church.

On another basis the results were also impressive:

If one compares the spirit and purpose of the labor laws inacted in the previous four or five years with the spirit and the purpose enacted in 1887, one finds marked difference. While the former laws meant a 'grand legal roundup' of labor, the laws of 1887 were, though vaguely, directly to protect labor.

So, though Labor lost, the workers won some protection under the law.

During this time the strategic emphasis of the labor movement was shifting from the industrial-political involvement of the K of L to the craft-business unionism of the American Federation of Labor. Before long, this shift toward business unionism led the Carpenters and Joiners Union to close their hiring halls not only to non-members of the international union, but to non-members of the local union also.

Samuel Gompers, as head of the newly formed American Federation of Labor (A.F. of L.), perceived George's views that closed union shops were a form of protectionism as basically anti-labor, and he noted, "The political movement was in inception a trade union movement. It was inaugurated by trade unions and conducted by trade unions."<sup>20</sup>

And Gompers' basic hostility to political party involvement by unions, which rested on prior experience and, perhaps, a desire to make unions the be-all and end-all for worker aspiration, was again made clear in 1887 after the election when dissention arose between the single taxers and the Socialists.

I suggested then that both parties give over the campaign to the trade unions, for I believe then as now that no separate organization was necessary for labor to advance any phase of its interests.

His comments on Marx serve to corroborate his attitude. He said, ". . . . Marx did not beguile himself into thinking the ballot was all powerful."

On the basis of his experience he cited his concern:

The dissention that can be created by the introduction of partisan politics in a labor organization was demonstrated in the heated discussion that occurred on the proposal to endorse a policy of protection for the United States industries.

Gompers did not come to this conclusion regarding the uselessness and even danger to a union movement's involvement with political parties lightly. Beside the incident recounted above, he earlier had seen and voted for the Greenback party which ran presidential candidates in 1876, 1880, and 1884, and polled only 1 percent, 3.3 percent, and 1.7 percent of the popular vote, respectively. He was opposed to the formation of the Labor Party in New York City in 1886, but others pushed him to support it, which eventually he did energetically and loyally. He commented, however, that, "This curious determination to disregard experience prevalied."23

Gompers' own account of his involvement with the campaign is instructive.

The first time I actively participated in a political party contest was in the Henry George campaign. Going in under the direction of the trade union movement, I gave the best service of which I was capable. 24

Compers and Powderly, as experienced long-term labor leaders, recognized that when an overwhelming portion of their memberships wanted something, it behooved the leader, if he was to remain the leader, to strive for this objective regardless of his personal feelings. A leader may lead toward ends he selects but must also lead toward those selected by his constituency in order to remain head of that constituency. How unfortunate it is

that George was so unbending in his policy objectives and thus lost his case rather completely. Although the movement accomplished something for labor, the legislative concessions that followed in 1877 were less than generous and much less than what could have been attained had a strong political presence been established. Rather than being the laggard that it is in social progress, the United States might have been up with the leaders in the democratic world. Such an international posture might also have served to better enlighten our international policies.

In "Conclusions from Past Experimentation," from <u>History of Labor</u>, Selig Perlman and Philip Taft state that labor had learned that it was a minority and "under no circumstances could (it) afford to arouse the fears of the public for the safety of private property as a basic institution." It had also learned that it could form no successful political parties and then shun intellectuals who sought to lead it.<sup>25</sup>

#### After 1886

The A. F. of L., during its founding convention, which was held a few days after the election, proudly cited the remarkable near victory and stated that it was time labor united for political action. And it urged "generous support" to such efforts.

But Gompers did not give 'generous support,' or any support at all, to labor's independent political movement. . . . He was apprehensive of the intense interest that labor was showing in the new party, and wanted the whole campaign turned over to the trade unions, resenting any organization that

attempted to advance labor's interests in other ways or through other agencies. 26

And, true to his beliefs, Gompers never again urged political support for George even though they became good friends.

In spite of some desire to avoid splitting the movement and the continued support of the CLU, the socialists, understanding better than other elements George's relative indifference to the demands of labor, came to be separated from those who stayed with George. For his part George was quite uncompromising. Two rival parties and papers supporting each of them emerged. In the next campaign, in which George ran for Secretary of State, they both suffered. In this contest he polled only 37,000 votes in New York City and 72,000 statewide. The major issue again was the single tax, with only token attention to labor's demands. None of the five candidates who ran were wage-earners.

The single taxers and labor groups supported Henry George in his 1897 mayoralty campaign under the Jeffersonian Democratic banner in a three-way race; unfortunately, he did not live to see this campaign through. However, some of his supporters pushed on with the fervent aims of improving conditions for the people of the city and of clearing up political corruption. The Fusion ticket of Fiorello H. LaGuardia is one of their monuments.<sup>27</sup>

Georgists were prominent among the supporters of Theodore Roosevelt and his progressivism as expressed in the Bull Moose campaign against Taft. Woodrow Wilson put Georgists (William Jennings Bryan among them) in important positions in his

administration. So too did Franklin Delano Roosevelt, one of the best known and most influential of whom was Rexford Guy Tugwell.

It is hard to deal with the assertion that George did not understand the labor movement. Perhaps he ignored the trend toward self-help, which seems to be a characteristic it carried over as part of the evolution from the original idea of the craft obligations of the old quilds. Craftspeople had made and sold their own products and had pride in their craft. Masters could think in terms of individualism, even though, with the advent of the industrial system, there was a loss of status in becoming a In terms of their previous experience, rather directed worker. than remaining as artisans, they were becoming peasants. were being pushed into an inferior status. (My own ancestors had pride in being artisans rather than share-cropping farmers.) artisans they looked for leadership among their own ranks and rejected outsiders who they felt might wish to use them. was always the peasant suspicion, the eternal question of "what's in it for me?" Attitudes which were remants of a previous civilizaton may have supported the position advocated by Gompers.

George Geiger, in talking about the ends sought by socialists, including Marxists, felt they should not be "sworn enemies" and concluded that:

It would seem . . . that there is enough misery and oppression to engage all the efforts of social liberals and leave nothing to be dissipated in intramural wrangling. If, because of their differing concepts, the two movements can cooperate only in smaller details, anyway let there be cooperation. They can remain, at least, amicable antagonists; as George wrote, they can agree to disagree -- but disagree peacefully.

But George also wrote of his deep antagonism to communism and socialism. It is my firm belief, substantiated by much experience, that cooperation with communists is not in labor's best interest. The communists are considered to be untrustworthy allies who always attempt to take over labor movements for their own purposes. They are the kiss of death to free labor and its aspirations since any presence of communists leads the rest of society to resist all its legitimate complaints and to unite against it.

But there should be little reason for labor to reject outright any similarity of purpose with Georgists. Intellectuals of a Georgist stripe can be allies in improving the lot of the masses of the country. This cooperation would have to be based, however, not on any attempt to take over the labor movement, but upon seeking to add the land tax to their agenda.

It is in a similar vein that Geiger cited books of Norman Thomas as presenting a socialism which is "as 'American,' as 'democratic,' and as 'common sense' as any hard-headed capitalist could demand." But Thomas was a democratic socialist, not a Marxist. There is a difference.

I agree that, within reason, we should take our allies where we can find them and cooperate where we can. We should stress our commonalities rather than our differences. Progress must be a step-at-a-time. We must be cooperative, pragmatic, existential, inductive, and experimental. We must make friends and avoid making enemies. Rather than global "solutions" for all problems present, past, and future, we must concentrate on the

problems at hand. This, I hope, is an intelligent posture and I would suggest it for Georgists.

And so far as labor is concerned, let us not assume we have the "solution" to the "labor problem." George himself saw that the single tax would not solve all problems. He actively wrote about other matters of concern contemporary to his time, many of which are still in evidence. Thus, racial equality, equal rights, universal suffrage, free trade, and other matters concerned him. There are many labor problems now and there will be more in the future. There will be many ways to handle them which we do not now even contemplate. However, we should understand that the labor movement is representative of a great deal of the public.

Single tax proposals will not solve problems of noxious chemicals for the worker nor for the consumer. The apparent suppression of information concerning the dangers of asbestos is a very clear case in point. Also, in a Victorian age there was little attention paid to sexual harassment, which has finally been acknowledged as a problem in the workplace and is a situation which both society as a whole and labor as a major part of the society must curb.

Georgists today should not oppose labor generally, but should seek its cooperation where they can, and insert their ideas where they will be useful. They have made an excellent beginning in advancing their basic principles under the term "Incentive Taxation" to Republicans and Democrats alike. They can also secure the support of the labor movement, which will be

pleased with the employment opportunities implicit in its implementation.

There may be opportunities for some application of one of the basic propositions of George, which states that workers and capitalists were natural allies. The advent of worker-owned companies in the form of ESOP's, and the policy of codetermination of German industry, in which labor has representatives on the boards of corporations, may represent the wave or the future and, at least, the partial fulfillment of George's basic aim: to have progress abolish poverty rather than being its companion.

When terms such as "supply-side economics" and "industrial policy" and "re-industrialization" are in the air, opportunities to implement the ideas of Henry George are abundant. While the general conclusion of the parties to the 1886 campaign was that labor should stick to the economic field, single taxers to education, and the socialists to their own political party, this did not imply that single taxers should ignore labor in their educational pursuits.

# Third Party Movements

Geiger relates that when, late in the summer of 1886, the mayoral nomination was offered to George, "He did not consider the nomination for several weeks, but finally the opportunity to bring the land question before the public in an important election convinced him that his candidacy was a necessary method of propaganda." In a letter to a friend, George expressed this consideration, "If I do get into the fight, the campaign will

bring the land question into practical politics and do more to popularize its discussion than years of writing will do. That is the <u>only</u> temptation to me. $^{30}$ 

It should not have been "his only temptation." There were substantial practical questions for labor involved. Not to be willing to fully represent them was, to a degree, an unwitting betrayal of his trusting supporters. To be so positive of one's own position, to the exclusion of those of all others, is unreasonable. Can anyone reasonably question why labor has learned to shun "intellectuals?"

There is no question of the sincerity of George's acceptance, but there is a substantial question about his singlemindedness. And yet the failure of the movement in the 1880s may be cited as one of the reasons no labor party has ever been successfully established in the United States. But this is not the case in other democracies. The British Fabians were probably more influenced by George than by Marx, and they managed to unite with labor rather permanently. American socialists too have been influenced by George. It may be that George's ideas, in the hands of leaders truly representative of workers, had more influence than they could have when he served as their somewhat unrepresentative leader.

In actuality, it was more the conflict between George and the socialists that destroyed the movement than any conflict betwen his position and that of other labor leaders. The conflict may be regarded, to some extent, as the rival attempts of two ideologies to take over the labor movement.

One might wonder whether Gompers' attitude in 1886 is truly appropriate for labor in the United States for all time, even if it is granted that it made great sense then. The A. F. of L. was being formed and had many problems in 1886. It did not need or want to have the fight between the single taxers and socialists fought out within its ranks. It did not have the stability of the British unions.

Experience shows that workers in the U.S. frequently ignore political advice from their leaders and want to make their own decisions on grounds other than purely economic ones. The two party system has been very stable partly because of its ability to adapt and make concessions in the face of insistent demand, and partly because the old parties will unite against interlopers.

The matter of appropriate leadership has already been addressed. But the matter of the control of the media in the U.S. then and now, and consequently what they told the public, as contrasted with their nature and role in other countries, might furnish some clue as to the differences in the success of third parties. So, too, do the countries differ in terms of the nature of their publics. It may be easier to divide and rule where the population is more diverse in origin, religion, and background. In a country with an aristocratic background there may be less tendency to emphasize corruption in politics and, where progress toward democracy has been substantial, to distrust government almost instinctively.

The overriding and pervasive commercial character of U.S. society may have led our labor leaders to "businessize"

themselves in the sense of wishing to live, dress, and think like their oppositie numbers at the bargaining table. This is in contrast to the famous Keynesian remark on "the tendency of business to socialize itself." Keynes could speak on the basis of his experience as a director of a British company.

Many North American workers have fled from exploitive non-democratic countries whose governments they distrusted. Their natural bent has been to avoid government or to want to capture and revolutionize it. The Canadian experience with British immigrants, on the surface at least, shows their greater willingness to want to enter politics rather peacefully. Apparently, Canadian immigrants since World War II feel government can do them some good and thus the New Democratic Party has become a force there.

In a future economic downturn, especially in the absence of strong militarism in the U.S. there might be occasion for another substantial reordering of the political process. Indeed, the thought cannot be entirely rejected that one of the forces behind our present militancy may be precisely the idea that such a stand tends to unite us and to protect and preserve the domestic economic status quo.

#### NOTES

- Henry George, <u>Progress and Poverty</u> (New York: Garden City Publishing Company, Inc., 1926), 459.
- Henry George, <u>Progress and Poverty</u> (New York: Garden City Publishing Company, Inc., 1926), 297-98, 302-04.
- 3. Ibid., 308.
- 4. Ibid., 310.
- 5. Ibid., 311-14.
- 6. George R. Geiger, <u>The Philosophy of Henry George</u> (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1933), ix.
- 7. Samuel Gompers, <u>Seventy Years of Life and Labor</u>, Vol. 2, (New York: Augustus M. Kelly, 1967), 311-26.
- 8. A Theory of the Labor Movement (New York: Augustus M. Kelly, 1949), 178-79.
- 9. Ibid., 187.
- 10. Peter Alexander Speeks, "The Singletax and the Labor Movement," <u>Bulletin of the University of Wisconsin</u>, No. 878, Economics and Political Science Series, Vol. 8, No. 3, 247-428.
- 11. Bernard Mandel, <u>Samuel Gompers</u> (Yellow Springs: The Antioch Press, 1963), 82.
- 12. J. Rose, <u>Henry George</u> (New York: Twayne Publishers, Inc., 1968), 119.
- 13. Ibid., 272-75.
- 14. Selig Perlman, "The Great Upheaval," in John R. Commons et al., ed., <u>History of Labor in the United States, 1896</u>
   1932, Vol. II, Pt. VI (New York: Augustus M. Kelly, 1966), 44.
- 15. Ibid., 442 (Quote from Central Labor Union Constitution).
- 16. Speeks, op. cit. 310.
- 17. Ibid., 324.
- 18. William M. Dick, <u>Labor and Socialism in America</u> (Port Washington, New York: Kennikat Press, 1972), 29-30.

  "Henry George's opponents were formidable. The press for the most part was firmly against him and made a great deal of his Anglophilia and alleged un-Americanism. The Catholic hierarchy, particularly

influential among Irish and Italian voters, was savagely anti-George, though a strong body of Irish led by Father McGlynn, who was finally excommunicated for his efforts, supported him. . . . At least one paper maintained that had Powderly lent support earlier, the results might have been different. Once Powderly changed his mind, however, he stuck by his decision and was still advocating political action in 1890. Finally, corruption probably played a large part in George's defeat." Ibid., 29.

- 19. Speeks, op. cit. 334.
- 20. Samuel Gompers, <u>Seventy Years of Life and Labor</u>, vol. 2 (New York: August M. Kelly, 1967), 313.
- 21. Ibid., 322.
- 22. Ibid., Vol. 1, 227.
- 23. Gompers, op. cit. 312.
- 24. Ibid., 82.
- 25. Selig Perlman and Philip Taft, "Conclusions from Past Experimentation," in John R. Commons et al., ed., History of Labor in the United States, 1896 1932, Vol. IV, Pt. I, (New York: Augustus M. Kelly, 1966), 5.
- 26. Mandel, op. cit., 86.
- 27. Herbert Mitgang, <u>The Man Who Rode the Tiger, The Life</u>
  and <u>Times of Judge Samuel Seabury</u> (Philadelphia: J.B.
  Lippincott Company, 1963), 33-4.
- 28. Geiger, op. cit., 284.
- 29. Ibid., 67.
- 30. Ibid.

Listed below are publications issued by the Center for Applied Research. Apply to the Director, Center for Applied Research, for single copies. Associate Membership in the Center is also available (\$25 annually) which entitles the subscriber to free copies of all new Center publications.

#### WORKING PAPERS

#### Number

- 6 Pelis A. Thottathil
  Seigniorage Yield to the Private Sector From International Use of
  Dollars: January 1980
- 7 Kaj Areskoug
  The Instability of Real Currency Values Under Floating Exchange Rates:
  May 1980
- 8 Edmund H. Mantell
  Inflationary Expectations, Taxation of Capital, and Investment
  Incentives: March 1981
- 9 A.K. Bhattacharya
  Offshore Manufacturing in Developing Countries: The Development Impact
  of Free Trade Zones: May 1981
- 10 Suresh C. Gupta
  Structural Characteristics of R&D Organizations and the Organizational
  Design: July 1981
- 11 Alberto Ruiz

  National Plan of Colombia: Implications for Foreign Investors:

  November 1981
- 12 Kaj Areskoug

  <u>Macroeconomic Responses to Oil Price Shocks:</u> An International

  <u>Comparative Study</u>: February 1982
- 13 Pelis A. Thottathil

  <u>Surrogate Reserve Currency Creation</u>: March 1982
- 14 Fred N. Silverman and John C. Carter

  A Cost Model for Stochastic Assembly Line Balancing with Intermittent
  Line Stoppages: April 1982
- 15 Howard Blum
  Optimal Stationary Policies for Cash Management with an Average Balance
  Requirement: August 1982
- 16 Fred N. Silverman and John C. Carter

  Minimizing Costs in Assembly Line Balancing with Stochastic Task Times
  and Off-Line Repairs: January 1983

- 17 Edmund H. Mantell

  A Game-Theoretic Analysis of a Supreme Court Antitrust Decision:
  January 1983
- 18 Philip K.Y. Young

  <u>Family Labor, Sacrifice and Competition:</u> The Case of Korean Owned

  <u>Fruit and Vegetable Stores in New York City:</u> May 1983
- 19 Peter Allan
  The Job of the Manager in New York City Government: May 1983
- 20 William M. Welty
  Ethics and Management: Does It Have a Payoff?: June 1983
- 21 Warren J. Keegan

  <u>The Strategic Marketing Concept</u>: September 1983
- 22 Warren J. Keegan
  <u>Strategic Market Planning: The Japanese Approach:</u> September 1983
- 23 Elliot S. Grossman

  <u>Company Productivity Measurement:</u> December 1983
- 24 Richard Lynn and Robert Ferguson

  <u>Can the Security Market Line Help Portfolio Managers Pick Stocks?</u>

  December 1983
- 25 Kenneth N. Ehrensal
  <u>Assessing Host Country Environments</u>: December 1983
- 26 Elliot S. Grossman

  <u>Productivity Measures</u>: January 1984
- 27 William C. Freund

  <u>Underwriting Corporate Securities in the U.S.</u>: April 1984
- 28 Susan C. Schneider and Paul Shrivastava

  Interpreting Strategic Behavior: The Royal Road to Basic

  Assumptions: May 1984
- 29 John E. Flaherty

  <u>Cultural Changes and the Challenge of Development in Today's Knowledge Society: May 1984</u>
- 30 Susan C. Schneider

  The Implementation of a Program Innovation in a Community

  Mental Health Center: Theory and Practice: May 1984
- 31 Heinz Jauch and Frank A. Janus NPV vs. IRR — ACAIN: September 1984
- 32 Todd Barnett
  Readiness for Trial Requirements Under the CPL and Current
  Case Law: September 1984

- 33 Arthur Centonze

  The Foreign Headquarter Sector in New York City:
  September 1984
- 34 William T. Page

  Cognitive Process Versus Trait and Reinforcement Analysis of Interpersonal Behavior in Management Education:
  September 1984 (Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management, Boston, Mass., August 12-15, 1984)
- 35 William J. Lawrence and Michael von Stumm

  <u>Fconomics of Fine Arts Insurance</u>: December 1984
- 36 Martin T. Topol

  Applications of the Growth/Share Matrix in Retailing:
  December 1984
- 37 Helen Wang

  An Experimental Analysis of the Flexible Manufacturing System

  (FMS): January 1985
- 38 Francis P. DeCaro

  An Examination of the Relationship of Leader Locus of Control
  and Selected Variables Within the Path-Goal Theory: January
  1985
- 39 Helen Wang, Ron Landi, and Paul Messina
  Robotics Specific Application and Financial Analysis:
  February 1985
- 40 William LeMoult
  What's Wrong With Voluntary Hospital Management?:
  February 1985
- 41 M. Peter Hoefer and S. Basheer Ahmed

  How a Business Community Perceives Risks in Modern
  Technologies: March 1985
- 42 Dan Baugher and Andrew Varanelli, Jr.

  A Comparison of Different Rating Procedures in Public Sector
  Training and Experience Examinations: March 1985
- 43 Philip K. Y. Young and Ann H. L. Sontz

  Is Hard Work the Key to Success? A Socioeconomic Analysis of
  Immigrant Enterprise: March 1985
- 44 Edmund H. Mantell
  Accounting for Sources of Risk in International Portfolio
  Diversification: March 1985

#### CASE STUDIES

- 1 Harold Oaklander
   The Swiss Call It "The Firestone Affair": March 1980
- 2 William M. Welty
  An Historical View of the Changing American Ideology: September 1980
- 3 William M. Welty
  Toward A New Ethics: A Challenge for Future Managers: September 1980
- 4 William M. Welty
  The New Equality: October 1980
- 6 William M. Welty
  OSHA From Safety to Nest: October 1980
- 7 William M. Welty
  <u>Love Canal: Who Pays for Fouling the Nest?</u>: October 1980
- 8 Harold Oaklander Small Plant Lay-Off: March 1981
- 9 William M. Welty
  The Ford Pinto: September 1982
- 10 William M. Welty

  <u>The Greenwich Water Crisis:</u> December 1982
- 11 John L. Young, Robert A. Wysocki, and Warren J. Keegan
  Apple Computer, Inc.: January 1984
- 12 William Welty

  Ethics and Business: Do We Need to Build a New Foundation?:

  October 1984

#### REPRINTS

- 1 A.K. Bhattacharya
  Recent Developments in Asian Dollar Market: Development Impact on the
  Asia-Pacific Region: February 1980
  (Proceedings of the Academy of International Business, December 1979)
- 2 William J. Lawrence

  Merging Regional Econometric Models to the Corporate Planning Process:

  Some Preliminary Comments: February 1980

  (1978 Business and Economics Sections; Proceedings of the American Statistical Association)

- 4 Harry Kelejian and William J. Lawrence
  Estimating the Demand for Broadway Theater: A Preliminary Inquiry: May
  1980
  (Economic Policy for the Arts, edited by Hendon, Shaahan, and McDonald,
  1980)
- John E. Flaherty
  Management and the Humanities: Improving the Art of Managerial
  Leadership: Bibliographical Grid: A Reference for Management:
  November 1980
  (New Jersey Bell Journal, Winter 1979/80)
- 6 Susanne Patterson Wahba
  The Human Side of Banking: Work Attitude and Social Alienation:
  February 1981
  (Psychological Reports, 1980)
- 7 Anindya K. Bhattacharya
  Offshore Banking in the Caribbean by U.S. Commercial Banks:
  Implications for Government-Business Interaction: June 1981
  (Journal of International Business Studies, Winter 1980)
- 8 Heinz Jauch
  Four Keys to Savings and Loan Profitability: July 1981
  (Financial Analysts Journal, May-June 1981)
- 9 T.H. Bonaparte and N.K. Sethi
  Patterns of International Business: November 1981
  (Journal of Management Business & Economics, vol. 6, no. 3, 1980
  Bangladesh)
- 10 Dan Baugher

  Manpower Planning and Mandatory Retirement: Is the Older Worker

  Incompetent?: March 1982

  (Presented as part of a symposium, "Growing Old in America:
  Psychological and Policy Issues," at the American Psychological
  Association Annual Convention, New York City, September 1979)
- 11 Dan Baugher

  Evaluating and Improving Remedial Training Programs: March 1982

  (New Directions for Program Evaluation: Measuring Effectiveness, no. 11, September 1981)
- 12 Robert A. Isaak
  Inflation Strategies in the 1980's: May 1982
  (Adherent, vol. 9, no. 1, March 1982)
- 13 Harold Oaklander

  Workforce Reductions in Undertakings: May 1982
  (General Report, I.L.O., Geneva, 1982)
- 14 Edmund H. Mantell

  The Effect on Market Equilibrium of Public Announcements: January 1983
  (Ouarterly Review of Economics and Business, vol. 22, no. 2, Summer 1982)

- 15 Elliot S. Grossman

  <u>United States and Japan: Recent Trends in Productivity:</u> February 1983

  (Presented at the Eastern Economic Association 8th Annual Convention,
  Washington, D.C., May 1, 1982)
- Philip K.Y. Young

  Appropriate Technology, Proper Training, and Imaginative Marketing:
  the Keys to the Development of Pacific Island Fisheries: March 1983
  (Presented at the conference "Asia-Pacific Dimensions of International
  Business," sponsored by the Academy of International
  Business, Honolulu, Hawaii, December 17-20, 1982)
- 18 Harvey A. Poniachek

  <u>United States Foreign Direct Investment Strategies</u>: October 1983

  (Presented before the Mission to Promote Investment in Kyushu, Japan

  Trade Center, New York, April 8, 1983)

  <u>The Yen/Dollar Exchange Rate Controversy</u>: October 1983

  (Presented at the Japan External Trade Organization, Japan Trade Center,
  New York, June 23, 1983)
- 19 Surendra K. Kaushik and William L. Casey, Jr.

  The Kinked-Demand Model of Oligopoly: Textbook Departures from the
  Original Sweezy Model: February 1984

  (The American Economist, vol. XXVI, no. 2, Fall 1982)
- 20 Marc Scheirman
  Production Sharing: Mexico and the United States Join Forces on the
  Border: February 1984
  (World Marketing Congress Proceedings, Halifax, Nova Scotia, November 1983)
- 21 J. Franklin Sharp

  Find the Right Partner for Your Company: March 1984

  (Financial Executive, June 1983)

  Narendra C. Bhandari

  Flexible Budgeting Can Save Small Business: March 1984

  (Management Review, vol. 71, no. 6, June 1982)
- 22 J.S. Schiff

  Evaluate the Sales Force as a Business: April 1984

  (Industrial Marketing Management, vol. 12)

  Leon Winer

  A Sales Compensation System that Maximizes Motivation and Economy: April 1984

  (Advanced Management Journal, Spring 1982)
- 23 Tom Griffin

  Linking the Use of Modern Marketing Methods to Company Success: May 1984

  (The Columbia Journal of World Business, vol. 17, no. 3, Fall 1982)

  Marketing in the Irish Republic: May 1984

  (European Management Journal, vol. 1, no. 2, Winter 1982)

- 24 Susan P. Douglas, C. Samuel Craig, and Warren J. Keegan
  Approaches to Assessing International Marketing Opportunities for
  Small- and Medium-sized Companies: May 1984
  (The Columbia Journal of World Business, vol. 17, no. 3, Fall 1982)
  Eli Seggev
  Testing Persuasion by Strategic Positioning: May 1984
  (Journal of Advertising Research, vol. 22, no. 1, February/March 1982)
- 25 Edward D. Weil and Robert R. Cangemi Linking Long-Range Research to Strategic Planning: June 1984 (Research Management, vol. 26, no. 3, May/June 1983)
- Michael Szenberg

  The Structure of the American Book Publishing Industry:
  September 1984
  (Presented at the International Conference on Cultural
  Economics and Planning, Akron, Ohio, April 24-27, 1984, and will be published in its Proceedings)
- 27 Elliot S. Grossman

  Productivity and International Competition: United States
  and Japanese Industries: September 1984

  (Research Memorandum #132: Corporate Environment Program,
  Hudson Strategy Group, Inc.: June 1984)
- John S. Healey and Harold A. Kassarjian

  Advertising Substantiation and Advertiser Response: A

  Content Analysis of Magazine Advertisements: December 1984

  (Journal of Marketing, vol. 47, Winter 1983)
- 29 Myron Gable, Karen R. Gillespie, and Martin Topol

  The Current Status of Women in Department Store Retailing:

  An Update: December 1984

  (Journal of Retailing, vol. 60, no. 2, 1984)
- 30 Susan C. Schneider

  Whose Patient Is This Anyway? Policy and Planning Issues in
  the Community Care of the Chronic Psychiatric Patient:
  January 1985
  (Academy of Management Proceedings, Boston, 1984)
- 31 Vincent R. Barrella

  The Deductibility of Pre-ERTA Straddle Losses—The Impact of
  Section 108 of the Tax Reform Act of 1984: March 1985

  (TAXES—The Tax Magazine 63, no.2, February 1985)

#### CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Productivity: The Challenge of the 1980s: March 1983

Free Trade or Protectionism: Is Free Enterprise Competition Possible in Today's International Economy?: November 1984

#### MONOGRAPHS

15 T.H. Bonaparte

Marketing in Less Developed Countries: A Case Analysis of Liberia

Steven Lewins

Knowing Your Common Stock: 1980

Vice President and Unit Head (Investment Research) Citi-Investments,
Citibank N.A.

Oscar W. Nestor <u>College Career Planning Workbook:</u> 1980

Hubert Roosma

Mathematics of Finance: Theory and Applications: 1981

Hubert Roosma

Analytical Methods in Finance: Theory and Applications: 1981

John T. McCall
Future Directions in Corporate Governance: A Survey and
Summary of Major Recent Trends: 1985

## THE PRESIDENT'S LECTURE SERIES: DECEMBER 1981

Dr. Lawrence Klein "Supply-side Economics and Contemporary Policy Issues"

Dr. William Freund
"Productivity, Economic Growth and Inflation for the 1980's"

Rt. Honorable James Michael Leathers Prior, M.P. "Problems and Prospects for the British Economy"

Dr. Leonard Silk "The Fiscal and Monetary Policies Affecting the Business Community"

#### GERMAN-AMERICAN INSTITUTE FOR BUSINESS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

#### Executive Luncheon Series

- 1 Dr. Irwin L. Kellner

  <u>Economic Outlook for German-American Companies</u>: July 1984

  (Presented at Pace University, January 13, 1983)
- 2 Karl-Heinz Wismer <u>The Growing Importance of Trade Fairs in Export Marketing:</u> July 1984 (Presented at Pace University, March 24, 1983)
- 3 Dr. Robert Ortner

  The International Implications of Reagonomics: July 1984

  (Presented at Pace University, May 2, 1983)

#### Conference: German-U.S. Relations

Dr. Guenter O. Eser

German-American Relations in Aviation and Space: A

Historical Perspective: September 16, 1983

(Keynote Speech)

### Reprints

1 Robert A. Isaak
The German Industrial Model Under Siege: July 1984
(Adherent: A Journal of Comprehensive Employment Training and
Human Resource Development, vol. 10, no. 1, Spring 1983)

#### HENRY GEORGE RESEARCH PROGRAM

#### Working Papers

- 1 William C. Freund

  Managing Human Resources for Productivity Growth: March 1982
- 2 E. Harman Mantell
  On the Theory of Taxation as an Instrument to Control Environmental
  Harm Caused by Monopolists: January 1983
- 3 Edmund H. Mantell
  On the Political Economy of Environmental Protection: September 1983
- 4 Edmund H. Mantell
  An Imbalance in Antitrust Enforcement: Tying and Vertical
  Integration: May 1984

# Conference Proceedings

Henry George: His Continuing Relevance: November 1982

#### Monographs

- 1 T. H. Bonaparte and John E. Flaherty

  Henry George: An Evaluation of His Impact and the Continuing

  Search for Relevance
- 2 T. H. Bonaparte

  Henry George: His Impact Abroad and the Relevancy of His

  Views on International Trade
- 3 Steven Cord

  The Ethics of Land Reform: A Trialogue between Adam Smith,
  Karl Marx, and Henry George
- 4 John E. Flaherty
  Henry George: Motivating the Managerial Mind
- 5 Frank C. Genovese
  Henry George and Labor Unions
- 6 C. Lowell Harriss
  Taxation: Today's Lessons from Henry George

#### INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL BANKING

#### Working Papers

- Pelis A. Thottathil

  <u>Effective Rate Parity Analysis of Eurodollar Borrowing by U.S. Banks</u>

  <u>from their Foreign Branches:</u> January 1983
- 2 Vasavan S. Somanath

  The Expectations Horizon in the Determination of Exchange Rates and
  Interest Rates: February 1983
- 3 Edmund H. Mantell

  How to Measure the Returns to be Expected from International Portfolio

  Diversification: December 1982
- 4 Robert A. Isaak
  The International Monetary Crisis and Economic Growth: February 1983
- 5 Harvey A. Poniachek

  <u>U.S. International Banking Activities and the Latin American Market:</u>

  April 1984

- 6 H. Robert Heller and Kai Areskoug
  International Risk Management: May 1984
- 7 Jordan Young
  Political Implications of the Debt Crisis: Brazil: May 1984

# Reprints

- 1 Harvey A. Poniachek

  North American Financial Markets in the 1980s: March 1983

  (Presented at the 1982 Annual ASSA Conference, New York, December 28-30, 1982)

  An Assessment of European-American Monetary Relations

  (Kredit and Kapital, 15. Jahrgang 1982/Heft 4)
- 2 John Haley and Barnard Seligman

  The Development of International Banking by the United States:

  December 1983

  (The International Banking Handbook by William H. Baugh and Donald R. Mandich)
- 3 Stephen C. Eyre and Daniel T. Jacobsen
  Audits and Examinations: March 1984
  (The International Banking Handbook by William H. Baugh and Donald R. Mandich)

#### Lecture Series

- 1 Khusro Karamat Elley
  Growth of International Banking: Case Study of Bank of Credit Commerce
  International: January 1983
- 2 Bruno Richter International Banking in a Changing Environment: March 1984

# Conference Proceedings

Managing Risk in the Nation's Payments System: March 1983

Whither the Financial Community: Conference on Financial Services: March 1984

#### MBA PAPERS OF DISTINCTION

Vol. I, No. 1: February 1980
Mary Ellen Guzewicz
Common Stock Valuation Models

Vol. II, No. 1: June 1981

David E. Glickhouse

Cooperative Apartment Conversion in Manhattan: An Analysis of the Tenant's Buy vs. Rent Decision

Vol. III, No. 1: January 1982

Silas Yomi Owa

Private Direct Foreign Investment and Capital Formation in Nigeria

Vol. III, No. 2: January 1982 Capt. Michael Abarbanell, U.S.C.G. Evaluating Approaches to the Management of Change

Vol. III, No. 3: March 1982
Tarcisio Cardieri
Trends in Brazilian Foreign Trade

Vol. IV, No. 1: January 1983
Kenneth N. Ehrensal
Culture and Employee Behavior: The Japanese System
Marlene L. Rossman
Japanese Foreign Market Entry Strategies in Latin America

Vol. IV, No. 2: April 1983 Zheng Zhihzi, Li Lingwen, and Cai Yong-Fang Doing Business in China

Vol. V, No. 1: March 1984

Walter W. Regel

Advertising and Direct Solicitation by CPAs: Assets or Liabilities

Vol. V, No. 2: March 1984

Michael Levenson

A Perceptual Model for the Use of Music in Marketing

Vol. V, No. 3: March 1984

Michael Kublin

Japanese Marketing Ability: A Product of Japanese Values, Traditions, and Attitudes

Vol. V. No. 4: March 1984

Richard Landsman

Ouality Control Circles, Are There Really Any Lessons for American Management?

Vol. V, No. 5: April 1984

Judah D. Aber

A Study of Stock Price Movements Following Announcement of Unanticipated Adverse Company News

Vol. V, No. 6: May 1984
Susan Reddington
Savings and Loan Conversions in 1983

Vol. VI, No. 1: January 1985 Ellen Pearre Cason Development of Auditing Standards in Selected Countries

Vol. VI, No. 2: February 1985
Ira Rubin
Marketers' Reactions to VALS and PRIZM

Vol. VI, No. 3: February 1985 Kathleen A. Watkins <u>Licensing Characters from A to Z</u>

Vol.VI, No. 4: March 1985
Anthony M. Amarante
Acquiring an Automobile Dealership

#### OCCASIONAL PAPERS

T.H. Bonaparte

<u>Preparation for International Business</u>: May 1981

#### SEMINAR REPORT

Country Analysis for International Business: Mexico: May 1980 (edited by Alice B. Lentz and Harvey B. Wallender III, based on a preliminary report by Dr. Jordan M. Young and Carlos E. Martinez)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Kaj Areskoug and Shabaz Shabazi

<u>Terms of Trade, Oil Prices and National Income: The Global Experience</u>
(Presented at the Western Economic Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco, California, July 2-6, 1981)

F.G. Awalt, Jr.
Director of Management Development (Finance and Planning), IBM
Corporation
Development of Managers and Executives in Today's Competitive and
Changing Environment
(Presented at the 2nd Annual Dean's Day, April 19, 1983)